

Asymptotic Properties of Optimized Type CVaR Estimator for NA Random Variables

Shanchao Yang¹, Yuting Wang¹, Xin Yang^{2,*}, Xiutao Yang³

¹School of Mathematics and Statistics, Guangxi Normal University, Guilin, China

²School of Mathematical Sciences, Guilin University of Aerospace Technology, Guilin, China

³Department of Basic Course Teaching, Haikou College of Economics, Haikou, China

Email address:

scyang@mailbox.gxnu.edu.cn (Shanchao Yang), 1209030930@qq.com (Yuting Wang), xinyang_emily@sina.cn (Xin Yang)

*Corresponding author

To cite this article:

Shanchao Yang, Yuting Wang, Xin Yang, Xiutao Yang. Asymptotic Properties of Optimized Type CVaR Estimator for NA Random Variables. *American Journal of Theoretical and Applied Statistics*. Vol. 8, No. 6, 2019, pp. 253-260. doi: 10.11648/j.ajtas.20190806.17

Received: October 8, 2019; Accepted: November 9, 2019; Published: November 25, 2019

Abstract: VaR and CVaR are important risk measures, which are widely used in finance, economy, insurance and other fields. However, VaR is not a coherent risk quantity, and it is not sufficient to measure tail risk. CVaR (also known as expected shortfall, ES) is a coherent risk measure, and it makes up for the defect that VaR is not enough to measure tail risk. Therefore, CVaR has been paid more and more attention in both application and theory fields. Rockafellar and Uryasev (2000) and Trindade et al (2007) proposed an optimized type CVaR estimator and studied some asymptotic properties of the estimator. Since then, some scholars have discussed the properties of the estimator in the cases of ρ -mixing, φ -mixing and α -mixing. In this paper, we shall study the asymptotic properties of the optimized type CVaR estimator in the case where the samples are NA random variables. The consistency and the asymptotic normality of the optimized type CVaR estimator and their corresponding convergence rates are obtained. The convergence rates of estimation are $n^{-1/2}$ or near to $n^{-1/2}$. These results also establish the asymptotic relations of the optimized type CVaR estimator and the common CVaR estimator. And their deviation converges almost surely to 0 at the rate of $n^{-1/2}$.

Keywords: CVaR Estimator, Consistency, Asymptotic Normality, Convergence Rate, NA Sequence

1. Introduction

In the fields of finance, economy and insurance, it is very important to measure risk accurately. In financial field, VaR is widely used to measure risk, which refers to the maximum possible loss under a certain confidence level for financial assets or portfolios in the holding period. Let X be a loss variable of financial asset or portfolio, for a given small probability $\alpha \in (0,1)$, in the confidence level of $1 - \alpha$, VaR is defined as

$$VaR_\alpha(X) = \inf\{u: F(u) \geq 1 - \alpha\}, \quad (1)$$

where $F(u)$ is distribution function of loss variable.

However, Artzner et al. [2-3] found that the VaR doesn't satisfy subadditivity, namely, it hasn't convexity. So VaR is not a coherent risk measure, and it is insufficient to measure the tail risk. As an alternative measure of risk, CVaR (also

known as expected shortfall, ES) is proposed, also known as the average excess loss, which denotes the expected loss which greater than the given VaR value for a portfolio, and its formula as

$$CVaR_\alpha(X) = E(X|X \geq VaR_\alpha(X)). \quad (2)$$

Rockafellar and Uryasev [15] proved that the definition of CVaR is equivalent to the solution of an optimization problem, namely

$$CVaR_\alpha(X) = \inf_{x \in R} \{x + \alpha^{-1}E[X - x]^+\}, \quad (3)$$

where $[a]^+ = \max\{0, a\}$. Pflug [14] proved the CVaR satisfies subadditivity, also is a coherent risk measurement. More researches are available in Rockafellar and Uryasev [16], Föllmer and Schied [6].

CVaR has better properties than VaR. Therefore, it is suitable as the optimization tool of investment portfolio,

which attracts scholar’s widely attention. For example, Andrew et al. [1], Mansini et al. [12], Noyan and Rodulf [13], Sun et al. [22]. Noyan and Rodulf [13] extended to multivariate CVaR, expand its application range. Also in other fields it is widely used, such as risk issues of books and newspapers selling (Gotoh and Takano, [7]), optimal placement of the gas detector in the petrochemical plant (Legg et al., [8]), wind power generation system (Chen et al., [4]), and so on.

Scaillet [18], Luo and Yang [10], Luo and Ou [11] and references therein studied the CVaR kernel estimator. Escanciano and Mayoral [5], Wang and Zhao [24] studied the CVaR semi-parametric estimation. On the basis of the equivalence definition of formula (3), Trindade et al. [23] proposed a kind of optimization CVaR estimation, and studied the consistency and the asymptotic normality of the optimization CVaR estimation. For the optimization CVaR estimation, Luo and Yang [10] studied the strong consistency and asymptotic normality under the ρ -mixing samples, and its convergence rate. Xing et al. [25] studied the strong consistency under the ϕ -mixing sample, and gave the rate of convergence. However, negatively associated (NA) sequence is also common in dependence. It was proposed by Joag-Dev in 1983, and then attracts many scholars, such as Su Chun [20], Roussas [17], Yang [27], Zhou et al. [28] and so on. However, as we know, the asymptotic normality on the optimal CVaR estimation under NA sequence hasn’t been studied, so this article will focus on the consistence and asymptotic normality of optimization CVaR estimation under NA sequence.

In the end of this section, we give some relevant definitions as follows.

