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Abstract: In this paper, it is shown that symmetry of a physical system is a transformation which may be applied to the state space without altering the system or its dynamical interaction in any way. The theory is applied to generalize the concept of symmetries and conservation laws with external to Hamiltonian systems with external forces. By this we obtain a generalized Noether’s Theorem which states that for Hamiltonian systems with external forces, a symmetry law generates a conservation law and vice versa.
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1. Introduction

Symmetries are among the most important properties of dynamical systems when they exist [1]. The study of symmetries is very important in the sense that they are equivalent to the existence of conservation laws. [2] has shown that in Hamiltonian system, symmetries are very close to the constants of the motion. Noether’s theorem has also advocated this concept. Also [3] applied symmetries and constants of motion and derived the reduced Hamiltonian system. Generally, symmetry of a physical system is a transformation which may be applied to the state space without altering the system or its dynamical interaction in any way. Consider for example motion of a particle in a central force field with potential $U(x)$ where $x$ is the position vector of the particle. This system is not affected by rotations and they are referred to as a symmetry. The existence of such symmetries gives insight into the structure of the system i.e. any solution of the system must reflect these symmetries. Thus it is useful to make use of any symmetry information available in obtaining solutions of the system i.e. constants of the motion (conservation laws) which are defined as mappings $I : TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $dl/dt = 0$. Think for example, the energy of the system. It is usually a mapping on the tangent bundle and it is usually constant of the motion. The connection between the symmetry of a system and its corresponding conservation law is summarized in Noether’s theorem which follows later. It is therefore intended to formulate and analyse Symmetries and Conservation Laws for Hamiltonian Systems which finally summarized by the generalized Noether’s theorem.

2. Formulation of the Concept of Symmetry

Let $M$ be the configuration manifold for a physical system. Let $L(q, \dot{q}, t)$ be the Lagrangian of the system i.e. $L : TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function on the tangent bundle $TM$ of the system. Let $h : M \rightarrow M$ be a smooth map on $M$ and $h_* : TM \rightarrow TM$ the corresponding bundle map. A Lagrangian $L$ is said to be invariant under the mapping $h$ if $L \circ h = L$ for any tangent vector $v \in TM$ i.e. $L(h_*v) = L(v)$ [1]. The extension of the symmetry of a physical system to dynamical systems yields the following: [4].

Definition 1

(a) A symmetry for time-invariant external dynamical system $\sum_{\varepsilon} \subset W^\varepsilon$ is a map $\psi : W \rightarrow W$ which leaves $\sum_{\varepsilon}$ invariant i.e. if $w(.) \in \sum_{\varepsilon}$ then also $\psi(w(.)) \in \sum_{\varepsilon}$, and if $w(.) \in \sum_{\varepsilon}$ then there exists $\tilde{w}(.) \in \sum_{\varepsilon}$ such that $\psi(\tilde{w}(.)) = w(.)$. In short
Let $\sum(X, W, B, f)$ be a smooth nonlinear system. An infinitesimal symmetry is given by a triple $(S, T, R)$ with $S$, $T$ and $R$ vectorfields on $X, W$ and $B$ respectively such that $(S_t, T_t, R_t)$ is a symmetry for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and small i.e. if $S_t, T_t, R_t$ are the one-parameter groups generated by $S, T$ and $R$ respectively, then the following diagram commutes. [4].

The consequence of the commutativity of the above diagram is that the one-parameter group $(S_t, T_t)$ acting on $X \times W$ takes a feasible state/external signal trajectory into a similar pair.

Since the objective of this paper is to relate symmetries when they exist to conservation laws, we shall next define a conservation law.

Definition 4

Let $\sum(X, W, B, f)$ be a smooth nonlinear system. An infinitesimal symmetry is given by a triple $(S, T, R)$ with $S$, $T$ and $R$ vectorfields on $X, W$ and $B$ respectively such that $S_t, T_t$ and $R_t$ are the one-parameter groups generated by $S, T$ and $R$ respectively, then the following diagram commutes. [4].

The interpretation of equation (1) is that the change of $F$ along a trajectory $x$ is a function of the external trajectory $w$ only.

