Preparation of Fluorosilicone Triblock Copolymers and Microphase Separation Behavior on Surfaces
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Abstract: Fluorosilicone polymer is a new type of structure of the fluorine silicon block polymer. Because it combines the excellent properties of organic silicone and organic fluorine compounds, it has a wide range of uses and becomes a hot issue in the field of materials. In the paper, A series of novel poly(2,2,3,4,4,4-hexafluorobutyl methacrylate)-block-poly(dimethylsiloxane)-block-poly(2,2,3,4,4,4-hexafluorobutyl methacrylate) s (PHFBMA-b-PDMS-b-PHFBMA) were synthesized by atom transfer radical polymerization (ATRP) with different molecular weight prepared polydimethylsiloxane macroinitiator as raw materials. The effects of the fluorine and silicone content on the hydrophobic and oleophobic properties of prepared triblock copolymers were also investigated. The structure and composition of the copolymers were analyzed and identified by infrared spectroscopy (IR) and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR). The average molecular weight and molecular weight distribution of the prepared PHFBMA-b-PDMS-b-PHFBMA were evaluated by gel permeation chromatography (GPC). The surface energy of the triblock copolymers was calculated from the contact angle reaches as low as 10.43 mN/m through the Owens-Wendt-Rabel-Kaelble method, with the fluorine content of triblock polymer was 19.0 wt%. Atomic force microscopy (AFM), differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) indicated that there were Obvious nanoscopically microphase separation on the surface of the prepared triblock copolymers and the fluoride contents in the block polymer of the fluorine silicon block were more likely to migrate to the surface.
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1. Introduction

The fluorinated acrylate/polydimethylsiloxane block copolymer (PDMS-b-PF) is a new type of structure of the fluorine silicon block polymer. Because the main chain contains both soft polysiloxane chains and low surface energy fluorine segments (PF), it has a wide range of uses. The surface of PDMS-b-PF can appear microphase separation when it forms on the substrate surface for the differences in thermodynamics between PDMS and PF [1-3]. And PDMS-b-PF is obtained a very low surface tension [4], shows strong hydrophobic/oleophobic and self-cleaning properties [5, 6]. The microphase separation is an important feature of the fluorosilicone block copolymers which is one of the hot topics in the field of organic fluorine and silicon materials in recent years [7-9], and it makes the function of the polymer greatly improved and the application domain widened [10, 11]. For example, Huang L. B et al [12] added a certain amount of styrene and 1,3,5-tris(1,3,5-(3',3',3'- trifluoropropyl) ring siloxane block copolymers to the polystyrene and the surface properties of the blends were studied. The result showed that the surface tension could be reduced, the hydrophobicity and oilphobicity could be improved effectively even if a small amount of block polymer was added to polystyrene. Uyanik and coworkers
[13] found that the contact angle increased with the polysiloxane segment content or the molecular weight increased through evaluation of fluorinated silicone block polymer's surface properties. But there is no report on the synthesis, characterization and microphase separation of fluorosilicone triblock copolymers by using 2,2,3,4,4,4-hexafluorobutyl methacrylate (HFBMA) as raw material.

In this paper, series of different molecular weight, different fluorine silicon content of PHFBMA-b-PDMS-b-PHFBMA was prepared by atom transfer radical polymerization (ATRP) with using the Cl-PDMS-Cl as the initiator which was synthesized by the reaction of bishydroxypropyl terminated polysiloxane (BHTPS) and α-chloroisobutyryl chloride and HFBMA as the monomer. The surface tension and the microphase separation of the membrane surface of the polymers were investigated.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

A series of BHTPS with different hydroxyl content were produced by Shaanxi Provincial Research and Design Institute of Petroleum and Chemical Industry. α-chloroisobutyryl chloride (98%) was obtained from SynAsst Chemical. 2,2,3,4,4,4-hexafluorobutyl methacrylate (HFBMA, 98%) purchased from Lancaster was washed with 5% aqueous NaOH solution to remove the inhibitor. Cuprous chloride obtained from Tianjin Chemical Reagent Factory was washed with glacial acetic acid and methanol. All other materials were analytical reagent and used without further purification.

2.2. Synthesis of Cl-PDMS-Cl Macroinitiator

The reaction equation is shown in Figure 1.

