Assessment of major reproductive disorders of dairy cattle in urban and peri-urban area of Hosanna, Southern Ethiopia
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Abstract: The study which employed both questionnaire and observational survey was conducted in urban and peri-urban area of Hosanna with the objectives of determining the prevalence of major reproductive health problems of dairy cattle and the possible risk factors in the selected dairy farms from November 2013 to April 2014. A total of 390 dairy cattle (349 cross and 41 local breed) which were kept under different management system (256 intensively, 60 semi intensively and 74 were extensive) were included, out of which 43.07% (n=168) were found to be affected either with one or more of reproductive problems. Repeat breeder, anoestrus, Retained Fetal membrane (RFM), and dystocia were found to be the major reproductive health problems containing 13.08%, 12.06%, 7.18% and 5.9% prevalence rate respectively and other reproductive health problems observed with lower prevalence include vaginal prolapsed, abortion, mixed and uterine prolapsed having 3.44%, 2.56%, 1.03%, and 0.76% respectively in the study farms of the area. The overall prevalence of reproductive problems showed significant difference (p<0.05) with respect to body condition and parity of dairy cattle where major reproductive health problems were observed more frequently in poor body conditioned and pluriparous cows. Whereas breed and management system were not found to have a significant influence (p>0.05) on the occurrence of reproductive problems in the area. Therefore, it is recommended that awareness creation to farm owners, attendants and improved management such as, proper feeding, accurate heat detection, considering the size of sire and dam while using AI, and health management should be improved to minimize the occurrence of these problems and associated economic losses in the dairy farms of the area.
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1. Introduction

Ethiopia has the largest livestock population being the first in Africa countries and the 10th in the world. However, dairy industry is not developed as that of other east African countries such as Kenya, Uganda and Tanzania [35]. Despite the huge number of cattle and their economic importance, the productivity is low due to the constraints of disease, nutrition, poor management, lack of marketing facilities and opportunity, inadequate animal health services, uncoordinated development programs between various levels of government institutions and / or non-government organizations and poor performance of indigenous breeds. These constraints result in poor reproductive performance of dairy cattle [6].

In the last few decades, as the major epidemic disease, were brought under control, emphasis have increasingly shifted to economically important diseases to the dairy producers and reproductive health problem stands out as the most prominent [26]. Regular breeding depends upon the normal function of the reproductive system. In order to breed regularly, the cow has to have functional ovaries, display estrous behavior, mate, conceive, sustain the embryo through gestation, calve, and resume estrous cyclicity and restore uterine function after calving. Each of these aspects of reproductive function can be affected by management, disease and the genetic make-up of the
animal. When the function of the reproductive system is impaired, cows fail to produce a calf regularly. Among the major reproductive problems that have direct impact on reproductive performance of dairy cows are abortion, dystocia, Retained Fetal Membrane (RFM), pyometra, metritis, prolapse (uterine and vaginal), anoestrus and repeat breeder. They are classified as before gestation (anoestrous and repeat breeding), during gestation (abortion, vagina prolapsed and dystocia) and after gestation (retained fetal membrane and uterine prolapsed) [2, 13, 29, 18].

Upon closer examination of reproductive processes in the dairy cattle, the post-partum period is the most varied and vulnerable to problems and that incidentally coincides with the peak of milk production, uterine involution, and resumption of ovarian activity, conception and greater risk to infection [25]. These result in considerable economic loss to the dairy industry due to slower uterine involution, reduced reproductive rate, prolonged inter-conception and calving interval, negative effect on fertility, increased cost of medication, drop in milk production, reduced calf crop and early depreciation of potentially useful cows [10, 18].

In dairy industry the reproductive goals that we need to follow are 12 months of calving interval, 85 days open, 1.6 services per conception rate and 85% of cows observed in estrus and recorded by 60 days fresh [22]. It is very difficult to diagnose those problems by one particular disorder or symptom because there is interrelation between predisposing factors such as management at calving, hygiene and parity, stage of gestation, nutrition and environment [8, 22].

