Morphological and functional positive effect with the association of hyperbaric oxygen therapy and corticoid against acute acoustic trauma
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Abstract: Studies describe the use of corticosteroids as the best alternative to treat acoustic trauma, but recent studies point to their combination with hyperbaric oxygen therapy (HBOT) as the major benefit in lesions by noise. This study aimed to evaluate the association between HBOT and corticoid therapy (CT). Female guinea pigs were exposed to a white noise on 4 kHz at 110 dB SPL during 72 hours and divided into three treatment groups: HBOT, CT and the combination of both therapies (HBOTC). The treatment lasted five days, being a therapy session per day. All animals were exposed to Distortion Product Otoacoustic Emissions and Brainstem Auditory Evoked Potentials in three moments: before and after exposure to the noise and after the treatment. All cochleae were examined by scanning electron microscopy. Although not statistically significant, the anatomical and functional findings concluded that the association HBOTC played a better otoprotective and therapeutic effect compared to those same therapies alone.
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1. Introduction

The hearing organ exposure to acoustic superstimulation by an intense impulse sound, may cause a sudden sensorineural hearing loss and occur due to altered cochlear oxygenation, decreased cochlear blood flow, damage to cell membranes, metabolic effects of free radicals, altered neurotransmission and apoptosis [1-6].

For many years, several treatments of acoustic trauma have been used to reduce inflammation, to enhance cochlear oxygenation and to remove the metabolites that occur during intense function of the outer hair cells (OHCs) [7,8].

According to studies performed in the last decade, the treatment most often used in acoustic trauma with greatest therapeutic benefits is the combination of hyperbaric oxygen therapy (HBOT) with corticoid therapy (CT) [2,7,8]. The effectiveness of this combination therapy has been demonstrated in hearing losses of mild and moderate severity [2,7,8].

Fakhry et al. [8] proposed that the hearing improvement obtained with the combination of HBOT with CT for acoustic trauma appeared not to have been proportional to the induced hearing loss but rather to have exerted a constant and limited effect when compared to previous studies conducted by d’Aldin et al. [2] and Lamm and Arnold [7]. They justify this argument due to the similar magnitude of hearing loss reduction (about 10 - 15 dB) as in previous studies, although the induced hearing loss was considerably greater (about 40 dB instead of 20 - 25 dB).

Given the lack of studies and the need to correlate the
functional and morphological findings, the objective of the present study was to evaluate the combination of HBOT and CT used in acoustic trauma by the analysis of the functional and morphological findings.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Animals

Eighteen female guinea pigs were used, cycled in diestrus (Cavia porcellus) and weighing 350 to 500 g. All procedures were previously approved by the Ethics Committee for Animal Experiments (CETEA) of the Faculty of Medicine of Ribeirão Preto, University of São Paulo (FMRS-USP) (n° 072/2009) according to the recommendations of the Brazilian College of Animal Experimentation (COBEA) for care with experimental animals.

The animals were selected according to the Preyer reflex [9]. After 24 hours of hearing rest, the animals were reevaluated by otoscopy. Animals with signs of external otitis or acute otitis media, cerumen of difficult removal, inflammatory changes of the external auditory canal or a very narrow auditory canal impairing a proper accommodation of the otoacoustic emission probe in at least one of the ears were excluded from the study.

2.2. Brainstem Auditory Evoked Potentials and Distortion Products Otoacoustic Emission

Before the exams, all animals were anesthetized with ketamine hydrochloride, an anesthetic drug with no effect on auditory function.

Surface electrodes were used for the recording of brainstem auditory evoked potentials (BAEP), arranged as follows: a positive electrode on the skull vertex, one negative electrode on the posterior portion of each ear pavilion, and a reference (ground) electrode on the forehead (between the eyes). For better conductivity of the electric signal, the regions of the vertex and between the ocular orbits were shaved and the electrodes were positioned with the interposition of electrolytic paste. Acquisition was ipsilateral, with a time of analysis of 12 ms and a sample of 1000 stimulus passes, with replication. A high-pass 50 Hz filter and a low pass 3 kHz filter were used. The stimulus used was a 27.7/s click emitted by Ear Tone 3 A insertion phones in alternating polarity. The electrophysiological hearing threshold was determined by the lowest intensity at which wave II was identified.

