Gender, but not CYP2C19 genotypes and CYP3A phenotypes, is a major determinant of ilaprazole pharmacokinetic
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Abstract: The purpose of the study was to assess the impact of CYP2C19 genotypes, CYP3A phenotypes and gender-related difference on the pharmacokinetics of new proton pump inhibitor ilaprazole. Twenty-four healthy Chinese volunteers (age 24.0 ± 1.9 years) were enrolled in an open-label study stratified for gender (12 males and 12 females) and their CYP2C19 genotype (12 of CYP2C19*1/*1 and 12 of CYP2C19*1/*2 or *1/*3). After a single 10-mg dose of ilaprazole was administrated, blood samples were collected at time 0, 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 12, 24, 36h from all subjects. Ilaprazole and its metabolite sulfone-ilaprazole plasma concentrations were measured using the well-validated HPLC/MS/MS method. CYP 3A phenotype was determined by the classic CYP3A probe drug midazolam one week after the clinical trial. The kinetics characteristics of ilaprazole and sulfone-ilaprazole were significantly influenced by gender. The clearance/systemic bioavailability (CL/F) of ilaprazole was much lower in female than in male (2.5 ± 1.0 versus 3.7 ± 1.6 h⁻¹, P = 0.029), difference became more significant even after corrected by body weight (P = 0.008). However, the differences on half-life, AUC₀–36 and AUC₀→∞ of ilaprazole between genders were not significantly after normalized by body weight. As for sulfone-ilaprazole, larger AUC₀–36 and AUC₀→∞ of ilaprazole were detected in female when compared with male (406.8 ± 126.3 vs. 246.7 ± 70.0 ng · h/ml, P = 0.007, and 606.7 ± 224.5 vs. 332.0 ± 117.1 ng · h/ml, P = 0.001), discrepancies were still significant after corrected by total body weight, P value were 0.017 and 0.010 respectively. The pharmacokinetics parameters of ilaprazole and ilaprazole sulfone were neither different across CYP2C19 genotype groups nor related to CYP3A phenotype. CL/F of ilaprazole were much smaller in women than in men even after adjusted by body weight, indicating great effect of gender on the pharmacokinetics of ilaprazole. CYP2C19 genotypes and CYP3A phenotypes did not affect the pharmacokinetics of ilaprazole or sulfone-ilaprazole.
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1. Introduction

Proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) are widely used in the treatment of gastric and duodenal ulcer, reflux oesophagitis and Zollinger–Ellison syndrome [1-3]. PPIs are structurally similar benzimidazole derivatives, which pharmacological effects is based on inhibition of the H⁺/K⁺−ATPase in the membrane of gastric parietal cells. With similar structure, newly developed drug Ilaprazole has been verified by several papers to have better therapeutic outcomes and little adverse effects [4]. However, the factor that may impact ilaprazole pharmacokinetics need to be distinguished, for so many factors, like CYP2C19 genotypes[5, 6], have been taken great clinical concern when acid-related diseases are being treated with classic PPIs.

Several studies have indicated that cytochrome P450 2C19...
(CYP2C19) is responsible for the formation of hydroxylation metabolites of omeprazole [7] and lansoprazole [8] and the demethylation metabolite of rebeprazole [9], whereas, CYP3A plays a critical role in the sulfoxidation of all three drugs using human liver microsomes in vitro [7-9]. A recent study with rats liver using on-line HPLC/ESI mass spectrometry also found two metabolites of ilaprazole, a major product, ilaprazole sulfone, and a minor product, hydroxyl-ilaprazole [10], implying that ilaprazole might be dominantly metabolized in the liver by CYP3A and partially by CYP2C19.

