Optimization of Multiple Effect Evaporators Designed for Fruit Juice Concentrate
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Abstract: The most important running cost item in evaporation is energy. However, energy consumption per unit production can be reduced considerably through the use of multi effect evaporation. A multiple effect evaporation scheme was studied and economically analyzed. Different economic items, including cost of steam and cost of evaporator in addition to annual total cost for single, double, three, four, five and six effects of evaporators were estimated and analyzed. The results indicated that minimum annual total cost is obtained by using a double effect evaporator.
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1. Introduction

Multiple effect evaporator control is a problem that has been widely reported in the pulp and sugar industries. Therefore evaporation is a very important unit operation and must be controlled smoothly, [1].

Process optimization has always been a noble objective of engineers entrusted with the responsibility for process development and improvement throughout the food industry, [2]. On the other hand, the chemical industry has used cost analysis in several cases in relation to design and process optimization. A classic example in the chemical industry is the determination of the optimal number of effects in evaporation system, were the optimum is found when there is an economic balance between energy saving and added investment, this is, a minimization of the total cost, [3].

Evaporation is the removal of solvent as vapor from a solution. It is the operation which is used for concentration of solution. There could be single effect evaporator or multiple effect evaporators. With addition of each effect steam economy of the system also increases. Evaporators are integral part of a number of process industries like Pulp and Paper, Sugar, Caustic Soda, Pharmaceuticals, Desalination, Dairy and Food Processing etc., [4] described a steady state model of multiple effect evaporators for simulation purpose. The model includes overall as well as component mass balance equations, energy balance equations and heat transfer rate equations for area calculation for all the effects. Each effect in the process is represented by a number of variables which are related by the energy and material balance equations for the feed, product and vapor flow for forward feed. The code has been developed using SCILAB. Results of the present approach are validated with industrial data.

Evaporators are kind of heat transfer equipment where the transfer mechanism is controlled by natural convection or forced convection. A solution containing a desired product is fed into the evaporator and it is heated by a heat source like steam. Because of the applied heat, the water in the solution is converted into vapor and is condensed while the concentrated solution is either removed or fed into a second evaporator for further concentration, [5].

The increase in awareness to cost curtailment of energy consumption coupled with the increase in the consumption of the concentrated and dehydrated food products, energy management has become indispensable in food industries. One such process of obtaining these food products is by employing evaporation in food industries. The process of evaporation is employed in the food industry primarily as a means of bulk and weight reduction for fluids. Therefore, evaporation is one of the most large scale operations in food industry. Energy is the most important running cost item in evaporation. The operating cost of an evaporator system is mostly determined by the energy that is required to achieve certain evaporation rate. Under steady state condition there must be a balance between the energy entering and leaving
the system. Energy can be saved by reusing vapor formed from the boiling product. One of the few ways of accomplishing such is by multiple-effect evaporation, [6].

Boiling point elevation at a certain external pressure can be determined from a thermodynamic equation using the latent heat of vaporization and molar fraction of the food. However, the use of these equations requires knowledge of the proportions of specific components of the foods that cause changes in the boiling points, [7].

The aim of the work is to explain how total cost of evaporation can be reduced by using multi-effect evaporator and achieve an economically optimal number of effects. This can be proved through application of mass and heat-balance analysis for tomato juice concentration from 5% to tomato paste 36%.

2. Experimental Set Up

2.1. Determination of Boiling Point Rise

An experimental procedure was conducted to determine the BPR (boiling point rise). A tomato juice solution of 5% (wt%) concentration was boiled. The concentration was measured at equal intervals of time (15 minutes). The measured concentration was plotted versus temperature difference between boiling point of solution and boiling point of water.

2.2. Single Effect Evaporator

Single effect evaporator use more than 1kg of steam to evaporate 1kg of water. The general configuration for evaporation in this work is schematically represented in (Fig. 1). According to this scheme, the vapor leaving the evaporator is waste, so a single effect evaporator may be considered wasteful of energy, since the latent heat of the leaving vapor is not used but discarded.