The random variables $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n, n \geq 2$ are said to be negatively associated (NA), if, for two arbitrary disjoint subsets A_1 and A_2 of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$,

$$\text{Cov}(f_1(x_i, i \in A_1), f_2(x_j, j \in A_2)) \leq 0, \quad (4)$$

where f_1 and f_2 are non-increasing or nondecreasing functions. Random sequence $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ is said to be NA if

$$\begin{aligned} n\sigma_n^2(x) &= n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(Z_i(x)) + 2n^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \text{Cov}(Z_i(x), Z_j(x)) \\ &= \text{Var}(Z_1(x)) + 2n^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \text{Cov}(Z_i(x), Z_j(x)). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Let $\Gamma_n = n^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \text{Cov}(Z_i(x), Z_j(x))$. By Lemma 4.1 in section 4,

$$\begin{aligned} |\Gamma_n| &\leq Cn^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} |\text{Cov}(X_i, X_j)| \\ &= Cn^{-1} \sum_{j=2}^n \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} |\text{Cov}(X_i, X_j)| \\ &\leq Cn^{-1} \sum_{j=2}^n \sum_{i: |i-j| \geq 1} |\text{Cov}(X_i, X_j)| \\ &\leq Cn^{-1} \sum_{j=2}^n \sup_{j \geq 1} \sum_{i: |i-j| \geq 1} |\text{Cov}(X_i, X_j)| \\ &\leq C \sup_{j \geq 1} \sum_{i: |i-j| \geq 1} |\text{Cov}(X_i, X_j)| = Cu(1) < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are NA for any $n \geq 2$.

Let $h_\alpha(X, x) = x + \alpha^{-1}[X - x]^+, \theta^* = \text{CVaR}_\alpha(X)$, from the (3) we have

$$\theta^* = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} E h_\alpha(X, x). \quad (5)$$

Assume X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n is a sample coming from the population X , Rockafellar and Uryasev [15] and Trindade et al [23] define the optimization estimation for θ^* , namely

$$\hat{\theta}_n = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n h_\alpha(X_i, x). \quad (6)$$

2. Main Result

To describe our main results, we first introduce some denotations. For any given $x \in \mathbb{R}$, let

$$Z_i(x) = h_\alpha(X_i, x), g_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h_\alpha(X_i, x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i(x), \quad (7)$$

$$S_n(x) = \sigma_n^{-1}(x)(g_n(x) - E g_n(x)), F_n^x(u) = P(S_n(x) < u), \quad (8)$$

where $\sigma_n^2(x) = \text{Var}(g_n(x))$.

We need the following basic assumptions.

(A1) $\{X_i: i \geq 1\}$ is a sequence of identically distributed NA random variables with $EX_1 = 0$ and $E(|X_1|^{2+\delta}) < \infty$ for some $\delta > 0$.

(A2) $u(1) < \infty$, where $u(n) = \sup_{j \geq 1} \sum_{i: |i-j| \geq n} |\text{Cov}(X_i, X_j)|$.

(A3) $\sigma^2(x) > 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\sigma^2(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n\sigma_n^2(x)$.

(A4) There exist positive integers p_n and q_n such that

$$p_n + q_n \leq n, q_n p_n^{-1} \leq C < \infty, \quad (9)$$

and, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\gamma_{1n} \rightarrow 0, \gamma_{2n} \rightarrow 0, u(q_n) \rightarrow 0. \quad (10)$$

where $\gamma_{1n} = q_n p_n^{-1}, \gamma_{2n} = p_n n^{-1}$.

Because $h_\alpha(X, x)$ is monotone increasing about X , $\{Z_i(x): i \geq 1\}$ is also a NA sequence. Under the assumptions (A1) and (A2), we have that

Hence, Γ_n is monotonous and bounded about n . Therefore, Γ_n has finite limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, so that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n\sigma_n^2(x) = \sigma^2(x) < \infty$, and the assumption (A3) implies that

$$\sigma_n^2(x) = O(n^{-1}), \sigma_n^{-2}(x) = O(n). \quad (13)$$

Theorem 2.1. Assume that $\{X_i: i \geq 1\}$ is a sequence of identically distributed NA random variables with $EX_i = 0$ and $E|X_i|^r < \infty$ for some $r \geq 1$. Then we have

$$\hat{\theta}_n - \theta^* = o(n^{-\tau}), a.s., \quad (14)$$

where (1) when $1 \leq r < 2, \tau = 1 - \frac{1}{r}$; (2) when $r \geq 2$, we can take any $\tau \in [0, 1/2)$.

Theorem 2.2. Suppose that the assumptions (A1)-(A4) are

satisfied. Then for any given $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\sup_u |F_n^x(u) - \Phi(u)| \leq C \left(\gamma_{1n}^{1/3} + \gamma_{2n}^{1/3} + \gamma_{2n}^{\delta/2} + u^{1/3}(q_n) \right), \quad (15)$$

where $\Phi(u)$ is the distribution function of $N(0,1)$.

Let λ_1 and λ_2 satisfy $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < 1$. Take $q_n = \lceil n^{\lambda_1} \rceil$, $p_n = \lceil n^{\lambda_2} \rceil$ where $\lceil x \rceil$ denotes the integer part of x . In this case, the assumption (A4) is satisfied. Therefore, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.1. If the assumptions (A1)-(A3) are satisfied, then for any given $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n (h_\alpha(X_i, x) - E h_\alpha(X_i, x)) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \sigma^2(x)). \quad (16)$$

$$\sigma^2(q) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \sigma_n^2(q) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n \alpha^2)^{-1} \text{Var}(\sum_{i=1}^n [X_i - q]^+). \quad (20)$$

Moreover,

$$\hat{\theta}_n = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n h_\alpha(X_i, q) + o(n^{-\tau}), \text{ a.s.} \quad (21)$$

for any $\tau \in (0, 1/2)$.