Recently, Cho et al [11] reported in one study only involved 4 subjects with the CYP3A5*1/*1 genotype and 3 subjects with the CYP3A5*1/*3 genotype, that CYP3A5*3 genetic polymorphism had no impact on the disposition of ilaprazole and sulfone metabolite, moreover, it did not correlate with intragastric pH elevation, which supported the finding of Li’s [12], which also demonstrated no relationship between ilaprazole metabolism and CYP3A3 polymorphism in 24 subjects. However, compared with CYP3A4, CYP3A5 only plays a minor role [13], which can not substitute the total activity of CYP3A. Therefore, to obtain more accurate information, we used the classic phenotypic indicator plasma 1OH-hydroxymidazolam/ midazolam ratio after given 4 hours given oral midazolam (15mg), known as metabolic ratio (MR) to indicate the function of total “CYP3A” subfamily in both liver and intestine [14]. It has been long verified that CYP2C19 plays the most crucial role in catalyzing omeprazole and lansoprazole to form hydroxyl metabolites in the liver. In the case of ilaprazole, hydroxyl ilaprazole is minor product, so CYP2C19 is unlikely to be predominant for ilaprazole biotransformation. In addition, two previous studies have reported that CYP2C19*2 or *3 (2 common SNPs found in CYP2C19) polymorphism did not associated with ilaprazole disposition [11, 12]. However, whether ilaprazole is less influenced by CYP2C19 genotype and can be use more suitable to combination with CYP2C19 substrate like clopidogrel need to be further verified.

Clinical vital differences in therapeutic response based on gender distinction seem to be rare in pharmacokinetics, since differences of pharmacokinetics parameters will be minimized after normalizing total body weight in most cases [15, 16]. However, significant differences in kinetics after accounting for body weight may bring on clinical divergences in the effectiveness and toxicity between genders. The US Food and Drug Administration had approved that more than 250 new drug studies for gender-related pharmacokinetics was necessary. To date, no data are available concerning the effect of gender on ilaprazole pharmacokinetics.

Present study aimed to take CYP2C19 genotypes, CYP3A phenotypes and sex factor into account to the pharmacokinetics of ilaprazole and its major metabolite sulfone ilaprazole in order to make a comprehensive understanding of ilaprazole pharmacokinetics in healthy Chinese subjects.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials and Reagents

Ilaprazole and sulfone-ilaprazole standards were obtained from the Livzon pharmaceutical Group Inc (purity: 99.1% Zhuhai, China), ilaprazole tablets were supplied by Livzon pharmaceutical Group Inc (Zhuhai, China). Midazolam, 1OH-midazolam and internal standard propranolol and omeprazole were brought from Sigma Chemical Co. (purity: 99.0% St. Louis). Midazolam tablets were from Roche (Shanghai, China). HPLC-grade acetonitrile; methanol and methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE) were purchased from Dikma Comp (Guangzhou, China). HPLC-grade water was from a Milli-Q system (Millipore, USA). All other reagents were of analytical grade.

2.2. Study Subjects

Twenty-four healthy nonsmoking Chinese subjects were enrolled in the study based on their CYP2C19 genotype that had screened previously by using the PCR-restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) method, with minor modifications described by Li [12]. Due to no homozygous of CYP2C19*2 or *3 polymorphisms were found, all subjects were divided into 2 groups on the basis of CYP2C19 genotype: homozygous (CYP2C19*1/*1) extensive metabolizers group (hom EMs) and (CYP2C19*1/*3 or CYP*1/*2) heterozygous extensive metabolizers (het EMs), and each group was matched for gender, therefore, there were 6 men and 6 women in each group. This study was approved by the permission of the Xiangya institutional review boards and with the informed consent of all the subjects. Demographic characteristics of all subjects enrolled in this study are summarized in Table 1.

Subjects were healthy according to their medical history, physical examination and laboratory screening that including blood chemistries screen, liver function test, urinalysis and electrocardiogram. Exclusion criteria included any use of medication within 2 weeks prior to the commencement of the study, usage of tobacco or alcohol during the study, and clinically significant illness within 3 months before the study. A physical examination and a determination of common laboratory parameters for hematological, hepatic and renal functions were performed before, during and after the study to monitor the safety of drug treatment. Female subjects were not taking oral contraceptives and nursing or pregnant women were excluded.

2.3. Study Protocols

After 12h fasting, all subjects received ilaprazole 10mg (5mg tablets, 2 pills) with 200ml tap water on the next morning. 8ml blood samples were collected before (0 min) and at 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 12, 24, 36h after ilaprazole administration, meals is served at 4 h after dosing. The whole blood sample was centrifuged at 2500×g for 10 minutes immediately, upper layer serums were transferred to polyethylene tubes and stored at -80°C until analysis.
2.4. CYP3A Phenotyping

CYP3A activity was determined for all volunteers one week after the ilaprazole was given using probe drug midazolam. The midazolam and total (unconjugated and conjugated) 1OH-hydroxymidazolam concentrations were measured in a blood sample drawn 4 h after the oral administration of 15mg midazolam (7.5mg tablet, 2 pills), and the midazolam metabolic ratio (MR), 1OH-hydroxymidazolam/midazolam ratio, was calculated [14, 17, 18].

