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Abstract: Thrombophilia is a situation characterized by an increased tendency to thrombosis. The main objective of this work is to report on the experience of the Haematology Laboratory of the Avicenne Military Hospital of Marrakech, through a retrospective descriptive study carried out over a period of 9 years, on 200 requests for a thrombophilia check-up; and secondly, to discuss its indications and interest in the etiological diagnosis of unexplained thrombosis in the light of the latest recommendations. The thrombogenic risk factor most commonly found in our study was PS deficiency, in contrast to the predominance of Factor V mutation in Western countries, hence the importance of emphasizing that in clinical practice, the first-line thrombophilia assessment should always combine, in accordance with the latest recommendations: inhibitor deficiencies, F V and F II mutations, antiphospholipid antibodies and possibly F VIII determination.
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1. Introduction

Thrombophilia is a state of hypercoagulability that predisposes to thrombotic events. We distinguish between constitutional and acquired thrombophilia [1-2].

The constitutional thrombophilia assessment traditionally looks for deficiencies in coagulation inhibitors including antithrombin, protein C and protein S, as well as the Leiden mutation of factor V and the G20210A mutation of prothrombin [3].

Obviously, the search for these constitutional anomalies must not hide the need to search for acquired pathologies such as the presence of cancer or antiphospholipid syndrome, and secondly, other less frequent etiologies whose relevance is often debated such as factor VIII elevation, dysfibrinogenesis, fibrinolytic system anomalies and hyperhomocysteinemia [4-5].

The main objective of this work was to determine the epidemiological profile of thrombophilia in the region of Marrakech, and secondly to discuss the indications for the thrombophilia balance and its interest in the etiological diagnosis of unexplained thrombosis in the light of the latest recommendations.

2. Patients and Methods

Our work is a retrospective study, descriptive over a period of 9 years, from 2010 to 2018, on 200 requests for thrombophilia screening, received at the Laboratory of Hematology at the Avicenne Military Hospital in Marrakech.

Included in our study were all patients who had a biological check-up for thrombophilia following a venous thrombotic episode, unexplained arterial thrombosis, repeated fetal loss and risk situations (pregnancy with a history of venous thrombosis).

The informations were collected from the medical files and
processed using a farm return. Data entry and analysis was performed using EXCEL software and a descriptive method using simple variables such as percentages and averages.

Samples were taken in accordance with the conditions of the pre-analytical phase. The various parameters were measured using calibrated and controlled automatons; thus the measurement of protein C (PC), protein S (PS), antithrombin (AT) and factor VIII activity was done by chronometric method. The search for the mutation of factor V (FV) and factor II (FII) was carried out by PCR technique. The detection of anti-phospholipid antibodies (APL) was performed by measuring diluted Russel Viper Venom Time and calculating the Rosner Index.

3. Results

3.1. Age and Gender

Over the study period, we collected 200 cases. The average age of the patients was 39 years with extremes ranging from 3 to 60 years and a predominance of the age group under 45 years. 95 patients were male (47.5%) compared to 105 female (52.5%) with an M/F sex ratio of 0.9. The majority of requests for thrombophilia screening came from hospitalizations in different departments (84% of cases) (Figure 1).

3.2. The Circumstances of the Diagnosis

The main diagnostic circumstances that motivated the request for a check-up were deep veinous thrombosis, which represented 45.5%, followed by ischemic stroke in 29.5% of cases, followed by pulmonary embolism, cerebral thrombophlebitis and repeated miscarriages, which represented 10%, 9% and 6% of requests respectively (Figure 2).
3.3. Distribution of the Requested Reports

Various tests were prescribed, the balance combining the search for the activity of protein C, protein S and antithrombin was the most requested (64.5%). Figure 3 shows the distribution of the different balance sheets requested.

3.4. Distribution of Biological Anomalies

Among the 200 tests carried out, 91 tests or 45.5% were positive, divided into several anomalies: the isolated deficit in PS ranked first with 28 cases (30.7%), followed by the presence of anti phospholipid antibodies with 16 cases (17.6%), the isolated deficit in PC with 12 cases (13.2%), combined protein C and S deficiency with 11 patients (12.1%), F VIII elevation with 7 patients, Antithrombin deficiency with 5 patients, factor V mutation with 5 patients also, combined AT, PC and PS deficiency with 4 patients, while factor II mutation represented only 3% of all abnormalities (Figure 4).

3.5. Distribution of Anomalies According to Diagnosis

Out of 129 reports sent following venous thrombosis, 59 were positive or 45.7%. The most frequent abnormalities were combined PC and PS deficiency and the presence of APL antibodies in 11 patients (18.7%), followed by PS deficiency (13.5%), PC deficiency (13.5%) and FVIII elevation (12%); AT deficiency and FII mutation were the least frequent and were only recorded in 3 patients (Figure 5).
In addition, among the 59 requests received following ischemic stroke, 24 were positive or 40.6%; divided into 20 cases of isolated PS deficiency (83.3%) and 4 cases of isolated PC deficiency (16.6%) (Figure 6).