(ii) If $q > q^-$, then the limit distribution of $n^{1/2}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta^*)$ is not a normal distribution.

Remark 2.1. Recall that X is a loss variable of financial asset or portfolio. In practice, the loss variable is usually continuous, so that $q = q^-$ in Theorem 2.3.

Remark 2.2. Let $\tilde{\theta}_n = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n h_\alpha(X_i, q)$. Note that $q = \text{VaR}_\alpha(X)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\theta}_n &= n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (q + \alpha^{-1} [X_i - q]^+) \\ &= \text{VaR}_\alpha(X) + \frac{1}{n\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^n [X_i - \text{VaR}_\alpha(X)]^+, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

it is a common estimator of CVaR. Therefore, the equations (17), (18) and (21) establish the asymptotic relations of the

Theorem 2.3. Let $q = \text{VaR}_\alpha(X)$, $q^- = \sup\{x: F(x) \leq 1 - \alpha\}$. If (A1)-(A3) are satisfied, we have

$$\hat{\theta}_n = \inf_{x \in [q^-, q]} n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n h_\alpha(X_i, x) + o_p(n^{-1/2}). \quad (17)$$

There are two cases to consider:

(i) If $q = q^-$, we have

$$\hat{\theta}_n = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n h_\alpha(X_i, q) + o_p(n^{-1/2}), \quad (18)$$

and

$$n^{1/2}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta^*) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \sigma^2(q)), \quad (19)$$

where

optimized type CVaR estimator $\tilde{\theta}_n$ and the common CVaR estimator $\hat{\theta}_n$.

Remark 2.3. To give the confidence interval of CVaR θ^* by (19), we need to estimate the variance $\sigma^2(q)$. Let $\hat{q}_n = \hat{F}_n^{-1}(1 - \alpha)$ where $\hat{F}_n^{-1}(x)$ is empirical distribution function of sample X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n . Denote $V_i = [X_i - \hat{q}_n]^+$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. From (20), it is easy to get the estimation of $\sigma^2(q)$ as following

$$\hat{\sigma}^2(q) = \frac{1}{(n\alpha)^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (V_i - \bar{V})^2, \quad (23)$$

where $\bar{V} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n V_i$.

3. Proof of Theorem 2.1

From the definition of $\hat{\theta}_n$ and θ^* , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & n^\tau |\hat{\theta}_n - \theta^*| \\ &= n^\tau \left| (\hat{\theta}_n - \theta^*) I_{(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta^* \geq 0)} + (\hat{\theta}_n - \theta^*) I_{(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta^* < 0)} \right| \\ &\leq n^\tau |\hat{\theta}_n - \theta^*| I_{(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta^* \geq 0)} + n^\tau |\hat{\theta}_n - \theta^*| I_{(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta^* < 0)} \\ &= n^\tau \left| \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h_\alpha(X_i, x) - \inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}} E h_\alpha(X_1, y) \right| I_{(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta^* \geq 0)} \\ &\quad + n^\tau \left| \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h_\alpha(X_i, x) - \inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}} E h_\alpha(X_1, y) \right| I_{(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta^* < 0)} \\ &= n^\tau \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h_\alpha(X_i, x) - \inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}} E h_\alpha(X_1, y) \right| I_{(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta^* \geq 0)} \\ &\quad + n^\tau \inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \left| E h_\alpha(X_1, y) - \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h_\alpha(X_i, x) \right| I_{(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta^* < 0)} \\ &\leq n^\tau \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h_\alpha(X_i, x) - E h_\alpha(X_1, x) + E h_\alpha(X_1, x) - \inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}} E h_\alpha(X_1, y) \right| \\ &\quad + n^\tau \inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \left| E h_\alpha(X_1, y) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h_\alpha(X_i, y) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h_\alpha(X_i, y) - \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h_\alpha(X_i, x) \right| \\ &\leq \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ n^\tau \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h_\alpha(X_i, x) - E h_\alpha(X_1, x) \right| + n^\tau \left| E h_\alpha(X_1, x) - \inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}} E h_\alpha(X_1, y) \right| \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ n^\tau \left| E h_\alpha(X_1, y) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h_\alpha(X_i, y) \right| + n^\tau \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h_\alpha(X_i, y) - \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h_\alpha(X_i, x) \right| \right\} \quad (24)$$

From Theorem 1 and related contents of Rockafellar and Uryasev [15], we know that $E h_\alpha(X_1, y)$ and $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h_\alpha(X_i, y)$ are convex function about y . Hence, there exist $y_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $y_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$E h_\alpha(X_1, y) = \inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}} E h_\alpha(X_1, y_1), \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h_\alpha(X_i, y_2) = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h_\alpha(X_i, x). \quad (25)$$

Combining (24) and (25), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & n^\tau |\hat{\theta}_n - \theta^*| \\ & \leq n^\tau \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h_\alpha(X_i, y_1) - E h_\alpha(X_1, y_1) \right| + n^\tau \left| E h_\alpha(X_1, y_2) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h_\alpha(X_i, y_2) \right| \\ & \leq n^{\tau-1} |\sum_{i=1}^n [h_\alpha(X_i, y_1) - E h_\alpha(X_1, y_1)]| + n^{\tau-1} |\sum_{i=1}^n [h_\alpha(X_i, y_2) - E h_\alpha(X_1, y_2)]| \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Therefore, to prove the Theorem we only need to prove that, for any given $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\frac{1}{n^{1-\tau}} \sum_{i=1}^n [h_\alpha(X_i, x) - E h_\alpha(X_i, x)] \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0. \quad (27)$$

