Determination of Work Index for Iperindo Lode Gold Deposit at Ilesha Goldfield Osun State, Nigeria Using Modified Bond Index
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Abstract: Iperindo lode gold is one of the few primary gold deposits known in Nigeria. The mineralized lodes generally comprise highly silicified fine-grained foliated biotite gneiss typically intruded by both discordant and concordant pegmatitic quartz-feldspar veins. The research investigates the work index of Iperindo lode deposit at Ilesha goldfield in Osun state, Nigeria. The sample of the gold ore was sourced from Iperindo at Ilesha Local Government Area of Osun state, Nigeria. The reference ore (quartz) sample was also sourced from that serves as overburden to the goldmine. The test ore and the reference ore were characterized using Energy Dispersed X-ray fluorescence spectrometer. 500 grams of gold ore and quartz were sampled and prepared by crushing and grinding to 100% passing 710µm sieve; 100 grams of prepared ores were charged into array of sieve arranged in √2 i.e from 500 µm to -45 µm. Set of sieves were mounted on automated sieve shaker and was operated for 20 minutes. The work index of reference ore was used to calculate the work index of the test ore using Gaudin Schuman expression to obtain a work index of 11.92kwh/ton for test ore. This work index value obtained for Iperindo gold ore (test ore) lies favorably within the work index of 8 – 16.5kwh/ton for gold ore sighted in the literature.
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1. Introduction

   The solid minerals industry is one of the strongholds that contribute to any nation’s gross domestic product [2]. The sector has great potentials in contributing greatly to the economy and it can stand as a source of job creation and by this, eradicate poverty [10]. Nigeria is one of the countries in the world blessed with abundant solid mineral deposits [3]. This constitute a wide range of mineral resources like galena, gold, sphalerite, columbite, tantalite, among others that provide bulk of raw materials for the industry [1]. Nigeria is highly mineralized, but backward technologically and industrially because value is hardly added to Nigeria’s mineral resources. A country like Nigeria can overcome its present economic struggle if adequate attention is directed towards the solid mineral sector because it is pivotal to the economic and technological development of a nation [17].

   Gold, a noble metal, is of economic, social and political significance. This precious metal is predominantly found in three regions in Nigeria: Ife-Ilesha goldfield, Binri-Gwari-Minna goldfield and Zamfara-Kebbi-Sokoto goldfield [1]. At least fifty gold occurrences have been reported from the three fields and two from outside the fields at Rimi in Kano State and Igbwa in Edo State. Ife-Ilesha gold stretches from Ilesha through Osu, Itagunmodi to the vicinity of Igun. Its gold occurs in two major forms: disseminated gold in quartz vein occurring in granite gneiss in Iperindo reef and alluvial gold associated with amphibolite in Igun reef [4]. Iperindo lode gold is one of the few primary gold deposits known in Nigeria. The mineralized lodes generally comprise highly silicified fine-grained foliated biotite gneiss typically intruded by both discordant and concordant pegmatitic quartz-feldspar veins. The veins are mainly as discrete particles, up to 100 µm in size, at grain boundaries between
quartz and carbonates and the most prominent and dominant sulphide in Iperindo lode gold deposit is pyrite [11]. Hence this research work on “Determination of Work index of Iperindo lode ore at Ilesha goldfield in Osun State, Nigeria" as panacea for the development of a process route for the beneficiation of the ore deposit to metallurgical grade.

Theoretical Consideration for Comminution Process (Work Index)

Work index is refer to the ease with which the mineral ore can be comminuted useful in designing of grinding system in mineral processing [16]. For a newly discovered ore it is very important to determine its hardness and grinding characteristics so that suitable power can be selected for its comminution. There are many theories of comminution, but paramount importance to this work is the modified Bond’s equation called Berry and Bruce comparative Bond’s equation that was used to determine the work index of the ore. Work index is the comminution parameter which expresses the resistance of material to crushing and grinding; it is the kilowatt hour per short-ton required to reduce the material from theoretically infinite feed size to 80% passing 100µm [18]. Table 1 gives the work index of some minerals ores sample.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Work Index</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Work Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bauxite</td>
<td>2.38 - 9.45</td>
<td>Limestone</td>
<td>2.69 – 14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>12.7 - 14.0</td>
<td>Molybdenum</td>
<td>11.6 – 14.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorspar</td>
<td>2.98 - 9.76</td>
<td>quartz</td>
<td>13.6 – 15.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphite</td>
<td>1.75 - 45.03</td>
<td>Titanium</td>
<td>4.23 – 11.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold</td>
<td>8.0 – 16.5</td>
<td>Silica Sand</td>
<td>2.65 – 16.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: [13, 5, 14].

