Magnetic Solid Phase Extraction Using Ionic Liquid Coated Magnetic Core $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2$ Nanoparticles Followed by UV Spectrophotometry for Separation/Analysis of Safranine T in Food
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Abstract: Hydrophobic ionic liquids (IL) 1-octyl-3-methylimidazole hexafluorophosphate ([OMIM] PF$_6$) coated $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2$ nanoparticles was employed in magnetic solid phase extraction (MSPE) method coupled with ultraviolet visible spectrophotometry for the analysis of safranine T (ST). The results showed that safranine T was adsorbed fast by $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2@[\text{OMIM}] \text{PF}_6$ and eluted by ethanol. Different parameters, such as; pH, temperature, ionic strength, eluent type, volume and temperature were studied. This method introduced wide linear range of 15-350 ng mL$^{-1}$, the correlation coefficient was 0.9991, the equations of calibration graph was $A$ (absorbance) = 0.04+0.13c (µg mL$^{-1}$), the detection limit was 0.37 ng mL$^{-1}$ (RSD = 5.1%). The current method could be applied for the analysis of ST in food samples with satisfactory results.
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1. Introduction

Magnetic solid phase extraction (MSPE) was that, it is a process depends on using magnetic sorbents for separation of various analytes in different volume of the sample [1] in MSPE procedures. The magnetic absorbent was mixed with sample and the analyte was absorbed by magnetic sorbents. The analyte magnetic sorbents were then separated from the sample by using an external magnetic field, after being eluted by a perfect eluent [2].

Safranine T (ST) is a type of alkali industrial dye or stain (Figure 1), that could be used in different dyeing manufactories [3]. ST was also known as food additives [4], however, in many food manufactories ST was illegally used to improve the properties of food products [5], so introducing a simple and fast method for the separation of ST in dietary products is very important. The well-known techniques for ST separation were performed by using high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), UV–visible spectrophotometry and the fluorescence method depend on calixarene derivatives. However, UV–visible spectrophotometry has good properties of easy operation and low-cost of analysis.

MSPE has been used in widely in many analysis fields such as food, environmental and biological analysis [6, 7]. Nowadays, scientists are focusing on the use of $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ nanoparticles (NPs) as MSPE absorbent with special functional groups change to measure the amount of the target analytes. Various materials were applied in $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$NPs modification. Some scientists selected poly aniline coated $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ (PANIs/$\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$) as sorbents for MSPE fluoroquinolones in honey samples [8]. Pardasani et al. used multi-walled carbon nano-tube functionalized $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ (MWCNT/ $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$) as sorbents for dispersive SPE of nerve agents from water [9].
Ionic liquid (IL), are a type of organic salts possess special physicochemical properties, like good stability and hydrophobic properties [10]. Many studies had described the utilization of IL through SPE [11, 12]. Ionic liquids coated MNPs were used as an adsorbent in separation of aromatic hydrocarbons in water [13]. Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}@IL@methyl orange NPs were used for separation of PAHs in water [14]. However, it has not been reported to separate or extract ST with Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}@SiO\textsubscript{2}@IL[MIM] PF\textsubscript{6}.

Figure 1. Chemical structure of Safranine T.

In this work, MSPE sorbents (Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}@SiO\textsubscript{2}@IL[MIM] PF\textsubscript{6}) were prepared, these sorbents have the property of the ionic liquids and (MNPs). When compared other studies, these MSPE sorbents provides a rapid, and efficient sample preparation process, which enables the treatment of large volume samples in a short period of time [15]. E\textsubscript{saframine}% on Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}@SiO\textsubscript{2}@IL[MIM] PF\textsubscript{6} showed a higher extraction efficiency, which was attributed to hydrophobicity of ionic liquids. Therefore, Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}@SiO\textsubscript{2}@IL[MIM] PF\textsubscript{6} was applied. Anew method of MSPE accompanied with UV-spectrophotometry for ST separation from food samples was applied. The Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}@SiO\textsubscript{2}@IL[MIM] PF\textsubscript{6} can be used repeatedly for 10 times.

2. Experimental Section

2.1. Equipment and Reagents

Infrared spectra was measured with a Bruker Tensor 27 spectrometer (Bruker Company, Germany). Samples were pressed into potassium bromide (KBr) pellets and recorded at the frequencies from 4000 to 400 cm\textsuperscript{-1} with resolution of 4 cm\textsuperscript{-1}. UV-2550 spectrophotometer (Shimadzu Corporation, Japan) was used.

2.2. Chemicals and Reagents

All chemicals and reagents were at least of analytical reagent grade, unless otherwise stated. Safranine T standards were obtained from the Sigma-Aldrich (Shanghai, China). A standard stock solution was prepared by dissolving 10.0 mg of each standard in 100 mL of ethanol and stored in dark at 4°C. N-methylimidazole (Daru Fine Chemicals, Shanghai, China), tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS), KPF\textsubscript{6}, FeCl\textsubscript{3}, (NH\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2}Fe (SO\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2}, ethanol, acetone, methylene chloride (Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China).

