Effect of Listing Age on Corporate Financial Leverage of Oil and Gas Firms in Nigeria
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Abstract: Examination of the effect of listing age on corporate financial leverage of oil and gas firms in Nigeria is the main objective of this study. The study also considers, for sake of robustness, the trend of movement of the variables, the relationships among the variables, as well as the causality of a variable by the other. This made the study a meta-analysis of the time series data. Simple regression was applied to estimate the effect of listing age on financial leverage of the selected firms. Correlation and Granger Causality Tests were applied to ascertain the relationships and causalities among the model variables. The outcome of the analysis is that firm’s Listing Age has a significant but negative effect on Financial Leverage, which implies that, as an oil firm advances in age, the firms’ need for external financing will tend to reduce. Causality test reveals that at a lagged period of one year, there is no causality running from financial leverage to firm age and vice versa. This implies that financial leverage is not caused by listing age of the oil and gas firm or otherwise. When the relationship between firm age and financial leverage was tested, the test reveals that financial leverage has an insignificant negative relationship with firm age in Nigeria Oil and Gas firms. The sustainability of these outcomes over a long period of time was also tested using the Johansen Cointegration Test which indicates cointegrating equations which implies that short run effects and relationships are very sustainable, all things remaining the same. Therefore, firms are encouraged to borrow less as they advance in age. In conclusion, therefore, at maturity stage of the firm, external borrowing should be discouraged in preference to other sources of investible funds.
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1. Introduction

Debt gives firms more financial agility in taking up investment opportunities because, in general, debt can be raised more quickly than either equity finance or the accumulation of earnings as Debt might also enable firms to increase their after tax earnings by exploiting available tax shields (Harrison and Widjaja, 2013). However, the firm’s choice of an optimal capital structure, remains one of the large unresolved issues in the financial economics literature (Myers, 2001). The trade-off model, which argues that firms choose the optimal level of debt by trading off the benefits of debt against its costs and the theory provides that there is an optimal level of debt which is attained when the marginal benefit equals the marginal cost of an additional unit of debt (Antão and Bonfim, 2012).

Pecking order theory by Myers (2001) predicts that companies should use stock issuances to cover financing deficits only as a last resort, after cheaper, less information sensitive alternatives (like internal cash, bank debt, or public debt) have been exhausted. Firm growth, capital intensity, advertisement intensity, age of firm, business cycle trends among others were suggested as factors capable of determining profitability and by extension, level of financial leverage. Robb and Robinson (2009) found that gains from financial leverage are quite significant in relation to financial performance and the use of debt enhances the firm market value. Ezeoha and Botha (2011) states that during start-up and maturity stages, a firm’s access to debt markets is significantly influenced by investments in assets that are acceptable to external creditors as collateral. The researchers noted that among the most dominant corporate financing challenges in most developing economies is the persistent scarcity of loan capital.
National Bureau of Statistics (2014) states that in the first quarter of 2014, Nigeria’s Nominal Gross Domestic Product, GDP (at basic prices) was estimated at N20,169,778.04 million, or 15,438,679.50 million in real terms. It stated that the corresponding quarter of 2013, nominal GDP was estimated N18,295, 631.91 or N14,535,420.95 million in real terms and as a result, the growth rate of real GDP was recorded at 6.21% in Q1 2014, higher than 4.45% recorded in the corresponding quarter of 2013, but lower than 6.77% recorded in the fourth quarter of 2013. Furthermore, the average daily crude oil production in the opening quarter of 2014 stood at 2.26mbpd, down from the 2.29mbpd recorded in the corresponding quarter of 2013. In addition, it recorded that in Q1 2014, oil GDP was valued at N2,612,066.21 million in nominal terms, compared to N2,756,313.26 recorded in the corresponding quarter of 2013 and Real growth in the Oil sector was recorded at -6.60% in Q1 2014, indicating better performance compared to -11.40% growth recorded in the corresponding 2013 quarter and the -9.36% growth recorded in the fourth quarter of 2013. The oil sector also grew by 1.18 per cent in the fourth quarter of 2014, 10.54 percent higher than the decline of 9.36 per cent recorded in the fourth quarter of 2013 (NBS, 2014). The sector is a key sector in Nigeria because of its contribution to National GDP.

However, Ali (2013) opines that to attain optimal capital structure remains a puzzle to every manager and board of directors and failure to put considerations on capital structure might lead to low profitability, bankruptcy, failure to invest in high returns project and ultimately decrease in the value of the firm. The key responsibility of determining the optimal mix of debt and equity that will ensure maximization of shareholders wealth falls under the financial managers (Maina and Kondongo, 2013). Ahmad and Javid (2009) have expressed four steps to describe the age cycle of the company as (1) the introduction stage, (2) the growth stage, (3) the maturity stage, and (4) the decline stage.