- (1) For $1 \leq r < 2$, by Theorem 2 in Su and Wang [21], we know (27) is true, and $\tau = 1 - 1/r$.
- (2) For $r \geq 2$, take $p \in [1, 2)$ and let $\tau = 1 - 1/p$. Then $E|X_1|^p \leq (E|X_1|^r)^{p/r} < \infty$ and $0 \leq \tau < 1/2$. Hence

$$|\text{Cov}(h_\alpha(X_1, x), h_\alpha(X_2, x))| \leq \alpha^{-2} |\text{Cov}(X_1, X_2)|. \quad (28)$$

Proof Recall that $h_\alpha(X_i, x) = x + \alpha^{-1}[X_i - x]^+$, have

$$\text{Cov}(h_\alpha(X_1, x), h_\alpha(X_2, x)) = \alpha^{-2} \text{Cov}([X_1 - x]^+, [X_2 - x]^+). \quad (29)$$

From Hoeffding Lemma in Lehmann [9],

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Cov}([X_1 - x]^+, [X_2 - x]^+) \\ & = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{P([X_1 - x]^+ \leq u, [X_2 - x]^+ \leq v) - P([X_1 - x]^+ \leq u)P([X_2 - x]^+ \leq v)\} dudv \\ & = \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \{P([X_1 - x]^+ \leq u, [X_2 - x]^+ \leq v) - P([X_1 - x]^+ \leq u)P([X_2 - x]^+ \leq v)\} dudv. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

When $u \geq 0$ and $v \geq 0$, it is easy to know the following event equations

$$\begin{aligned} \{[X_1 - x]^+ \leq u\} &= \{X_1 - x \leq u\}, \{[X_2 - x]^+ \leq v\} = \{X_2 - x \leq v\}, \\ \{[X_1 - x]^+ \leq u, [X_2 - x]^+ \leq v\} &= \{X_1 - x \leq u, X_2 - x \leq v\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Cov}([X_1 - x]^+, [X_2 - x]^+) \\ & = \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \{P(X_1 - x \leq u, X_2 - x \leq v) - P(X_1 - x \leq u)P(X_2 - x \leq v)\} dudv \\ & = \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \{P(X_1 \leq u + x, X_2 \leq v + x) - P(X_1 \leq u + x)P(X_2 \leq v + x)\} dudv \\ & = \int_x^{\infty} \int_x^{\infty} \{P(X_1 \leq u, X_2 \leq v) - P(X_1 \leq u)P(X_2 \leq v)\} dudv \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Due to NA, $P(X_1 \leq u, X_2 \leq v) - P(X_1 \leq u)P(X_2 \leq v) \leq 0$ for any $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$, so we get

$$\begin{aligned} & |\text{Cov}([X_1 - x]^+, [X_2 - x]^+)| \\ & = \left| \int_x^{\infty} \int_x^{\infty} \{P(X_1 \leq u, X_2 \leq v) - P(X_1 \leq u)P(X_2 \leq v)\} dudv \right| \\ & \leq \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{P(X_1 \leq u, X_2 \leq v) - P(X_1 \leq u)P(X_2 \leq v)\} dudv \right| \\ & = |\text{Cov}(X_1, X_2)|. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Combine (29) with (32) yields (28). Complete the proof.

on x such that

Lemma 4.2. (Luo, [10]) Assume that $E|X|^r < \infty$ for some $r > 0$. Then there exists a positive constant C no depending

$$E|h_\alpha(X, x) - E h_\alpha(X, x)|^r \leq CE|X|^r.$$

4. Proof of Theorem 2.2

The following relevant lemmas will be used in the proof of theorem.

Lemma 4.1. Assume that X_1 and X_2 are NA. Then

Lemma 4.3. (Yang, [26]) Suppose that $\{X_i: i \geq 1\}$ is a NA random sequence which satisfies $EX_i = 0$ and $E|X_i|^r < \infty$ for some $r > 1$. Then there exists a positive constant C no depending on n such that

(1) for $1 < r \leq 2$,

$$E \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |\sum_{i=1}^k X_i|^r \leq C \sum_{i=1}^n E |X_i|^r; \quad (33)$$

(2) for $r > 2$,

$$E \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |\sum_{i=1}^k X_i|^r \leq C \left(\sum_{i=1}^n E |X_i|^r + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n E X_i^2 \right)^{r/2} \right). \quad (34)$$

Lemma 4.4. (Yang, [27]) Let $\{X_j: j \geq 1\}$ be a NA random sequence, $\{a_j: j \geq 1\}$ be a real-value constant sequence,

$$\begin{aligned} S'_n &= \sum_{m=1}^{k_n} y_{n,m}, S''_n = \sum_{m=1}^{k_n} y'_{n,m}, S'''_n = y'_{n,k_n+1}, \\ y_{n,m} &= \sum_{i=t_m}^{t_m+p_n-1} Y_i, y'_{n,m} = \sum_{i=l_m}^{l_m+q_n-1} Y_i, y'_{n,k_n+1} = \sum_{i=k_n(p_n+q_n)+1}^n Y_i, \end{aligned}$$

$$t_m = (m-1)(p_n + q_n) + 1, l_m = (m-1)(p_n + q_n) + p_n + 1, m = 1, 2, \dots, k_n.$$