Figure 1. Synthetic scheme for the preparation of Cl-PDMS-Cl.

The certain amount of BHTPS with different hydroxyl content, triethylamine, and 50 mL tetrahydrofuran were added into four-neck round bottom flask in low temperature reactor, which was equipped with condenser, thermometer, constant pressure funnel and nitrogen conduit. The system was strictly deoxygenated by nitrogen filling time and again, and then cooled to 0°C, held the temperature and dropped the certain amount of α-chloroisobutyryl chloride(BHTPS:α-chloroisobutyryl chloride:triethylamine =1:2.6:3.6) completely in 30 min. Then warmed up to 25°C, held the temperature and mixed for 12 h. Insoluble substances were removed by filtration and the mixture was dissolved in 100 mL dichloromethane after evaporation of tetrahydrofuran. The solution was washed two times with 100 mL saturated sodium bicarbonate. The organic phase was dried with anhydrous sodium sulfate. The macroinitiator with different molecular weight were obtained by evaporating solvent after filtering.

2.3. Synthesis of PHFBMA-b-PDMS-b-PHFBMA Triblock Copolymers

The reaction equation is shown in Figure 2.

Figure 2. Synthetic scheme for the preparation of PHFBMA-b-PDMS-b-PHFBMA triblock copolymers.

The certain amount of Cl-PDMS-Cl, HFBMA,Cu⁴⁺ catalyst, Catalyst ligands([HFBMA]:(Cl-PDMS-Cl):[PMPA+BPY]:[CuCl]=20:1:2:1) and 50 mL toluene were added into four-neck round-bottom flask, which was equipped with condenser, thermometer, constant pressure funnel and nitrogen conduit. The system was strictly deoxygenated by nitrogen filling time and again, and then warmed up to the specified temperature, held the temperature for reacting in a certain time. The mixture was diluted with 50 mL tetrahydrofuran, through neutral alumina column to remove the catalyst, the treated liquid was precipitated in 50 mL methanol. The obtained polymer was rinsed with methanol for three times and dried to constant weight in vacuum drying box at 40°C.

2.4. Characterizations

The product was analyzed by VECTOR-22 infrared spectrometer with resolution of 4.0cm⁻¹ and the average data of ten times test.¹HNMR and CNMR spectra were measured on a Varian INOVA-400 NMR spectrometer with deuterated chloroform as the solvent. GPC was performed by using THF as the eluent at a flow rate of 1mL/min under 25°C, with a Waters 1525 Binary HPLC pump equipped with a Waters 2414 refractive index detector and Waters HT 2,3,4 columns and monodisperse polystyrene standards were used for calibration. Glass transition temperature was determined by Star e Series
DSC1 under the protection of nitrogen with heating rate of 10K/min. Static water/oil contact angle of the triblock copolymer film was measured on a telescopic goniometer (JC2000D3A). For each angle reported, five sample readings from different surface locations were averaged. The AFM observation was made on Innova SPM (Veeco Instruments, America) in ultra-light tapping mode at room temperature equipped with MLCT-MT-A tip, using the microfabrication cantilevers with a spring constant of approximately 0.05 Nm\(^{-1}\). All AFM data including the height, phase shift and the three dimensional (3D) image as well were recorded simultaneously. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurement was conducted using a K\(_\alpha\) spectrometer (Axis Ultra, Kratos Analytical Ltd., U.K.), and the core level spectra were measured using a monochromatic Al K\(_\alpha\) X-ray source (hv = 1486.7 eV). The analyzer was operated at 23.5 eV pass energy, and the analyzed area was 200–800 \(\mu\)m in diameter. The lowest energy resolution was 0.48 eV (Ag3d5/2). Binding energies were referenced to the adventitious hydrocarbon C 1s line at 285.0 eV, and the curve fitting of the XPS spectra was performed using the least-squares method. The analyzer chamber pressure was 1.06\(\times\)10\(^{-8}\) Pa. Narrow scan spectrometer of C 1s, O 1s, N s and Si 2p were collected and peak analysis were carried out by PHI-MATLAB software.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Characterization of PHFBMA-b-PDMS-b-PHFBMA and Cl-PDMS-Cl

The IR of Cl-PDMS-Cl and PHFBMA-b-PDMS-b-PHFBMA are shown in Figure 3.

