

Existence and uniqueness of mild solutions for fractional integrodifferential equations

V. Dhanapalan¹, M. Thamilselvan², M. Chandrasekaran³

¹Government College of Technology, Coimbatore-641013.Tamilnadu, India

²Thanthai Periyar Government Institute of Technology, Vellore-632002.Tamilnadu, India

³Higher College of Technology, Muscat, the Sultanate of Oman

Email address:

vdp_vaisu@yahoo.co.in.(V. Dhanapalan), mthamil@rediffmail.com (M. Thamilselvan),

m_chands2000@yahoo.com (M. Chandrasekaran)

To cite this article:

V. Dhanapalan, M. Thamilselvan, M. Chandrasekaran. Existence and Uniqueness of Mild Solutions for Fractional Integrodifferential Equations. *Applied and Computational Mathematics*. Vol. 3, No. 1, 2014, pp. 32-37. doi: 10.11648/j.acm.20140301.15

Abstract: The aim of this paper is to prove the existence and uniqueness of mild solution of a class of nonlinear fractional integrodifferential equations
$$\begin{cases} \frac{d^q u(t)}{dt^q} + Au(t) = \int_0^t f(t, s, x(s))ds + \int_0^t a(t-s)g(s, y(s))ds, & t \in [0, T], \\ u(0) = u_0. \end{cases}$$
 in a Banach space X , where $0 < q < 1$. Results are obtained by fixed point theorem. The results are established by using Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem and the contraction mapping principle.

Keywords: Integrodifferential Equation, Fractional Equation, Mild Solution, Compact Semigroup, Krasnoselskii Theorem, Semi Group of Linear Operators

1. Introduction

An integrodifferential equation is one which involves both integrals and derivatives of an unknown function. It arises in many fields like electronic, fluid dynamics, biological models and chemical kinetics. A well known example is the equations of basic electric circuit analysis. In recent years, the theory of various integrodifferential equations in Banach spaces has been studied deeply due to their important values in sciences and technologies and many significant results have been established [3, 4, 5, 6,7, 12, 13, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27] and references therein. On the other hand, many phenomena in Engineering, Physics, Economics, Chemistry, Aerodynamics and Electrodynamics of complex medium can be modeled by fractional differential equations. During the past decades, such problem attracted many researchers (see[3, 7, 8, 9, 10, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 28] and references therein).

However, among the previous researches on the fractional integrodifferential equations, few are concerned with mild solutions of the fractional integrodifferential equations as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d^q u(t)}{dt^q} + Au(t) = \int_0^t f(t, s, x(s))ds + \int_0^t a(t-s)g(s, y(s))ds, \\ u(0) = u_0. \end{cases} \quad t \in [0, T], \quad (1.1)$$

where $0 < q < 1$, and the fractional derivative is understood in the Caputo sense.

In this paper, motivated by [1-28] (especially the estimating approaches given in [11, 12, 15, 24, 26]), we investigate the existence and uniqueness of mild solution of the equation (1.1) in a Banach space X , $-A$ generates a compact semigroup $S(\cdot)$ of uniformly bounded linear operators on a Banach space X . The function $a(\cdot)$ is real valued and locally integrable on $[0, \infty)$, and the nonlinear maps $f: [0, T] \times [0, T] \times X \rightarrow X$ and $g: [0, T] \times X \rightarrow X$ are continuous functions. New existence and uniqueness results are given.

2. Preliminaries

In this paper, we set $I = [0, T]$, a compact interval in \mathbb{R} . We denote by X a Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let $-A: D(A) \rightarrow X$ be the infinitesimal generator of compact semigroup $S(\cdot)$ of uniformly bounded linear operators. Then there exists $M \geq 1$ such that $\|S(t)\| \leq M$ for $t \geq 0$. According to [23, 24], a mild solution of (1.1) can be defined as follows:

2.1. Definition

A continuous function $u: I \rightarrow X$ satisfying the equation

$$u(t) = Q(t)u_0 + \int_0^t R(t-s) \left[\int_0^s f(s, \tau, u(\tau)) d\tau + K(u)(s) \right] ds \tag{2.1}$$

for $t \in I$ is called a mild solution of (1.1), where

$$Q(t) = \int_0^\infty \xi_q(\sigma) S(t^q \sigma) d\sigma,$$

$$R(t) = q \int_0^\infty \sigma t^{q-1} \xi_q(\sigma) S(t^q \sigma) d\sigma,$$

$$K(u)(t) = \int_0^t a(t-s) g(s, u(s)) ds,$$

and ξ_q is a probability density function on $(0, \infty)$ such that its Laplace transform is given by