We use the differential geometry to equation (1). Let $F : TX \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function. Define $F : TX \to \mathbb{R}$ by $F(v) = dF(v)$ for $v \in TX$ [4].
Let \( \sum (X, W, B, f) \) be a nonlinear dynamical system with \( f = (g, h) \) such that \( g : B \to TX \) and \( h : B \to W \). Let \( F : X \to \mathbb{R} \) and \( F_e : W \to \mathbb{R} \) be smooth functions. Then the pair \((F, F_e)\) is called a conservation law if \( F \circ g = F_e \circ h \) [4].

If \((x, u)\) are fibre respecting coordinates for \( B \), then
\[
F(x, u) = \sum_{\alpha} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_\alpha} |_{x \in \alpha} [5].
\]
Therefore \( F \circ g(x, u) = \sum_{\alpha} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_\alpha} g_\alpha(x, u) \).
But \( F \circ g(x, u) \) is the time derivative of \( F \) in \( x \) along a trajectory of the vectorfield \( g \). Equation (3) therefore yields
\[
d\frac{d}{dt}F(x, u) = F_e(h(x, u)) \text{. We note that } F_e \text{ is the Lie derivative } \xi_{\xi e} F \text{.}
\]
If the external influence to a system is absent then \( F_e(w(t)) = 0 \ \forall w \in \sum \). The conservation law amounts to
\[
F(x(t_1)) = F(x(t_2)) \ \forall t_2 \geq t_1 \text{ and } \frac{d}{dt}F = 0.
\]

Various laws of conservation are particular cases of Noether’s theorem. Noether’s theorem relates the symmetries of the configuration manifold of a Lagrangian system to conservation laws. The consequence of the existence of symmetries is the existence of symmetries of a first integral of the equations of motion. This is the content Noether’s theorem and we shall state it. For simplicity only the autonomous case shall be considered.

**Theorem 1:** (Noether’s theorem)

Let \((M, L)\) be a Lagrangian system and let \( h' : M \to M \), \( s \in \mathbb{R} \) be a one-parameter group of diffeomorphism. If the system \((M, L)\) admits symmetry under the mapping \( h' \), then the Lagrangian system of equations corresponding to the Lagrangian \( L \) has a first integral \( \int^t M W B f \). In local coordinates of \( M \), \( I \) is given by \( I(q, \dot{q}) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} \frac{\partial \dot{q}}{\partial q} \bigg|_{q_0} \) [4].

**Proof**

Let \( M = \mathbb{R}^n \) be the coordinate space. Denote the solution of the Lagrange’s equations by \( q = \Psi(t) \) where \( \Psi : \mathbb{R} \to M \). It is easy to see that since \( h' : M \to M \), it follows that the Lagrangian \( L \) is invariant under the mapping \( h' : TM \to TM \). Consequently, the mapping \( h' \circ \Psi : \mathbb{R} \to M \) which is just a translation of the solution of the Lagrange’s equations for any \( s \).

Now define the mapping \( \phi : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^n \) by \( q = \phi(s, t) = h' \left( \Psi(t) \right) \). By the hypothesis of invariance of \( L \) under the mapping \( h' \), we have
\[
0 = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} \left( \phi(s, t), \phi(s, t) \right) \bigg|_{q = \phi(s, t)} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial s} \bigg|_{q = \phi(s, t)}.
\]
The mapping \( \phi_{\text{const}} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^n \) for fixed \( s \) satisfies

Lagrange’s equations
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[ \frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi} \left( \phi(s, t), \phi(s, t) \right) \right] = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi} \left( \phi(s, t), \phi(s, t) \right).
\]

Define \( F(s, t) = \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi} \left( \phi(s, t), \phi(s, t) \right) \right) \) and substitute
\[
\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \text{ for } \frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi} \text{ in (2) to get}
\]
\[
0 = \left( \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi} \right) \frac{d}{ds} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi} \left( \frac{d}{ds} \frac{d}{dt} \right)
\]
\[
= \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi} \frac{d}{ds} \right)
\]
\[
= \frac{d}{dt} L.
\]


In this section we specialize the concept of symmetries to Hamiltonian systems. In this case it becomes stronger for the reason that we shall want it to preserve the symplectic structure. Define a symmetry for a Hamiltonian system as follows:

**Definition 6**

Let \( \sum (M, W, B, f) \) be a full Hamiltonian system. An internal symmetry \( (\phi, \psi, \phi) \) is called Hamiltonian if \( \phi \) and \( \psi \) are symplectomorphism i.e.