In the mineral industry, comminution is the highest consumer of energy [9]. It is imperative to know that size reduction is very expensive and the energy requirements as well as cost per tonne of ore comminuted increases from blasting (0.43 kWh/t) through crushing (3.24 kWh/t) to grinding (10.0 kWh/t) as the highest while efficiency decreases [6]. With the limited energy resources, the need to design energy requirements of engineering processes cannot be overemphasized. However, milling is the most expensive and yet the least efficient. In a comminution circuit, overall power consumption and power draw during milling are very important parameters [15]. Since milling is generally inefficient, it is necessary to maximize the use of energy that is available to the comminution circuit. A milling circuit is generally designed based on grindability and abrasive index tests carried out on samples during the plant design stages of the mines and the expected tonnage to be processed [8].

Using bond’s law the work Index of an ore is determine by the comparing its grindability;

\[ W_t = W_r = W_{fr} \left[ \frac{10}{\sqrt{P_t}} - \frac{10}{\sqrt{P_r}} \right] = W_{rt} \left[ \frac{10}{\sqrt{P_t}} - \frac{10}{\sqrt{P_r}} \right] \]  

(1)

hence,

\[ W_{rt} = W_{fr} \left[ \frac{10}{\sqrt{P_r}} - \frac{10}{\sqrt{P_f}} \right] + W_{rt} \left[ \frac{10}{\sqrt{P_t}} - \frac{10}{\sqrt{P_t}} \right] \]  

(2)

Where

- \( W_r \) = Work Input of the reference ore;
- \( W_f \) = Work input of the test ore;
- \( W_{ir} \) = work index of reference;
- \( W_{it} \) = work index of test ore;
- \( P_t \) = 80% product (reference ore) passes, \( P_r \) = 80% product (test ore) passes
- \( F_r \) = 80% feed (reference ore) passes; \( F_t \) = 80% feed (test ore) passes.

2. Materials and Method

2.1. Materials

50kg sample of Iperindo lode gold ore of which 20 kg of the sample used in this research work was obtained from Ilesha goldfield deposit site which is about 23 square kilometres. It lies within latitude 7°3’ and 7°35’ and longitude 4°30’. The quartz samples used as reference ore was sourced from Ilesha goldfield overburden, in osun state. Random sampling sampling method was used for true fraction representative of the ore, follow by Cone and quartering.

2.2. Method

The sample of the reference ore was broken manually with a sledge hammer to provide required size acceptable as feed to the Denver laboratory jaw crusher. The sample was crushed and ground. 100g samples was weighed for sieve analysis. The modified Bond’s method of determining the work index of ore involves use of reference ore of which grindability is known. The procedure is as follows;
1. 100 g each of samples of the ore under test and the reference ore were crushed and pulverized in the laboratory mill machine for an hour.
2. The samples of test and reference ores were each sized using sieving into a number of size fractions using the automatic sieve shaker for 20 minutes.
3. Each size fractions of the test and the reference ores were weighed and the value noted as “feed”.
4. The “feed” test and reference ores were each gathered together and introduced into the Laboratory ball milling machine and ground for 1 hour.
5. The test and the reference ores from the laboratory ball mill machine were sized and each sieve fraction was weighed and the value noted as the product or discharge [12].
6. Sieveanalysis:+500µm,-500µm+355µm,-355+250µm,-250+180µm,-180+125µm, -125+90µm,-90+63µm, -63+45µm and -45µm

3. Results and Discussion

Results

(A) Test ore (Iperindo lode gold) and Reference (Overburden Quartz) as feed to the ball mill.