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-sx} \xi_q(\sigma) d\sigma = \sum_{j=0}^\infty \frac{(-x)^j}{\Gamma(1+qj)}, \quad 0 < q \leq 1, \quad x > 0.$$

2.2. Remark

Noting that $\int_0^\infty \sigma \xi_q(\sigma) d\sigma = 1$ in reference [24], we can see that $\|R(t)\| \leq qMt^{q-1}, t > 0$. In this paper, we use $\|f\|_p$ to denote the L^p norm of f and $f \in L^p(0, T)$ for some p with $1 \leq p < \infty$. $C([0, T], X)$ denotes the Banach space of all continuous functions $[0, T] \rightarrow X$ endowed with the sup-norm given by

$$\|u\|_\infty := \sup_{t \in I} \|u\|$$

for $u \in C([0, T], X)$. Set $a_T := \int_0^T |a(s)| ds$.

The following well known theorem will be used later.

2.3. Theorem (Krasnoselskii)

Let Ω be a closed convex and nonempty subset of a Banach space X . Let A, B be two operators such that

- (i) $Ax + By \in \Omega$ whenever $x, y \in \Omega$,
- (ii) A is compact and continuous,
- (iii) B is a contraction mapping.

Then there exists $z \in \Omega$ such that $z = Az + Bz$.

3. Main Results

We will require the following assumptions:

(H1) The function $f: [0, T] \times [0, T] \times X \rightarrow X$ is continuous and there exists $L > 0$ such that

$$\|f(t, s, u) - f(t, s, v)\| \leq L\|u - v\|, \quad u, v \in C([0, T], X).$$

(H2) The function $g: [0, T] \times X \rightarrow X$ is continuous and there exists $L_1 > 0$ such that

$$\|g(t, u) - g(t, v)\| \leq L_1\|u - v\|, \quad u, v \in C([0, T], X).$$

(H3) The function $L_q: I \rightarrow R^+, 0 < q < 1$, satisfies $L_q(t) = MT^q(LT + L_1 a_T) \leq \omega \leq 1, t \in [0, T]$.

3.1. Theorem

Let $-A$ be the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous semigroup $\{S(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ with $\|S(t)\| \leq M, t \geq 0$. If the maps f and g satisfy (H1) and (H2), $L_q(t)$ satisfies (H2) and $L \leq \gamma [MT^q(1 + a_T)]^{-1}, 0 < \gamma < 1$, then (1.1) has a unique mild solution for every $u_0 \in X$.

Proof: Define the mapping $\mathcal{F}: C([0, T], X) \rightarrow C([0, T], X)$ by

$$(\mathcal{F}u)(t) = Q(t)u_0 + \int_0^t R(t-s) \left[\int_0^s f(s, \tau, u(\tau)) d\tau + K(u)(s) \right] ds \tag{3.1}$$

Set

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|f(t, s, 0)\| = M_1,$$

and

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|g(t, 0)\| = M_2.$$

Choose r such that

$$M\{\|u_0\| + T^q((Lr + M_1) + (L_1r + M_2)a_T)\} \leq r.$$

Let B_r be the nonempty closed and convex set given by

$$B_r = \{u \in C([0, T], X) \mid \|u\|_\infty \leq r\}.$$

Then for $u \in B_r$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\mathcal{F}u)(t)\| \\ & \leq \|Q(t)u_0\| + \int_0^t \|R(t-s)\| \cdot \left\| \int_0^s f(s, \tau, u(\tau)) d\tau + K(u)(s) \right\| ds \\ & \leq M\|u_0\| + qM \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \left\| \int_0^s f(s, \tau, u(\tau)) d\tau + K(u)(s) \right\| ds \\ & \leq M\|u_0\| + qM \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \\ & \quad \times \left\| \int_0^s [f(s, \tau, u(\tau)) - f(s, \tau, 0) + f(s, \tau, 0)] d\tau + K(u)(s) \right\| ds \\ & \leq M\|u_0\| + qM \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \\ & \quad \times \int_0^s (\|f(s, \tau, u(\tau)) - f(s, \tau, 0)\| + \|f(s, \tau, 0)\|) d\tau ds \end{aligned}$$

$$+qM \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \|K(u)(s)\| ds.$$

Noting that

$$\begin{aligned} \|K(u)(s)\| &= \left\| \int_0^s a(s-\tau)g(\tau, u(\tau))d\tau \right\| \\ &\leq \int_0^s |a(s-\tau)| \left[\|g(\tau, u(\tau)) - g(\tau, 0)\| + \|g(\tau, 0)\| \right] d\tau \leq \\ &\quad (L_1 r + M_2) a_T, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

we obtain

$$\|(\mathcal{F}u)(t)\| \leq M\|u_0\| + MT^q [(Lr + M_1)T + (L_1 r + M_2) a_T] \leq r,$$

for $t \in [0, T]$. Hence $\mathcal{F}: B_r \rightarrow B_r$.