2.5. Analytic Methods

The quantitative determination of ilaprazole and its metabolite were based on an improved LC/MS/MS method published by Zhou et al [10]. Briefly, the chromatographic condition included a Thermo HyPURITY C18 column (150×2.1 mm, 5 μm) with a mobile phase consisting of 10 mmol/L ammonium formate water-acetonitrile solution (50:50, v/v) at a flow rate of 0.25 ml/min. The API4000 triple quadruple mass spectrometer was performed in multiple reactions monitoring mode via positive electrospray ionization interface using the transition m/z 367.2 → m/z 184.0 for ilaprazole, m/z 383.3 → m/z 184.1 for ilaprazole sulfone, and m/z 346.2 → m/z 198.0 for omeprazole (internal standard).

The method displayed linearity over the concentration range of 0.23-2400.00 ng/ml for ilaprazole, 0.06-45.00 ng/ml for ilaprazole sulfone. The minimum limits of quantification were 0.23 and 0.05 ng/ml for ilaprazole and ilaprazole sulfone, respectively. The intra- and inter-day precisions were all less than 15% in terms of relative standard deviation (RSD), and the accuracy was within 15% in terms of relative error (RE) for ilaprazole, ilaprazole sulfone.

LC-MS equipment with ESI source and Waters Xterra C18 column (150 mm×2.1 mm, 5μm) were used in the experiment of midazolam concentration analysis reported previously. The column temperature was set at 40°C. 10mmol·L⁻¹ ammonium formate-acetonitrile (40:60, v/v) was used as mobile phase and the flow rate was 0.2mL·min⁻¹. The sample room temperature was set at 5°C and the injection volume was 20μL. Midazolam and 1-hydroxymidazolam were linear range from 0.56-287ng·mL⁻¹ and 0.56-285ng·mL⁻¹, respectively, the limitation of detection for midazolam and 1-hydroxymidazolam were about 0.14ng·mL⁻¹ and 0.28ng·mL⁻¹.

2.6. Pharmacokinetic Analysis

The pharmacokinetic parameters were calculated according to a noncompartmental model using Winnonlin (Pharsight Corporation, ver.3.0, Mountain View, CA). The peak concentration (C_max), and the time of peak concentration (T_max) were directly analyzed from the visual inspection plasma concentration-time data. The elimination rate constant (λ) were obtained by the least square fitted terminal log-linear portion of the slope of the plasma concentration-time profile, the elimination half-life (t_1/2) was evaluated according to 0.693/λ. The area under the plasma concentration-time curve (AUC_0-∞) was evaluated by the linear trapezoidal rule and further extrapolated to infinity by dividing the last measurable concentration by λ as the equation: AUC_0-∞=AUC_0-t+C∞/λ.

2.7. Statistical Analysis

All data are expressed as mean ± S.D. throughout the text, tables and figures. Statistical comparisons between different groups were carried out by one-way ANOVA or non-parametric Wilcoxon signed rank sum test when there is no variance homogeneity. Simple linear correlation analysis was used to evaluate the relationship between CYP3A phenotype and pharmacokinetics parameters of ilaprazole and sulfone ilaprazole. The results were analyzed using the statistical program SPSS 13.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL). Differences were considered statistically significant at a two-tail p value less than 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Effects of Gender on the Pharmacokinetics of Ilaprazole and Ilaprazole Sulfone

Twenty-four volunteers enrolled in this study according to their CYP2C19 genotype, all of them completed the study under the well performed protocol. Mean ± Standard deviation (SD) of height and weight were 171.6 ± 6.4 cm and 63.9 ± 7.8 kg for men versus 158.1 ± 3.9 cm and 51.5 ± 4.9 kg for female (P < 0.0001 for each), whereas age and BMI value did not differ between genders (shown in Table 1). No severe adverse events or clinically relevant deviations of laboratory parameters occurred among all subjects.