2.3. Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}@SiO\textsubscript{2}@IL[MIM] PF\textsubscript{6} MNPs Preparation

The hydrophobic ionic liquid, 1-octyl-3-methylimidazole hexafluoro- phosphate ([OMIM] PF\textsubscript{6}) was prepared according to literature [16, 17]. Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} MNPs were prepared by conventional co-precipitation method [18, 19]. First, FeCl\textsubscript{3} (3.30 g) was dissolved in deionized water (80 mL) followed by addition of polyethylene glycol (40 mL, 10%, w/w) and of (NH\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2}Fe (SO\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2} (4.23 g) in water solution under stirring. Then ammonium hydroxide (8 mL, 26.5%, w/w) was added rapidly under vigorous stirring. The resultant solution was stirred (3000 r min\textsuperscript{-1}) at 80°C for 60 min. After cooling to room temperature, the obtained Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} precipitate was collected by an external magnetic field, washed with deionized water five times and dried at 60°C for 12 h in vacuum.

The preparation of Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}@SiO\textsubscript{2} was done according to literature. Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} (1.0 g) were dissolved in 200 mL of the ethanol and 50 mL of deionized water by sonication for 15 min, and then 4 mL ammonium hydroxide and 6 mL TEOS were added sequentially. The mixture was reacted for 6 h at 60°C under a continuous stirring. The resultant product was collected by an external magnetic field, and rinsed with deionized water and ethanol for six times thoroughly, and then dried in vacuum to obtain Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}@SiO\textsubscript{2}.

IL functionalized MNPs Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}@SiO\textsubscript{2} ([OMIM] PF\textsubscript{6} (Figure 2) were prepared according to process detailed in literature [20]. A 4.00 g of [OMIM] PF\textsubscript{6} was dissolved in 60.0 mL acetone, and then 3.00 g Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}@SiO\textsubscript{2} nanoparticles were added. Stir the mixture inside the fume hood until the acetone completely evaporated. The obtained Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}@SiO\textsubscript{2}([OMIM] PF\textsubscript{6} functionalized material was washed with methylene chloride and dried at 60°C under vacuum for 12 h. The solid was carefully grinded into powders, which were Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}@SiO\textsubscript{2}@IL[MIM] PF\textsubscript{6} MNPs [21].

2.4. Extraction Procedure

The extraction procedure was done as follow, 10.0 mL of the working solution or aqueous sample and 0.05 g of Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}@SiO\textsubscript{2}@IL[MIM] PF\textsubscript{6}-MNPs were transferred into a centrifuge tube, and the solution in the tube was subsequently shaken for 15 min at room temperature. Then, Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}@SiO\textsubscript{2}ILs adsorbed target ST was separated from the solution by an external magnetic field. The supernatant ST was analyzed using UV-2550-vis spectrophotometer at 246 nm.

2.5. Determination of the Isoelectric Point

Isoelectric point (IEP) shows the density of charge on metal oxide (Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}) along with the pH level, which is a predominant factor for adsorption of analyte. When the (Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}) surface is negative, the pH value will be above the IEP and vise versa [22].

2.6. Sample Preparation

20.0 g of 4 different manufactured tomato sauces were
weighted in 4 beakers, dissolved in 40.0 mL of ethanol + 1.0 mL of ammonia. Then 0.4 mL of The resultant clear solution from each type of tomato sauces was added to 1.5 g of IL [OMIM] PF₆, then 2.0 mL of NaCl 15% were added to each test tubes (10.0 mL) from 4 different types of samples.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Characterization of Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@ILs MNPs

3.1.1. FTIR Characterization

The infra red spectra of Fe₃O₄ (curve a), Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ (curve b) and Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@[OMIM] PF₆ (curve c) were illustrated in Figure 2. A. Compared curves of a and b, the peak at 1630 cm⁻¹ was due the propriety of Si-O-Si, then the peak at 750 cm⁻¹ might be attributed to the silanol groups (Si-OH) of silica, for FTIR spectra of Fe₃O₄@SiO₂, the peak at 550 cm⁻¹ was significantly reduced. But in the Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@[OMIM] PF₆, the peak at wave numbers 750 and 1360 cm⁻¹ were due to P-F stretching vibration of imidazole ring in [OMIM] PF₆-MNPs, which showed that Ionic liquid (IL) was well immobilized on the surface of the magnetic nanoparticles (Fe₃O₄@SiO₂).