Let u and v be two elements in $C([0, T], X)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(\mathcal{F}u)(t) - (\mathcal{F}v)(t)\| \\ &\leq qM \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \left[\int_0^s \|f(s, \tau, u(\tau)) - f(s, \tau, v(\tau))\| d\tau \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|K(u)(s) - K(v)(s)\| \right] ds \\ &\leq qM \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \left[\int_0^s \|f(s, \tau, u(\tau)) - f(s, \tau, v(\tau))\| d\tau \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^s |a(s-\tau)| \|g(\tau, u(\tau)) - g(\tau, v(\tau))\| d\tau \right] ds \\ &\leq MT^q (LT + L_1 a_T) \|u - v\| \\ &= L_q(t) \|u - v\|. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\|(\mathcal{F}u)(t) - (\mathcal{F}v)(t)\|_\infty \leq L_q(T) \|u - v\|_\infty.$$

The conclusion follows by contraction mapping principle.

□

We assume the following,

(H4) The function $f: I \times I \times X \rightarrow X$ is continuous, and there exists a positive function

$$\mu(\cdot) \in L^p_{loc}(I, \mathbb{R}^+)(p > 1/q > 1)$$

such that $\|f(t, s, u(s))\| \leq \mu(t)$, the function $s \rightarrow \frac{\mu(s)}{(t-s)^{1-q}}$ belongs to $L^1([0, T], \mathbb{R}^+)$, and set $T_{p,q} := \max\{T^{q-1/p}, T^q\}$.

Let $-A$ be the infinitesimal generator of a compact semigroup $S(\cdot)$ of uniformly bounded linear operators. Then there exists a constant $M \geq 1$ such that $\|S(t)\| \leq M$, for $t \geq 0$.

3.2. Theorem

If the maps f and g satisfy (H1),(H2),(H4) respectively, and $L_1 \leq \lambda(M.T_{p,q}.a_T)^{-1}$, $0 < \lambda < 1$, Then(1.1) has a mild solution for every $u_0 \in X$.

Proof: Define

$$(\phi u)(t) = \int_0^t R(t-s) \int_0^s f(s, \tau, u(\tau)) d\tau ds$$

$$(\psi u)(t) = Q(t)u_0 + \int_0^t R(t-s)K(u)(s)ds$$

Choose r such that

$$\left\{ M\|u_0\| + MT_{p,q}[qM_{p,q}T\|\mu\|_{L^p_{loc}(I, \mathbb{R}^+)} + (L_1 r + M_2) a_T] \right\} \leq r,$$

where $M_{p,q} := \left(\frac{p-1}{pq-1}\right)^{(p-1)/p}$.

Let $B_r = \{u \in C([0, T], X), \|u\|_\infty \leq r\}$ be the closed convex and nonempty subset of the subspace $C([0, T], X)$.

Letting $u, v \in B_r$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(\phi v)(t) + (\psi u)(t)\| \\ &\leq \int_0^t \left\| R(t-s) \int_0^s f(s, \tau, u(\tau)) d\tau \right\| ds + \|Q(t)u_0\| \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \|R(t-s)K(u)(s)\| ds \\ &\leq M\|u_0\| + qM \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \int_0^s \|f(s, \tau, u(\tau))\| d\tau ds \\ &\quad + qM \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \int_0^s \|K(u)(s)\| ds. \end{aligned}$$

According to Holder inequality, (H1), (H2) and (3.2), for $t \in I$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(\phi v)(t) + (\psi u)(t)\| \\ &\leq M\|u_0\| + qM \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \int_0^s \|f(s, \tau, u(\tau))\| d\tau ds + \\ &\quad + qM \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \int_0^s \|K(u)(s)\| ds \\ &\leq M\|u_0\| + MT_{p,q}[qM_{p,q}T\|\mu\|_{L^p_{loc}(I, \mathbb{R}^+)} + (L_1 r + M_2) a_T] \\ &\leq r. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $(\phi v) + (\psi u) \in B_r$.

