Effect of Temporomandibular Joint Bony Ankylosis on Maxillary Sinus Wall Thickness
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Abstract: Objectives: This study was designed to clarify the changes that might be induced in the maxillary sinus walls thickness in response to TMJ bony ankylosis Subjects and methods: Forty five (45) subjects were included in the study. They were divided into two groups Group I, (patients group) comprised fifteen 15 patients were suffering from temporomandibular joint bony ankylosis (26 maxillary sinuses involved) and Group II, (control group) contained thirty (30) healthy volunteer. Maxillary sinus walls thickness has been measured by computed tomography scan for both groups and was compared. Results: There was significant increase in mean thickness of all walls of maxillary sinus in patients group. The thickness of lateral wall of recurrent cases of TMJ ankylosis showed significant decrease when compared with the non-recurrent cases. Conclusion: Observable Increase in the thickness of maxillary sinus walls. This may be attributed to bone apposition from outer side without resorption from inner side. This explanation is ascribed to lack of pneumatization associated with hindrance of upper air way that usually existing with cases of TMJ bony ankylosis.
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1. Introduction & Review of Literature

Temporomandibular joint (TMJ) ankylosis usually impairs the growth of lower jaw and lead to facial skeletal deformities. Review of literature showed that this subject its effect on maxillary sinus wall thickness has not investigated. This study was designed to clarify the changes that might be induced in the maxillary sinus walls thickness in response to TMJ bony ankylosis. The available researches were directed to study the lateral wall in procedure of sinus augmentation. The present study was intending to utilize the versatility of computed tomography (CT) to depict accurately the changes expected in the maxillary sinus walls thickness. CT plays an important role in the diagnosis and treatment of the TMJ ankylosis and paranasal sinuses due to its multiple advantages as compared with other conventional radiographic methods [1, 2].

Surgical correction of the residual facial deformities of TMJ bony ankylosis is an important part and exceeds the significant release of the ankylosis itself. The present investigation can provide prediction for the possible changes of the maxillary sinus wall thickness. The gained information can assist in evaluation and surgical planning concerning the maxilla and hence the maxillary sinuses. The collected data in such group of patients will be of value in post ankylotic corrective maxillary orthognathic surgery.

The adult maxillary sinus is pyramidal in shape with its base represented as the lateral nasal wall and its apex extends into the body of zygomatic bone. It is bounded anteriorly by the outer wall of the maxilla and posteriorly by the infratemporal wall of maxilla. The floor of sinus is comprised of alveolar and palatine process of maxilla. The roof of maxillary sinus forms most of the orbital floor [3, 8]. The average volume of maxillary sinus in adult is 12-16 ml and its average dimensions are 33 mm in height, 23–25 mm in width, and 34 mm in the anteroposterior axis [6, 9-14]. Evaluation the maxillary sinuses volume has been interested by many
The present study comprised forty five (45) subjects. They were selected from those attending the out-patient clinic, Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University. They have been classified into two groups:

Group I, (patients group) comprised fifteen 15 patients (26 sinuses) suffering from temporomandibular joint bony ankylosis (TMJBA) with mean of age (23.267 years) and duration mean 12.73 years. Eleven patients had bilateral TMJ bony ankylosis (22 sinuses) and four cases had unilateral bony ankylosis (4 sinuses). Unilateral cases were on left side. Medically compromised patients, cases of fibrous and extra articular ankylosis, syndromic diseases involving the joint ex. Ankylosing spondylitis bone diseases and lesions involving maxillary sinuses were excluded according from the study.

Group II, (control group) comprised thirty (30) healthy volunteer subjects with mean of age (24.033 years). They have been obtained from patients with other medical condition in whom no abnormalities of the maxillary sinuses were detected. Consequently CT was not performed particularly for the maxillary sinus to avoid extra radiation hazard. The procedures have been explained and their consent has been obtained.

The patients group (group I) were distributed by median into 2 subgroups according to the duration of ankylosis (subgroup D1 and D2) where subgroup D1 (13sinuses) with duration up to 10 years. While in subgroup D 2 (13 sinuses) with duration more than 10 years. They were distributed also according to recurrence of ankylosis into (subgroup R1 and R2) where R1 (12 sinuses) non-recurrent case and subgroup R2 (14 sinuses) recurrent cases.

All the subject in group I and II were subjected to computerized Tomography (CT) of the facial skeleton (coronal, axial and 3D reconstruction) (Fig. 1) for assessment of the maxillary sinus wall thickness. All the computed tomography (CT) examinations were done with a slice multidetector (CT) scanner (Toshiba, Aquillion 64, Japan). Scanning was performed in the standard axial plane with the helical technique (a FOV of 18 cm, a pitch of 0.562, a rotation time of 0.35 sec, a section thickness of 0.5 mm and a matrix of 512×512). The subjects were scanned in a supine position to obtain image plane parallel to the Frankfurt plane. All the image data sets were transferred from the CT scanner to personal computer work station, and the data sets were analyzed using 3D medical imaging software (on vitra work station).

