Assessment of ground water contamination by various pollutants from sewage water in Chakera village, Faisalabad
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Abstract: The wastewater used for irrigation purposes affects the groundwater quality and hence the local community of Pakistan. Field study was conducted in Chakera Village, Faisalabad and the analytical work was performed in Biochemistry Laboratory. Soil and water sample were collected in two surveys in a month on fifteen days basis with three replica of each sample. Samples were analyzed for quality parameters like pH, EC, TDS, TSS, Carbonate, Bicarbonates, Chloride and Nitrates. Results of the study revealed that untreated wastewater application raised the values of EC, TDS, Chloride and Nitrates when compared with world Health Organization (WHO). This not only degrades soil structure but also contaminates the groundwater causing severe health hazard to the local community. Carbonates and Bicarbonates were found within the permissible range.
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1. Introduction

All forms of life on earth draw their prolong and growth from nature's most rich and free available reserve of water. Water is essential for life. Humans, animals, and plants all need water to live and to rise. But in many parts of the world humans have no access to the clean drinking. Water is polluted with germs, worms, or toxic chemicals and this can lead to many illnesses [1]. In developing countries, about 80% of urban wastewater is used for irrigation paying to 70-80% food security and livelihood of urban and peri-urban communities [2]. It has been estimated that 64% of total wastewater of Pakistan is disposed of either into river or into Arabian Sea. Similarity, 400,000 m³/day are moreover added to canal [3].

In Pakistan 32500 ha land is being irrigated with city effluent [3]. Such irrigation practices provide crop yield as it contains large amount of organic material and in organic minerals essential for crop growth [4]. Nitrate in groundwater sources from extreme use of chemical fertilizer, uncontrolled on land discharge of municipal and industrial wastewater, human and animal waste run off septic tank and processed food [5].

Nitrate ion is stable and extremely soluble in water and can easily move through soil into aquifer [6]. This property makes it difficult to remove it using conventional water treatment technology. The ion exchange process seems to be suitable for small water supplier's contamination by nitrate, because of its simplicity, effectiveness, selectivity, improvement and relatively low cost [7]. According to WHO (World Health Organization), drinking water must contain nitrate not more than 50 mg/L [8]. Nitrate from drinking water account for 15-75% of person exposure to nitrate from environmental sources [9].

Nitrate in drinking water may be associated with increased risk of bladder and ovarian cancer, genotoxic at chromosomal level and insulin dependent diabetes [10].

The comparative study was carried out in two peri-urban villages close to the city of Faisalabad while farmers in Kehala Village used predominantly ground water to irrigate their fields; the groundwater in neighboring Chakera was too saline for use in agriculture. Instead, farmers they used untreated wastewater diverted from the feeding canal of the nearby Wastewater Stabilization Ponds [11].

In this regards different parameters of waste water samples were analyzed to check the contamination level
affecting the ground water quality

2. Materials and Methods

All analytical work was performed in the Biochemistry Laboratory, Soil and Environmental Section, Ayyub Agriculture Research Institute, Faisalabad.

Total 6 Ground water samples were collected two times in a month on fifteen days basis with three replicates of each site from Chakera village.

WHO standard values for drinking water are used for comparison WHO (2004) [12]. Analytical chemical grades were used for reagents preparation.

The electrode is calibrated with standard buffer solution of 7.0 and 9.0 pH by using potentiometer method [13].

The pH of ground water samples were measured by an electronic pH meter (3510 pH meter Jenway).

Electrical Conductivity was measured directly by conductivity meter (4510 conductivity meter Jenway). It used to determine the soluble salt in the sample at particular temperature. EC is normally double then that of Total Dissolved Solids [13].

The Silver Nitrate Method was used for chloride determination. Silver nitrate was used as titrant and potassium chromate as an indicator. The silver nitrate reacted with all the chloride, form silver chloride formed and free nitrate ions. Once all chlorides present in the sample have been complexes, excess silver ions combine indicator to form brick red colored complex [13].

$$\text{Cl}^- \text{me/l} = \frac{V \times 0.05N \times 1000}{\text{Volume of sample used}}$$

From where

$N$ = Normality of silver nitrate solution

$V$ = volume of silver nitrate solution used

Carbonates and Bicarbonates were determined by titrating 10 ml samples water against standard 0.1N H$_2$SO$_4$.