2.3. Simulation Model

The mathematical model representing the process may be formulated as follows, [8]:-

The amount of concentrated product and the amount of the vapor leaving the evaporator could be calculated by using an overall material balance as follows:

\[ G_f = G_p + W \]  

Where, \( G_f \) is the flow rate of the feed solution, kg/hr, \( G_p \) is the flow rate of the concentrate product, kg/hr, \( W \) is flow rate of the vapor leaving the evaporator, kg/hr

To determine \( G_p \), a component mass balance on solids is needed:-

\[ G_f X_f = G_p X_p \]  

Where, \( X_f \) is the concentration of tomato juice concentrate entering the evaporator (by weight), \( X_p \) is the concentration of tomato concentrate product stream (by weight).

The heat load of the evaporator (Q) may be represented by making heat balance on the evaporator:

\[ Q + G_f C_1 t_o = W H_v + G_p C_2 t + Q_{loss} \]  

Where \( C_1, \ C_2 \) is the specific heat of feed and product solution, kJ/kg deg .

\( Q \) is the heat load of the evaporator, kJ/kg, \( H_v \) is the enthalpy of secondary vapor, kJ/kg, \( t \) is the boiling point of the product, °C, and \( t_o \) is the temperature of the feed solution, °C

Considering the feed solution as a mixture of concentrated solution (product) and evaporated water, we can write:

\[ G_f C_1 t = G_p C_2 t + W C_{H_2O} t \]  

\[ G_p C_2 = G_f C_1 - W C_{H_2O} \]  

Where, \( C_{H_2O} \) is the specific heat of the liquid water, kJ/kg deg. substituting the value of \( G_p C_2 \) in equation (3) we obtain:

\[ Q = G_f C_1 (t-t_o) + W (H_v - C_{H_2O} t) + Q_{loss} \]  

If the heat losses are neglected \( (Q_{loss} = 0) \), we can write:

\[ Q = G_f C_1 (t - t_o) + W (H_v - C_{H_2O} t) \]  

2.4. Calculation Methods for Multiple-Effect Evaporators

Multiple-effect evaporation is evaporation in multiple stages, whereby the vapors generated in one stage serve as heating ‘steam ’ to the next stage. Thus, the first stage acts as a ‘steam generator’ for the second which acts as a condenser to the first and so on. The number of ‘effects’ is the number of stages thus arranged. The first effect is heated with boiler steam. The vapors from the last effect are sent to the condenser Fig. 2.

The economic and environmental consequences of this result are obvious. In a multi effect evaporator for each kilogram of water evaporated:

1. The quantity of steam consumed is inversely proportional to the number of effects.

2. The quantity of cooling water utilized in the condenser is inversely proportional to the number of effects.

For heat transfer to occur, a temperature drop must exist at each effect. In other words, the temperature of the vapors generated in a given effect must be superior to the boiling temperature in the following effect:
In doing calculations for a multiple-effect evaporator system, the values to be obtained are usually the area of the heating surface in each effect, the mass (kg) of steam per hour to be supplied and the amount of vapor leaving each effect. The given or known values are usually as follows:

1. Steam pressure into first effect,
2. Final pressure in vapor space of the last effect,
3. Feed conditions and flow to first effect, ……… (n)
4. the final concentration in the liquid leaving the last effect,
5. Physical properties such as enthalpies and/or heat capacities of the liquid and vapors, and
6. the overall heat transfer coefficients in each effect.

Usually, in commercial practice the areas in all effects are equal and

\[ q/A = U \Delta T \] for each effect. A convenient way to solve these equations is by trial and error.

The Equations representing the process, of multiple effect evaporators may be formulated as follows:

1. From the known outlet concentration and pressure in the last effect, the boiling point in the last effect can be determined by overall mass balance and component mass balance we can calculate \( V_1, V_2, \ldots \ldots \ldots V_n \)

Where, \( V_1, V_2, \ldots \ldots \ldots V_n \) are the flow rate of solution produced from each effect, kg/h

\[ V_1, V_2, \ldots \ldots \ldots V_n \] are the amounts of vapor produced from each effect, kg/h

2. The amount of heat transferred per hour in the first effect of a multiple effect evaporator with forward feed in Fig. 2 will be:

\[ q_1 = U_1 A_1 \Delta T_1 \] (8)