(i) \( \phi' \omega = \omega \)

(ii) \( \psi' \omega' = \omega' \)

with \( \phi' \) and \( \psi' \) the pullbacks of \( \omega \) and \( \omega' \) by \( \phi \) and \( \psi \) respectively. [7] has pointed out that for minimal systems we don’t have to assume a priori that \( \phi : M \to M \) is a symplectomorphism. \( \phi \) is implied by the external symmetry \( \psi \) as shown by the following proposition.

**Proposition 1**

Let \( \sum (M, W, B, f) \) be a full Hamiltonian and minimal system. Let \( (\phi, \psi, \phi) \) be an internal symmetry and \( \psi \) a symplectomorphism. Then \( \phi \) is necessarily also a symplectomorphism [6].

**Proof**

Let \( f = (g, h) \). Because \( (\phi, \psi, \phi) \) is a symmetry, \( f(B) \) is mapped by \( \psi \) and \( \phi \), onto \( f(B) \) where \( \phi \) is the derivative map of \( \phi \) . Therefore \( \sum (M, W, B, f) \) with \( \tilde{f} = (\phi \circ g, \psi \circ h) \) is again a Hamiltonian system. Hence
where we have used $\psi \alpha = \alpha \phi$. This yields $\dot{\alpha} = 0$ with $\ddot{\alpha} = -\phi \dot{\alpha}$. [4] has derived that $\sum_s$ satisfies the minimality rank condition, then $\ddot{\alpha} = 0$ and $\phi \dot{\alpha} = \alpha$.

We shall now consider the case of the infinitesimal symmetries for Hamiltonian systems.

A vectorfield $S$ on a symplectic manifold $(M, \omega)$ is called a symmetry for Hamiltonian vectorfield $X_\mu$ on $M$ if [6].

(i) The Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_S \omega = 0$,
(ii) $S(H) = 0$ where $H$ is the Hamiltonian function.

From (i) it follows that $S$ has locally a corresponding Hamiltonian function $F : M \to \mathbb{R}$ and so (ii) implies that $X_\mu(F) = 0$ and therefore $F$ is a conserved quantity for $X_\mu$. Conversely for $F : M \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $X_\mu(F) = 0$ it follows that $S = X_\mu$ satisfies (i) and (ii) and so $S$ is a Hamiltonian symmetry.

The generalization of the above to the Hamiltonian system yields the following definition:

Definition 7

Let $\sum(M, W, B, f)$ be a full Hamiltonian system. An infinitesimal symmetry $(R, S, T)$ for $\sum$ is called Hamiltonian if $S$ and $T$ are locally Hamiltonian vectorfields i.e. $\mathcal{L}_S \omega = 0$ and $\mathcal{L}_T \omega = 0$ [9].

A conservation law for a Hamiltonian system can be constructed in the following way:

Consider a Hamiltonian system with an input $u$. For every $u$ we get a Hamiltonian vectorfield on $M$ denoted by $X^u$. If $(R, S, T)$ is a Hamiltonian symmetry for $\sum(M, W, B, f)$ then there exists functions $F : M \to \mathbb{R}$ and $F^r : M \to \mathbb{R}$ with $S = X_F$ and $T = X_{F^r}$ such that $\forall x \in M$ and $(x, u) \in B$, $\chi^u(F)(x) = F^r(h(x, u))$ where $(g, h) = f : B \to TM \times W$ [9] We note that $S = X_F$ and $T = X_{F^r}$ implies that $F$ and $F^r$ are Hamiltonian functions.

The pair $(F, F^r)$ is the conservation law for the Hamiltonian system $\sum(M, W, B, f)$.

The interpretation of the above construction is that the change of $F$ along the trajectories of the system is a function of the external variables. Knowledge of the external variables together with the initial conditions can determine the behaviour of $F$ as a function of time.

We conclude with the generalized Noether’s theorem.

Theorem 2: (Generalized Noether’s theorem)

Let $(R, S, T)$ be an infinitesimal symmetry for a full Hamiltonian system $\sum(M, W, B, f)$. Then locally there exists a conservation law $(F, F^r)$. Conversely if $(F, F^r)$ is a conservation law, then there exists a Hamiltonian symmetry $(R, S, T)$ such that $S = X_F$ and $T = X_{F^r}$ [4].