As for the thrombophilia test motivated by repeated miscarriages in 12 patients, it was positive in 8 cases, 5 of which had the presence of APL antibodies, two had an AT deficiency and one had a F V Leiden mutation (Figure 7).
4. Discussion

4.1. The Age

According to the literature; the notion of thrombophilia is applied to thromboses occurring before the age of 45. In our study, the age of the patients was around 39 years and 70% were under 45 years of age, which is consistent with the literature [1-2].

4.2. The Gender

Gender is not involved in the determinism of thrombophilia, studies mainly assess the effect of gender on the risk of recurrence [3]. In our study, there is a slight female predominance with a sex ratio of 0.9.

4.3. The Time of the Thrombophilia Check-Up

The demand for thrombophilia screening during patient hospitalization was 84% compared to only 16% from outpatient clinics. Knowing that tests should not be performed at the acute phase of thrombosis due to the patient’s inflammatory status and the initiation of anticoagulant therapy, false positive results may lead to the diagnosis of a deficiency that the patient may not have, and normal results may provide false confidence; this results in repeated tests and unnecessary cost increases. Although the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) test for factor V Leiden mutation and G20210A prothrombin gene mutation is reliable in any clinical setting, it is not necessary to request thrombophilia screening tests in the emergency department or during hospitalization for an acute thrombotic event, as the initial management will not change following such tests [4].

4.4. Indications of the Thrombophilia Test

Currently, learned societies are in constant debate about the relevance of performing a thrombophilia assessment in venous thromboembolic disease (VTED); with recommendations in recent years ranging from no assessment or a very limited assessment by the British Committee for Standards in Hematology to much more permissive recommendations by the International Consensus Statement [4-5].

In France, the Study Group on Hemostasis and Thrombosis and the French Society of Vascular Diseases has issued recommendations proposing to carry out a thrombophilia assessment in the event of a first episode of VTED (proximal deep vein thrombosis and/or pulmonary embolism) idiopathic before the age of 60. The European Society Of Cardiology considers an event to be idiopathic in the absence of provoking factors such as surgery, trauma, immobilization, pregnancy, taking oral contraception or hormone replacement within 6 weeks to 3 months before diagnosis [6-7].

Currently, all the data tend to limit the thrombophilia assessment to very specific situations. Recommendations from the British Society of Hematology have been published, integrating epidemiological elements and their therapeutic implications, and defining three groups of patients for whom thrombophilia research is discussed [8] (Table 1). Indeed, the interest of the thrombophilia assessment is clearly relevant in the case of pulmonary embolism or proximal deep vein thrombosis (DVT), whose potential severity and risk of recurrence are known; but it is more difficult to decide when it comes to less serious events, such as distal vein thrombosis or superficial venous thrombosis. The probability of finding thrombophilia appears to be lower with leg DVT, according to the results of two retrospective studies by Caprini et al; 42% of patients with distal vein thrombosis had thrombophilia compared to 61% of all patients with DVT [9]. In the study by Martinelli et al; the proportion of distal vein thrombosis was 6% in patients with a Leiden factor V mutation, 7% in patients with a prothrombin gene mutation and 16% in patients without thrombophilia [10]. In this context, it is not clear what a search for constitutional thrombophilia could lead to a decision on the duration of treatment whose necessity is not clearly demonstrated. On the other hand, the search for antiphospholipid antibodies could be useful, even in cases of distal vein thrombosis, as their detection would lead to the long-term continuation of anticoagulants [11].

Table 1. Indications of the thrombophilia assessment [8].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommended assessment</th>
<th>Assessment to be discussed</th>
<th>Assessment not recommended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Recurrent VTE</td>
<td>1. Asymptomatic parent subject of an index patient with protein C, S or AT deficiency or factor V Leiden mutation</td>
<td>1. Systematic screening of the general population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. VTE before age 45 (including with transient risk factor)</td>
<td>2. Asymptomatic female related in the first degree to a subject with thrombophilia or a history of VTE, AND considering hormone therapy or pregnancy</td>
<td>2. Before the prescription of hormonal treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Unusual localization of thrombosis (cerebral, mesenteric, portal)</td>
<td>3. Arterial thrombosis in a young subject without atherosclerosis or acquired thrombophilia</td>
<td>3. In the newborn baby</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Gestational complications At least two unexplained early fetal losses</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Eclampsia, severe pre-eclampsia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In our study; the majority of thrombophilia check-up prescriptions (45.5%) were following DVT (91 patients), which is consistent with the literature. 29.5% were requested following arterial thrombosis, 10% following pulmonary embolism, 9% following cerebral thrombophlebitis and only 6% or 12 cases of repeated miscarriages, whereas current data in the literature suggest that women with a history of obstetric complications without obvious cause should have a hemostasis test even in the absence of a personal and/or family thrombotic history. In a study of 494 patients at the
University Hospital of Reindeer, 45.5% of the assessments were referred for venous thrombosis, 13.2% for arterial thrombosis and 11.7% for gynaeco-obstetrical complications [12].