Assessment of the Maxillary Sinus Wall Thickness:

The coronal cut of CT scans was used to locate infra-orbital foramen (IOF). The selected axial cut of CT for this study was chosen at level of 10 mm below infra-orbital foramen (Fig.2). Three steps have been carried out to determine reproducible points for location of different walls of maxillary sinus:

- Fig. 1. (A) Coronal cut CT &, (B) 3D CT bilateral TMJ bony ankylosis, case number 7.
Step 1: Reference Points for Determination of Radiographic Walls of the Maxillary Sinus:

At the selected axial cut: Four reference points (A, B, C & D) were determined from inner side of maxillary sinus (Fig.3). Points were allocated as:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Deepest point of meeting anterior wall with lateral wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Deepest point of meeting of anterior wall with medial wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Deepest point of meeting of medial wall with posterior wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Deepest point of meeting of lateral wall with posterior wall</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These points determine the anterior, lateral, medial and posterior walls of maxillary sinus by connecting lines.

Step 2: Radiographic Definition of Walls of Maxillary Sinus on the Axial Cuts (Fig. 3):

- **AB**: The anterior wall
- **BC**: The medial wall
- **CD**: The lateral wall
- **AD**: The posterior wall

Step 3: Determination of Reference Points for Measurements of Thickness: (Fig. 4).

The thickness of maxillary sinus walls at selected axial cut is represented by points of intersection of the perpendicular line from:

- **Wall thickness**
- **Points of intersection of the perpendicular line**
  - Anterior (TAW) From mid AB line
  - Medial (TMW) From mid BC line
  - Lateral (TLW) From mid AD line
  - Posterior (TPW) From mid CD line

The data were collected and tabulated, descriptive and analytical statistics using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 21, 2013. Comparison was carried out between patient and control group, also between bilateral ankylosis cases and control group as well as between subgroups among the cases of ankylosis. Student test were used (t-paired) for evaluation of the changes occurring in thickness of maxillary sinus walls. P value was significance ≤ 0.05.

3. Results

1) Comparison between maxillary sinus walls thickness in patients group and control group:

On comparison between the mean thickness of the anterior (TAW), medial (TMW), lateral (TLW) and posterior (TPW) walls of maxillary sinus in patients and control group there were an increase in mean thickness of all walls of patients group. This increase was statistically significant with P-value (< 0.05). (Table 1 - Fig. 5)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients group</th>
<th>Control Group</th>
<th>t-test for Equality of Means</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TAW</td>
<td>0.9346</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMW</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.5567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLW</td>
<td>0.8923</td>
<td>0.5617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPW</td>
<td>0.7731</td>
<td>0.5217</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Thickness of Anterior Wall
**Thickness of Medial Wall
***Thickness of Lateral Wall
****Thickness of Posterior Wall
2) Comparison of the maxillary sinus walls thickness in bilateral ankylotic joint and control group:
On comparison between the mean thickness of the anterior (TAW), medial (TMW), lateral (TLW) and posterior (TPW) walls of maxillary sinus in patients with bilateral anklylosis and control group it was detected that there were an increase in mean thickness of the patients with bilateral anklylosis. This increase is statistically significant with P-value (< 0.05). (Table 2 - Fig. 6)

Table 2. Statistical comparison of the maxillary sinus walls thickness between bilateral ankylosis and control group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Bilateral ankylosis</th>
<th>Control Group</th>
<th>t-test for Equality of Means</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Std. Dev.</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAW**</td>
<td>0.9318</td>
<td>0.15852</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMW***</td>
<td>0.7909</td>
<td>0.11088</td>
<td>0.5567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLW****</td>
<td>0.8727</td>
<td>0.15791</td>
<td>0.5617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPW*****</td>
<td>0.7727</td>
<td>0.11622</td>
<td>0.5217</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Thickness of Anterior Wall
**Thickness of Medial Wall
***Thickness of Lateral Wall
****Thickness of Posterior Wall

3) Effects of the duration and recurrence of TMJ ankylosis on the maxillary sinus walls thickness.
On comparison between the mean thickness of the anterior (TAW), medial (TMW), lateral (TLW) and posterior (TPW) walls of maxillary sinus in two subgroups of duration (D1: up to 10 years and D2: more than 10 years) and in two subgroups of recurrence (non-recurrent and recurrent) they showed no statistical significant difference except for the lateral wall in subgroups of recurrence that showed statistically significant difference (Table 3, 4 and Fig. 7)
4. Discussion