For $u, v \in C([0, T], X)$ and $t \in [0, T]$, using (H2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(\psi u)(t) - (\psi v)(t)\| \\ &\leq qM \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \|K(u)(s) - K(v)(s)\| ds \\ &\leq qM \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \left\| \int_0^s a(s-\tau)[g(\tau, u(\tau)) - g(\tau, v(\tau))] d\tau \right\| ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq MT^q . a_T . L_1 \|u - v\|_\infty$$

$$\leq \lambda \|u - v\|_\infty.$$

So, we know that ψ is a contraction mapping.
 Set $u(t) = \{(\phi u)(t) | u \in B_r\}$.
 Fix $t \in [0, T]$. For $0 < \varepsilon < t$, set

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi_\varepsilon u)(t) &= \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} R(t-s) \int_0^s f(s, \tau, u(\tau)) d\tau ds \\ &= qS(\varepsilon^q \sigma) \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} (t-s)^{q-1} \int_0^s f(s, \tau, u(\tau)) d\tau \int_0^\infty \sigma \xi_q(\sigma) \\ &\quad \times S((t-s)^q) \sigma - \varepsilon^q \sigma d\sigma ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since $S(t)$ is compact for each $t \in [0, T]$, the sets $u_\varepsilon(t) = \{(\phi_\varepsilon u)(t) | u \in B_r\}$ are relatively compact in X for each ε , $0 < \varepsilon < t$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(\phi u)(t) - (\phi_\varepsilon u)(t)\| \\ &\leq qM \int_{t-\varepsilon}^t (t-s)^{q-1} \left\| \int_0^s f(s, \tau, u(\tau)) d\tau \right\| ds \\ &\leq qM \cdot M_{p,q} \cdot \|\mu\|_{L^p_{loc}(I, \mathbb{R}^+)} \cdot T \cdot \varepsilon^{q-1/p}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $u(t)$ is relatively compact in X .

Next, we prove that $(\phi u)(t)$ is equicontinuous.

For $0 < t_2 < t_1 < T$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(\phi u)(t_1) - (\phi u)(t_2)\| \\ &= \left\| \int_0^{t_1} R(t_1-s) \int_0^s f(s, \tau, u(\tau)) d\tau ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_0^{t_2} R(t_2-s) \int_0^s f(s, \tau, u(\tau)) d\tau ds \right\| \\ &= \left\| \int_0^{t_2} [R(t_1-s) - R(t_2-s)] \right. \\ &\quad \times \int_0^s f(s, \tau, u(\tau)) d\tau ds \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{t_2}^{t_1} R(t_1-s) \int_0^s f(s, \tau, u(\tau)) d\tau ds \right\| \\ &\leq q \left\| \int_0^{t_2} \int_0^\infty [(t_1-s)^{q-1} - (t_2-s)^{q-1}] \xi_q(\sigma) \right. \\ &\quad \times S((t_1-s)^q \sigma) \\ &\quad \times \int_0^s f(s, \tau, u(\tau)) d\tau d\sigma ds \Big\| \\ &\quad + \int_{t_2}^{t_1} \|R(t_1-s)\| \int_0^s \|f(s, \tau, u(\tau))\| d\tau ds \\ &\quad + q \left\| \int_0^{t_2} \int_0^\infty \sigma [(t_2-s)^{q-1}] \xi_q(\sigma) [S((t_1-s)^q \sigma) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - S((t_2-s)^q \sigma)] \right. \\ &\quad \times \int_0^s f(s, \tau, u(\tau)) d\tau d\sigma ds \Big\| \\ &= I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned}$$

By (H4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq qM \int_0^{t_2} |(t_1-s)^{q-1} \\ &\quad - (t_2-s)^{q-1}| \left\| \int_0^s f(s, \tau, u(\tau)) d\tau \right\| ds \\ &\leq qM \int_0^{t_2} |(t_1-s)^{q-1} - (t_2-s)^{q-1}| \mu(s) \cdot T \cdot ds \end{aligned}$$

In view of the assumption of $\mu(s)$, we see that $I_1 \rightarrow 0$ as $t_2 \rightarrow t_1$, and one

