The Role of Self-Esteem and Length of Stay on the Streets in the Development of Risky Behaviors among Adolescents
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Abstract: This work aims to show how self-esteem and length of stay on the streets of adolescents of the streets affect the extent to which these adolescents behave at risk. Data were collected from 83 adolescents using a questionnaire and were analyzed using a step by step regression analysis. The results show that both self-esteem and length of stay on the streets predict risky behaviors. Specifically, high self-esteem primarily predicts low tendency to behave at risk. These results indicate that cognitive and contextual aspects play a fundamental role in juvenile social marginality. And the knowledge of these seems an interesting lead for the conception of curative as well as preventive strategies for the complex field of risky behaviors.
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1. Introduction

According to Marion [1], children from the age of five (5) are brought to leave the family place of residence for more or less long periods (zero month to one year and more) and to live in the street. In addition, in the face of the intensification of their needs, these children adopt risky behaviors (e.g drug use, sexual and economic exploitation).

With regard to this situation, search for solutions is imperative. In this perspective, works have been conducted on risky behaviors among teenagers in touch with the socio-economic and demographic situation [2], person-environment interaction [3], the membership group [4], the type of family education [5] [6].

But in spite of these efforts to find solutions, and some positive results, information is still lacking on the role of psychological causes such as the self-esteem in the development of criminal activities among street teenagers. The same is true of the role of the length of life in the street in the occurrence of risky behaviors. The present work focuses on three aspects; first, the theoretical analysis of concepts, synthesis of works and research hypotheses. Next, the methodological aspect of the study and, finally, the third part is dedicated to the results. This paper ends by the presentation of the striking facts of the study.

2. Problem

Teenagers’ social marginality and their homelessness has become a current issue. In this regard Bellavance et al [7] observe that most part of the mortality and morbidity during the second decade of human existence is directly related to behavioral factors. It shows that the adolescence constitutes the most sensitive period of the life where the individual is the most tried by the risk-taking. It could explain the propensity of these teenagers to adopt behaviors which represent not only danger for them but also for the community. According to Lips [8], these adolescents smoke, are at risk of committing suicide, consume products and drinks alcohol. They are the most frequently infected with HIV and usually die of road accidents. [9].

Besides for certain teenagers who broke any link with their parents or family for diverse reasons, the street is the unique place of residence. That said, the street becomes a “forced choice” for this young people, who wish to find a place where they can feel socially existent [10]. They are thus faced with risks from the environment that may lead them to put themselves in danger (risky of delinquency, prostitution, physical risks) [11].

For the purposes of this study, a “street child” is identified as one who has the street as a permanent way of life, who is
at odds with the family, and is under-18 years of age. This is different from a child in the street who is temporarily on the streets, who practices small street “jobs” and who is still in contact with family [12].

The key question that arises is: what are then the psychological and contextual factors that may induce marginality risky behaviors among adolescents of the street? Specifically, what is the role of both self-esteem and length of stay in the street in the occurrence of risky behavior, that is in the process that leads adolescents on the streets to acting out?

According to Fischoff & al. [13], risk can be defined as a potential threat more or less predictable for life and health. It can be likened to the probability of occurrence of a threat. From this perspective, Leplat [14] adopting a framework used by Otémé [8] of setting risk compared to threat, characterizes risk as the probability that a threat is updated. In other words it actually causes damage in specific conditions. “Damages”, in the framework of this work, are marginality and adolescents’ delinquency [15].

Studies have been devoted to risky behaviors in several areas following themes as diverse and varied: socioeconomic characteristics and sexual risky behaviors [16]; ethnic group, and practical knowledge of contraceptive methods, precociousness of the first sexual intercourse and early motherhood risky behaviors [17]; social representation, parental educational practices and risky behavior among adolescents [18].

Coming back to the link between self-esteem and risky behaviors, note that self-esteem refers to judgment or the characteristics of the individual especially in risk taking, as confirmed by many studies. However, few studies have been devoted to risky behaviors among adolescents of the street in our context in terms of self-esteem and the duration in the street. Our research aims to highlight the role of Self-Esteem and Length of Stay on the streets in the development of social marginality risky behaviors among adolescents of the street. From this, derives two hypotheses of work:

Hypothesis 1: “adolescents of the street” who have high self-esteem are more likely to engage in social marginality risky behaviors compared to their counterparts whose level of self-esteem is low.