Lemma 4.5. Assume that the assumptions (A1)-(A4) are satisfied. Then

$$E(S''_n)^2 \leq C\gamma_{1n}, E(S'''_n)^2 \leq C\gamma_{2n}, \quad (35)$$

$$P(|S''_n| \geq \gamma_{1n}^{1/3}) \leq C\gamma_{1n}^{1/3}, P(|S'''_n| \geq \gamma_{2n}^{1/3}) \leq C\gamma_{2n}^{1/3}. \quad (36)$$

Proof From (13), it is easy to get that

$$E(S''_n)^2 = E\left(\sum_{m=1}^{k_n} y'_{n,m}\right)^2 \leq C \sum_{m=1}^{k_n} E |y'_{n,m}|^2 \leq C \sum_{m=1}^{k_n} \sum_{i=l_m}^{l_m+q_n-1} E |Y_i|^2. \quad (38)$$

Using (37), (38) and (9) yields that

$$E(S''_n)^2 \leq C k_n q_n n^{-1} \leq \frac{C q_n}{p_n + q_n} \leq \frac{C q_n p_n^{-1}}{1 + q_n p_n^{-1}} \leq C q_n p_n^{-1} = C\gamma_{1n}.$$

Note that $n - k_n(p_n + q_n) < p_n + q_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(S'''_n)^2 &\leq C \sum_{i=k_n(p_n+q_n)+1}^n E(Y_i^2) \\ &\leq C(n - k_n(p_n + q_n))n^{-1} \\ &\leq C(p_n + q_n)n^{-1} \\ &\leq C(1 + q_n p_n^{-1})p_n n^{-1} \\ &\leq C\gamma_{2n}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (35) holds. By the Markov inequality, (36) is

$$E(S'_n)^2 = E[S_n - (S''_n + S'''_n)]^2 = 1 + E(S''_n + S'''_n)^2 - 2E[S_n(S''_n + S'''_n)],$$

hence, from Lemma 4.5,

$$\begin{aligned} |E(S'_n)^2 - 1| &= |E(S''_n + S'''_n)^2 - 2E[S_n(S''_n + S'''_n)]| \\ &\leq 2(E|S''_n|^2 + E|S'''_n|^2) + 2(E|S_n|^2)^{1/2}(E|S''_n + S'''_n|^2)^{1/2} \\ &\leq 2(E|S''_n|^2 + E|S'''_n|^2) + 2(E^{1/2}|S''_n|^2 + E^{1/2}|S'''_n|^2) \\ &\leq C(\gamma_{1n}^{1/2} + \gamma_{2n}^{1/2}). \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

$1 = m_0 < m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_\tau = n$. Denote $Y_l = \sum_{j=m_{l-1}+1}^{m_l} a_j X_j$ for $1 \leq l \leq k$. Then

$$\left| E \exp(it \sum_{l=1}^k Y_l) - \prod_{l=1}^k E \exp(it Y_l) \right| \leq 4t^2 \sum_{1 \leq s < j \leq n} |a_s a_j| |\text{Cov}(X_s, X_j)|.$$

For any given $x \in \mathbb{R}$, let $Y_i = \sigma_n^{-1}(x)n^{-1}(Z_i(x) - EZ_i(x))$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, so that $S_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$. Let $k_n = [n/(p_n + q_n)]$, where $[x]$ denotes the integer part of x as mentioned before. Then S_n may be split as $S_n = S'_n + S''_n + S'''_n$, where

$$\begin{aligned} E(Y_i^2) &= E\{\sigma_n^{-1}(x)n^{-1}(Z_i(x) - EZ_i(x))\}^2 \\ &= \sigma_n^{-2}n^{-2}E(Z_i(x) - EZ_i(x))^2 \\ &\leq C\sigma_n^{-2}(x)n^{-2} \\ &\leq Cn^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

By Lemma 4.3, we can get that

immediately yielded form (35). Complete the proof.

Let $S_n^2 = \sum_{m=1}^{k_n} \text{Var}(y_{n,m})$. We have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.6. Under (A1)-(A4), have

$$|S_n^2 - 1| \leq C\{\gamma_{1n}^{1/2} + \gamma_{2n}^{1/2} + u(q_n)\}. \quad (39)$$

Proof Because that $E(S'_n)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} \text{Var}(y_{n,m}) + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k_n} \text{Cov}(y_{n,i}, y_{n,j})$, we have that

$$S_n^2 - 1 = (E(S'_n)^2 - 1) - 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k_n} \text{Cov}(y_{n,i}, y_{n,j}). \quad (40)$$

Note that $E(S_n) = 0$ and $E(S_n)^2 = \text{Var}(S_n) = 1$, we know that

And

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k_n} \text{Cov}(y_{n,i}, y_{n,j}) \right| &\leq \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k_n} \sum_{s=t_i}^{t_i+p_n-1} \sum_{t=t_j}^{t_j+p_n-1} |\text{Cov}(Y_s, Y_t)| \\
 &\leq C \sum_{i=1}^{k_n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{k_n} \sum_{s=t_i}^{t_i+p_n-1} \sum_{t=t_j}^{t_j+p_n-1} n^{-1} |\text{Cov}(X_s, X_t)| \\
 &\leq C n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{k_n-1} \sum_{s=t_i}^{t_i+p_n-1} \sup_{j \geq 1} \sum_{t:|t-j| \geq q_n} |\text{Cov}(X_j, X_t)| \\
 &\leq C u(q_n).
 \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

Therefore, (39) holds from (40)-(42). Complete the proof.