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq qM \int_{t_2}^{t_1} (t_1-s)^{q-1} \left\| \int_0^s f(s, \tau, u(\tau)) d\tau \right\| ds \\ &\leq qM \int_{t_2}^{t_1} (t_1-s)^{q-1} \mu(s) \cdot T \cdot ds \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, the last term tends to 0 as $t_2 \rightarrow t_1$. Hence, $I_2 \rightarrow 0$ as $t_2 \rightarrow t_1$, and

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &\leq q \left\| \int_0^{t_2} \int_0^\infty \sigma [(t_2-s)^{q-1}] \xi_q(\sigma) [S((t_1-s)^q \sigma) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - S((t_2-s)^q \sigma)] \right. \\ &\quad \times \int_0^s f(s, \tau, u(\tau)) d\tau d\sigma ds \Big\| \\ &\leq q \int_0^{t_2} (t_2-s)^{q-1} \mu(s) \cdot T \cdot ds \int_0^\infty \sigma \xi_q(\sigma) \|S((t_1-s)^q \sigma) - S((t_2-s)^q \sigma)\| d\sigma ds \quad (3.2) \end{aligned}$$

The right-hand side of (3.2) tends to 0 as $t_2 \rightarrow t_1$ as a consequence of the continuity of $S(t)$ in the uniform operator topology for $t > 0$ by the compactness of $S(t)$. So $I_3 \rightarrow 0$ as $t_2 \rightarrow t_1$.

Thus, $\|(\phi u)(t_1) - (\phi u)(t_2)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $t_2 \rightarrow t_1$, which is independent of u . Therefore ϕ is compact by the Arzela-Ascoli theorem.

Next we show that ϕ is continuous.

Let $\{u_n\}$ be a sequence of B_r such that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in B_r . By the continuity of f on $I \times I \times X$, we have

$$f(t, s, u_n(s)) \rightarrow f(t, s, u), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Noting to continuity of f , we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(\phi u_n)(t) - (\phi u)(t)\| \\ &= \left\| \int_0^t R(t-s) \int_0^s [f(s, \tau, u_n(\tau)) - f(s, \tau, u(\tau))] d\tau ds \right\| \\ &\leq qM \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \int_0^s \|f(s, \tau, u_n(\tau)) - f(s, \tau, u(\tau))\| d\tau ds \\ &\leq MT^q \|f(\cdot, \cdot, u_n(\cdot)) - f(\cdot, \cdot, u(\cdot))\|_\infty \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\phi u_n - \phi u\| = 0.$$

So ϕ is continuous.

By Krasnoskelskii's theorem, we have the conclusion of the theorem.

3.3. Remark

In theorem 3.2, if we furthermore suppose that the hypothesis (H5)

$$\|f(t, s, u(t)) - f(t, s, v(t))\| \leq L^1 \|u - v\|, \quad L^1 > 0,$$

holds, then we can obtain the uniqueness of the mild solution in theorem 3.2.

Actually, from what we have just proved, (1.1) has a mild solution $u(t)$ and

$$u(t) = Q(t)u_0 + \int_0^t R(t-s) \left[\int_0^s f(s, \tau, u(\tau)) d\tau + K(u)(s) \right] ds$$

Let $v(t)$ be another mild solution of (1.1). Then

$$\|u(t) - v(t)\| \leq \int_0^t \|R(t-s)\| \left[\int_0^s \|f(s, \tau, u(\tau)) - f(s, \tau, v(\tau))\| d\tau \right. \\ \left. + \|K(u)(s) - K(v)(s)\| \right] ds$$

$$\leq qM \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} (L_1 a_T + L^1 T) \|u(s) - v(s)\| ds,$$

which implies by Gronwall's inequality that (1.1) has a mild solution $u(t)$.