Hypothesis 2: the more the length of stay on the street of the teenager is high, the more he develops social marginality risky behaviors compared to his counterparts whose life on the street is short.

3. Methodological Approach

3.1. Description of Variables

This research has two independent variables:
1- Self-esteem (of quantitative nature, based on the score of self-esteem). 2- Length of stay on the streets (of category-specific nature).

The dependent variable (of quantitative nature) is the risky behaviors of social marginality of the teenagers of the street. Details are given in the section data analysis method (preliminary analyses).

3.2. Participants, Material and Survey Method

Participants were 83 male street teenagers (aged 15 to 19 years old, M=17.5) who were randomly selected from a population of 216 children that are enrolled at “Centre Espoir BICE” (BICE Center of Hope) and “Case de l’Enfant de Children of Africa”) (“The Child’s Cabin of Children of Africa), two NGOs that provide shelter, food, and education to children on the streets in need of help. They were all residents of the District of Abidjan, the capital city of Côte d’Ivoire, a West-African francophone country).

Material.

Participants completed a questionnaire which comprised 3 sections. The first section included sociodemographic questions that serves to construct the status of the teenager as child on the streets. The questions were about the duration of time spent on the streets, place of residence, how they manage to feed themselves, the current state of contacts with their families (e.g., whether they see parents, brothers and sisters, or relatives, etc.), how they make money for living, their educational level.

The second section of the questionnaire assessed participants’ self-esteem. Rosenberg’s self-esteem scale was
used. This consisted of 10 statements ranked on a scale of 1 to 4 (1 = absolutely in disagreement, never and 4 = absolutely in agreement). The last section assessed participants’ involvement in risky behaviors. This part of the questionnaire comprised 7 questions (e.g., “Do you drink only soft beverages, or alcoholic drinks sometimes?” “Do you sometimes sniff glue when you are hungry, but have no food”? “Do you share with friends stolen things? “Do you fight with friends when you feel provoked”?).

3.3. Data Analysis Method

Preliminary Analyses
Self-esteem scores were calculated according to the criteria provided by Rosenberg [23]. The scores ranged between 8 and 40, lower scores corresponding to low self-esteem, and higher scores to high self-esteem (M = 20.82; SD = 11.50).

Duration of stay on the streets was expressed in months. This varied between 0 to 6 months. Three levels of stay duration were determined based on the number of months declared: 0--2 months corresponded to low duration, 3--4 months to moderate duration and 5--6 months to long duration.

Finally, to determine participants’ level of involvement in risky behaviors, a Yes answer was scored 2 and a No answer was scored 1. Thus, the total score that could be obtained varied between 7 and 14, with lower score meaning low involvement and higher scores high involvement in risky behaviors.

Regression Analysis
Next, a step by step multiple regression analysis was performed with self-esteem, length of stay on the streets as the predictors, and involvement in risky behavior as the criterion variable. Because it comprised 3 levels length of stay was subjected to dummy coding bringing the predictors to 4.

4. Presentation, Analysis and Interpretation of the Results

4.1. Self-Esteem and Length of Stay on the Streets

As can be seen on Table 1, there is a positive and significant correlation between length of stay on the streets and involvement in risky behaviors, r(83) = .58, p < .0001, but a negative and significant correlation between self-esteem and involvement in risky behaviors, r(83)= -.79, p <.0001. In other words, the longer the “adolescents of the street” stay on the streets, the more likely they are in getting involved in risky behaviors. In contrast, higher self-esteem seems to prevent from involving oneself in risky behaviors.

Table 1. Correlations among self-esteem, length of stay.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Risky behaviors</th>
<th>Length of stay on the streets</th>
<th>Self esteem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pearson’s correlation</td>
<td>Risky behaviors</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Length of stay on the streets</td>
<td>.579</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Self-esteem</td>
<td>-.791</td>
<td>-425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signification (unilateral)</td>
<td>Risky behaviors</td>
<td>-.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Length of stay on the streets</td>
<td>-.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Self esteem</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Risky behaviors</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Length of stay on the streets</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Self esteem</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2. Effects of Self-Esteem, Length of Stay on the Streets on Risky Behavior