Reproductive disorder of dairy animals was broadly studied throughout the world, but studies in Ethiopia are limited and mainly located in central high lands and in some parts of Eastern and Northern parts of the country. Although, major reproductive disorders greatly responsible for high economic loss in dairy cows, there is paucity of research done on the prevalence, etiology and relative importance of these problems in Hosanna. Therefore, the present investigation had been planned to study: the prevalence of major reproductive health problems of dairy cattle in Hosanna and possible risk factors that play a role in precipitating such problems in dairy farms of the area.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area

The study was conducted in southern Ethiopia, Hadiya zone, Hosanna town. Topographically the zone lies within an elevation range of 1500 to3000 meters above sea level. The zone has three agro-ecological zones. Dega (23.7%), Weyna dega (64.7) and Kolla (11.6%). The annual average temperature of the zone is 22.02°C and the mean annual rainfall is 1260 mm (SNNBPOA, 1997).

2.2. Sample Size and Study Animals

A total of 390 dairy cattle with different parity, management and body conditions were included in this study. Both non descriptive indigenous (n=41) and cross (n=349) breeds dairy cattle which were kept under different management systems was also investigated. Classification of management systems was done based on the criteria adopted by Richard [27].

2.3. Study Design

The cross-sectional type of study was under taken from November 2013 to April 2014 in purposively selected dairy farms in and around Hosanna, to determine the major reproductive problems of dairy cattle and the study employed questionnaire and regular follow up.

2.4. Data Collection

2.4.1. Questionnaires Survey Method

Structured questionnaire was prepared and used to collect information from 45 dairy farm owners in one visit interview and reproductive problems of their dairy cattle on individual level were studied. The questionnaires were checked for clarity of the questions prior the interview. Prior the interview, respondents were briefed to the objective of the study by using local language. Following that, the actual questions and questionnaires were presented. Accordingly, information about the parity, breed, feeding system, production system, and type of feed, health care and major reproductive problems such as abortion, anoestrus and repeat breeder were collected on individual cattle level.

2.4.2. Observational Study (Longitudinal)

2.4.2.1. Questionnaires Survey Method

Structured questionnaire was prepared and used to collect information from 45 dairy farm owners in one visit interview and reproductive problems of their dairy cattle on individual level were studied. The questionnaires were checked for clarity of the questions prior the interview. Prior the interview, respondents were briefed to the objective of the study by using local language. Following that, the actual questions and questionnaires were presented. Accordingly, information about the parity, breed, feeding system, production system, and type of feed, health care and major reproductive problems such as abortion, anoestrus and repeat breeder were collected on individual cattle level.

A regular visit was carried out once per weeks on 6 dairy farms which was purposively selected on the basis of animal size, facility availability, ease of access, production system, breed, and on systematic randomly selected 58
dairy cattle from 116 out of 390 to collect data on the major reproductive problems. The study animals were identified by their tag number/ID, parity and pregnant animals that were suspected to give birth within the study period and heifer that has age of 2 year and above were included and followed up from the start to the end of study period. To do this observational format was prepared and filled so as to increase the reliability of information collected in questionnaire. Cows that delivered were observed for the presence of retained fetal membrane left hanging in the vulva in the first 24 h and if any abnormal vaginal discharge occurred without regular frequency of visit.

2.4.2.2. Body condition Scoring

For all of the animals under study, body condition was scored in order to assess the nutritional status of the animal and the prevalence of reproductive problems. Therefore, animals were grouped in to 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 body condition scores according to Richard [27]. The measurement was done through palpation and visualization of the transverse and spines processes for the lumbar vertebrae (loin) and tail head respectively.

2.5. Data Management and Analysis

The data obtained from questionnaire and regular follow up were entered on a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet and analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences version16 [31]. The prevalence of reproductive problems was determined as a proportion of affected animals out of the total animal examined. The differences or association between in different risk factors such as breed, parity, production system and body condition with over all prevalence of reproductive problems was analyzed by using $\chi^2$ (Chi-square) technique and value of $p<0.05$ considered as significant.

