On Farm Demonstration and Evaluation of Synthetic Insecticides for the Control of Pod Borer (*Helicoverpa armigera* Hubner) on Chickpea in Bale Zone
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**Abstracts:** Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) is the world’s second most important grain legumes after common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) among food legumes grown for production worldwide. Ethiopia is considered as secondary center of genetic diversity for chickpea. Field experiment was conducted at two districts with the objectives to demonstrate different insecticide for the control of pod borer on chickpea and to give awareness on the use and effectiveness of the insecticide against pod borer on chickpea. The experiment was conducted using one chickpea variety; Habru (more preferred) and two insecticide Diazenon (1.2l/ha) and Karate (400ml/ha). The result revealed that both insecticides were effective against pod borer even if they have slight difference on percent larval reductions at both districts. The pod borer damage reduction by different treatments ranged from 71.87% to 90.63% and 58.33% to 66.66% compared to that in control at Ginir and Goro, respectively. Diazenon resulted maximum seed yield 2610kg/ha and 2200kg/ha at Ginir and Goro, respectively. The plot sprayed with Diazenon gave the maximum net return birr 75,348/ha and 61,120/ha at Ginir and Goro, respectively. It is recommended that these insecticides are suggested to the growers for the management of pod borer population below economic threshold level under field conditions.
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1. Background and Justifications

Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) is the world’s second most important grain legumes after common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) among food legumes grown for production worldwide [2]. Ethiopia is considered as secondary center of genetic diversity for chickpea and the wild relative of cultivated chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.), is found in Tigray region of Ethiopia [13, 6]. An average chickpea yield in Ethiopia on farmers field is usually below1t/ha although its potential is more than 5t/ha [4, 8]. This is resulted from susceptibility of landraces to frost; drought, water logging and poor cultural practices; low protection measures against weeds, diseases and insect pests [12, 3]. Chickpea is susceptible to a number of insect pests, which attack on roots, foliage and pods. Gram Pod borer (*Helicoverpa armigera* H.) is one of the major insect pests of chickpea and has great economic importance [1]. It is highly polyphagous insect feeding on many other crops such as cotton, tobacco, safflower, tomato, maize, cabbage, peanuts and pulses [9, 5] Chickpea pod borer (*Helicoverpa armigera* Hubner) (*Lepidoptera:Noctuidae*) is a major field insect pest affecting pulses in several agro-ecological zones. Single larva can damage 40pods and selectively feeds up on growing points and reproductive parts of the host plant. It feeds on floral buds, flowers and young pods of the growing crop [7]. There is a high infestation of pod borer on chickpea, field pea and lentil in three woreda of Bale Zone, namely Goro, Ginnir and Golelcha. Farmers are trying to protect his crops from these pests by spraying different insecticides chemicals purchased from local pesticide dealers and farmers union. The chemical control is still considered as the last resort for
its management due to their quick known effect [10]. However, wise use of insecticide is the need of the time to avoid their drastic side effects on environment and natural bio control agents [11]. So farmers are asking for effective insecticide chemicals for the management of pod borer and also they asked the frequencies. Most of our farmers have limited information on the use of insecticide for pulse crops. So to alleviate such limitation the activity was initiated with the following objectives:

1. To demonstrate different insecticide for the control of pod borer of chickpea.
2. To give awareness on the use and effectiveness of the insecticide against pod borer of chickpea.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Description of Study Area

The experiment was done on the farmer’s field at two location Ginnir and Goro districts during 2017-2018 crop seasons. The location is suitable for appearance of pod borer every year under natural conditions. The experiment was conducted at Ginir located at 907-2524 meter above sea level receiving mean annual rainfall of 612–1214mm and mean annual temperature of 11.31–24.72°C. Goro located at 1272-3275meters above sea level receiving mean annual rainfall of 796–1138mm and mean annual temperature of 12.93–22.59°C (Adamu Zeleke unpublished survey). Goro is characterized by Chromic Cambi sols soil type and Ginir is characterized PellicVertisols soil type.

2.2. Treatments and Experimental Design

The experiment was conducted using one chickpea varieties; Habru (more preferred). Two insecticide Diazenon (1.2l/ha) and Karate (400ml/ha) were used in the experiments. The experiment was laid out in none replicated with three plots.

