Oscillation Conditions for a Type of Second Order Neutral Differential Equations with Impulses
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Abstract: In this paper, we study a certain type of second order linear neutral differential equation with constant impulsive jumps. This type of equation is known always to possess an unbounded non-oscillatory solution. The method and technique of impulse imposition used here is due to studies by Bainov and Simeonov [1]. By assuming, amongst other conditions, that the constant coefficient of the equation in question lies between zero and one and the delay function is non-decreasing, it is shown that all bounded solutions of the said neutral impulsive equation are oscillatory.
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1. Introduction

In this study, we consider a type of second order linear neutral differential equation of the form

\[
\begin{align*}
\left[ y(t) - p y(t - \tau) \right]'' &= q(t) y(g(t)), \\
\Delta y(t_k) &= q_k y(g(t_k)),
\end{align*}
\]

(1)

with constant impulsive jumps, where \( \tau > 0 \), \( 0 \leq s_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_k < \cdots \) with \( \lim_{k \to \infty} t_k = +\infty \), \( \Delta y^{(i)}(t_k) = y^{(i)}(t_k^+) - y^{(i)}(t_k^-) \), \( i = 0, 1 \), \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), and \( y(t_k^-) \), \( y(t_k^+) \) represent the left and right limits of \( y(t) \) at \( t = t_k \), respectively. Our aim here is to establish some criteria that guarantee the oscillation of all its bounded solutions.

The development of the theory of impulsive differential equations is one of the recent trends in the history of qualitative theory of differential equations [14-28]. There are many monographs related to this subject [1, 29-31], etc. In this direction, credit must be given to Professor Drumi Bainov, Lakshmikantham and Pavel Simeonov, to mention just a few, for their contributions in the development of the oscillatory and non-oscillatory properties for various classes of impulsive differential equations with delay and with advanced arguments.

Furthermore, the theory of oscillations of neutral impulsive differential equations is gradually occupying a central place among the theories of oscillations of impulsive differential equations. This could be due to the fact that neutral impulsive differential equations play fundamental roles in the present drive to further develop information technology. Indeed, neutral differential equations appear in networks containing lossless transmission lines (as in high-speed computers where the lossless transmission lines are used to interconnect switching circuits) [8]. They also appear in problems dealing with vibrating masses attached to an elastic as well as the Euler equation in some vibrational problems [2, 3, 5-7]. Very recently, the unending list of results on oscillation and non-oscillation of solutions of first order neutral delay impulsive differential equations has increased [8, 9, 11-13].

However, we notice that oscillation theory of second order neutral differential equations with impulses is still at its infancy. The dearth of results in this area is seen in the following articles [32-35, 37-38]. Cheng and Chu [32] established some necessary and sufficient conditions for the oscillation of a second-order linear neutral impulsive differential equation with advanced arguments and constant coefficients of the form...
and let $\delta$, $\sigma$ be constants, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Tripathy and Santra [35] obtained sufficient conditions for oscillation of all solutions of a class of nonlinear neutral impulsive differential equations of second order with deviating argument and variable coefficients of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\left[ r(t)(x(t) + p(t)x(t-\tau)^{\prime}) + q(t)G(x(t-\sigma)) \right]^{\prime} + q(t)G(x(t-\sigma)) &= 0, \\
\Delta \left[ (x(t_k) + p(t_k)x(t_k-\tau)) \right]^{\prime} + q_k G(x(t_k-\sigma)) &= 0,
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tau, \sigma \in (0, +\infty)$, $p_k, r_k$, and $q_k$ are constants $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Their result became a generalization of that obtained by Bainov and Dimitrova [36]. Bonotto et al. [33] investigated the oscillation of solutions of a certain type of second-order neutral delay differential systems

$$
\begin{align*}
\left( r(t)(x(t) + p(t)x(t-\tau)^{\prime}) + f(t, y(t), x(t-\delta)) \right)^{\prime} = 0, \\
x(t_k) = I_k(x(t_k)), \quad x(t_k) = J_k(x(t_k)), k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots
\end{align*}
$$

where $p(t) \in PC_{1,2}([t_0, +\infty), R)$, $r(t)$ is a positive continuous function defined on $[t_0, +\infty)$, $\delta, \tau \in (0, +\infty)$, $\sigma = \max\{\delta, \tau\}$ and $\phi, \varphi : [t_0 - \sigma, t_0] \rightarrow R$. They established adequate impulse controls under which the system remained oscillatory after undergoing controlled abrupt perturbations (called impulses). Abasiekwere and Moffat [37] examined the oscillations of a class of second order linear neutral impulsive ordinary differential equations with variable coefficients and constant retarded arguments and obtained sufficient conditions ensuring the oscillation of all solutions. Again, Abasiekwere, et al. [38] closely considered a certain type of second order delay differential equations with constant impulsive jumps and obtained sufficient conditions for the oscillation of all its bounded solutions.