Table 2. Regression of Involvement in Risky Behaviors on Self-Esteem, Length of Stay on the Streets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>SE B</th>
<th>Beta</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 (constant)</td>
<td>15,218</td>
<td>.416</td>
<td>-791</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-Esteem</td>
<td>-204</td>
<td>.018</td>
<td>-688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (constant)</td>
<td>15,219</td>
<td>.380</td>
<td>-688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-Esteem</td>
<td>-177</td>
<td>.017</td>
<td>-688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Length of Stay</td>
<td>-1,766</td>
<td>.424</td>
<td>-278</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As can be seen on Table 2, the regression of involvement in risky behaviors on Self-esteem, Length of Stay on the Streets yielded two models. The first comprises self-esteem only, and was significant, R² = - .63, F (1, 81) =135, p < .0001. The second model includes both self-esteem and length of stay on the streets, R² = - .69, F (2, 80) = 89.78, p < .001. However, self-esteem is the best predictor of involvement in risky behaviors accounting for 63% of the variance. In effect, adding short length of stay in the regression only brings a small change, the R² raises to .69, suggesting that short length of stay accounts for 6% of the variance. As a result, short length of stay was excluded leaving a single model with self-esteem as the main predictor. In sum, these results suggest that high self-esteem adolescents are less likely to get involved in risky behaviors.

5. Discussion

The objective of this study was to examine the extent to which self-esteem and the duration of stay on the streets affect the involvement of adolescents living in the streets in risky behaviors. The results partially support the hypotheses. One, a positive between duration of the stay on the streets and involvement in risky behaviors. At first glance, this hypothesis was confirmed, a positive and significant correlation was obtained between the two variables. Second,
a positive relation was predicted between self-esteem and involvement in risky behaviors, such that higher self-esteem would be related to higher involvement in risky behaviors. The findings contradicted this prediction. Self-esteem was negatively related to involvement in risky behaviors.

Further, when involvement in risky behaviors was regressed on self-esteem and duration of stay on the streets, with a dummy coding duration, the results showed a different pattern. Only self-esteem accounted for the street adolescents’ involvement in risky behaviors. Duration of stay on the streets, especially short duration stay, very weakly accounted for this behavior. Clearly, higher self-esteem prevents adolescents from a getting involved in risky behaviors.

These present results contradict the previous findings. In a study on risky driving behaviors, Otémé [9] highlights the effect of self-esteem on risky driving behaviors (e.g., exceeding the speed limit, bad overtaking) finding that these increase with higher self-esteem: Similarly Baumeister, Smart and Boden [19], highlight self-esteem as a factor which affects risk taking among adolescents: those with high self-esteem engage in most aggression and violence in response to threats or an attack on the "ego". Crocker et al. [24] note, moreover, that the self-positive evaluations are linked to a certain number of behaviors that can be seen as defensive and potentially poorly adapted such as prejudice, aggressive, violent behaviors (Baumeister & al. [25]). These studies are inconsistent with our findings: highly positive self-evaluations are more likely to prevent subjects from risky behaviors (e.g., fighting, alcohol and drug abuse).

The present results also show a positive and significant relationship of between the duration of the stay on the streets on risky behaviors. This seems to support the work of Kouadja [21] on juvenile delinquency among street children, which showed that the longer the child's stay in the street the higher the involvement in delinquent acts: theft, alcohol, drug abuse, etc.… However, a closer look at the present results suggests, contrary to Kouadja’s, that the relationship between duration of stay on the streets and involvement in risky behaviors is rather trivial.

6. Conclusion

This study allowed us to see the extent to which both self-esteem and the duration of the stay on the streets affect the involvement of street adolescents in risky behaviors. The findings were inconsistent with what previous studies that investigated similar variables lead to expect. It was observed that only self-esteem, but not duration of stay on the streets can account for the likelihood that the street adolescents would involve themselves in risky behaviors. The present finding thus raises some questions as to whether self-esteem can both facilitate and prevent risky, or marginal behaviors. A first answer can be derived from how the relation between self-esteem and the relevant behaviors has been assessed. Possibly, the contradiction between the present findings and previous ones (e.g., Otémé [9], Kouadja [21]…) may be due to the fact that some authors only relied on correlations or contingency tables (khi-squares), but not on regression analyses. Another answer may be that self-esteem may not be universally related to specific kinds of behaviors, be they positive or negative, desirable or undesirable.

Nevertheless, a lesson that can be learned from the present study is that strategies and policies intended to reduce street children’s involvement in risky behaviors should take into account the self-esteem of these children.
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