Lemma 4.7. (Yang, [27]) Suppose that $\{\zeta_n; n \leq 1\}$ and $\{\eta_n; n \leq 1\}$ are two random sequences, $\{\gamma_n; n \leq 1\}$ is a positive constant sequence, and $\gamma_n \rightarrow 0$. If

$$\sup_u |F_{\zeta_n}(u) - \Phi(u)| \leq C \gamma_n,$$

then for any $\epsilon > 0$, have

$$\sup_u |F_{\zeta_n + \eta_n}(u) - \Phi(u)| \leq C(\gamma_n + \epsilon + P(|\eta_n| \geq \epsilon)).$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sup_u |\hat{F}_n^x(u) - \Phi(u)| \\
 &\leq \sup_u |\hat{F}_n^x(u) - \hat{G}_n^x(u)| + \sup_u |\hat{G}_n^x(u) - \Phi(u/s_n)| + \sup_u |\Phi(u/s_n) - \Phi(u)| \\
 &= \sup_u |\hat{F}_n^x(u) - \hat{G}_n^x(u)| + \sup_u |\hat{G}_n^x(u/s_n) - \Phi(u/s_n)| + \sup_u |\Phi(u/s_n) - \Phi(u)| \\
 &= J_{1n} + J_{2n} + J_{3n}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

First to estimate J_{2n} . By Lemma 4.3 we know

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{m=1}^{k_n} E |\eta_{n,m}|^{2+\delta} &\leq C \sum_{m=1}^{k_n} \left(\sum_{i=t_m}^{t_m+p_n-1} E |Y_i|^{2+\delta} + \left(\sum_{i=t_m}^{t_m+p_n-1} E Y_i^2 \right)^{(2+\delta)/2} \right) \\
 &\leq C \sum_{m=1}^{k_n} \left(\sum_{i=t_m}^{t_m+p_n-1} \sigma_n^{-(2+\delta)} n^{-(2+\delta)} + \left(\sum_{i=t_m}^{t_m+p_n-1} n^{-1} \right)^{(2+\delta)/2} \right) \\
 &\leq C \left(\sum_{i=1}^n n^{-1-\delta/2} + (p_n n^{-1})^{(1+\delta/2)} \right) \\
 &\leq C(n^{-\delta/2} + k_n(p_n n^{-1})^{(1+\delta/2)}) \\
 &\leq C(n^{-\delta/2} + (p_n n^{-1})^{\delta/2}) \\
 &\leq C(p_n n^{-1})^{\delta/2} \\
 &= C \gamma_{2n}^{\delta/2}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

Note that $B_n^2 = s_n^2 \rightarrow 1$ by Lemma 4.6. From the Berry-Esseen theorem, we get that

$$J_{2n} \leq C B_n^{-(2+\delta)} \sum_{m=1}^{k_n} E |y_{n,m}|^{2+\delta} \leq C \gamma_{2n}^{\delta/2}. \tag{45}$$

Proof of Theorem 2.2 Assume that $\{\eta_{n,m}; m = 1, 2, \dots, k_n\}$ be the independent random variables, and the distribution of $\eta_{n,m}$ is the same with $y_{n,m}$ for $m = 1, 2, \dots, k_n$. Let $T_n = \sum_{m=1}^{k_n} \eta_{n,m}$, $B_n^2 = \sum_{m=1}^{k_n} \text{Var}(\eta_{n,m})$, $\hat{F}_n^x(u)$, $G_n^x(u)$ and $\tilde{G}_n^x(u)$ are the distribution functions of $S'_n, T_n/B_n$ and T_n respectively. Recall $s_n^2 = \sum_{m=1}^{k_n} \text{Var}(y_{n,m})$, it is obvious that $B_n^2 = s_n^2$, $\tilde{G}_n^x(u) = G_n^x(u/s_n)$, and

Next to estimate J_{1n} . Assume $\varphi(t)$ and $\psi(t)$ are characteristic functions of S'_n and T_n respectively. By Berry-Esseen Inequality, we have

$$J_{1n} \leq \int_{-T}^T \left| \frac{\varphi(t) - \psi(t)}{t} \right| dy + T \sup_u \int_{|y| \leq c/T} |\tilde{G}_n^x(u+y) - \tilde{G}_n^x(u)| dy. \tag{46}$$

Note that

$$\psi(t) = E \exp(itT_n) = E \exp(it \sum_{m=1}^{k_n} \eta_{n,m}) = \prod_{m=1}^{k_n} E \exp(it\eta_{n,m}) = \prod_{m=1}^{k_n} E \exp(it y_{n,m}), \tag{47}$$

and utilize Lemma 4.4

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\varphi(t) - \psi(t)| &= |E \exp(it \sum_{m=1}^{k_n} y_{n,m}) - \prod_{m=1}^{k_n} E \exp(it y_{n,m})| \\
 &\leq 4t^2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k_n} \sum_{u=t_i}^{t_i+p_n-1} \sum_{v=t_j}^{t_j+p_n-1} |\text{Cov}(Y_u, Y_v)| \\
 &\leq 4t^2 u(q_n).
 \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_u |\tilde{G}_n^x(u+y) - \tilde{G}_n^x(u)| &= \sup_u |G_n^x((u+y)/s_n) - G_n^x(u/s_n)| \\ &\leq \sup_u |G_n^x((u+y)/s_n) - \Phi((u+y)/s_n)| \\ &\quad + \sup_u |\Phi((u+y)/s_n) - \Phi(u/s_n)| + \sup_u |G_n^x(u/s_n) - \Phi(u/s_n)| \\ &\leq C(\gamma_{2n}^{\delta/2} + |y|/s_n) \leq C(\gamma_{2n}^{\delta/2} + |y|). \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