Here, in this paper, we are concerned with oscillations of all bounded solutions of a type of second order linear neutral differential equation with constant impulsive jumps. The theory of differential equations in general is based on the behavior of processes under the influence of short-time perturbations. The duration of these perturbations are extremely small and can be ignored compared to the total duration of the process itself. Therefore, they are regarded as ‘momentary’, that is, the perturbations are of impulsive type.

In ordinary differential equations, the solutions are continuously differentiable sometimes at least once, whereas the impulsive differential equations generally possess non-continuous solutions. Since the continuity properties of the solutions play an important role in the analysis of the behaviour, the techniques used to handle the solutions of impulsive differential equations are fundamentally different including the definitions of some of the basic terms. In this section, we examine some of these changes [11].

In effect, the solution $y(t)$ for $t \in [t_0, T)$ of a given impulsive differential equation or its first derivative $y^{(1)}(t)$ is a piece-wise continuous function with points of discontinuity $t_k \in [t_0, T)$, $t_k \neq t$, $0 \leq k < \infty$. Consequently, in order to simplify the statements of the assertions later, we introduce the set of functions $PC$ and $PC^p$ which are defined as follows:

Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $D := [T, \infty) \subset R$ and let $S := [t_k]_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be fixed. Except stated otherwise, we will assume that the elements of $S$ are moments of impulsive effects and satisfy the property:

$$C1.1: \ 0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_k = \infty.$$

We denote by $PC(D, R)$ the set of all values $\varphi : D \rightarrow R$ which is continuous for all $t \in D$, $t \not\in S$. They are continuous from the left and have discontinuity of the first kind at the points $t \in S$. By $PC^p(D, R)$, we denote the set of functions $\varphi : D \rightarrow R$ having derivative $\frac{d^j \varphi}{dt^j} \in PC(D, R)$, $0 \leq j \leq r$ [1, 4]. To specify the points of discontinuity of functions belonging to $PC$ and $PC^p$, we shall sometimes use the symbols $PC(D, R, S)$ and $PC^p(D, R, S)$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$ [8, 11, 12].

Without further mentioning, we will assume throughout this paper that every solution $y(t)$ of equation (1) that is under consideration here, is continuous to the right and is nontrivial. That is, $y(t)$ is defined on some half-line $[T, \infty)$ and sup $\{\|y(t)\| : t \geq T\} > 0$ for all $T \geq T_T$. This solution is said to be a regular solution and we assume that our neutral impulsive differential equation possesses this type of solution.

Let $(P)$ be some property of the solution $y(t)$ of an impulsive differential equation, which can be fulfilled for some $t \in R$. Hereafter, we shall say that the function $y(t)$ enjoys the property $(P)$ finally, if there exists $T \in R$ such that $y(t)$ enjoys the property $(P)$ for all $t \geq T$ [1].

Definition 1.1 The solution $y(t)$ of an impulsive differential equation is said to be

i) finally positive (finally negative) if there exist $T \geq 0$ such that $y(t)$ is defined and is strictly positive (negative) for $t \geq T$ [9];

ii) non-oscillatory, if it is either finally positive or finally negative;

iii) oscillatory, if it is neither finally positive nor finally negative [1, 8].

Remark 1.1: All functional inequalities considered in this paper are assumed to hold finally, that is, they are satisfied for all $t$ large enough.

Remark 1.2: Without loss of generality we will deal only with the positive solutions of equation (1).