3. Results

3.1. Questionnaire Study

Based on the questionnaire study out of 45 respondents 41 (91.1%) were males and 4 (8.9%) were females, and as observed from the educational level of farm owners or attendants, 18 (40%) were illiterate, and the rest 27 (60%) were literate. With regard to the location of the farms, 28 (62.22%) were found in the urban location and 17 (37.78%) were in peri- urban area.

3.1.1. Animals’ Management

From the total of 390 dairy cattle, 256 (65.64%) were managed intensively, 60 (15.4%) were semi intensive and 74 (19%) were extensively and of which 349 (89.4%) were cross breed and the rest 41 (10.6%) were local breed. Almost the entire respondent agreed that feeding practice depends on the availability of feed because land space and water that is important factors for cultivation of animal feed is limited in the farm area. The feed on which the animals are fed include natural pasture (cut-and-carry), grass hay, straw, milling by-products(frushka), dairy concentrate mix, crop residues, some green grasses like alfalfa, elephant grass and non-conventional feeds such as “inset” and “atela” were among the commonest and mainly available feed types.

Most of the respondents (66.7%) breed their animals using AI. (20%) (n=9) of the farms practiced both AI and natural service. 13.3% (n=6) use only natural method. 88% (n=40) respondent said that estrus detection were observed by herd watch men and 11.9% (n=5) of respondent said it was detected by farm owner and animal health technicians and veterinarians from surrounding clinics. As replied by the respondent, there was no regular vaccination and deworming practices but they took their animals for treatment whenever diseases occurred.

3.1.2. Major Reproductive Disease Identified

Table 1. Summary of prevalence rate of major reproductive problems of dairy Cattle in Hosanna encountered by questionairy study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major reproductive problems encountered</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Prevalence (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abortion</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anoestrous</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>10.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dystocia</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repeat breeding</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>13.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retained placenta</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>7.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uterine prolapse</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaginal prolapse</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed*</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>43.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mixed problems include abortion and retained placenta, anoestrus and repeat breeding, and dystocia and retained placenta (2 cases).

The major reproductive problems identified were anoestrous (10.26%) and repeat breeding (13.08%), as summarized on table1. In this study 43.07 % (n=168) cattle were found affected by either one or more of reproductive problems.

3.1.2.1. Association of Risk Factors with Reproductive Health Problems of Dairy Cattle

In this study among risk factors production system, breed, parity and body condition score were considered to assess its association with the occurrence of the reproductive problems as shown on the tables below.

Table 2. Prevalence and association of reproductive problems with breed and production systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Breeds of cows</th>
<th>No. animals examined</th>
<th>No. of affected Animals</th>
<th>Percenta ge(%)</th>
<th>X$^2$</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>41.46</td>
<td>9.80</td>
<td>0.633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>43.26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>43.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>41.40</td>
<td>5.85</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>system</td>
<td>Intensive</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semintensive</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extensive</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>43.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As shown on the table 2 above, statistically no significant difference (P>0.05) was found in the prevalence of reproductive health problems with respect to breed and management system.

The influence of body condition score on the occurrence of the major reproductive problems was also assessed (Table 3) and the result showed that there is statistically significant (p<0.05) variation with regard to body condition scores that ranged from 0 to 5. Highest prevalence was found in cattle with body condition score 1 followed by body condition score 2 while the least in cows with body condition score 3.

Table 3. Prevalence and association of major reproductive problems with body condition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BCS</th>
<th>No. cattle examined</th>
<th>No. of affected</th>
<th>Prevalence (%)</th>
<th>X²</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>91.67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>56.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>34.3</td>
<td>73.94</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>38.46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>43.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Parity had statistically significant effect (X²=52; P=0.000) on the prevalence of reproductive health problems with parity of more than three times being more susceptible to reproductive problems than heifer and parity of one to three times as shown below on table 4.

As shown on the fig. 1 below; the high score of anoestrus and repeated breeder having 48.48% and 42.2% was in heifers; and the rest problems were high in pluriparous cows.