1. Plot one Diazenon sprayed plot
2. Plot two Karate sprayed plot
3. Control(unsprayed plot)

The plots have a size of 100m²(10mx10m). Normal agronomic practices were followed for raising the crop. The insecticide was applied starting from the appearance of the insects.

Data on pod borer population before and after insecticide application was recorded from5 randomly selected plants in each treatment after the emergence of the pod borer. The number of larval population per plant from 5 randomly selected plants in each plot before and after first spray of insecticides was recorded. The reduction percentage of larvae was recorded by counting of larval population over check.

2.3. Farmers Selection and Evaluations

Farmers were participated on the evaluations of insecticide against chickpea pod borer. Selection and evaluations was considered on the farmers ‘interests and motivation toward the technology.

\[
\% \text{ Larval Reduction} = \frac{\text{larval population on untreated plot} - \text{larval population on treated plot}}{\text{larval population on untreated plot}} \times 100
\]

\[
\% \text{ Yield increased over check} = \frac{\text{larval population on untreated plot} - \text{larval population on untreated plot}}{\text{larval population on untreated plot}} \times 100
\]

3. Results and Discussions

Data collected on the comparative efficacy of two insecticides tested for the management of pod borer on chickpea was presented in tables.

3.1. Larval Population

Five plants were randomly selected from each plots and observation were recorded at 7days intervals. The result revealed that both insecticides were effective against pod borer even if they have different percent larval reductions at both locations. At Ginir the data summarized in table1 revealed that the pest population of Helicoverpa armigera ranged from 1.6 to3.4 larvae per plant before spray and 0.3 to 3.2 after spray during the season. It indicated that the pest was active during December. This period coincided with the flowering and pod formation stage of the crop. The pod borer damage reduction by different treatments ranged from 71.87% to 90.63% compared to that in control at Ginir. The highest pod borer larval reduction (90.63%) was found in Diazon sprayed plot followed by Karate5% EC (71.87%) sprayed plot. At Goro the result revealed that both insecticides are effective against pod borer even if they have different percent larval reductions. The data summarized in table 1 revealed that the pest population of Helicoverpa armigera ranged from 1.3 to 3.6 larvae per plant before spray and 0.8 to 2.4 after spray during the season. The pod borer damage reduction by different treatments ranged from 58.33% to 66.66% compared to that in control at Goro. The highest pod borer larval reduction (66.66%) was found on Diazon sprayed plot followed by Karate5% EC (58.33%) sprayed plot.
Table 1. Average Larval Populations of Podborer (Helicoverpa armigera) on Chickpea before and after spray of Insecticides at Ginir and Goro districts in 2017/2018 Cropping Season.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Districts</th>
<th>Insecticide treatments</th>
<th>Mean larval population/plant Before spray</th>
<th>Mean larval population/plant After spray</th>
<th>Reduction percentage over check</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Kg/ha</td>
<td>Kg/ha</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ginir</td>
<td>Diazenon</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>90.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Karate5% EC</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>71.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Check(no spray)</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goro</td>
<td>Diazenon</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>66.66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Karate5% EC</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>58.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Check(no spray)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2. Grain Yields of Chickpea

The data of seed yields (kg/ha) and increased percent over check is presented in table 2. From the result obtained at Ginir, Diazeneon resulted maximum seed yield 2610 kg/ha, followed by Karate 5%EC 1800kg/ha, and where as the minimum seed yield 820kg/ha on unsprayed plot. Maximum percent of seed yield (68.58%) was increased over check by Diazeneon. The second maximum percent of seed yield (54.44%) was increased over check by Karate5% EC. Again at Goro Diazeneon resulted maximum seed yield 2200kg/ha, followed by Karate 5% EC 1600kg/ha, and where as the minimum seed yield 600kg/ha on unsprayed plot. Maximum percent of seed yield (72.73%) was increased over check by Diazeneon. The second maximum percent of seed yield (62.5%) was increased over check by Karate 5%.