The end point for carbonates was pink color while for Bicarbonates was red color. The method used phenolphthalein and methyl orange as an indicator [13].

$$\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 = 0.1N$$

Phenolphthalein = 0.5%

Methyl orange = 0.1%

Calculations

Carbonates meq/L = \frac{2 \times V \times 0.1N \times 1000}{\text{Volume of sample used}}

Bicarbonates meq/L = \frac{(V_2 - V_1) \times 0.1N \times 1000}{\text{Volume of sample used}}

From where

$N$ = Normality of sulphuric acid

$V_1$ = Volume of a titrant against phenolphthalein

$V_2$ = Volume of a titrant against methyl orange indicator

The value of nitrate of groundwater samples was estimated directly by Ion meter (3345 ion meter Jenway).

The electrode were calibrate with standard solution of KNO$_3$ [14].

The value of heavy metals from ground water samples was estimated by atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS 5500). Prior to analysis samples were acidified with N/10 HNO$_3$ standard solution and blanks were run at the time of analysis, absorbance/concentration were measured [15].

3. Results and Discussions

pH value of ground water samples in 1st survey and 2nd survey and their comparison with WHO standards are shown in fig 1. In 1st survey pH value in sample 1 was 7.1, second sample had value 7.21 and 3rd sample showed 7.53 value of pH. Similarly in 2nd survey pH value of ground water sample 1 was recorded 6.92, second sample had value 7.3 and 3rd sample showed 7.6 value. The comparison between pH value of 1st and 2nd survey showed that pH values of all ground water samples were almost similar. It was also investigated that pH values of all ground water samples in both surveys were below WHO standards (6.5-8.5).

EC values of ground water samples were recorded as 3.46 dS/m, 3.17dS/m and 2.86dS/m during 1st survey. EC value of all ground water samples was higher than WHO standards (3 dS/m) as shown by the Fig. 2. Similarly in 2nd survey EC values of 3.86 dS/m, 3.50 dS/m and 3.17 dS/m were obtained during the analysis. All samples of ground water were showing higher values than WHO standards (3 dS/m).

By comparing the EC values of ground water samples in 1st survey to 2nd survey, the EC values of 1st survey was lower than the 2nd survey values, Faisalabad (fig. 4.2).
TDS values of ground water samples were recorded as 2318 mg/L, 2123 mg/L and 1916 respectively during the 1st survey. TDS values of all ground water samples were higher than the WHO standards. (1000 mg/L) (Fig. 3). TDS value of ground water sample 1 was 2586 mg/L; second sample had the TDS value of 2345 mg/L and the 3rd sample showed the value of 2110 mg/L. TDS values of all ground water samples were below than WHO value during the 2nd survey (1000 mg/L) (Fig. 3).

**Figure 3. Comparisons of TDS values of ground water sample from Chakera Village, Faisalabad between two surveys**

In Fig 4 the values of TSS (mg l\(^{-1}\)) for ground water samples in 1st survey and 2nd survey and their comparison with WHO standards are explained. In 1st survey TSS value in sample 1 was 2214 mg L\(^{-1}\), second sample had value 2028 mg L\(^{-1}\) and 3rd sample showed 1830 mg L\(^{-1}\) value of TSS. Similarly in 2nd survey TSS value of ground water sample 1 was recorded 2470 mg L\(^{-1}\), second sample had value 2240 mg L\(^{-1}\) and 3rd sample showed 2028 mg L\(^{-1}\) value. The TSS value of ground water samples in 1st survey was relatively lower than the 2nd survey value. By comparing the TSS value of ground water sample 1 in 1st survey to 2nd survey, the value of TSS was lowered in 1st survey than the 2nd survey, similar results showed 2nd and 3rd sample of ground water of Chakera Village, Faisalabad.