For the distortion products otoacoustic emission (DPOAE) exam, two simultaneous pure tones (F1 and F2) were used, with F1 < F2, at frequencies of 1, 1.5, 2, 3 and 4 kHz with resolution of 3 points per octave, at the intensity of 70 dB SPL. The analysis of the DPOAE results were based on the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) values that indicate the difference between the otoacoustic emissions (OAE) response and the noise level at a particular frequency.

The equipment used for the exams was the Smart EP and DPOEA of Intelligent Hearing Systems (Miami, FL, USA).

2.3. Acoustic Trauma

To induce the acoustic trauma, the guinea pigs were bilaterally exposed to the same stimulus and methods described by Colombari, et al. [10], a white noise on 4 kHz at 110 dB SPL during 72 hours.

2.4. Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy and Corticoid Therapy

An experimental hyperbaric chamber directly pressurized with oxygen (O2) was used for the HBOT sessions. Three animals at a time were submitted to daily therapeutic hyperbaric oxygen (HBO) sessions for five consecutive days. The sessions consisted of 15 minutes of compression up to 2.3 ATA, followed by one hour at this level and then by 15 minutes of decompression.

Corticoid therapy (CT) consisted of systemic administration of dexamethasone (10 mg/kg/day) for five consecutive days.

2.5. Execution of the Experiment

Eighteen animals were randomly divided into three groups of six. Three study situations were proposed according to the therapeutic method used: CT, HBOT and the combination of both therapies, HBOCT.

Three times of audiological evaluation were used for each situation (pre-noise, post-noise and post-treatment). The pre-noise time referred to the exams (BAEP and DPOAE) performed before exposure to noise, the post-noise time referred to the exams performed after the acoustic trauma, and the post-treatment time referred to the exams performed after the therapeutic intervention.

2.6. Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

After euthanasia of the animals at the scheduled time points, all cochleae were prepared as described by Colombari, et al. [10], analyzed and photographed with a JEOL scanning microscope JSM 5200.

2.7. Statistical Analysis

A fully randomized experimental design was adopted, with each ear being defined as an experimental unit. Analysis of variance followed by the Tukey test (p < 0.05) were used to compare the dependent variables, electrophysiological hearing threshold (BAEP) and SNR (DPOAE), at the different times of evaluation (within group) and the amplitudes of these variables between the different situations proposed (between groups).

Analysis of variance were performed using the SAS® software for Windows version 9.2 (Statistical Analysis System - SAS Institute, 2011).

3. Results

There were highly significant differences (p ≤ 0.01) in the mean values of the two variables, i.e., SNR and electrophysiological auditory threshold, between the pre-
noise evaluation ("a" by the Tukey test) and the post-noise evaluation ("b"). The SNR’s mean showed a significant reduction of 17.20 in the pre-noise value in the post-noise situation and the mean value of the electrophysiological hearing threshold increased significantly by 46.27 dB after exposure to noise ("a").

In all the study situations proposed, i.e., CT, HBOT and HBOCT, there was a highly significant difference (p ≤ 0.01) in the analysis of variance for the effect between times, within the situations for the SNR of the DPOAE of all the frequencies studied. The Tukey test revealed that the pre-noise time differed significantly from the remaining times of evaluation, characterizing two distinct groups, the first defined by the pre-noise time ("a") and the second by the post-noise and post-treatment times ("b"). Although the post-noise and post-treatment times did not differ significantly, an improvement was observed in the SNR after treatment with all the therapeutic methods used.

Regarding the electrophysiological hearing threshold variable, regardless of the situation, the post-noise time was characterized as the time of the highest degree of hearing loss, as demonstrated by the significantly higher mean values differing from the remaining ones ("a"). The post-treatment time was characterized by a significant hearing loss of lower degree in relation to the post-noise time ("b"), but not significant compared to the pre-noise time ("c"). The group submitted to CT showed a significant mean reduction of the post-treatment electrophysiological hearing threshold of 20.4 dB, with a difference of 25.4 dB from the pre-noise situation; The group submitted to HBOT showed a significant mean reduction of the post-treatment electrophysiological hearing threshold of 15.4 dB, differing by 25.9 dB from the pre-noise time, and the group submitted to HBOCT, with a mean increase in the electrophysiological hearing threshold of 43.3 dB, showed a mean reduction of the electrophysiological hearing threshold of 22.9 dB, with a difference of 20.4 dB from the initial situation.