The present study was directed to assess the effect of temporomandibular joint bony ankylosis on the wall thickness of the maxillary sinus. This study in normal and abnormal subjects is demanded in the specialty of the oral and maxillofacial surgery. The skeletal deformities associated with TMJ bony ankylosis are not limited to the lower jaw; but it extends also to involve the rest of facial skeleton. The induced anatomical variations in the maxillary sinus due to ankylosis must be identified prior to dental implant, posterior maxillary dentoalveolar surgery and maxillary orthognathic surgery to avoid complications. The obtained data will enrich the field of oral and maxillofacial surgery and help post ankylosic maxillary orthognathic surgery to avoid complications. The measurement obtained by computed tomography scans, whether two dimensional (2D) or three dimensional (3D) are considered reliable and accurate and match the measurement obtained by skull osteometry. These findings were supported by many authors [9, 11, 16, 19, 24, 29, 35-41]. In this study the mid region of the maxillary sinus has been selected as a site for wall thickness assessment being clear with no masking structures as zygomatic complex and infraorbital buttress. The adjacent structures might influence the accuracy of the topographical imaging of maxillary sinus.

The infraorbital foramen has been utilized as reproducible reference point being the most reliable landmark. This opinion is reinforced by studies of Cho et al [29] and Sahlstrand et al [9]. The axial cuts of CT scans have been selected for measurement being an original cut not reformatted in CT scans and the four walls of sinus are evident without superimposition. This opinion is supported by previous studies of Cho, et al [29], Sahlstrand et al [9] Kim et al [28] and Joshua, et al [42]. Temporomandibular joint bony ankylosis induces secondary changes in the maxilla affecting its size. This ascribed to lack a secondary or a compensatory response to the requirement of the soft tissue functions i.e. these findings could be attributed to lack of functional stimuli transmitted from the mandible to maxillary bone either through articulation with temporomandibular joint or due to lack of chewing and masticatory stimuli.

Expansion of maxillary sinus starts by growth of the maxilla in general; by eruption of maxillary teeth and by bone resorption induced by pneumatization process from inside and bone formation incited by muscles activity from outside. Lack of growth of maxillary sinus in patients of TMJ ankylosis can be attributed to lack of pneumatization and lack of growth of the Mandible this explanation is supported by other authors [43-46]. It has been reported that there was affection of upper airway in patients of TMJ ankylosis. It was

### Table 3. Statistical comparison between subgroup D1 and D2 as regard effect of the duration of TMJ on the maxillary sinus walls thickness.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Duration of ankylosis up to 10 years (D1)</th>
<th>Duration of ankylosis more than 10 years (D2)</th>
<th>t-test for Equality of Means</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Std. Dev.</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAW</td>
<td>0.9308</td>
<td>0.20569</td>
<td>0.9385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMW</td>
<td>0.8462</td>
<td>0.18536</td>
<td>0.7538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLW</td>
<td>0.9154</td>
<td>0.16251</td>
<td>0.8692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPW</td>
<td>0.7615</td>
<td>0.15566</td>
<td>0.7846</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Thickness of Anterior Wall
**Thickness of Medial Wall
***Thickness of Lateral Wall
****Thickness of Posterior Wall

### Table 4. Statistical comparison of the walls thickness of maxillary sinus between fresh and recurrent cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>fresh ankylosis</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>Recurrent ankylosis</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>t-test for Equality of Means</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Std. Dev.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Std. Dev.</td>
<td>t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAW</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.975</td>
<td>0.17123</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.18397</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMW</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.8417</td>
<td>0.1832</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.7643</td>
<td>0.10818</td>
<td>1.335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLW</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.9917</td>
<td>0.13114</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.8071</td>
<td>0.14917</td>
<td>3.322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPW</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.7917</td>
<td>0.1505</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.7571</td>
<td>0.11579</td>
<td>0.661</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Thickness of Anterior Wall
**Thickness of Medial Wall
***Thickness of Lateral Wall
****Thickness of Posterior Wall

**Fig. 7.** Mean thickness of MS Walls in subgroup fresh and recurrent.
representated by upward and backward position of tongue [43] and hyoid bone [44], marked diminution in upper airway [45], hence marked reduction of volume of maxillary sinus [46]. So, increase thickness of walls of maxillary sinus in the present study can be ascribed to lack of pneumatization which is part of upper airway involvement.

Reduction of maxillary sinus volume was reported among patients of temporomandibular joint bony ankylosis by Dehis, and associates [46]. Increase in the thickness of walls may be attributed to this reduction of volume which is expressed in the form of bone apposition from outer side without resorption from inner side. This explanation is ascribed to lack of pneumatization associated with hindrance of upper air way and usually existing with cases of TMJ bony ankylosis as reported in previous studies [43-45].
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