3.1.2. Characterization by Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM)

The microscopic morphological structures which applied by SEM to differentiate and compare the external features of Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@[OMIM] PF₆ were shown in Figure 3, the SEM micrographs of Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ (A) and Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@[OMIM] PF₆ (B). Comparing (A) with (B), Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@[OMIM] PF₆ (B) showed a spongy, and coarse surface while Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ (A) showed a layer shape surface, with brightness and availability of macro pores. The modification of Fe₃O₄ MNPs made the ionic liquid, Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@[OMIM] PF₆ surface changed.

3.1.3. Thermo-Gravimetric Analysis (TGA)

Thermo-gravimetric analysis (TGA) revealed the weight loss process of the material, which indicated the difference between the Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ (a) and Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@[OMIM] PF₆ (b). In this work, TGA was conducted in a nitrogen atmosphere, and the heating rate employed was 5°C min⁻¹ all cases from 25 to 1000°C (Figure 4). The experimental results could be concluded that (1) the Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ (a) showed a mass loss of about 2.7% after heating to 240°C corresponding to the water content; (2) for the Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@[OMIM] PF₆ (b), an additional weight loss of 6.6% was observed from 240 to 750°C due to the decomposition of ILs in addition to 2.7% after heating to 240°C. This observation suggested that the ILs [OMIM] PF₆ had been coated on the surface of Fe₃O₄@SiO₂.

3.2. Adsorption Process

3.2.1. Efficiency of Extraction of Various MNPs

The efficiency of extraction for ST (E_{safranine}%) by Fe₃O₄, Fe₃O₄@SiO₂, IL and Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@[OMIM] PF₆ were evaluated in Figure 5. D. It was summarized as follows: (1) the priority of E_{safranine}% was like this: Fe₃O₄ < Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ < IL ([OMIM] PF₆) < Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@[OMIM] PF₆ within similar conditions; (2) (E_{safranine}%) on Fe₃O₄ was less than the other MNPs, because the (E_{safranine}%) possess IL hydrophobicity; (3) (E_{safranine}%) was higher when IL chain loaded and (E_{safranine}%) on Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@[OMIM] PF₆ showed the best efficiency of extraction, so, this compound was applied in the current work.

Figure 2. Infrared spectra (a) Fe₃O₄ (b) Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ (c) Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@IL (OMIM) PF₆.

Figure 3. SEM micrographs of (A) Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ (B) Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@IL.
3.2.2. pH Value

The pH value is it not only affecting the existing ST but also can change the density of the negative charge on the Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@[OMIM] PF₆ MNPs surface. Thus, it was necessary to investigate the pH value effect which was varied between "3.0 and 13.0" by Fe₃O₄ and Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@[OMIM] PF₆. Figure 6) the extraction efficiency for ST reached the maximum value at pH 7.0. According to the zeta potential of Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@[OMIM] PF₆ MNPs shown in (Figure 7), its particles have isoelectric point at pH 7.0, which was also the maximum extraction efficiency for ST. It illustrated that the main effect of ST on Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@[OMIM] PF₆ MNPs was the hydrophobic effect of ionic liquid. Therefore pH 7.0 was chosen.

3.2.3. Extraction Temperature

The extraction efficiency of ST, on Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@[OMIM] PF₆ at various temperatures (0.10-60.0°C) were investigated. The efficiency of extraction of ST by Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@[OMIM] PF₆ was higher, the extraction was exothermic when temperature increases, the analytes will be desorbed [23], the highest extraction efficiency was found at 40°C. Therefore, experiments were done at 40°C. Figure 8.

3.2.4. Ionic Strength

The extraction efficiency of ST increased with the NaCl concentration above 25% because of the NaCl salting effect [24]. In addition to this, the hydrophobic attraction was higher when NaCl concentration was high. and adsorption efficiency decreasing when NaCl was above 25%, which attributed to increasing of sample viscosity because it decreases the transference of ST from the bulk sample to the extractant [25]. Therefore, 25% (w/v) of NaCl was selected.

3.2.5. Sample Volume

The extraction efficiency of ST varied with the increase of sample amount. The amount of the sample increased from 10.0 mL to 90.0 mL. The efficiency of adsorption of ST was above 94% from 10.0 to 80.0 mL and went down slightly when sample amount was higher than 80.0 mL. Therefore the sample volume 80.0 mL was selected.

3.2.6. Adsorption Time

The extraction process was finished in 15.0 min., the adsorption efficiency remained almost stable (85.0%) along with increasing time, so 15.0 min was selected as the extraction time for ST. (Figure 9).
3.3. Adsorption Capacity

The capacity of adsorption is known as highest volume of ST which extracted by one gram of the Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@[OMIM] PF₆ MNPs. The capacity of adsorption for ST by this MNPs was studied. When the ST amount was 50.0 µg mL⁻¹, and it reached the highest value. therefore it was found to be 15.34 mg g⁻¹ for Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@[OMIM] PF₆. (Figure 10).