Figure 3. IR of Cl-PDMS-Cl and PHFBMA-b-PDMS-b-PHFBMA.

Figure 3 showed that from Cl-PDMS-Cl to PHFBMA-b-PDMS-b-PHFBMA at 1740 cm\(^{-1}\) there was the stretching vibration of carbonyl group developed from nothing to tender to stronger and no peak appear at 1640 cm\(^{-1}\) which belonged to flex oscillatory absorption of C=C group. At the same time, three peaks centered at 1283 cm\(^{-1}\), 1184 cm\(^{-1}\), 915 cm\(^{-1}\), corresponding to the antisymmetric and symmetric stretching vibrations of the -CF\(_3\) group, two peaks centered at 723 cm\(^{-1}\), 564 cm\(^{-1}\) belonged to a combination of the cocking and wagging vibrations of -CF\(_2\)- group, and the characteristic peaks of the PDMS block appeared between 1060 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1260 cm\(^{-1}\) still existed. All of these indicated that PHFBMA-b-PDMS-b-PHFBMA was synthesized completely.

The raw material and product were characterized by NMR, Figure 4a and Figure 4b were the \(^1\)HNMR of Cl-PDMS-Cl and PHFBMA-b-PDMS-b-PHFBMA, Figure 4c was the \(^{13}\)CNMR of PHFBMA-b-PDMS-b-PHFBMA.

Figure 4. NMR characterization.
In Figure 4b, the chemical shift at 4.95 ppm belonged to -CHF-, the other hydrogen atom chemical shift assignments were shown in Figure 4b tagging. It also could be seen that the characteristic peaks of double bond hydrogen of \( \text{CH}_2=\text{CCHCO} \) didn’t appear. Likewise, CNMR in Figure 4c there was no peak at 6.14 ppm which was attributed to the double bond carbon of \( \text{CH}_2=\text{CCHCO} \), the chemical shifts at 116.54 ppm, 121.98 ppm, 119.45 ppm were caused by -CF\(_2\)-, -CHF-, -CF\(_3\)- respectively and the other carbon atom chemical shift assignments were shown in Figure 4c tagging.

A series of PHFBMA-b-PDMS-b-PHFBMA with different fluorine content were synthesized by ATRP with different molecular weight Cl-PDMS-Cl and HFBMA as raw materials. The average molecular weight and molecular weight distribution of the prepared PHFBMA-b-PDMS-b-PHFBMA were evaluated by GPC, the results were shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>molecular weight of Cl-PDMS-Cl</th>
<th>Mn (GPC)</th>
<th>Mn (TH)</th>
<th>Mw/Mn</th>
<th>Rate/%</th>
<th>yield/%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1950</td>
<td>7082</td>
<td>7156</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>90.5</td>
<td>95.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2450</td>
<td>7582</td>
<td>7685</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>91.0</td>
<td>94.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3635</td>
<td>8367</td>
<td>8824</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>90.2</td>
<td>94.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5030</td>
<td>9586</td>
<td>10231</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>90.4</td>
<td>94.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6901</td>
<td>10560</td>
<td>11780</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>90.8</td>
<td>93.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11550</td>
<td>16557</td>
<td>18762</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>90.6</td>
<td>92.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It could be seen from Table 1 that the conversion rates of the polymerization reaction and the yields of the products were higher, meanwhile the measured molecular weights were also in good agreement with the designed molecular weights. The above analysis showed that macromolecular initiator was reacted with HFBMA thoroughly and the desired product was obtained.

### 3.2. Performance and Surface Free Energies

The PHFBMA-b-PDMS-b-PHFBMA triblock copolymers were expected to be materials with low surface energies. The triblock copolymer surface properties were also investigated through static contact angle measurement, the results of water and oil static contact angle were shown in Figure 5, the effect of DMS and HFBMA block length on static contact angles were shown in Figure 6.