Table 2. Average Grain Yield of Chickpea at Ginir and Goro districts in 2017/2018 Season. EC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Districts</th>
<th>Insecticide treatments</th>
<th>Grain Yield Kg/ha</th>
<th>Percent yield increased over check</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ginir</td>
<td>Diazenon</td>
<td>2610</td>
<td>+68.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Karate5% EC</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>+54.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Check(no spray)</td>
<td>820</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goro</td>
<td>Diazenon</td>
<td>2200</td>
<td>+72.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Karate5% EC</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>+62.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Check(no spray)</td>
<td>600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3. Return and benefit cost ratio

At Ginir the result showed that Diazeneon sprayed plot provided the highest gross returns (ETB91350/ha) and the lowest gross return ETB28700/ha was computed from untreated check. The plot sprayed with Diazeneon gave the maximum net return ETB 75,348/ha and also gave the highest benefit cost ratio (4.7).

The unsprayed plot gave the minimum net returns birr 15,054/ha and gave the lowest benefit cost ratio (1.10). In addition at Goro district Diazeneon sprayed plot provided the highest gross returns (ETB77,000/ha) and the lowest gross return ETB21,000/ha was computed from untreated check. The plots sprayed with Diazeneon gave the maximum net return ETB 61,120/ha and also gave the highest benefit cost ratio (3.85). The unsprayed plot gave the minimum net returns ETB 7,420/ha and gave the lowest benefit cost ratio (0.55).

Table 3. Return and Benefit Cost Ratio of Treatment for the Control of Podborer in Chickpea during 2017/18 Cropping Season at Ginir and Goro districts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Districts</th>
<th>Variety &amp; Treatment</th>
<th>Yield obtained (qt/ha)</th>
<th>Sale price (ETB/qt)</th>
<th>Total Variable Cost (ETB/ha)</th>
<th>Gross Return (Price x Qt)</th>
<th>Net Return (GR-TVTC)</th>
<th>Benefit cost ratio (NR/TVC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ginir</td>
<td>Habru + Diazeneon</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td>16,002</td>
<td>91,350</td>
<td>75,348</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Habru + Karate5%</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td>15,760</td>
<td>63,000</td>
<td>47,240</td>
<td>2.998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Habru + Nospray</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td>13,646</td>
<td>28,700</td>
<td>15,054</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goro</td>
<td>Habru + Diazeneon</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td>15,880</td>
<td>77,000</td>
<td>61,120</td>
<td>3.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Habru + Karate5%</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td>15,700</td>
<td>56,000</td>
<td>40,300</td>
<td>2.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Habru + Nospray</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td>13,580</td>
<td>21,000</td>
<td>7,420</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4. Farmers’ Perceptions

About 54 farmers were participated on the evaluation and selection of insecticides at Goro and 56 farmers were participated at Ginir. At both locations the farmers were selected the plot sprayed by Diazeneon as their first choice and Karate as a second choice. During the evaluation and selections farmers mostly considers the number of pod damaged per plots. Accordingly they said that the plot with no insecticide applications was more damaged by the larvae Diazeneon. The second maximum percent of seed yield (54.44%) was increased over check by Karate5% EC. Again at Goro Diazeneon resulted maximum seed yield 2200kg/ha, followed by Karate 5% EC 1600kg/ha, and where as the minimum seed yield 600kg/ha on unsprayed plot. Maximum percent of seed yield (72.73%) was increased over check by Diazeneon. The second maximum percent of seed yield (62.5%) was increased over check by Karate 5%.

4. Conclusion and Recommendations

The result revealed that Diazeneon and Karate5% EC were the most effective insecticides to give high mortality of pod borer on chickpea under field conditions. The most economic as compared to the untreated plot. To avoid the biasness during evaluation and selection farmers haven’t get any clue on the sprayed and unsprayed plot. They simply observe the status of the plots only.
benefit for pod borer management was obtained from Diazon sprayed plot and followed by karate sprayed plots. It has been indicated from the present studies that insecticide Deazenon and karate were remained the most effective against pod borer on chickpea and resulted in the maximum reduction percentage of larval population of pod borer on chickpea even if they have slight difference on efficacy at both locations. Farmers should have used both insecticides for the management of pod borer in chickpea. They can be used one insecticide in the absence of the other as an option/alternatives to increase their productivity and also quality.

Therefore, it is suggested/recommended that these effective insecticides were suggested to the growers/farmers or other stake holders for management of the pod borer population below economic threshold level under field conditions.
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