The Application of Seamless Nursing to Patients with Nephrotic Syndrome
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Abstract: Objective: To assess the application of seamless nursing to patients with nephrotic syndrome. Methods: 88 nephrotic syndrome patients agree to join our study, they were diagnosed as nephrotic syndrome in the hospital from May 2018 to October 2019. The participants were randomly assigned the participants to two groups, that include control group (n = 44) and intervention group (n = 44). We provide conventional nursing service to the control group participants in the treatment process. Also, intervention group participants receive seamless nursing services. Our researchers collected anxiety information and depression information by questionnaires which include Self-Rating Anxiety Scale (SAS) and Self-rating depression scale (SDS). In addition, we collect the information was associated with participants characteristics from hospital database and participant satisfaction of satisfaction questionnaire. Result: In depression- and anxiety- research result, the two groups have similar assessment result in SAS and SDS of before the nursing, they are not statistical significance. However, the result of after the nursing is different in SDS and SAS. The intervention group participants have better performance in SAS and SDS result (34.21±1.26 vs 44.21±3.83, 32.37±2.81 vs 46.51±5.7). In patient satisfaction research, all participants have good satisfaction assessment for nursing service (95.5% & 77.3%). But intervention group has obviously improvement in our research result. In intervention group assessment, most assessment are very well (n = 24). Conclusion: The seamless nursing has strongly improvement to depression and anxiety of patient, and patient satisfaction.
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1. Introduction

One of the best-known manifestations of kidney disease is nephrotic syndrome (NS), its primarily feature contains heavy albuminuria with hypoalbuminaemia, hyperlipidaemia and peripheral oedema [1]. In addition, idiopathic nephrotic syndrome is a glomerular disease characterized by proteinuria, which leads to hypoalbuminemia and peripheral edema [2]. A good number of NS patients act in response to steroid therapy, but 15–20% of them fail to respond to steroid treatment [3]. The dialysis or transplantation may are this outcome in end-stage kidney disease. Base on the report, NS can cause disordered lipid and lipoprotein metabolism. Its disease characteristics is abnormal levels of plasma triglycerides, cholesterol, and lipoproteins, including very low-density, low-density, and high-density lipo-proteins. They are risk factors for cardiovascular and renal diseases [4-6]. Thus, NS is a severe clinical presentation that affects millions of patients worldwide [7]. If not treated timely and appropriately, it can cause serious consequences. The patients may develop into the end-stage renal disease, diminishing seriously their quality of life [8]. Additionally, NS had some treatment of problem, such as high recurrence rate, low curative rate, protracted illness and poor prognosis [9].

Nurses play a key role in healthcare delivery, they had pivotal role in treatment process, that include the provision and coordination of care, prevention of adverse events, and optimization of health service productivity and patient outcomes [10]. In healthcare quality, nurses had quality- and safety- responsibilities, it not only need to the provision of safe care that is aligned with best evidence and clinical
standards but also need to participation in broader organizational and system quality and safety structures [11]. Furthermore, nurses are expected to encourage, participate in, and apply research evidence to improve the safety and quality of care and to demonstrate clinical leadership [12]. This study’s aim is discussing application of seamless nursing to patients with nephrotic syndrome.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants Enrollment and Survey Methods

88 nephrotic syndrome patients agree to join our study, they were diagnosed as nephrotic syndrome in the hospital from May 2018 to October 2019. The participants were randomly assigned the participants to two groups, that include control group (n = 44) and intervention group (n = 44). We provide conventional nursing service to the control group participants in the treatment process. Also, intervention group participants receive seamless nursing services. Our researchers collected anxiety information and depression information by questionnaires which include Self-Rating Anxiety Scale (SAS) and Self-rating depression scale (SDS) [13-15]. Additionally, we collect the information was associated with participants characteristics from hospital database and participant satisfaction of satisfaction questionnaire.

In seamless nursing service process, firstly, we receive the patient information was shared to all related department in the hospital. thirdly, our research follows up on the patient receive quick procedure process and check the patient's condition after discharge. Their inclusion criteria were: (1) the patients were diagnosed as nephrotic syndrome; (2) Patients volunteered to participate in follow-up; Their withdraw criteria were: (1) The participants had severe complications; (2) The patient also had other kidney disease.