Table 2 gives the sieve analysis result of the feed to ball
mill of the test ore (gold ore) and Figure 1 is the graph plotted showing sieve sizes against cumulative percentage retained and cumulative passing of test ore.

![Graph showing sieve sizes against cumulative percentage retained and cumulative percentage passing.](image)

*Figure 1. Shows sieve size (mm) against cumulative % retained and cumulative % passing of the Iperindo lode gold feed to the ball mill.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size range (µm)</th>
<th>Weight retained (g)</th>
<th>Weight retained (%)</th>
<th>% Cumulative retained</th>
<th>% Cumulative passing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+500</td>
<td>19.23</td>
<td>19.56</td>
<td>19.56</td>
<td>80.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-500 to 355</td>
<td>9.77</td>
<td>9.94</td>
<td>29.50</td>
<td>70.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-355 to 250</td>
<td>11.13 11.32</td>
<td>40.82</td>
<td>59.18</td>
<td>41.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-250 to 180</td>
<td>8.03</td>
<td>8.17</td>
<td>48.82</td>
<td>51.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-180 to 125</td>
<td>14.87</td>
<td>15.11</td>
<td>64.10</td>
<td>35.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-125 to 90</td>
<td>8.33</td>
<td>8.47</td>
<td>72.57</td>
<td>27.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-90 to 63</td>
<td>16.97</td>
<td>17.26</td>
<td>89.83</td>
<td>10.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-63 to 45</td>
<td>9.37</td>
<td>9.53</td>
<td>99.36</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-45</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Calculation using the value in Table 2.

If 500µm = 80.44, Then X = 80%

Using Gaudin Schumann Expression:

\[
P(X) = 100 \left[ \frac{X}{K} \right] = 100 \left[ \frac{X}{K} \right]
\]

\[
\alpha = \frac{\log P(X_2) - \log P(X_3)}{\log (X_2) - \log (X_3)}
\]

\[
\text{Size}^2 = \frac{(\text{Percentage passing size}_2)^2 \times \text{Size}}{(\text{Percentage passing size}_1)^2}
\]

Table 3 gives the sieve analysis result of the feed of reference (quartz) to the ball mill and Figure 2 is the graph plotted showing sieve sizes against cumulative percentage retained and cumulative passing of test ore.
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*Figure 2. Is the plot of sieve size (mm) against % cumulative retained and % cumulative passing.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size range (µm)</th>
<th>Weight retained (g)</th>
<th>Weight retained (%)</th>
<th>% Cumulative retained</th>
<th>% Cumulative passing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+500</td>
<td>20.23</td>
<td>20.43</td>
<td>20.43</td>
<td>79.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-500 to 355</td>
<td>11.20</td>
<td>11.31</td>
<td>31.74</td>
<td>68.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-355 to 250</td>
<td>11.47</td>
<td>11.59</td>
<td>43.33</td>
<td>56.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-250 to 180</td>
<td>9.47</td>
<td>9.57</td>
<td>52.90</td>
<td>47.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-180 to 125</td>
<td>13.87</td>
<td>14.01</td>
<td>64.91</td>
<td>35.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-125 to 90</td>
<td>7.37</td>
<td>7.44</td>
<td>74.35</td>
<td>25.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-90 to 63</td>
<td>10.30</td>
<td>10.40</td>
<td>84.75</td>
<td>15.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-63 to 45</td>
<td>12.27</td>
<td>12.39</td>
<td>97.14</td>
<td>2.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-45</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Calculation using the value in Table 3.
If \( 500\mu m = 79.57 \)
Then \( X = 80\% \)

\[
X\mu m = \left( \frac{80}{100} \right)^2 \times 500 = 505.42\mu m \text{ at } 80\%
\]

Test ore (Iperindo lode gold)/Reference (Overburden Quartz) as product discharge from the ball mill

Table 4 gives the sieve analysis result of the product to ball mill of the test ore (gold ore) and Figure 3 is the plot of the sieve sizes against % cumulative retained and % cumulative passing of test ore.