Let $T = u^{-1/3}(q_n)$ then have

$$\begin{aligned} J_{1n} &\leq C \left(\int_{-T}^T |4tu(q)| dt + T \int_{|y| \leq C/T} (\gamma_{2n}^{\delta/2} + |y|) dy \right) \\ &\leq C(u(q_n)T^2 + \gamma_{2n}^{\delta/2} + 1/T) \\ &\leq C(u^{1/3}(q_n) + \gamma_{2n}^{\delta/2}). \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

Finally to estimate J_{3n} . By mean value theorem, there exists $\xi_u \in [u, u/s_n] \cup [u/s_n, u]$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} J_{3n} &= \sup_u |\Phi(u/s_n) - \Phi(u)| \\ &= \sup_u \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\xi_u^2/2} |u/s_n - u| \\ &\leq \frac{|s_n-1|}{\sqrt{2\pi}s_n} \sup_u |u| e^{-u^2 \min\{1, 1/s_n^2\}/2} \\ &= \frac{|s_n-1|}{\sqrt{2\pi}s_n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\min\{1, 1/s_n^2\}}}. \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

From Lemma 4.6,

$$J_{3n} \leq C\{\gamma_{1n}^{1/2} + \gamma_{2n}^{1/2} + u(q_n)\}. \tag{52}$$

Combining (43), (45), (50) and (52) yields that

$$\sup_u |\tilde{F}_n^x(u) - \Phi(u)| \leq C(\gamma_{1n}^{1/2} + \gamma_{2n}^{1/2} + \gamma_{2n}^{\delta/2} + u^{1/3}(q_n)). \tag{53}$$

By Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 4.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_u |F_n^x(u) - \Phi(u)| &\leq C(\gamma_{1n}^{1/2} + \gamma_{2n}^{1/2} + \gamma_{1n}^{1/3} + \gamma_{2n}^{1/3} + \gamma_{2n}^{\delta/2} + u^{1/3}(q_n)) \\ &\leq C(\gamma_{1n}^{1/3} + \gamma_{2n}^{1/3} + \gamma_{2n}^{\delta/2} + u^{1/3}(q_n)). \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

Complete the proof.

Note that $[q^-, q] = \operatorname{argmin}\{Eh_\alpha(X, x), x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ from Lemma 5.2. So, by Lemma 5.1, we have

$$n^{1/2}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta^*) \xrightarrow{d} \inf_{x \in [q^-, q]} N(0, \sigma^2(x)), \tag{56}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{x \in [q^-, q]} \{n^{1/2}[n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n h_\alpha(X_i, x) - Eh_\alpha(X, x)]\} \\ \xrightarrow{d} \inf_{x \in [q^-, q]} N(0, \sigma^2(x)). \end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

Thus, (56) and (57) imply that

$$\hat{\theta}_n - \theta^* = \inf_{x \in [q^-, q]} \{n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n h_\alpha(X_i, x) - Eh_\alpha(X, x)\} + o_p(n^{-1/2}). \tag{58}$$

Lemma 5.2 tells us that $Eh_\alpha(X, x) = \inf_{x \in [q^-, q]} Eh_\alpha(X, x) = \theta^*$ for any $x \in [q^-, q]$. Therefore, (58) is equivalent to

$$\hat{\theta}_n = \inf_{x \in [q^-, q]} n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n h_\alpha(X_i, x) + o_p(n^{-1/2}), \tag{59}$$

that is (17).

When $q = q^-$, it is obvious that (18) and (19) hold from (59) and (56), respectively. Let $\eta_n = \hat{\theta}_n - n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n h_\alpha(X_i, q)$, then

$$\eta_n = (\hat{\theta}_n - \theta^*) - (n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n [h_\alpha(X_i, q) - Eh_\alpha(X_i, q)]). \tag{60}$$

From Theorem 2.1 and (27), we know that $\eta_n = o(n^{-\tau})$, a. s., so obtain (21).

5. Proof of Theorem 2.3

Lemma 5.1. (Shapiro, [19]) Suppose that, for a sequence $\{\tau_n\}$ of positive number converging to infinity, the sequence $\tau_n\{\hat{f}_n(x) - f(x)\}$, of random elements of $C(S)$, converges in distribution to a random element $Y(x)$ of $C(S)$. Then

$$\tau_n \left(\inf_{x \in S} \hat{f}_n(x) - \inf_{x \in S} f(x) \right) \xrightarrow{d} \inf_{x \in S^*(f)} Y(x),$$

where $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $f(x): S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\hat{f}_n(x)$ is sample average of $f(x)$, $S^*(f)$ is a set of points that $f(x)$ reaches minimum values in these points.

Lemma 5.2. (Pflug, [14]) Suppose that $F(x)$ is distribution function of random variable X . For $\alpha \in (0,1)$, let $a = \sup\{u: F(u) \leq 1 - \alpha\}$ and $b = \inf\{u: F(u) \geq 1 - \alpha\}$. Then

$$[a, b] = \operatorname{argmin} \left\{ x + \frac{1}{\alpha} E[X - x]^+, x \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

particularly, $F^{-1}(1 - \alpha) \in \operatorname{argmin} \left\{ x + \frac{1}{\alpha} E[X - x]^+ : x \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$.

Proof of Theorem 2.3 From Corollary 2.1, we know that, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$n^{1/2}[n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n h_\alpha(X_i, x) - Eh_\alpha(X, x)] \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \sigma^2(x)). \tag{55}$$

When $q > q^-$, from (56), the limiting distribution of $n^{1/2}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta^*)$ is a minimum value of a family of normal distributions, so it isn't a normal distribution.