Where \( \Delta T_1 \) is the difference between the condensing steam and the boiling point of the liquid, \( (T_s-T_1) \). Assuming that the solutions have no boiling point rise and no heat of solution and neglecting the sensible heat necessary to heat the feed to the boiling point, approximately all the latent heat of the condensing steam appears as latent heat in the vapor. This vapor then condenses in the second effect, giving up approximately the same amount of heat.

\[ q_2 = U_2 A_2 \Delta T_2 \] (9)

This same reasoning holds for \( q_n \). Then since \( q_1 = q_2 = \ldots \ldots = q_n \), then approximately,

\[ U_1 A_1 \Delta T_1 = U_2 A_2 \Delta T_2 = \ldots \ldots = U_n A_n \Delta T_n \] (10)

Usually, \( U \) is the heat transfer coefficient for the first effect, \( (T_s-T_1) \).

\[ \Delta T_2 = \text{Available temperature difference for second effect (T}_1 - T_2) \]

\[ \Delta T_3 = \text{Available temperature difference for third effect (T}_n - T_3) \]

\[ \Delta T = \text{Available temperature difference for fifth effect (T}_n - T_5) \]

\[ T_1 = \text{Temperature of solution in the second evaporator, °C.} \]

\[ T_2 = \text{Temperature of solution in the second evaporator, °C.} \]

\[ T_3 = \text{Temperature of solution in the (n) evaporator, °C.} \]

\[ T_s = \text{Temperature of steam to the first effect, °C.} \]

\[ T_{s1} = \text{inlet temperature of steam to the second effect, °C.} \]

\[ T_{s2} = \text{inlet temperature to the (n) effect, °C.} \]

Note that,

\[ \Delta T_1 = \frac{\Delta T_1}{U_1}, \Delta T_2 = \frac{\Delta T_2}{U_2}, \ldots \ldots \ldots \Delta T_n = \frac{\Delta T_n}{U_n} \] K

Since \( \Delta T_1 \) is proportional to \( 1/U_1 \), then:

\[ \Delta T_1 = \Sigma \Delta T = \frac{1}{U_1} + \frac{1}{U_2} + \ldots \ldots + \frac{1}{U_n} \] (14)

Similar equations can be written for \( \Delta T_2, \Delta T_3, \ldots \ldots \Delta T_n \)

Where, \( U_1 \) is the heat transfer coefficient for the first effect, kW/m²K

\( U_2 \) is the heat transfer coefficient for the second effect, kW/m²K

\( U_3 \) is the heat transfer coefficient for the third effect, kW/m²K

\( U_n \) is the heat transfer coefficient for the (n) effect, kW/m²K

Any effect that has an extra heating load, such as a cold feed, requires a proportionally larger \( \Delta T \).

4. Using heat and material balances in the first effect, the amount vaporized can be calculated consequently the amount of steam (Dsteam) entering the first evaporator, the value of \( q \) transferred in each effect is estimated.

5. Using the rate equation \( q = UA\Delta T \) for each effect, we can calculate the areas \( A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n \). The average value \( A_n \):
6- If these areas are reasonably close to each other, the calculations are complete and a second trial is not needed. If these areas are not nearly equal, a second trial should be performed as mentioned above, then obtain new values of \( \Delta T_1', \Delta T_2', \ldots, \Delta T_n' \) from these equations:

\[
\Delta T_1' = \frac{\Delta T_1 + A_1}{Am} \tag{16}
\]

\[
\Delta T_2' = \frac{\Delta T_2 + A_1}{Am} \tag{17}
\]

\[
\Delta T_n' = \frac{\Delta T_n + A_1}{Am} \tag{18}
\]

The Sum \( \Delta T_1' + \Delta T_2' + \ldots + \Delta T_n' \) must equal the original \( \Sigma \Delta T \). If not, proportionately readjust all \( \Delta T' \) values then calculate the boiling point rise in each effect, and as shown before we can get \( q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_n \) and \( A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n \) using the new values \( \Delta T_1', \Delta T_2', \ldots, \Delta T_n' \).