The following proposition will be needed for the proof of Noether’s theorem.

Proposition 2

Let $\sum(M, W, B, f)$ be a nonlinear dynamical system with $f = (g, h)$. Then $(R, S, T)$ is an infinitesimal symmetry iff

i. $g \circ R = \bar{S}$

ii. $h \circ T = T$

$g$ and $h$ are derivative maps of $g$ and $h$ respectively [10].

Proof

We note that $(R, S, T)$ is an infinitesimal symmetry iff diagram (1) commutes for every $(R, S, T, t)$ with $t$ small. This is equivalent to

(a) $S \circ g = g \circ R$,
(b) $T \circ h = h \circ R$.

Differenting (a) and (b) with respect to $t$ at $t = 0$ we get (i) and (ii).

Now we proceed with the Generalized Noether’s theorem. For a Hamiltonian system $\sum(M, W, B, f)$ we have $g^* \alpha = h^* \phi$ (By proposition 1)

$\Rightarrow g^* \dot{\alpha}(R, -) = h^* \phi(R, -)$,

$\Leftrightarrow \dot{\alpha}(g \circ R, g, -) = \phi(h \circ R, h, -)$, (By proposition 2)

$\Rightarrow d\dot{F}(g, -) = dF^r(h, -),$

$\Leftrightarrow d\dot{F} \circ g = d(F^r \circ h)$.

We have used the fact that $\dot{\alpha}(\bar{S}, -) = dF$ when $\alpha(S, -) = dF$ [4]. We have thus obtained

$\dot{F} \circ g = F^r \circ h$.

Therefore $(F, F^r)$ is a conservation law. (c.f. definition 4)

Conversely:

Let $(F, F^r)$ be a conservation law. This is equivalent to $\dot{F} - F^r$ restricted to $f(B)$ equal to zero. For $(x, \dot{x}) \in TM$ and $w \in W$ we set $(\dot{F} - F^r)(x, \dot{x}, w) = \dot{F}(x, \dot{x}) - F^r(w)$ such that $\dot{F} - F^r : TM \times W \to \mathbb{R}$. $\dot{F} - F^r$ is therefore a Hamiltonian function. Hence we can define the Hamiltonian vectorfield $X_{\dot{F} - F^r}$ on the symplectic manifold $(TM \times W, \pi_1^* \omega - \pi_2^* \omega)$, with $X_F$ the Hamiltonian vectorfield on $TM$ and $X_{F^r}$ the Hamiltonian vectorfield on $TM \times W$. 
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We have
\[ X_{F,F'} = \left( X_F, X_{F'} \right) \]. Because \( F - F' \) restricted to \( f(B) \) is zero, it follows that
\[ \pi^*_i \omega - \pi^*_i \theta \left( X_F, X_{F'} \right) = X_\xi \left( F - F' \right) = 0 \] on \( f(B) \) for all Hamiltonian vectorfield \( X_\xi \) tangent to \( f(B) \).

\[ X_{F-F'} = \left( X_F, X_{F'} \right) \] is also tangent to \( f(B) \) since \( f(B) \) is Lagrangian. If we denote \( X_F \) by \( S \) and \( X_{F'} \) by \( T \) then we say \( \left( S, T \right) \) is tangent to \( f(B) \) and for \( t \) small we obtain
\[
\left( S_t, T_t \right) f(B) = f(B)
\]

We construct a Hamiltonian symmetry \( (R, S, T) \) by defining a 1-parameter family \( \phi : B \rightarrow B \) such that
\[
\left( S_t, T_t \right) \circ f = f \circ \phi_t
\]
for \( t \) small and a vectorfield \( R \) on \( B \) by
\[
R(x) = \left. \frac{d\phi}{dt} \right|_{t=0} (x).
\]

4. Conclusion

The concept of symmetry for Hamiltonian systems has been formulated and analysed. It was shown that symmetry of a physical system is a transformation which may be applied to the state space without altering the system or its dynamical interaction in any way. Symmetries and conservation laws with external to Hamiltonian systems with external forces has been analysed. The conservation law for a Hamiltonian system was constructed and which was concluded by generalized Noether’s theorem.
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