The analytical procedure will involve the examination of the effect of firm age on the firm’s financial leverage needs in the oil and gas industry of Nigeria. It will also assess the causality and relationship between company age and the extent of their financial leverage. The remaining part of the paper is arranged into four distinct sections as follows: section 2.0 will review existing literature in the area of study, section 3 will state the methodology to be adopted for data collection and analysis, section 4 will discuss the findings/outcome of the data analysis, while section 5 concludes.

2. Review of Related Literature

The theoretical framework upon which the study is underpinned is the Lifecycle Theory proposed by Mueller (1972) and the trade-off theory of capital structure proposed by Kraus and Litzenberger (1973). Trade-off theory of capital structure refers to the idea that a company chooses how much debt finance and how much equity finance to use by balancing the costs and benefits. Kraus and Litzenberger (1973) considered a balance between the dead-weight costs of bankruptcy and the tax saving benefits of debt. The trade-off theory argues that the correlation between profitability and leverage ratio is positive which implies that the higher the profit, the higher the leverage ratio. Indeed, consideration tax system and bankruptcy costs suggest the existence of an optimal ratio of debt (target ratio). The current theory is known as the theory of compromise the Static Trade-off Theory (STT). The relevance of different factors used by the STT to explain the financial behavior of companies has been confirmed by several empirical studies (Titman and Wessels, 1988) and Rajin and Zingales (1995), as cited in Ghazouani (2013).

Mueller (1972) focused on the agency problem within a firm, namely the question of whether the managers of a firm maximize shareholder value, or pursue growth for its own sake and “over invest” in assets contrary to shareholder interests. Life Cycle theory contends that dividend policy is driven by the tradeoff between distribution and retention of corporate earnings and that this tradeoff depends on firm maturity stage as it is believed that young firms rely more on new equity (or contributed equity) for early growth while mature firms rely more on self-financing and are more able to pay dividends because of ample accumulative profits (Ekwe and Inyiam, 2014). Mueller (1972) believes that at mature stage, a shareholder value-maximizing firm would begin distributing its earnings to its shareholders as the firm might have reached a point where it lacks profitable investment opportunities for the cash generated from its existing operations.

Tamimi and Takhtaei (2014) examined the effect of financial leverage on the age of the company. In this case, two hypotheses were formulated. In the first hypothesis, the effects of the age of the company and also in second hypothesis the effects of the financial leverage on the policies of profit distribution of the company were investigated, using sample manufacturing companies accepted in Tehran Stock Exchange (TSE) over the period from 2005 to 2011. To investigate the linear or non-linear relationship between company age and dividends, the square and the cube of the company age in empirical model of the research were used. The results indicate a positive and significant relationship between company age and dividend ratio, but a negative and significant association between financial leverage and dividend.

Ezeoha and Botha (2011) applies the Blundell and Bond system generalized method of moments (GMM) two-step estimator to examine the impact of age and collateral value on debt financing, using a panel of 177 nonfinancial companies listed on the Johannesburg Stock Exchange over the period 1999 to 2009. The results show that South African firms have target leverage ratios and adjust their capital structures from time to time to achieve their respective targets, that the relationship between firm age and debt financing is non-monotonic, and that firms with higher collateral value are likely to face fewer constraints on borrowing and therefore have greater access to medium-term and long-term debts. Robustness tests also reveal that during start-up and maturity stages, a firm’s access to debt markets
is significantly influenced by investments in assets that are acceptable to external creditors as collateral. These findings suggest that debt financing policies could be more critical for firms in the start-up and maturity stages.

An investigation of the existence of a pecking order in new technology-based firms (NTBFs) financing was carried out by Cassia and Minola (2011). The study provides evidence on factors determining what source of capital NTBFs try to access. The authors paid particular attention to aspects of human capital such as age, education and experience and focus on potential singularities exhibited by young novice entrepreneurs and potential constraints that they experience. These entrepreneurs were found not to be financially constrained. The findings also contribute to the academic debate on the existence of a reversed pecking order for NTBFs. Results confirm traditional pecking order patterns between 2000 and 2014.

Sherif and Elsayed (2013) opines that the capital structure of a firm is extremely important to its success and continuation as a going concern and can be seen as a key source of a firm’s value. The paper identified the driving forces that influence capital structure of Egyptian insurance companies, by using a number of econometric techniques and hand-collected data over the period from 2006 to 2011. It demonstrates that firm size, tangibility of assets, profitability and firm age factors are positively related to the total leverage (LEV). The study also provides evidence that growth opportunities, liquidity and non-debt tax shield appear to be the significant factors that adversely influence the total leverage (LEV) and capital structure. The findings of Sherif and Elsayed (2013) were not in tandem with that of Bevan and Danbolt (2002) which show a strong and inverse relationship between debt ratio and profitability.