### 3. Cost Estimation

The various cost items can be expressed in terms of the system-operating variables and parameters as follows, [9]:

**3.1. Annual Cost of Steam**

The steam consumption may be represented according to the following equations:

For single effect evaporator

\[
D_{steam} = \frac{Q}{\lambda} \tag{19}
\]

For multiple effect evaporator

\[
D_{steam}(sf) + G_f C_p T_f = G_1 C_p T_1 + V_1 H_1 \tag{20}
\]

Where, \( D_{steam} \) is mass flow rate of steam consumption, kg/s, \( \lambda \) is latent heat of vaporization, kJ/kg, \( G_f \) is the flow rate of feed solution, kg/s, \( G_1 \) is the flow rate of first effect evaporator, kg/s, \( T_1 \) is temperature of solution in the first effect, °C, \( C_p \) is specific heat of the solution, kJ/kg.deg., \( V_1 \) is the amount of vapor produced from first effect, kg/h., \( H_1 \) is the enthalpy of the vapor leaving the evaporator, kJ/kg, Q is the heat load of the evaporator, kJ/s

**3.2. Annual Cost of Steam**

Cost of steam = \( D_{steam} C_{III} \) \( \tag{21} \)

Where \( C_{III} \) is the cost per ton steam, L.E/ton

Annual cost of steam = cost of steam x Annual operating days x operating hours \( \tag{22} \)

**3.3. Annual Cost of Evaporator**

Annual cost of evaporator may be calculated as follows, [9]:

\[
Purchased = 75228.2 (A)^{0.5053} \tag{23}
\]

Annual cost of evaporator = Purchased cost \( (1+0.6) \) 0.15 \( \tag{24} \)

Where, \( (1+0.6) \) is the cost of piping and installation, and 0.15 is the depreciation cost. A is the area of heat transfer of the evaporator, calculated according to the equation:

\[
Q = UA \Delta T \tag{25}
\]

Where,

\( \Delta T \) is the driving force = \( (T_{steam} - T_{boiling}) \), °C \( \tag{26} \)

\( U \) is the overall heat transfer coefficient, kW/m² K

**3.4. Annual Total Cost**

The annual total cost is calculated by applying the following equations:

Annual total cost = Annual cost of steam + Annual cost of evaporator, L.E/year \( \tag{27} \)

### 4. Results and Discussion

The flow rate and concentration of the feed stream introduced to the evaporator used in this process are fixed for all the cases studied at the following values:

1. Feed flow rate of Tomato juice 7498.8 kg/h;
2. Concentration of the Tomato juice feed 5% (wt);
3. Temperature of the feed solution 30°C;
4. The product (Tomato paste) leaving at 36%

**4.1. Determination of Boiling Point Rise**

![Fig. 3. Boiling Point Rise Of Tomato Juice Solutions](image)

In the majority of cases in evaporation, the thermal properties of the solution being evaporated may differ considerably from those of water. The concentrations of the solutions are high enough so that the heat capacity and boiling point are quite different from that of water. Boiling
point rise expresses the difference (usually in °F) between the boiling point of a constant composition solution and the boiling point of pure water at the same pressure, [10].

Table 1 shows the cost items of single and multiple effect evaporators. The results observed that annual cost of steam decrease with increasing number of evaporator effects, while annual cost of evaporators increase with increasing the number of evaporator effects. However the minimum total cost of evaporation will be at the economically optimal number of effects which is double effect evaporator as shown in Fig. 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of effects</th>
<th>Annual cost of steam</th>
<th>Annual cost of evaporator</th>
<th>Annual total cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1382480</td>
<td>131651.8973</td>
<td>1514131.897</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1091426</td>
<td>288676.4304</td>
<td>1380102.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>933120</td>
<td>526209.804</td>
<td>1459329.804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>861280</td>
<td>767122.4444</td>
<td>1628402.444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>766000</td>
<td>1044717.771</td>
<td>1810717.771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>593000</td>
<td>1343161.957</td>
<td>1936161.957</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1. Cost Items of Single And Multiple Effect Evaporators**

![Fig. 4. Optimal Number of Effects](image)

5. Sample Calculation

5.1. Double Effect Evaporator

As an example of calculation, a double effect evaporator is schematically represented in (Fig. 5).

This sample simulates the double effect schemes of evaporator using the operating conditions \((G_p, X_1, X_2, P_1, P_2)\) of the "Paste and Juice Company at Egypt" aiming at cost calculations with aim of item estimation as mentioned before.