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the healthy Chinese subjects enrolled in study (n=24)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>CYP2C19 Genotype</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Height (cm)</th>
<th>Body Weight (kg)</th>
<th>BMI (kg/cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1:1(n=12)</td>
<td>21.8±2.7</td>
<td>171.6±6.4</td>
<td>63.9±7.8</td>
<td>20.5±1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1:1(n=12)</td>
<td>20.5±1.7</td>
<td>158.1±3.9*</td>
<td>51.5±4.9*</td>
<td>21.6±2.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are given as mean ± SD
* P < 0.0001

After oral administration of 10mg ilaprazole, ilaprazole and sulfone-ilaprazole could be detected and assessed. Plasma levels of ilaprazole and sulfone ilaprazole showed similar tendency in relation to gender difference (Fig 1). As figure showed, females had higher area under the plasma concentration-time curve than males both in ilaprazole and ilaprazole sulfone group. However, the time achieved the maximal concentration were very similar, approximately 4 hours for ilaprazole and 10 hours for ilaprazole sulfone between different genders. The pharmacokinetic parameters
of ilaprazole and ilaprazole sulfone between male and female groups were summarized in Table 1.

For ilaprazole, the clearance/systemic bioavailability (CL/F) was much lower in female than in male (2.5 ± 1.0 versus 3.7 ± 1.6 h⁻¹, *P* = 0.029), the elimination half-life in female was much longer compared with the values obtained in male (5.3 ± 0.8 versus 4.3 ± 0.7 h, *P* = 0.009). The much more amount of area under the curve is observed both in 0 to 36 (A UC₀→₃₆ = 0.011). As for sulfone ilaprazole, larger AUC₀→∞ and AUC₀→₃₆ were detected in female when compared with male (406.8 ± 126.3 versus 246.7 ± 70.0 ng · h/ml, *P* = 0.007, and 606.7 ± 224.5 versus 332.0 ± 117.1 ng · h/ml, *P* = 0.001). No other pharmacokinetics parameters were found statistically different.
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**Figure 1.** Plasma concentration-time profiles (Mean ± SE) for plasma ilaprazole (A) and ilaprazole sulfone (B) after a single oral dose of 10mg ilaprazole in males (●) and females (○)

In attempt to exclude the weight is the factor for gender-related difference in ilaprazole pharmacokinetics, all parameters of ilaprazole and sulfone ilaprazole was adjusted by total body weight. CL/F of ilaprazole and AUC₀→₃₆ and AUC₀→∞ of ilaprazole sulfone still had significant difference, *P* value were 0.008, 0.017 and 0.010 respectively.

### 3.2. Effects of CYP2C19 Genotype on the Pharmacokinetics of Ilaprazole and Ilaprazole Sulfone

No subject was found to be homozygous for the CYP2C19*2 or CYP2C19*3, therefore 24 subjects were divided into two categories, CYP2C19*1/*1 was defined as homozygous extensive metabolizers (homEMs) and CYP2C19*1/*2 or CYP2C19*1/*3 were classified into the heterozygous extensive metabolizers (hetEMs).

![Graph](image2.png)

**Figure 2.** Plasma concentration-time curves (Mean ± S.E.) of ilaprazole (A), ilaprazole sulfone (B) following administration of an oral 10mg ilaprazole, in 12 homo extensive metabolizer (hom EMs ●) and 12 hetero extensive metabolizer (het EMs ○) according to CYP2C19 genotype.

The plasma concentration-time curve of ilaprazole and ilaprazole sulfone related to CYP2C19 hom EMs and het EMs were presented in the Fig2 (A, B). The plasma concentration profile of both ilaprazole and its metabolite slightly elevated in the CYP2C19 het EMs than in hom EMs The maximal concentration of ilaprazole and ilaprazole sulfone were reached at approximately 4h and 10h respectively. The
kinetics parameters of ilaprazole and ilaprazole sulfone in different CYP2C19 genotypic groups are summarized in the table 2. No parameters achieved a statistical difference.

3.3. Effect of CYP3A Phenotype on Ilaprazole and Ilaprazole Sulfone Pharmacokinetics

After 4 hours oral midazolam (15 mg) administration, single points of midazolam and 1-OH midazolam plasma concentration were measured. The Midazolam metabolic Ratio (MR) was calculated to evaluate collective CYP3A activity in both hepatic and intestinal. The mean ± SD of the midazolam MR was 0.67 ± 0.26 (range 0.32-1.46) in 24 healthy subjects who were free of midazolam consumption for at least 5 days before the clinical trial. As expected, the significantly difference of midazolam MR between female and male groups have been observed (0.82 ± 0.28 vs. 0.53 ± 0.14, P = 0.004), indicating that sex differences in CYP3A phenotype.