References

- [1] M. M. El-Borai and A. Debbouche, "On some fractional integrodifferential equations with analytic semigroups", *International Journal of Contemporary Mathematical Sciences*, vol.4, no.25-28, 2009, pp.1361-1371.
- [2] H-S. Ding, J. Liang and T-J. Xiao, "Positive almost automorphic solutions for a class of non-linear integral equations", *Applicable Analysis*, vol.88, no.2, 2009, pp.231-244.
- [3] H-S. Ding, T-J. Xiao and J. Liang., "Existence of positive almost automorphic solutions for a class of nonlinear integral equations", *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory Methods & Applications*, vol.70, no.6, 2009, pp.2216-2231.
- [4] Fang Li and G.M.N'Guérékata, "Existence of uniqueness of mild solution for fractional integrodifferential equations", *Advances of Difference Equations*, vol.2010, 2010, Article ID 158789, 10 pages.
- [5] J. Liang, J.H. Liu and T-J.Xiao, "Nonlocal problems for integrodifferential equations", *Dynamics of Continuous, Discrete & Impulsive Systems. Series A*, vol.15, no.6, 2008, pp.815-824.
- [6] J. Liang, R. Nagel and T-J. Xiao, "Approximation theorems for the propagators of higher order abstract Cauchy problems", *Transactions of the American Mathematical society*, vol.360, no.4, 2008, pp. 1723-1739.
- [7] J. Liang and T-J. Xiao, "Semilinear integrodifferential equations with nonlocal initial conditions", *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol.74, no.67, 2004, pp.863-875.
- [8] T-J. Xiao and J. Liang, "The Cauchy Problem for Higher Order Abstract Differential Equations", *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol.1701, 1998, Springer, Berlin, Germany.
- [9] T-J. Xiao and J. Liang, "Approximations of Laplace transforms and integral semigroups", *Journal of Functional Analysis*, vol.172, 2000, pp.202-220.
- [10] T-J. Xiao and J. Liang, "Second order differential operators with Feller-Wentzell type boundary conditions", *Journal of Functional Analysis*, vol.254, 2008, pp.1467-1486.
- [11] T-J. Xiao and J. Liang, "Blow-up and global existence of solutions to integral equations with infinite delay in Banach spaces", *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol.71, no.12, 2009, pp.1442-1447.
- [12] T-J. Xiao, J. Liang and J. van Casteren, "Time dependent Desch-Schappacher type perturbations of Volterra integral equations", *Integral Equations and Operator Theory*, vol.44, no.4, 2002, pp.494 -506.
- [13] R.Hilfer, Ed., *Applications of Fractional Calculus in Physics*, World Scientific, River Edge, NJ, USA. 2000.
- [14] J. Henderson and A. Ouahab, "Fractional functional differential inclusions with finite delay", *Nonlinear Analysis : Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol.70, no.5, 2009, pp.2091-2105.
- [15] V. Lakshmikantham, "Theory of fractional functional differential equations", *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol.69, no.10, 2008, pp.3337-3343.
- [16] V. Lakshmikantham and A.S. Vatsala, "Basic theory of fractional differential equations", *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol.69, no.8, 2008, pp.2677-2682.
- [17] H. Liu and J-C. Chang, "Existence for a class of partial differential equations with nonlocal conditions", *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol.70, no.9, 2009, pp.3076-3083.
- [18] K.S. Miller and B. Ross, *An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential Equations*, A Wiley Interscience publication, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1993.
- [19] G.M. N'Guérékata, "A Cauchy problem for some fractional abstract differential equation with nonlocal conditions", *Nonlinear Analysis :Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol.70, no.5, 2009, pp.1873-1876.
- [20] G.M. Mophou and G.M. N'Guérékata, "Existence of the mild solution for some fractional differential equations with nonlocal conditions", *Semigroup Forum*, vol.79, no.2, 2009, pp. 315-322.
- [21] G.M. Mophou and G.M. N'Guérékata, "A note on a semi linear fractional differential equation of neutral type with infinite delay", *Advances in Difference Equations*, vol.2010, 2010, Article ID 674630, 8 pages.

- [22] X.-X. Zhu, "A Cauchy problem for abstract fractional differential equations with infinite delay", *Communications in Mathematical Analysis*, vol.6, no.1, 2009, pp.94-100.
- [23] M. M. El-Borai, "Some probability densities and fundamental solutions of fractional evolution equations", *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, vol.14, no.3, 2002, pp.433-440.
- [24] M. M. El-Borai, "On some stochastic fractional integrodifferential equations", *Advances in Dynamical Systems and Applications*, vol.1, no.1, 2006, pp.49-57.
- [25] J. Liang, J. van Casteren and T-J. Xiao, "Nonlocal Cauchy problems for semilinear evolution equations", *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol.50, no.2, 2002, pp.173-189.
- [26] J. Liang and T-J. Xiao, "Solvability of the Cauchy problems for infinite delay equations", *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol.58, no.3-4, 2004, pp.271-297.
- [27] A.Pazy, *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to partial Differential Equations*, vol.44 of *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, Springer, New York, USA.1983.
- [28] T-J. Xiao and J. Liang, Existence of classical solutions to non autonomous nonlocal parabolic problems, *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol.63, no.5-7, 2005, pp.225-232.