**Table 4. SIEVE analysis of Iperindo gold ore (test ore) product from the ball mill.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size range (µm)</th>
<th>Weight retained (g)</th>
<th>Weight retained (%)</th>
<th>% Cumulative retained</th>
<th>% Cumulative passing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+500</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-500+355</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>99.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-355+250</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>95.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-250+180</td>
<td>8.60</td>
<td>8.72</td>
<td>13.14</td>
<td>86.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-180+125</td>
<td>25.27</td>
<td>25.62</td>
<td>38.76</td>
<td>61.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-125+90</td>
<td>19.30</td>
<td>19.57</td>
<td>58.33</td>
<td>41.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-90+63</td>
<td>27.53</td>
<td>27.91</td>
<td>86.24</td>
<td>13.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-63+45</td>
<td>12.67</td>
<td>12.85</td>
<td>99.09</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-45</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Calculation using the value in Table 4.
If \( 180\mu m = 86.86 \)
Then \( X = 80\% \)

\[
X\mu m = \left( \frac{80}{100} \right)^2 \times 180 = 152.69\mu m \text{ at } 80\%
\]

Table 5 gives the sieve analysis result of the product to ball mill of the test ore (gold ore) and Figure 4 is the plot of the sieve sizes against % cumulative retained and % cumulative passing of test ore.

**Figure 3. Shows sieve size (µm) against cumulative % retained and cumulative % passing.**

**Figure 4. Shows sieve size (µm) against cumulative % retained and cumulative % passing of the Iperindo lode gold product from the ball mill.**
Table 5. Sieve analysis of overburden quartz sample (reference ore) product from the ball mill.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size range (µm)</th>
<th>Weight retained (g)</th>
<th>Weight retained (%)</th>
<th>% Cumulative retained</th>
<th>% Cumulative passing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+500</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>99.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-500+355</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>97.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-355+250</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>7.07</td>
<td>9.62</td>
<td>90.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-250+180</td>
<td>11.83</td>
<td>11.96</td>
<td>21.58</td>
<td>78.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-180+125</td>
<td>27.33</td>
<td>27.63</td>
<td>49.21</td>
<td>50.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-125+90</td>
<td>14.47</td>
<td>14.63</td>
<td>63.84</td>
<td>36.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-90+63</td>
<td>18.67</td>
<td>18.87</td>
<td>82.71</td>
<td>17.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-63+45</td>
<td>14.50</td>
<td>14.66</td>
<td>97.37</td>
<td>2.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-45</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Calculation using the value in Table 5.

If 180µm = 78.42

Then X = 80%

\[
X \mu m = \left[ \frac{80}{100} \right]^2 \times 180 = 187.33 \mu m \text{ at 80%}
\]

Using Bond’s Equation Equation (2)

\[
W_{it} = W_{ir} \left[ \frac{10}{\sqrt{Pr}} - \frac{10}{\sqrt{Fr}} \right] + \left[ \frac{10}{\sqrt{Pt}} - \frac{10}{\sqrt{Ft}} \right]
\]

Using overburden quartz as a reference ore and Iperindo lode gold as the test ore

\[
Pr = 187.33 \mu m, \ Fr = 505.42 \mu m, \ Pt = 152.69 \mu m, \ Ft = 494.54 \mu m, \ Wir = 15 \text{ (work index of quartz [5])}
\]

Therefore:

\[
W_{it} = 15 \left[ \frac{10}{\sqrt{187.33}} - \frac{10}{\sqrt{505.42}} \right] + \left[ \frac{10}{\sqrt{152.69}} - \frac{10}{\sqrt{494.54}} \right] = 11.92 \text{ kwh/ton}
\]

5. Conclusion

In conclusion the work Index of Iperindo lode gold ore from llesha goldfield in osun state, Nigeria has been determined and found to be 11.92kwh/ton on average. This parameter serves as panacea for the development of a process route for the beneficiation of Iperindo lode gold ore deposit to metallurgical grade. Nigeria which is highly mineralized, but backward technologically and industrially can benefit immensely via the exploration, exploitation, processing, extraction and utilization of the depletable resources as a result of this, overcome its present economic struggle if adequate attention is directed towards the solid mineral sector because it is pivotal to the economic and technological development of a nation.
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