2. Statement of the Problem

At this point, may we recall that the problem under
consideration is the second order linear neutral impulsive differential equation of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[ y(t) - p y(t-\tau) \right]'' &= q(t)y(g(t)), \\
& \quad t \geq t_0, \quad t \notin S,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\Delta \left[ y(t_k) - p y(t_k-\tau) \right] = q_k y(g(t_k)), \quad t_k \geq t_0, \quad \forall \ t_k \in S,$$

where \( p \in (0, 1), \quad q_k \geq 0, \quad q(t) \in PC([t_0, \infty), R_+), \quad g(t) \in C([t_0, \infty), R), \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} g(t) = \infty, \quad \tau > 0. \) The second order neutral delay differential equation (2) is a system consisting of a differential equation together with an impulsive condition in which the second order derivative of the unknown function appears in the equation both with and without delay. This type of equation (2) is known to always admit an unbounded non-oscillatory solution. It is worth mentioning here that our aim is not to find the unknown function or solution \( y(t), \) but to determine its nature and behavior in oscillatory sense. We, therefore, seek to establish conditions for which all bounded solutions of equation (2) are oscillatory.

**3. Main Results**

The following theorem is an extension of Theorem 4.6.1 found on page 255 as identified in the monograph by Erbe et al. [10]. The technique and method used here is due to studies by Bainov and Simeonov [1].

**Theorem 3.1:** Assume that

i) \( 0 < p < 1, \ \ \tau > 0 \) are constants, \( q_k \geq 0; \)

ii) \( g(t) \leq t \) and \( g(t) \) is non-decreasing for \( t \geq t_0; \)

iii) \( \lim_{t \to \infty} \sup \left[ \int_{g(t)}^t (s - g(t))q(s)ds + \sum_{g(t)<s} (t_k - g(t))q_k \right] > 1. \)

Then every bounded solution \( y(t) \) of equation (2) is oscillatory.

**Proof:** Assume by contradiction that \( y(t) \) is a finally positive bounded solution of equation (2). Define

$$z(t) = y(t) - p y(t-\tau).$$

We have \( z'(t) > 0 \) for \( t \geq T \geq t_0, \) \( \Delta z'(t_k) > 0 \) for \( k : t_k \geq T \geq t_0. \) If \( z'(t), \Delta z'(t_k) > 0 \) for \( t \geq T' > T \) and \( k : t_k \geq T' > T, \) then \( \lim_{t \to \infty} z(t) = \infty, \) which contradicts the boundedness of \( y(t). \) Therefore, \( z'(t), \Delta z'(t_k) \leq 0 \) for \( t \geq T \) and \( k : t_k \geq T. \)

Here, we observe that there exists two possibilities for \( z(t): \)

i) \( z(t) > 0 \) for \( t \geq T; \)

ii) \( z(t) < 0 \) for \( t \geq T', \) \( T' > T. \)

In case (a), we integrate equation (2) from \( s \) to \( t \) and obtain

$$z'(t) - z'(s) = \int_s^t q(s)y(g(u))du + \sum_{s < t_k \leq t} q_k y(g(t_k)).$$

Again, integrating equation (5) in \( s \) from \( g(t) \) to \( t, \) we obtain

$$z'(t) - z'(g(t)) - z(t) - z(g(t)) = \int_{g(t)}^t \int_{g(t)}^s q(u)y(g(u))duds + \sum_{g(t)<s} \sum_{s < t_k \leq t} q_k y(g(t_k)) \leq 0.$$

Hence for \( t \geq T, \)

$$z(t) + z(g(t)) \left[ \int_{g(t)}^t (s - g(t))q(s)ds + \sum_{g(t)<s} (t_k - g(t))q_k \right] \leq 0,$$

which contradicts the positivity of \( z(t) \) and condition (3).

In case (b), we have that

$$y(t) < p y(t-\tau) < p^2 y(t-2\tau) < \cdots < p^n y(t-n\tau)$$

for \( t \geq T_2 + n\tau, \) which implies that \( \lim_{t \to \infty} y(t) = 0. \)

Consequently \( \lim_{t \to \infty} z(t) = 0. \) This is a contradiction and therefore completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

**Remark 3.1:** It can be shown that Theorem 3.1 is also true when the coefficient \( p = 0. \)

**4. Conclusion**

In this paper, we are mainly concerned with oscillating systems which remain oscillating after being perturbed by instantaneous changes of state or impulsive constant jumps. We considered a certain type of second-order neutral delay differential system and provided sufficient conditions governing the impulse operators acting on the system so that its bounded solutions are oscillatory. Here, we are able to demonstrate how well-known mathematical techniques and methods, after suitable modification, is extended in proving an oscillatory theorem for a class of second order neutral impulsive differential equations (1).
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