**Figure 4. Comparison of TSS values of ground water samples from Chakera Village, Faisalabad between two surveys**

In Fig 5 the values of Chlorides meq/L for ground water samples in 1st survey and 2nd survey and their comparison with WHO standards is explained. The analytical study showed Carbonates and Bicarbonate value for ground water sample 1 taken 7 feet away from main sewage drain of Chakera village, Faisalabad was 2.5, 1.25 meq/L, second sample that was taken 200 feet away had 2, 1 meq/L and third sample that was taken 300 feet away was 1, 0.5 meq/L. Similarly in 2nd survey Nitrates value of ground water sample 1 was 5 and 2.5 meq/L Carbonates & Bicarbonates value, second sample showed 3.2 and 1.6 meq/L and 3rd sample had 2.5 and 1.3 meq/L. In both surveys the values were within the WHO (8.5 meq/L limits and the values were higher in 2nd survey than of 1st survey.

**Figure 5. Comparison of Chlorides values of ground water samples from Chakera Village, Faisalabad between two surveys**

In Fig 6 the values of carbonates and bicarbonates (meq l\(^{-1}\)) for ground water samples in 1st survey and 2nd survey and their comparison with WHO standards is explained. In 1st survey Chlorides value for ground water sample 1 taken 7 feet away from main sewage drain of Chakera village, Faisalabad was 17 meq/L, second sample that was taken 200 feet from sewage drain was 15 meq/L and third sample that was taken away 300 feet from sewage drain showed 14 meq/L. While the Chlorides value of ground water sample 1 in 2nd survey was 17.5 meq/L, second sample had value 16 meq/L and 3rd sample showed 15.50 meq/L values. The results shows that all samples values in survey 1st and 2nd are higher than of WHO (7.04 meq/L) standard and the values of survey 2nd is higher than of survey 1st in all samples.

**Figure 6. Comparison of carbonates and Bicarbonates values of ground water samples from Chakera Village, Faisalabad between two surveys**
4. Discussion

pH usually has direct effects on biotic environment. For satisfactory water disinfection and clarification at all stages the control of pH is very necessary. Effective disinfection with chlorine, the pH should preferably be less than 8 [16].

The present study is in accordance with the work of Zakiullah [17] which indicated the pH value of ground water in range of 6.44-7.27.

Salty taste is aesthetic effects of EC if it exceeds 150 mS/m and if greater than 300 mS/m it does not satisfy the thirst [18]. Results of this study related to the Phiriio [19] study who assessed the extent of pollution in rivers which were affected by textile effluent and sewage water in Malawi.

The present study was in relation to Increase in the conductivity of ground water than National Environmental Quality Standards was due to injection of polluted water into it [20].

Salinity of water is due to presence of high TDS concentration and its value above 500 mg/l is not suggested for drinking purposes [21]. Craun et al. [22] were reported that increase TDS concentrations in drinking water cause of cancer, coronary heart disease, arteriosclerotic heart disease and cardiovascular disease.

High chloride content in water bodies harms metallic pipe and pictures as well as agriculture crops. The present research linked with Asim and Khan [23] research. Their studied were to characterize the combined effect of industrial and municipal wastewater in Paharang Drain. Results showed that chloride concentrations were significantly higher as compared to National Environmental
Quality Standards (NEQS).

Hardness levels above 500 mg/L are generally considered to be aesthetically unacceptable, although this level is tolerated in some communities [24]. Hardness of drinking-water cause cardiovascular disease [25]. The present study correlated with Ashraf et al research. They determined the effect of using polluted water for irrigation on quality of ground water. The result showed that the value of Carbonates and Bicarbonates of ground water samples from Chakera, Faisalabad was not in increase than WHO standard.

The point source of nitrogen compound in ground water is household sewage [26]. Nitrate itself is not a poisonous substance, and it is not a problem for adults but it is very dangerous for infants under six months of age because it cause methemoglobin [27]. The nitrogen level in drinking-water cause cardiovascular disease [25]. The concentration of elements (EC, TDS, TSS, Nitrates and Chlorides) was more than the standard values in the sample that taken near to the sewage drain that might be due to the sewage water.

The carbonates, bicarbonates and heavy metals values were within the permissible limits in all ground water samples because these elements remained in the soil.

5. Conclusion

- The concentration of elements (EC, TDS, TSS, Nitrates and Chlorides) was more than the standard values in the sample that taken near to the sewage drain that might be due to the sewage water.
- The carbonates, bicarbonates and heavy metals values were within the permissible limits in all ground water samples because these elements remained in the soil.
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