4.5. The Abnormalities to Be Investigated During Thrombophilia

The search for thrombophilia, when recommended, should focus on all the anomalies responsible, as there is no clinical characteristic to guide us towards a particular anomaly. This assessment should be carried out ideally before starting anticoagulant treatment or at a distance (one month) from stopping it. Indeed, antivitamins K disrupt the dosages of proteins C and S, and heparin reduces the antithrombin. Abnormalities found during hormonal treatment or gestation should be monitored remotely. The first step consists of performing a blood count for an acquired abnormality, an inflammatory test, the search for a lupus anticoagulant and antcardiolipin antibodies. The search for a constitutional anomaly according to a recent consensus will only include the search for a deficiency in coagulation inhibitors by phenotypic determination of AT, PC and PS, and by genotypic testing for mutations in factor V Leiden and factor II; however, this test for a constitutional anomaly as described, is positive in only 50% of cases. Other biological abnormalities have been investigated and identified; among them factor VIII elevation and hyperhomocysteineemia, their systematic investigation was not recommended at first intention due to their low prevalence [13] (Table 2).

Table 2. Recommended thrombophilia check-ups [13].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First-line thrombophilia research</th>
<th>Second-line assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Search for TA deficiency (activity);</td>
<td>1. Neoplasia, myeloproliferative syndrome,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Search for protein C deficiency (activity);</td>
<td>nephrotic syndrome, inflammatory bowel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Search for protein S deficiency (activity and free protein S);</td>
<td>diseases, connectivity;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Determination of factor VIII;</td>
<td>2. Interrogation and clinical examination,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Search for the G20210A mutation of factor II;</td>
<td>proteinuria research, NFS, immunological</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Search for the mutation factor V Leiden);</td>
<td>assessment and imaging, JAK mutation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Search for antiphospholipid antibodies.</td>
<td>research according to clinical orientation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In our study, various tests were requested. The most frequently prescribed assessment included only the search for the activity of CP, PS and AT (64.5%). Antiphospholipid antibodies were requested in 11.5% of cases, the search for the mutation of F V and F II was 9.5% of cases, the balance combining the determination of the three coagulation inhibitors with the determination of factor VIII and the search for the mutation of F V represented only 7% and 7.5% respectively of all requests.

In our series, 45.5% of patients were diagnosed with a biological abnormality; this is consistent with the literature, where it is reported that 30 to 50% of deficits are present in patients with at least one thrombotic episode [14-15].

4.5.1. Antithrombin Deficiency

 Constitutional deficiencies in AT are due to genetic abnormalities defining two types of deficits: quantitative type I deficiency and qualitative type II deficiency, during which the protein is normally secreted but with functional abnormalities, with low levels by chromogenic method and normal by immunological method. In addition; AT deficiency may be due to acquired disorders such as: hepatocellular insufficiency disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC), nephrotic syndrome, in which case the deficiency is reversible upon treatment of the underlying etiology [16]. Among the subjects who present a thrombophilia table, an AT deficiency is found in 0.5 to 4.9% of cases, which was the case in our study; Out of 200 cases, 9 patients had an AT deficiency, or 4.5% of cases.

4.5.2. The Deficit in PC and/or PS

Deficiencies in PC and/or PS may be of genetic origin, quantitative (type I 90%) with normal activity and low concentration, or qualitative (type II 10%) with normal concentration and low activity, or acquired as a result of hepactocellular failure, DIC, AVK treatment or inflammatory syndrome [17]. In our study; PC and PS deficiency was noted in 13.5% and 21.5% of cases respectively, results close to those of a Tunisian study and an Algerian series which found respectively 20.1% and 11% of patients with PC deficiency, 33.8% and 17.5% of cases with PS deficiency, unlike a study in France that targeted a prevalence of PC and PS deficiency in symptomatic patient groups in 3% and 3 to 6% of cases respectively, while its prevalence in the general population is between 0.003 and 0.13% for PS deficiency and only 0.3% for PC deficiency [17-18]. This discrepancy between our results and the literature data could probably be due to a high percentage of people with PC and/or PS deficiencies in the Maghreb population.