3.4. Elution Process

3.4.1. Eluent Type

Various eluents were studied in this work, their elution efficiency was ordered as follow: ethanol > methanol > cetyltrimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB) > sodium dodecyl sulfonate (SDS) > NaOH (0.1 mol. L⁻¹) > HCl (0.1 mol. L⁻¹). So ethanol was selected. (Figure 11)

The effect ethanol volume on the elution efficiency of ST was studied. The finding showed that it was higher than 98% when ethanol amount was 4.0 mL, so the volume 4.0 mL was selected for the eluent.

3.4.2. Elution Time

The elution process was finished in 10.0 min, elution efficiency did not changed until it reached 85% thereafter. Elution time of 10.0 min was selected for ST elution.

3.4.3. Elution Temperature

Elution efficiency for ST at various temperatures (5–60°C) was observed. which was increased with increasing temperature from below 15°C. Then it was higher than 85% and decreased from 20 to 60°C. Therefore, 15°C was selected.

3.5. The Reusability of Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@ [OMIM] PF₆

In order to investigate the recycling of the Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@[OMIM] PF₆, they were washed with 2.0 mL ethanol two times after any MSPE run and assembled with ILs. and subsequently assembled with IL. Each re-prepared adsorbent was used for MSPE. The experimental results were shown in (Figure 12) with no loss of the sorption capacity occurred after ten times of recycling. These results indicated that the self-assembly did not influence the stability of the Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@[OMIM] PF₆ MNPs for reusability.

3.6. Evaluation of Interferents

The effect of interferents which food samples may contain
on separation of ST in the availability of interferents was investigated. The limit of tolerance for different interferents were found as follow, SO_4^{2-}, NO_3^-, was 500, Citrate was 250, Br\textsuperscript{-}, glucose was 100, Zn\textsuperscript{2+}, Cu\textsuperscript{2+} was 50, Bright yellow, sunset yellow, Rhodamine B was 20 and for Allura red, congo red, was 10. The results showed that most of the foreign material in samples had no interference in ST separation.

3.7. Analytical Performance of the Method

Under optimum conditions described above, The proposed method introduced wide linearity within the concentration range of 15-350 ng mL\textsuperscript{-1}. With a correlation coefficient of 0.9991, the equations of calibration graph was A (absorbance) = 0.04+0.13c (µg mL\textsuperscript{-1}). The detection limit, was 0.37ng mL\textsuperscript{-1} (RSD = 5.1%). The pre-concentration factor, defined as the quotient of volume before absorption and after elution, was 10-fold.

3.8. Separation of ST in Food Samples

This method was introduced to separate the ST amounts in different types of tomato sauces samples. The amounts of ST in tomato sauces were measured up to the national standard. To further verify the viability of the method, recovery experiments were carried out, the results were ranged from 97.5% to 101.2%, which was satisfactory (Table 1).

Table 1. The recoveries of safranine T in different of tomato sauce samples (n=3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Added / mg. kg\textsuperscript{-1}</th>
<th>Found / mg. kg\textsuperscript{-1}</th>
<th>Recovery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tomato sauce A</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.95\textsuperscript{1}</td>
<td>97.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>99.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.00\textsuperscript{1}</td>
<td>8.04</td>
<td>100.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomato sauce B</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>98.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>4.85</td>
<td>100.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>9.67</td>
<td>99.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomato sauce C</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>99.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>101.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>7.88</td>
<td>98.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomato sauce D</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>98.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>100.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>7.90</td>
<td>98.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.9. Comparison with Other Methods

In (Table 2) the linear range and the limit of detection for separation of ST in real samples was listed. This method had LOD similar to the ultra performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry UPLC-MS/MS method. However, UPLC-MS/MS needs complicated tools, using toxic eluents, intensive preparation of sample process and professional technicians. Compared with other reported methods, the method adopted is better than the UPLC-MS/MS method of rapidity, satisfactory linear range and limit of detection.

Table 2. Comparison with the results in other literatures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>LR (ng/mL L\textsuperscript{-1})</th>
<th>LOD (ng/mL L\textsuperscript{-1})</th>
<th>Ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HPLC fluorescence</td>
<td>1000 - 5000</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>[26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPLC-MS/MS</td>
<td>10 - 500</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>[27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorescence</td>
<td>100 - 4000</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>[28]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSPE / UV spectrophotometry</td>
<td>15 - 350</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>This method</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Conclusion

In this work, Fe_3O_4@SiO_2@[OMIM] PF_6 was synthesized as MSPE adsorbent coupled with UV-visible spectrophotometry to separate ST in food samples. ILs as possible environmentally friendly solvent can obtain good extraction efficiency. The magnetic separation greatly improved the separation rate and reduced the analysis time. This introduced method for the separation of Safranine T from real samples was proved to be simpler, faster, lower cost and satisfactory.
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