Histopathological Comparison of Mast Cell Density in Various Grades of Mucoepidermoid Carcinoma
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Abstract: Mucoepidermoid carcinoma (MEC) is one of most prevalent carcinomas of salivary glands in which the histopathological grading is very important in treatment and prognosis. In this study we are going to compare mast cell density in different kinds of mucoepidermoid grading by Toluidine blue staining. Materials and Methods. Paraffin blocks of 19 cases of low grade and 21 cases of high grade mucoepidermoid carcinoma were selected and stained by toluidine blue. The number of mast cells were observed in two manners (absolute and ordinal). T student was used for absolute counting and Mann Whitney for ordinal counting. in absolute counting, we defined a number for counting, for ordinal counting, we defined an interval range for mast cell numbers. Results. In absolute Counting, the mean of mast cell density in low grade cases was 19.7±15.7 and in high grade cases was 20.3±21.07 and there was no significant difference between two groups (p=0.920). In ordinal counting, there was no significant difference between two groups in mast cell density (p=0.729). Conclusion. According to this study, the mast cell counting can not be used for histopathological grading of MEC.
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1. Introduction

Mucoepidermoid carcinoma is one of most prevalent salivary gland tumors with variable Biological behavior which can behave indolent to very aggressive(1). Histopathological grading of this tumor has a great role in treatment and prognosis, so must be determined definitely(2). Multiple grading systems have been defined for this tumor by now, like: AFIP, Brandwein, Modified Healy. Modified Healy is the most prevalent, in this system, histological grade is assumed by proportion of epithelial cells to mucinous cells and the degree of cyst formation(3).

Multiple diagnostic techniques have been detected for determining mucoepidermoid carcinoma prognosis which they need too money and time, and some of them special conditions, so finding a technique which can detect tumor grade in fixed paraffin blocks in a short period of time has a significant role in tumor progression and metastasis and can help to determine mucoepidermoid carcinoma grading.

Mast cell is one of inflammatory cells with multiple biological activities like wound repair, tissue removal, cell proliferation and some antigen reactions, most of the mast cell activity is done by inflammatory mediators like histamine, proteoglycan, protease, leukoternes and prostaglandins which most of them have role in angiogenesis, extracellular matrix destruction, mitogenesis and immunosuppression which promote tumorgenesis in some malignancies with these mechanisms(4)

In this study, we are going to compare mast cell density in various grades of mucoepidermoid carcino, a by Toluidine blue staining

2. Materials and Methods

We selected 40 paraffin blocks of patients with mucoepidermoid carcinoma which had good quality and enough tissue from Emam Khomeini and Amir Alam hospitals archives and then cut with microtome apparatus 3 to 4 micrometer from each paraffin blocks and laid on slides and heat them in autoclave with 60 degree centigrade and deparafrinized with xylol and ultimately watered with
different alcohol dilutions (up to 70%) and stained with H&E and Toluidine blue, each for ten minutes, after washing and drying the slides, they were mounted.

Mast cells were counted in each surface area by optical microscope Olympus with 400 magnification in stroma of the tumor, preferably out of inflamed areas and in tumor periphery, in two manners: absolute and ordinal.

Histopathological grading of mucoepidermoid carcinoma in specimens were separately determined by two pathologists with Modified Healy system.

For absolute counting T-student test and for ordinal counting Mann Whitney test were used.

3. Results

Specimens were 40, including 19 cases of low grade and 21 cases of high grade mucoepidermoid carcinoma.

Table 1. Mast cell density in two grades of mucoepidermoid carcinoma (absolute counting).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>grade</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>low mast.cell</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>65.00</td>
<td>19.7368</td>
<td>15.75804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valid N (listwise)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>high mast.cell</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>86.00</td>
<td>20.3333</td>
<td>21.07922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valid N (listwise)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table number 1 shows absolute mast cell count in two groups of low grade and high grade mucoepidermoid carcinoma, in 19 low grade cases, minimum mast cell in surface area unit was 3 and maximum was 65 and mean of mast cells was 19.7±15.7. In 21 high grade cases, minimum of mast cells was 3 and maximum was 86 and mean of mast cells was 20.3±21.7, with T student test there was no significant differences between two groups of mucoepidermoid carcinoma (p=0.0920)

Bar chart number one shows mast cells in surface area unit with confidence interval of 95% in two grades of mucoepidermoid carcinoma.

Results of ordinal counting is in table number 2 which with Mann Whitney there was no significant difference in mast cell density in two groups (p==0.0729)

We must mention that 92.5% agreement was seen between two observers.

Table 2. Mast cell density in two grades of mucoepidermoid carcinoma (ordinal counting).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>mast.cell grade</th>
<th>.00</th>
<th>1.00</th>
<th>2.00</th>
<th>3.00</th>
<th>4.00</th>
<th>5.00</th>
<th>% within grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>low grade Count</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>21.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>high grade Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% within grade</td>
<td>23.8%</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>23.8%</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>.0%</td>
<td>21.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Count</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bar Chart 1. Mast cell density in surface area unit in two grades of mucoepidermoid carcinoma.
4. Discussion and Conclusion

Histopathological grading of mucoepidermoid carcinoma is too important in treatment and prognosis so it is really important to determine histological grading.(2)By now, many studies have been done to determine histopathological grading of salivary gland tumors(5), in this study, due to role of mast cells in determining benign from malignant, mast cells with staining with Toluidine blue was used to determine histological grading and showed that mast cell density was more in high grade tumors than low grade ones although, this difference was not statistically important.

Tumor histopathology grade shows degree of differentiation, when tumor is more differentiated, it is more similar to native cells either in morphology or in function and velocity of growth of the tumor is contrary to its differentiation.(6)

Mast cells induce production of TNF, TGF,… which have roles in tumor differentiation and growth so more density mast cells lead to more poorly differentiated tumors(6) so indirectly can influence tumor histological grade.

Since, grading of mucoepidermoid carcinoma is very important in treatment and overall survival of patients, we tried to show if mast cell numbers can be used in grading system so we suggest studies with larger sample volume, tumor with more specific site, more precise staining method and tumor grading system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mast.cell grade</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>% within grade</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>% within grade</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>% within grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>low</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>high</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.0%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. (continue).

5. Conclusion

Mast cell density was the same in high and low grade MEC in this study
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