- **Material and component balance on first effect and second effect**
  
  \[ G_1 = 0.4734 \text{ kg/s} \]
  
  \[ V_1 = 1.6096 \text{ kg/s} \]
  
  \[ V_2 = 0.1841 \text{ kg/s} \]

- **\(\Delta T = T_{sf} - (BPR_1 + BPR_2)\)**

  From figure 3 \(BPR_1\) and \(BPR_2\) are 3.2, 4.8 respectively

  \[T_{sf} = 120^\circ\text{C} \text{ at P2 (steam entering the first evaporator)}=2 \text{ bar}\]

  \[T_2 = 50^\circ\text{C} \text{ from Company}\]

  \[\sum \Delta T = 62^\circ\text{C}\]

- From equations (14): \(U_1\) and \(U_2\) are 2.1, 1.04 (respectively from company)

  \[\sum \Delta T_1 = 28.5302^\circ\text{C}\]

  \[\sum \Delta T_2 = 33.4697^\circ\text{C}\]

  \[T_1 = T_{sf} - \Delta T_1 = 120-28.5302= 91.4698^\circ\text{C}\]

  \[T_2 = (T_1-BPR_1)-\Delta T_2 = 54.8^\circ\text{C}\]

  \[T_{s1} = T_1-BPR_1 = 88.2698^\circ\text{C}\]

  \[\lambda_{sf} \text{ at (120°C)}=2202.3 \text{ kJ/kg (from steam table)}\]

  \[\lambda_{s1} \text{ at (88.2696°C)} =2656.82 \text{ kJ/kg}\]

  \[C_p \text{ for tomato juice} = 4.17 \text{ kJ/kg.deg}, H_1 \text{ at } T_{s1} = 2656.82 \text{ kJ/kg}\]

  From equation (20): \(D_{\text{steam}} = 1.9047 \text{ kg/h}\)

  \[q_1 = D_{\text{steam}} \lambda_{sf} = 4194.721 \text{ kJ/s}\]

  \[q_2 = V_1 \lambda_{s1} = 3683.52 \text{ kJ/s}\]

  - From equation (8):

    \[q_1 = U_1 A_1 \Delta T_1, \quad q_1 = U_2 A_2 \Delta T_2\]

    \[A_1 = 120.5144 \text{m}^2 \text{ and } A_2 = 105.824 \text{m}^2\]

  From equation (15): \(A_n = \frac{A_1 + A_2}{2}\)

  From equation (16-18): \(\Delta T_1' = 30.38^\circ\text{C}, \quad \Delta T_2' = 31.297^\circ\text{C}\)

  - \(T_1 = T_{sf} - \Delta T_1' = 120 - 30.38 = 89.62^\circ\text{C}\)

  \[T_2 = (T_1 - BPR_1) - \Delta T_2' = (89.62 - 3.2) - 31.297 = 55.123^\circ\text{C}\]

  \[T_{s1} = T_1 - BPR_1 = 86.42^\circ\text{C}\]
As shown before:

\[ q_1' = 4194 \text{kJ/s} \quad \text{and} \quad q_2' = 3691.843 \text{kJ/s} \]

\[ A_1 = 113.176 \text{m}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad A_2 = 113.427 \text{m}^2 \]

- From equations (21-27) operating hours in the company = 7200 L.E/year

Annual cost of steam = 987033.6 L.E/year

- Cost of first evaporator

\[ \text{Purchased cost} = 75228.2(113.176)^{0.5053} = 820621.21 \text{L.E/year} \]

Annual cost = 820621.21 \times 1.6 \times 0.15 = 196949.0908 L.E/year

The same for the second evaporator Annual cost = 196949.09 L.E/year

Annual total cost = 1380931.78 L.E/year

The three, four,...seven effect evaporator can be calculated in the same trend as shown from the previous example of double effect evaporator.

6. Conclusion

A classic example in the chemical industry is the determination of the optimal number of effects in an evaporation system, were the optimum is found when there is an economic balance between energy saving and added investment, this is, a minimization of the total cost. The results observed that as number of effects of evaporators increased, annual cost of steam decreases and annual cost of evaporator increases. The optimum total cost for tomato juice concentration, in which the tomato juice enters at 5% and must be processed to 36% was by using double effect evaporator.
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