2.2. Statistical Analysis

Our data analyzer performed the statistical analysis by SPSS 22.0. The P value, t-test and chi-square test were associated with collection result were analyzed. Besides, the mean standard deviation for statistical description.

3. Result

In Table 1, the participant characteristics information is shown in our research result. The influence factors are excluded, that contains patient age factor and patient gender factor. They are not statistical significance (p = 0.715 & p = 0.822).

The Table 2 shows the depression assessment and anxiety assessment of participants, the data from SAS questionnaire and SDS questionnaire. The participants finish the SAS questionnaire and SDS questionnaire in follow-up. In this research result, the two groups have similar assessment result in SAS and SDS of before the nursing, they are not statistical significance. However, the result of after the nursing is different in SDS and SAS. The intervention group participants have better performance in SAS and SDS result (34.21±1.26 vs 44.21±3.83, 32.37±2.81 vs 46.51±5.7). This results are statistical significance (p < 0.005).

The patient satisfaction from simple questionnaire research. It indicates all participants have good satisfaction assessment for nursing service (81.8% & 65.9%). But intervention group has obviously improvement in our research result. In intervention group assessment, most assessment are very well (n = 24). The satisfaction assessment of control group is evenly distributed in each grade of evaluation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projects</th>
<th>Intervention group (n = 44)</th>
<th>Control Group (n = 44)</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender (female), n (%)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.832</td>
<td>0.715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>44.68 ± 12.98</td>
<td>42.51 ± 13.85</td>
<td>2.912</td>
<td>0.822</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Table 2 shows the depression assessment and anxiety assessment of participants, the data from SAS questionnaire and SDS questionnaire. The participants finish the SAS questionnaire and SDS questionnaire in follow-up. In this research result, the two groups have similar assessment result in SAS and SDS of before the nursing, they are not statistical significance. However, the result of after the nursing is different in SDS and SAS. The intervention group participants have better performance in SAS and SDS result (34.21±1.26 vs 44.21±3.83, 32.37±2.81 vs 46.51±5.7). This results are statistical significance (p < 0.005).

The Table 3 shows the satisfaction of patients. The intervention group participants have better performance in satisfaction assessment of control group (n = 44) vs 77.3% (p = 0.017). This results are statistical significance (p < 0.005).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projects</th>
<th>Very Well</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Bad</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intervention Group (n = 44)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>95.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Group (n = 44)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>77.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X²</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Discussion and Conclusion

Nephrotic syndrome is a clinical condition characterized by massive proteinuria, hypoalbuminemia, and edema, with or without hyperlipidemia [16]. The nephrotic syndrome is caused by defects in the glomerular filtration barrier, but the etiology remains still is unclear in research. In addition, a charge- and size-specific barrier that is made up of specialized fenestrated endothelium, glomerular basement membrane, and specialized glomerular epithelial cells called podocyte [17]. Based on US’s report, it is estimated the annual incidence of NS is 3 per 100,000 in adults [18]. But the situations of nephrotic syndrome were different as race and environment [19]. Based on its etiology, primary NS contains minimal change disease, membranous glomerulonephritis, mesangial proliferative glomerulonephritis, rapidly progressive glomerulonephritis and focal segmental glomerulosclerosis. Additionally, secondary NS include systemic lupus erythematosus, diabetic nephropathy, purpura nephritis, hepatitis B virus associated nephritis and renal amyloidosis [20].

As the above result, the seamless nursing has strongly improvement to depression and anxiety of patient, and patient satisfaction. In particular, the intervention group participants have better performance in SAS and SDS result, it indicates the seamless nursing can improve the mental health of patient. It is very important to treatment as more studies pay attention to patient’s mental health before treatment and in treatment process. In satisfaction research, the intervention group participants provide better assessment, intervention group has more ‘very well’ assessment. In addition, the satisfaction rate of patient is higher in intervention group compare with those of control group.
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