4.5.3. The Search for Anti-phospholipid Antibodies

As for the detection of APL antibodies, it was positive in 8% of patients, similar to an American study that showed the presence of lupus anticoagulants in 8% to 14% of patients with DVT [19]. The relative risk of thrombosis and thromboembolic recurrence is in the order of 10 and 3 respectively; this risk appears to be more correlated with the presence of lupus anticoagulants than with antcardiolipin [20]. Anticoagulant treatment may interfere with the detection of circulating anticoagulant, when the latter causes spontaneous prolongation of TCA and is confirmed by TCA with the addition of excess phospholipids (STACLLOT LA).

4.5.4. The Determination of Factor VIII

For years, factor VIII testing was only used in familial hemophilia A. Recently; the Leiden team showed that the
increase in factor VIII could be associated with an increased risk of venous thrombosis [21]. Other studies have shown that the increase in blood factor VIII levels in some patients who have had DVT persists over time and is not due to any known etiology [21-22-23-24]. This is a likely constitutional anomaly with a prevalence ranging from 1.4% to 4% in the general population, and from 6% to 14% in the population with a history of thrombosis [25]. In our study 7 patients had an elevation of factor VIII or 3.5% but the dosage of this factor was performed only in 7% of our sample which is not very representative.

4.5.5. The Search for the Mutation of Factor V and Factor II
this was the case for the mutation of F V and F II also which represented 3% and 1.5% of our patients respectively. According to the literature, their frequency in France in patients with a history of thrombosis is 20% for F V mutation and 6.2% for F II mutation, while in Africa; these anomalies are rare [26-27-28]. In the case of resistance to activated protein C (PCa), which results in the absence of prolongation of activated cephalin time in the presence of PCa, molecular biology research of FV Leiden remains essential to establish the heterozygous or homozygous character of the mutation; this distinction is very important because if the relative risk of thrombosis is three to eight in the case of heterozygosis for the mutation, it is 80 in the case of homozygosity and the risk of thrombotic recurrence is greater [29-30].

4.5.6. The Therapeutic and Prognostic Impact of
Thrombophilia Research in Thromboembolic Disease
Our study was limited to establishing the epidemiological profile of thrombophilia by reporting the various anomalies encountered in our study population, in addition; other studies were interested in evaluating the therapeutic and prognostic impact of thrombophilia research in thromboembolic disease. Indeed, the main problem in VTED patients is the assessment of the optimal duration of treatment and therefore of long-term anticoagulation. This is mainly based on the analysis of the risk of recurrence of thrombosis by counterbalancing the risk of haemorrhagic complications related to treatment. While inherited thrombophilias do not increase the risk of VTE recurrence with anticoagulant therapy, their role in stopping anticoagulation is controversial. However, survival is not impaired in thrombophilic patients. Pabinger et al. evaluated mortality in the multicentre prospective European Prospective Cohort on Thrombophilia (EPCOT) study and did not find any decrease in survival in patients with thrombophilia. These data suggest that hereditary thrombophilia should not alter the duration of anticoagulation since there is no change in long-term survival. In addition, there are other factors, mainly clinical factors, which strongly influence the risk of recurrence and must adjust the duration of anticoagulation. The most fundamental element to look for is a thrombosis triggering factor since the recurrence rates of VTED at 5 years are 40% and 16% respectively, whether the first episode is spontaneous or induced. Other clinical risk factors include advanced age at first episode, male sex, proximal nature of DVT, occurrence of a pulmonary embolism, existence of at least 2 thromboembolic episodes, cancer, filter cell or post-thrombotic syndrome. Paraclinical risk factors are also reported: persistence of residual thrombosis, high fibrinogen concentration, elevation of factor VIII, factor IX or D-dimers when anticoagulants are stopped. Thus, the genetic factor only intervenes as a second intention in the decision to anticoagulate in the long term and does not supplant clinical risk factors in VTED [31].

5. Conclusion
All current data tend to limit the thrombophilia outcome to very specific situations, such as in women with unexplained repeated miscarriages, and in young patients following an idiopathic thromboembolic event, particularly when the family history is suggestive of an inherited disorder and the location of thrombosis is unusual.

The thrombogenic risk factor most commonly found in our study was PS deficiency, in contrast to the predominance of F V mutation in Western countries, hence the importance of emphasizing that in clinical practice, the first-line thrombophilia assessment should always combine, in accordance with the latest recommendations: inhibitor deficiencies, F V and F II mutations, APL antibodies and possibly F VIII determination.

The thrombophilia assessment, in order to be interpretable and to reflect the epidemiological profile of these abnormalities in the population, must be carried out in accordance with the requirements of the pre-analytical phase. Although its cost is high and its benefit in terms of therapeutic management is low; the desire of patients and doctors to highlight an etiology could explain the majority of thrombophilia tests performed; which under no circumstances should generate illegitimate concern among patients.
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