No pharmacokinetics parameters of ilaprazole and sulfone metabolite were found to be correlated with midazolam MR. To get more information about CYP3A's effect on ilaprazole pharmacokinetics, subjects were separated into 2 group, based on MRs value , which lower than 0.67 comprised the low activity group, whereas, higher than 0.67 were defined as high activity group. The subjects with lower CYP3A activity had a much higher Cmax of sulfone ilaprazole (13.9 ± 4.7 vs. 18.1 ± 4.9 ng/ml, P = 0.02) compared with high-activity group. No other parameters were statistically different.

4. Discussion

In a randomized three-way crossover study, Qiao et al [19] has successfully verified CYP2C19 genetics polymorphism is the prime factor in controlling the inter-individual variability in plasma concentration and pharmacokinetics parameters of omeprazole, lansoprazole and rabeprazole in healthy Chinese volunteers. In the three groups based on hom EMs, het EMs and PMs genotype status, the relative AUC 0→∞ values were 1:2.8:7.5 for omeprazole, 1:1.7:4.0 for lansoprazole and 1:1.6:3.7 for rabeprazole, respectively, indicating the lowest dependence of rabeprazole on CYP2C19 genotype during the therapeutic process. Similar findings were observed following a single oral dose of 20 mg omeprazole, AUC 0→∞ values were 1:3.7:20 in 3 different CYP2C19 activity groups [20], and a single dose of 30 mg of lansoprazole, AUC 0→∞ values were 1:5.7 between hom EMs and PMs [21]. However, in the present study, we found that between CYP2C19 hom EMs and het EMs groups, the relative AUC 0→∞ values of ilaprazole were 1:1.2, and CL/F were 1:2.1, which in accordance with Cho’s previous study in vivo suggesting that CYP2C19 has least metabolic effect in ilaprazole [11] among PPIs. This can be possibly explained by the reason that the chemical structure of ilaprazole lacks 5-methyl group on the pyridine ring like the omeprazole, which is dependent on the metabolism by CYP2C19. Among PPIs, ilaprazole may become the drug of choice in the future due to its non-CYP2C19 elimination.

In vitro study, CYP3A was showed to play important role in ilaprazole metabolism, but until recently, no similar discovery had been made in vivo. Two studies have investigated the effect of CYP3A5*3 on kinetics profile of ilaprazole in vivo, however no relationship had been found [11, 12]. In a continuation of these earlier experiments, we use midazolam metabolic ratio as the indicator of total CYP3A activity in both hepatic and intestinal after oral administration. In our study, a relative narrow range of metabolism activity of CYP3A 4.4 fold has been found, compared with 5.9 fold interindividual variation without comedication in healthy subjects [22], moreover, the values of total MR of midazolam in our findings were (range 0.31-1.46) lower than given by Eap [22] (range 1.88-11.0), however very similar to the results represented by Link (range 0.551-1.33) after intravenous administration [23]. Expect for Cmax of ilaprazole sulfone (P = 0.02), no parameters of ilaprazole or ilaprazole sulfone displayed any correlation with this CYP3A metabolic activity, even after weight adjusted (data not shown), which supposed to be non-metabolized by CYP3A in vivo and provided further evidence to previous study.

In the majority cases, when pharmacokinetics parameters were normalized by total body weight, the gender-relative differences were not evident [24, 25]. The results of present study suggested that CL/F of ilaprazole was 33% lower in female than in male, and this difference still existed when adjusted by total body weight, which indicating body weight is not the reason for smaller CL/F in female. Our data show that no sex-related differences in Vd/F, Cmax, or Tmax. Due to the lower CL/F and lack of difference in distribution, women had significantly longer elimination half-life than men. Due to the clearance differences, women had a 1.4-2 fold higher AUC than men, which strongly suggests that, at the same dosage, women are at risk for higher exposure to ilaprazole and more therapeutic efficacy than men. As illustrated in table 1, the AUC differences between female and male were not present when adjusted by total body weight, however the CL/F was still strongly and significantly different. The reasoning for this finding is unknown.