3. Methodology

The study is an ex post facto research (after the fact research) which provides a systematic and empirical solution to research problems, by using data which are already in existence. The study was carried out in Nigeria Oil and Gas Sector. Data on firm characteristics and capital structure variables were extracted from the annual report and accounts of the firms (Oando Plc, Mobil Plc, Conoil Plc and Total Plc) between 2000 and 2014.

The simple regression (prediction) model is statistically written as,

\[
\text{FINLEV}_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{FIRMAGE}_t + e_t
\]

Where,

- FINLEV = Financial Leverage
- FIRMAGE = Firm Age
- \( \beta_0 \) = coefficient (constant) to be estimated
- \( \beta_1 \) = parameter of the independent variable to be estimated
- \( t \) = current period
- \( e \) = stochastic disturbance (error term)

Granger-Causality test is conducted in the context of linear autoregressive models and specified in bivariate linear autoregressive model of two variables \( X_1 \) and \( X_2 \) based on lagged values as applied by Pasquale (2006):

\[
X_{1(t)} = \sum_{j=1}^{p} A_{11} X_{1(t-j)} + \sum_{j=1}^{p} A_{12} X_{2(t-j)} + E_{1(t)}
\]

\[
X_{2(t)} = \sum_{j=1}^{p} A_{21} X_{1(t-j)} + \sum_{j=1}^{p} A_{22} X_{2(t-j)} + E_{2(t)}
\]

Where:

- \( p \) is the maximum number of lagged observations included in the equation, the matrix \( A \) contains the coefficients of the equation (i.e., the contributions of each lagged observation to the predicted values of \( X_1(t) \) and \( X_2(t) \)),
- \( X_1 \) is the FINLEV which is constant while \( X_2 \) is the FIRMAGE values, and
- \( E1 \) and \( E2 \) are residuals (prediction errors) for each time series data.

4. Discussion of Findings

Figure 1 reveals the pattern of movement of the focal and explanatory variables from 2000 to 2014 accounting year of the firms. A closer observation of the line graphs above reveal that firm age naturally and in line with expectations is having a smooth rise as it moves through the trajectory of its’ life cycle. Firm age increases each year by a unit for all the four companies under study.

On the other hand, the graph depicting the movement of financial leverage along the firms’ life cycle reveals that firms’ borrowing over the period 2000 to 2018 fluctuates. Most of the firms had low loan profile at the beginning of 2000, had a bigger exposure to external borrowings around 2008 and reduced the borrowing drastically towards 2014. This trend could be associated with the excruciating economic melt-down/financial crisis experienced around 2008 in Nigeria and beyond.
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Table 1. Descriptive Statistics of the Variables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATISTICS</th>
<th>FIRMAGE</th>
<th>FINLEV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>32.16949</td>
<td>0.661525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>32.00000</td>
<td>0.420000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>45.00000</td>
<td>2.280000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>23.00000</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std. Dev.</td>
<td>5.521596</td>
<td>0.581994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skewness</td>
<td>0.280293</td>
<td>1.150772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurtosis</td>
<td>2.455066</td>
<td>3.383448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jarque-Bera</td>
<td>1.552963</td>
<td>13.38349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probability</td>
<td>0.460022</td>
<td>0.001241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>1898.000</td>
<td>39.03000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum Sq. Dev.</td>
<td>1768.305</td>
<td>19.64556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Author's EView 8.0 Output.

Table 2. Regression Analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>t-Statistic</th>
<th>Prob.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FIRMAGE</td>
<td>-0.034573</td>
<td>0.013189</td>
<td>-2.621413</td>
<td>0.0112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>1.737715</td>
<td>0.430371</td>
<td>4.121365</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-squared</td>
<td>0.107587</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.661525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted R-squared</td>
<td>0.099131</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.581994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. E. of regression</td>
<td>0.554597</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.692161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum squared resid</td>
<td>17.53195</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.762586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log likelihood</td>
<td>-47.91875</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.719652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-statistic</td>
<td>6.871807</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.636460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prob(F-statistic)</td>
<td>0.011208</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Researcher’s EView 8.0 Computation

Table 3 reveals that at Lag 1, there is no causality running from financial leverage to firm age and vice versa. This is evident from the fact that none of the p-values is less than 0.05. The implication of the outcome is that firm age does not granger cause financial leverage and vice versa.

Table 4. Correlation Analysis Result.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FINLEV</th>
<th>FIRMAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FINLEV</td>
<td>1.000000</td>
<td>-0.328005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIRMAGE</td>
<td>-0.328005</td>
<td>1.000000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Researcher’s EView 8.0 Computation

Table 2 reveals that Firm Age has a significant but negative effect on Financial Leverage. This implies that as an oil firm advances in age, the firms’ need for external financing will tend to reduce. This is in line with the researcher’s a priori expectation. The Oil and Gas firms need a lot of funding for the acquisition of the heavy and expensive machines deployed for geological, geophysical and actual drilling operations. However, to reduce the cost of funding and borrowing, the Oil and Gas firms conserve a greater percentage of their profit, as retained earnings, which they plough back into business. Consequently, as the firm increases in age, the accumulated revenue reserves increase to such an extent that it solves the firms’ investible cash requirements. If the oil firms must source external funding, they prefer to do that through the stock market; which is still cheaper than cost of bank borrowing.