![Water static contact angle](image1)

![Oil static contact angle](image2)

*Figure 5. Triblock copolymer surface properties.*

![Effects of DMS block length](image3)

![Effects of HFBMA block length](image4)

*Figure 6. The static contact angle of different degree of polymerization of DMS and HFBMA.*

It could be seen from Figure 6 that the water and oil static contact angles toward the air-side surface of the copolymer films increased gradually with DMS block length increased. But when the DMS block length was above 88, the water and
oil static contact angles decreased. Similarly the water and oil static contact angles rose with HFBMA block length prolonged. But when the HFBMA block length reached to more than 20, the growth rate of water and oil static contact angles changed little. The maximum standard deviation in Figure 4 was only 0.50°, which demonstrated that the test experiments had a good reproducibility.

It was mean that the water and oil static contact angles have no monotonous increase or decrease as the DMS or HFBMA increased. It was well known that the fluorinated chain segments of fluorinated silicone block copolymer were easy to be enriched on the surface of copolymer to form the microphase separation because of its low surface energy. The microphase separation of polymer surface was not obvious when the fluorinated chain segments were less, and the performance of hydrophobic and oilphobic was poor. The increase of the fluorinated chain segments were beneficial to the migration which led to the obvious microphase separation. Thus the water and oil static contact angles increased. When the degree of polymerization of DMS was 88 and the degree of polymerization of HFBMA was 20, the fluorinated chain segments on the membrane surface spread and just wrapped the silicon chain. The spreading of fluorinated chain segments could not be increased remarkably and the growth rate of water and oil static contact angles changed little as the fluorinated chain segments increased continuously [14].

And now the surface energy of the PHFBMA-b-PDMS-b-PHFBMA triblock copolymer films could be as low as 10.43mN/m, corresponding to a fluorine content as high as 19.0wt%. Surface energies of the copolymers were calculated from water and glycerol contact angles. The following Owens-Wendt-Rabel-Kaelble method (OWRK) [15-17] which was derived by Owens and Fang was applied to calculate the surface energies:

\[
\sigma_s = \sigma_l \cos \theta = 2\sqrt{\sigma_s^2 \sigma_l^2 + \sigma_s^2 \sigma_l^2 \cos \theta} \tag{1}
\]

If the contact angles of two different liquids on the same polymer surface were known, \(\sigma_s^d\) and \(\sigma_l^d\) could be obtained from equation 1. In this study, deionized water and glycerol were selected as the probe liquid to determine the surface free energies of copolymer films. The values of \(\sigma_s^d\) (21.80mN m\(^{-1}\)), \(\sigma_l^d\) (51.00mN m\(^{-1}\)) and \(\theta\) (135.50°) for water and \(\sigma_s^p\) (34mN m\(^{-1}\)), \(\sigma_l^p\) (30mN m\(^{-1}\)) and \(\theta (115.50°)\) for glycerol were used in the calculation [17-19]. Thus the surface free energy of the polymer film could be calculated by the equation 2

\[
\sigma_s^f = \sigma_s^d + \sigma_l^d \cos \theta = \frac{\sqrt{[\sigma_s^d + \sigma_s^p \sqrt{(1 + \cos \theta) - (\sigma_s^d + \sigma_s^p \sqrt{1 + \cos \theta})^2]}}{4\sqrt{\sigma_s^d \sigma_s^p - \sigma_s^d \sigma_l^p}} + \frac{\sqrt{[\sigma_l^d + \sigma_l^p \sqrt{(1 + \cos \theta) - (\sigma_l^d + \sigma_l^p \sqrt{1 + \cos \theta})^2]}}{4\sqrt{\sigma_l^d \sigma_l^p - \sigma_s^d \sigma_l^p}} \tag{2}
\]

Compared to the PDMS and PHFBMA, the surface energy of the triblock copolymers were much lower. Obviously, such low surface free energy should be related to the surface microphase separation of the triblock copolymer. In order to reveal the microphase separation behavior of PHFBMA-b-PDMS-b-PHFBMA, the following research activities had been performed.

### 3.3. Microphase Separation Behavior of the Surface of PHFBMA-b-PDMS-b-PHFBMA

Because there were differences between polysiloxane chains and fluorinated segments on the surface tension and the solubility parameter of the prepared triblock copolymers, it could not only form phase separation but also maintain the PDMS and PF unique physical and chemical properties. Thus there would be a number of glass transition temperatures [20]. Figure 7 was the DSC curve of prepared copolymers.