6. Conclusion

We know that ARMA models with negative autocorrelation coefficients are NA models, so NA dependent samples are widely existed in practice. Under NA variables, therefore, it is meaningful to discuss the asymptotic properties of the optimized type CVaR estimator proposed by Rockafellar and Uryasev [15] and Trindade *et al* [23]. We derive the consistency and the asymptotic normality of the estimator, and the consistency rates are $n^{-1/2}$ or near to $n^{-1/2}$. These results show that the estimator has good theoretical properties in NA dependent environment. And the confidence interval of CVaR can be given by using the property of asymptotic normality.

Fund

This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China [grant number 11461009] and the Natural Science Foundation of Hainan [grant number 117173].

References

- [1] Andrew, E. B., *et al.* Conditional value-at-risk in portfolio optimization: Coherent but fragile. *Operations Research Letters*, 2011, 39: 163–171.
- [2] Artzner, P., Delbaen, F., Eber, J., Heath, D. Thinking coherently. *Risk*, 1997, 10: 68-71.
- [3] Artzner, P., Delbaen, F., Jean-Marceber. Coherent measures of risk. *Mathematical Finance*, 1999, 9 (3): 203–228.
- [4] Chen, H., *et al.* Conditional value-at-risk-based optimal spinning reserve for wind integrated power system. *Int. Trans. Electr. Energ. Syst.*, 2016, 26: 1799–1809.
- [5] Escanciano, J. C., Mayoral, S. Semiparametric estimation of dynamic conditional expected shortfall models. *Int. J. Monetary Economics and Finance*, 2008, 1 (2): 106.
- [6] Föllmer, H., Schied, A. *Stochastic Finance: An Introduction in Discrete Time*. Walter De Gruyter Incorporated, 2004.
- [7] Gotoh, J., Takano, Y. Newsvendor solutions via conditional value-at-risk minimization. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 2007, 170 (1): 80-96.
- [8] Legg, S. W., *et al.* Optimal gas detector placement under uncertainty considering Conditional Value-at-Risk. *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries*, 2013, 26: 410-417.
- [9] Lehmann, E. L. Some Concepts of Dependence. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 1966, 37 (5): 1137-1153.
- [10] Luo, Z., Yang, S. The Asymptotic properties of CVaR estimator under ρ mixing sequences. *Acta Mathematica Sinica (Chinese Series)*, 2013, 56 (6): 851-870.
- [11] Luo, Z., Ou, S. The almost sure convergence rate of the estimator of optimized certainty equivalent risk measure under α -mixing sequences. *Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods*, 2017, 46 (16): 8166–8177.
- [12] Mansini, R., Ogryczak, W., Speranza, M. G. Conditional value at risk and related linear programming models for portfolio optimization. *Ann Oper Res*, 2007, 152: 227–256.
- [13] Noyan, N., Rodulf, G. Optimization with Multivariate Conditional Value-at-Risk Constraints. *operations research*, 2013, 61 (4): 990–1013.
- [14] Pflug, G. Some Remarks on the Value-at-Risk and the Conditional Value-at-Risk. *Probabilistic Constrained Optimization*, 2000: 272-277.
- [15] Rockafellar, R. T., Uryasev, S. Optimization of Conditional value-at-risk. *The Journal of Risk*, 2000, 3 (2): 21-24.
- [16] Rockafellar, R. T., Uryasev, S. Conditional value-at-risk for general loss distributions. *Research report*, 2002.
- [17] Roussas, G. G. Asymptotic normality of the kernel estimate of a probability density function under association. *Statistics Probability Letters*, 2000, 50: 1–12.
- [18] Scaillet, O. Nonparametric estimation and sensitivity analysis of expected shortfall. *Mathematical Finance*, 2004, 14 (1): 115–129.
- [19] Shapiro, A. Statistical inference of stochastic optimization problem. In: Uryasev, S. (ed), *Probabilistic Constrained Optimization: Methodology and Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publisher, Dordrecht, 2000: 282-304.
- [20] Su, C., Zhao, L. Moment inequalities and weak convergence for negatively associated sequences. *Science in China (Series A)*, 1997, 40 (2): 172-182.
- [21] Su, C., Wang, Y. Strong Convergence for IDNA Sequences. *Chinese Journal of Applied Probability and Statistics*, 1998, 14 (2): 131-140.
- [22] Sun, H., *et al.* Optimal multivariate quota-share reinsurance: A nonparametric mean-CVaR framework. *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics*, 2017, 72: 197–214.
- [23] Trindade, A. A., *et al.* Financial prediction with constrained tail risk. *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 2007, 31 (11): 3524-3538.
- [24] Wang, C. S., Zhao, Z. Conditional Value-at-Risk: Semiparametric estimation and inference. *Journal of Econometrics*, 2016, 195: 86–103.
- [25] Xing, G. D. *et al.* Strong Consistency of Conditional Value-at-risk Estimate for ϕ -mixing Samples. *Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods*, 2014, 43: 5105-5113.
- [26] Yang, S. Moment inequalities for partial sums of random variables. *Science in China (Series A)*, 2001, 44: 1-6.
- [27] Yang, S. Uniformly asymptotic normality of the regression weighted estimator for negatively associated samples. *Statistics-Probability letters*, 2003: 101-110.
- [28] Zhou, X., *et al.* Moment consistency of estimators in partially linear models under NA samples. *Metrika*, 2010, 72: 415–432.