Analyses of variance for the amplitudes of the variables studied between the post-treatment and pre-noise and the post-treatment and post-noise times were performed in order to compare the different situations. For the amplitudes of the variable SNR of the DPOAE, the F test was nonsignificant in all situations, with no difference in mean amplitudes after treatment both regarding pre-noise and post-noise in the various situations. The F test was nonsignificant for the amplitudes of the electrophysiological hearing threshold of post-treatment both for pre-noise and post-noise in all situations, i.e., there was no difference in these amplitudes between the three situations (Table 1).

Table 1. Factors of variation (FV), degrees of freedom (DF) and mean squares (MS) with significance according to the F test, coefficient of variation (CV%), experimental accuracy (Ac) and general mean (GM) obtained by individual analyses of variance for the amplitude of the electrophysiological hearing threshold (in dB), of post-treatment with pre-noise and with post-noise for the situations (CT, HBOT and HBOCT) and their respective mean amplitudes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Amplitude between post-treatment and pre-noise</th>
<th>Amplitude between post-treatment and post-noise</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DF</td>
<td>MS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatments</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>72.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residue</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>202.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV%</td>
<td>89.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ac</td>
<td>0.9307</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM</td>
<td>15.93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT[1]</td>
<td>16.94</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBOT[2]</td>
<td>17.22</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBOCT[3]</td>
<td>13.61</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* * and **: F test significant at 5%, 1% and nonsignificant, respectively;
1: Tukey test – means followed by the same letters did not differ at the 5% level; [1] CT = corticoid therapy; [2] HBOT = hyperbaric oxygen therapy; [3] HBOCT = combination of HBOT and CT
Acute acoustic trauma is a sudden onset of sensorineural hearing impairment due to exposure of the hearing organ to acoustic overstimulation, typically by intense impulse noise [11]. Acoustic trauma results in structural changes such as rupture of the cell membranes, reduction of cochlear blood flow and destruction of cochlear hair cells and/or dendrites of primary auditory neurons [1-5].

Changes in oxygenation and decreased cochlear blood flow appear to occur first, inducing an accumulation of free radicals in the cochlea that triggers processes of dysfunction and cell death [12-15].

The various treatments proposed for acoustic trauma have focused on the reduction of inflammation and the promotion of cochlear oxygenation. According to Lamm and Arnold [16], the administration of anti-inflammatory drugs in the presence of noise-induced cochlear changes is based on the fact that inflammatory tissue changes are not only provoked by bacteria, viruses or other immunopathological processes, but also by cell damage, hypoxia and tissue ischemia.

Corticoids are the most potent anti-inflammatory drugs and their use has been effective for the treatment of acoustic trauma [2,16-19].

In the present study, the group submitted to CT, although not differing significantly in terms of the SNR of the DPOAE, showed a significant mean reduction of the electrophysiological hearing threshold of 20.4 dB, with a difference of 25.4 dB compared to the pre-noise situation. Thus, the present findings confirm the effectiveness of CT for acoustic trauma, in agreement with previous studies [2, 16-19].

Different corticoid doses for the treatment of acoustic trauma...
trauma have been reported in the literature, ranging from 2 to 200 mg/kg [2, 7, 8, 19]. Although d’Aldin et al. [2] concluded that only the corticoid dose of 20 mg/kg induced a significant improvement of the thresholds, the dose of 10 mg/kg/day chosen for the present investigation was based on the study by Fakhry et al. [8], who demonstrated a reduction of hearing thresholds with the same dose.

It should be pointed out that d’Aldin et al. [2] studied doses of 2, 20, 40, 100 and 200 mg/kg, a fact that does not rule out the possibility of effectiveness of the dose of 10 mg/kg. However, we should still question the possibility of a more expressive improvement with higher doses than those used in the present study.

HBOT increases the oxygen levels in a more effective manner [20], also favoring repair of the microcirculation and permitting its use in the treatment of various diseases, including sensorineural hearing loss [21]. As previously reported in the literature HBOT treatment for acoustic trauma provides an appropriate oxygen supply, avoiding cochlear hypoxia that starts the oxidative stress responsible for apoptosis cascade, that trigger hair cells death [3, 11].

In the group submitted only to HBOT, the mean SNR of the DPOAE also did not differ significantly between the times of evaluation post-noise and post-treatment. However, the electrophysiological hearing threshold was significantly reduced by 15.4 dB after treatment, differing by 25.9 dB from the pre-noise time. These findings support the existence of the therapeutic effect of HBO on acoustic trauma [10].