DSC analysis in Figure 7 displayed that two sharp glass transitions, one at -123°C and another at 52°C corresponding to the glass transition temperatures \(T_g\) of the siloxane segments and fluoride segments respectively, further confirmed that there was a very clear microphase separation in the triblock copolymers.

The surface microscopic morphology of the copolymers film determined the infiltration of water and oil on the surface of the copolymers. It was important to study the surface microscopic morphology of block copolymers. In this study, atomic force microscopy(AFM) was used to characterize the microstructure of the prepared copolymers with different chain segments. Figure 8 illustrated the AFM images of the prepared triblock copolymers coatings with different fluorine content. Among them, a, b and g were height, topographic mode and phase mode of HFBMA-b-PDMS-b-PHFBMA, c, d and h were height, topographic mode and phase mode of HFBMA-b-PDMS-b-PHFBMA, e, f and i were height, topographic mode and phase mode of HFBMA-b-PDMS-b-PHFBMA. It could be seen from height and topographic images that the surface of the polymer film presented different microscopic morphology and the shape of the surface was irregular for the self assembly of block polymers on the surface. It also could be found that the needle shape of the sample was clustered on the surface which was proved to be to be fluorinated component [19, 20], and the nadel became more obvious with the fluoride content increased. The height variation and rough topography exhibited across
surface were believed to be the result of the phase separation. The phase images showed that there were different degrees of microphase separation in the surface of the block polymers with different ratios of fluoride and silicon. At the same time, the more content of fluoride, the rougher of the film surface, the bigger of white nadel area and the clearer of the boundary between different components, and the morphology of the copolymers were more regular and orderly, the phase separation was more obvious. The Figure 6 revealed that the surface of the film was completely occupied by fluoride content when the PHFBMA chain was 20, the difference were very small of topographic image and phase image when the PHFBMA chain was 20 and 24. The roughness of $\text{HFBMA}_5\text{DMS}_{88}\text{HFBMA}_5$ was 1.41, the $\text{HFBMA}_{10}\text{DMS}_{88}\text{HFBMA}_{10}$ was 3.56 and $\text{HFBMA}_{12}\text{DMS}_{88}\text{HFBMA}_{12}$ was 2.98 which were calculated by nanoscope analysis1.4, simultaneously the water/oil static contact angles were 112.16°/90.74°, 135.5°/115.5° and 131.28°/109.83° respectively. It could be seen from above that the roughness of the triblock copolymers surface affected contact angles greatly.

There was a large variation between PDMS and PHFBMA on the thermodynamic compatibility. It usually tended to be concentrated on the surface of the membrane and the formation scale of the film surface was significantly larger than that of the bulk. In order to make a quantitative analysis of the chemical composition on the surface of the prepared copolymers film, further investigations were underway.
3.4. Composition Analysis of the Surface of PHFBMA-b-PDMS-b-PHFBMA

Figure 9 showed the composition and diagram of the copolymer coatings determined by XPS. The XPS manifested that the broad diagram of the triblock polymers were composed of four strong peaks and three weak peaks, the position of the peak were about 833 eV, 687 eV, 532 eV, 285 eV, 151 eV, 102 eV and 32 eV which were photo ionized by FKLL, F1s, O1s, C1s, Si2s, Si2p and F2s severally [14, 21-22]. This meant that the surface of the copolymers were composed of fluorine, carbon, oxygen and silicon. From XPS C1s, it could be seen that there were -CF<sub>3</sub>(292.9 eV), -CF<sub>2</sub>(291.4 eV), -C=O(288.6 eV), -CHF(286.8 eV), -COOC(285.1 eV) and -CH(284.6 eV) connected with C directly. Table 2 listed the composition of the copolymer coatings. The contents of fluoride in the coating were 16.7% and 25.8%, respectively, which were greater than those in the theoretical value (12.1% and 19.2%). Therefore, it demonstrated that the fluoride contents in the block polymer of the fluorine silicon block were more likely to migrate to the surface.
silicon block were more likely to migrate to the surface. We would believe that this kind of copolymers has some potential application values especially in self-cleaning coating even heavy-duty materials.
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