Table 4 explains that financial leverage has an insignificant negative relationship with firm age in Nigeria Oil and Gas industry. The relationship is quite insignificant because the strength of the relationship is just about 33%. This is also in line with the researchers expectations. This is because, it is logical to assume that as company increases in age, its’ asset size will also be on the increase. At the maturity stage, in line with the life cycle theory, the company would have taken up almost its’ investment opportunities to such an extent that it increases the dividend paid to shareholders signifying that the company no longer requires huge retentions. At this stage also, there is not much need for external borrowing because the earnings retained on a yearly basis seems to be adequate for the few investment opportunities they may still want to try their hands on.

Table 5A. Johansen Cointegration Result.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Eigenvalue</th>
<th>Statistic</th>
<th>Critical Value</th>
<th>Prob.**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None *</td>
<td>0.182182</td>
<td>19.20267</td>
<td>15.49471</td>
<td>0.0132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At most 1 *</td>
<td>0.126960</td>
<td>7.739089</td>
<td>3.841466</td>
<td>0.0054</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Trace test indicates 2 cointegrating eqn(s) at the 0.05 level
* denotes rejection of the hypothesis at the 0.05 level
**MacKinnon-Haug-Michelis (1999) p-values
Source: Researcher’s EView 8.0 Computation
Table 3B. Johansen Cointegration Result.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypothesized Cointegration Rank Test (Maximum Eigenvalue)</th>
<th>Max-Eigen</th>
<th>0.05</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of CE(s)</td>
<td>Eigenvalue</td>
<td>Statistic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>0.182182</td>
<td>11.46358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At most 1 *</td>
<td>0.126960</td>
<td>7.739089</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Max-eigenvalue test indicates no cointegration at the 0.05 level
* denotes rejection of the hypothesis at the 0.05 level
**MacKinnon-Haug-Michelis (1999) p-values
Source: Researcher’s EView 8.0 Computation

Table 5 indicates 2 (two) cointegrating equations under the Trace Test and 1 (one) cointegrating equation under the Max-eigenvalue test. This situation denotes the rejection of the hypothesis that firm age has no significant effect on financial leverage of firms in Nigeria Oil and Gas firms at the 0.05 level. Whenever there is a cointegration of this sort, the implication is that the relationship which presently exist among the variables, or the effect of the independent variable (firm age) on the dependent variable (financial leverage), could be sustained both in the short and long term.

5. Summary

The main purpose of this research is to examine the effect of firm age on the firm’s financial leverage, which is an alternative source of financing, in the oil and gas industry of Nigeria. The study also focused on assessment of the causality and relationship between company age and its level of borrowing to provide a leverage to equity financing. The study was underpinned by two theoretical frameworks, namely; the Trade-Off Theory (Kraus and Litzenberger, 1973) and the Life Cycle Theory (Mueller, 1972).

The study is an ex post facto research (after the fact research) which provides a systematic and empirical solution to research problems, by using data which are already in existence. The analysis of the time series data reveals that Firm Age has a significant but negative effect on Financial Leverage, which implies that as an oil firm advances in age, the firms’ need for external financing will tend to reduce. Causality test reveals that at Lag 1, there is no causality running from financial leverage to firm age and vice versa. This implies that financial leverage is not caused by the age of the oil and gas firm or otherwise. When the relationship between firm age and financial leverage was tested, it was found that financial leverage has an insignificant negative relationship with firm age in Nigeria Oil and Gas firms.

The sustainability of theses outcomes over a long period of time was also tested using the Johansen Cointegration Test. The test indicates 2 (two) cointegrating equations under the Trace Test and 1 (one) cointegrating equation under the Max-eigenvalue test which implies that short run effects and relationships are very sustainable, all things remaining the same. The findings of this research are in line with the expectations of the researcher and in accordance with some existing literature in relevant area of study. The findings are linked to the theories underpinning the present study. Therefore, firms are encouraged to borrow less as they advance in age. This is because, as firms advance in age, they are exposed to other sources of fund that are near cost free; a good example is accumulated retained earnings. Earnings could be accumulated for investment as an alternative to external borrowing when companies approach maturity. If the reserves are inadequate at a certain advanced age of the firm for investment, the firm can make a right issue to existing shareholders or issue part of the unissued authorized share capital to the public for subscription. In conclusion, therefore, at maturity stage of the firm, external borrowing should be discouraged in preference to other sources of investible funds.

References