Gender-related differences on pharmacokinetics is always a complex issue, may be involved many physiological factors and molecular mechanisms. In this study, the higher exposure of ilaprazole in female may be attributed to differences in the elimination phase, maybe related to a lower glomerular filtration rate in female than in male. The difference of intestinal protein, which may be impact transport and metabolism of ilaprazole, the hormone level and systemic availability maybe involved into the ilaprazole pharmacokinetics difference between genders as well. Clinical studies of ilaprazole in therapeutic response based on gender disparity need further investigation, and knowledge should be extended on the effects of sex on pharmacokinetics.

Although numerous studies failed to reach the agreement in gender-related effect on CYP3A activity in healthy subjects [26-29], in present study we find a statistically significant difference of midazolam MR between men and women (0.53 ± 0.14 and 0.82 ± 0.28, P = 0.004), which has a comparably situation reported by Chen et al [30]. For midazolam is the
substrate for both CYP3A and P-glycoprotein (P-gp), one of
the most reasonable explanations of this often-observed
phenomenon is that women have greater CYP3A content. Other
plausible explanations involve sex steroid hormone and
P-glycoprotein that lower P-gp expression in hepatic in
women results in more intrahepatocellular midazolam
concentration [30]. Our study supported this observation for
another occasion.

There are several limitations of this study should be
mentioned. First, we did not involve the lowest CYP2C19
activity function group (CYP2C19*2/*2 or CYP2C19*3/*3),
which might result in poor persuasion. However, as the results
reported by Cho, CYP2C19 het EM and PM groups had the
almost overlapped plasma concentration-time curves in both
ilaprazole and ilaprazole sulfone, which relatively far away
from the curve of CYP2C19 hom EM group, therefore, this
flaw will not likely to influence the conclusion we made.
Second, the blood samples should be drawn till at least 48 h
after dosing, for ilaprazole sulfone had a longer half-life
(approximate 18 hours) than we expected. Third, ilaprazole
was given orally and we had no information about its systemic
bioavailability, CL/F was expressed relative to systemic
bioavailability. Further studies with intravenous ilaprazole
among men and women with a wide range of body weights
determine whether our findings are results from systemic
bioavailability, weight or elimination of ilaprazole.

In conclusion, gender plays an important role in ilaprazole
pharmacokinetics. The pharmacokinetic characteristics of
ilaprazole in healthy Chinese volunteers were not dependent
on CYP2C19 genotype or CYP3A phenotype.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Ilaprazole</th>
<th>Ilaprazole sulfone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1/2 (h)</td>
<td>4.3 ± 0.7</td>
<td>5.3 ± 0.8*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cmax (ng/ml)</td>
<td>464.5 ± 99.6</td>
<td>623.8 ± 246.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tmax (h)</td>
<td>3.9 ± 1.0</td>
<td>4.2 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUCCO (ng h/ml)</td>
<td>2994.4 ± 904.8</td>
<td>4615.4 ± 1678.3*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUCCO (ng h/ml)</td>
<td>2994.4 ± 904.8</td>
<td>4615.4 ± 1678.3*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V/F (L)</td>
<td>22.8 ± 8.4</td>
<td>19.3 ± 9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL/F (L/h)</td>
<td>3.7 ± 1.6</td>
<td>2.5 ± 1.0**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In note: (CYP3A Activity—1-OH-MDZ/MDZ ratio in male group 0.53 ± 0.14 versus female group 0.82 ± 0.28. P = 0.004 )
*P<0.05, **P<0.01 compare with male
†P<0.05, ‡P<0.01 compare with male after adjusted by weight

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Ilaprazole</th>
<th>Ilaprazole sulfone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1/2 (h)</td>
<td>4.7 ± 0.8</td>
<td>5.0 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cmax (ng/ml)</td>
<td>520.3 ± 162.6</td>
<td>572.7 ± 239.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tmax (h)</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.7</td>
<td>4.0 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUCCO (ng h/ml)</td>
<td>3595.8 ± 1371.4</td>
<td>3966.9 ± 1771.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUCCO (ng h/ml)</td>
<td>3595.8 ± 1371.4</td>
<td>3966.9 ± 1771.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V/F (L)</td>
<td>22.8 ± 7.6</td>
<td>21.2 ± 10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL/F (L/h)</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.9</td>
<td>3.0 ± 1.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In conclusion, gender plays an important role in ilaprazole
pharmacokinetics. The pharmacokinetic characteristics of
ilaprazole in healthy Chinese volunteers were not dependent
on CYP2C19 genotype or CYP3A phenotype.
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