Fig. 1. Percentages of each of reproductive problems with respect to parity.

3.2. Observational Study

In this longitudinal study n=21(36.20%) animals were found to be affected either with one or more of reproductive problems. Anoestrus (12.06%) was found to be the leading reproductive problem followed by repeat breeding (8.62%), retained placenta (6.89%), vagina prolapsed (prepartum) (3.44%), dystocia (1.72%), and abortion (1.72%).

The present study revealed that there is statistically significant association (X²=50.83; P=0.001) of reproductive health problems with BCS and parity (table 5); highest prevalence of reproductive health problems was found in cattle with body condition score 1 followed by body condition score 2, while the least in cows with body condition score 4, and the highest prevalence of reproductive health problems in pluriparous cows than heifer, and pluriparous cows being more susceptible to reproductive problems

4. Discussion

This study revealed, that male entrepreneurs (92%) and educated one (60%) cover majority of the dairy farm operations, showing that majority of dairying in Hosanna is mainly male domain and the majorities were educated and the majority of the farms (62.22%) were located in urban area.

In the present study 43.3% (n=168) of dairy cattle in the study areas were affected by either one or more reproductive disorders based on questionnaires to the owners. This is in close agreement with the report by...
problems, which is an important predisposing factor for occurrence of RFM and higher report by Gashaw et al. [9] might be due to high report of (5.6%) mixed problems than current (1.03%), but Mamo, [19] calculated prevalence rate from positive animals not from total as current study.

Another reason may also be due to the fact that, crossbred animals 38.7% (n=151) than local breed 4.4% (n=17) may be due to the fact that European breeds are less adapted to tropical conditions of high temperature and humidity, disease and low feed quality than zebu cattle [23] making them more susceptible than indigenous zebu. Another reason may also be due to the fact that, cross breeds require more elaborated management, feeding and better health care than the indigenous zebu to get better reproductive performance and productivity in the tropics [33].

The significantly higher occurrence of reproductive health problems observed in pluriparas cows (70.97%) in this work is similar to the previous findings [20,19] which is possibly due to the repeated exposure of the genital tract of pluriparas cows to environmental risk factors that can impart uterine infection. Moreover, in this study, the prevalence of reproductive problems had significant relationship (P<0.05) with the body condition of the animals. The higher prevalence of reproductive problems found in animals of poor body condition may be attributed to the fact that such animals do have weak expulsive force to drop their after birth or to give birth without assistance.
which is followed by secondary complication. However, animals in good body condition have been reported to have better ability to meet the energy requirement of parturition, lactation and involuion of uterus than a cow in poor body condition and hence, are better resistant to the possible infections that may be the result than a cow in poor body condition [12]. The higher percentage of anoestrus (48.48%) and repeat breeder (42.4%) in heifer was reported, this might be due to poor nutrition and management system such as poor body breeder (42.4%) in heifer was reported, this might be due to poor body condition, inaccurate record keeping, failure to detect estrus, improper semen handling, and improper time of insemination. The current finding of higher prevalence rate of reproductive problems obtained in animals under semi-intensive (46.7%) than those under intensive (41.4%) and extensive (45.9%) management practice is in agreement with previous studies [33,16]. This might be related to poor sanitation of the barn, resulting in contamination during calving, improper feeding and trauma. The finding of higher prevalence of reproductive health problems in cattle with relatively poor body condition (body condition score 1 and 2) compared to those with good body condition (BCS=3 and 4) agree with previous explanations that indicated cows in poor condition are the most susceptible to reproductive health problems due to the weak expulsive force to expel out the fetal membranes leading to secondary complications [28] and the poor body defense mechanism that increases the rate of infection [15].

5. Conclusion

This study revealed that reproductive health problems particularly of repeat breeder, anestrus, RFM, and dystocia were the major causes of low reproductive performance of dairy farms in urban and per urban areas of Hosanna. The Possible risk factors responsible for the occurrence of reproductive health problems identified include body condition and parity. Therefore, an improvement in management system and proper selection of bulls for breeding is essential.
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