Studies have pointed out that HBOT potentiates CT, with this combination having the best therapeutic effect among the treatments used for noise-induced hearing loss [2, 7, 8]. The effectiveness of this combined therapy was demonstrated by mild losses of 20 to 25 dB [2, 7] and by moderate hearing losses of about 40 dB [8]. This reduction of hearing thresholds was similar to that demonstrated by Fakhry et al. [8], Lamm and Arnold [7] and d’Aldin et al. [2].

Considering the mean increase in the post-noise electrophysiological hearing threshold of 45.6 dB, the proposed situation of the therapeutic combination, HBOCT, led to a mean reduction of 33.9 dB of the electrophysiological hearing threshold, differing 20.4 dB from the value of the initial situation. This reduction was greater than that of 10 to 15 dB reported by Fakhry et al. [8] for hearing losses of 40 dB. Present results contradict the constant and limited effect of the therapeutic combination of HBOCT and corticoid predicted by the literature. This argument is also reinforced by the treatment time used in the present study compared to the study of Fakhry et al. [8], of 5 and 10 days, respectively.

Post-noise-treatment amplitudes had showed lower amplitude between post-treatment and pre-noise and greater amplitude between post-treatment and post-noise in HBOCT and CT group, with greater amplitude obtained with HBOT (HBOCT<CT<HBOT). Regarding the post-treatment and post-noise amplitude, the highest values were obtained with HBOCT (HBOCT>CT>HBOT), showing a better therapeutic performance observed for the HBOCT.

Our experimental findings by functional and anatomically assessment by SEM reinforce that the combination of HBOT and CT led to a greater cochlear recovery after acoustic trauma compared to each treatment alone.

SEM revealed that, regardless of the therapeutic method applied, the initial third of the basal turn (BT) did not show any changes and the middle third of the same turn showed only some ciliary distortions and/or loss of stereocilia. In contrast, the final BT turn of the groups submitted to HBOCT differed from the remaining groups, with distortions and/or ciliary losses of greater amplitude.

The group submitted to HBOCT showed a less altered initial third of the second turn (T2) compared to the groups submitted to each therapy alone. The middle and final thirds of this turn showed a closely similar profile in the CT and HBOCT groups, but showed a pattern closer to the habitual one compared to the group treated with HBOT.

The third turn (T3) showed a much better pattern throughout its extension in the HBOCT group compared to the HBOT and CT groups.

Exposure to intense noise may provoke damage such as derangement, collapse, losses, fusions or elongation of the cilia of auditory sensory cells. It has also been reported that hair cells may also show breakage of part or all of their sensory cilia [22].

Zuo et al. [23] observed loss and derangement of OHCs stereocilia as the morphological injuries of cochlea exposed to noise.

The spectrum of anatomical changes in the stereocilia of sensory cells (OHCs) detected in the specimens analyzed agreed with data reported in the literature.

Saunders et al. [22] showed that mechanically induced injuries usually recover rapidly, whereas those induced by metabolic exhaustion show a more gradual recovery.

Stengs et al. [24] suggested a self-defense mechanism regarding the spontaneous recovery of injured OHC, but Cardinaal et al. [25] stated that these same findings do not permit a conclusion about the question of the occurrence of recovery of damaged cells or the formation of new cells. Synaptic repair may occur 5 days after noise injury, but the damaged cells persist [2, 10].

Guinea pigs exposed to noise did not recover after five days of rest and, when compared to the immediate post-noise situation, showed even greater injury due to the metabolic damage present even after the cessation of the damaging stimulus [10].

Lamm and Arnold [7] and D’Aldin et al. [2] concluded that, despite an incomplete recovery, intervention by HBOT plus CT resulted in a significant morphological recovery.

It can be seen that, even though the CT, HBO and HBOCT situations did not differ significantly in the evaluation of functional hearing, the tendency to a better therapeutic efficacy in the HBOCT situation could also be visualized by SEM in view of the greater anatomical preservation, with the occurrence of greater recovery and/or greater otoprotection against the metabolic injuries.
5. Conclusion
Therapeutic combination of HBOT with CT was more effective to acute acoustic trauma than each treatment HBOT or CT, applied alone.
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