Average solar UV radiation dosimetry in central Nigeria
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Abstract: The measurement of solar UV radiation at a typical market setting (Gboko, Central Market, Benue State Nigeria) was done using a broadband UV meter and Polymer Polysulphone Dosimeters. The dosimeters were fitted on strategic solar radiation access areas on a plastic human figure and placed in the sun from 9:30am-4:00pm. The dosimeter fitted on the forehead recorded the highest reading of 595 J/m² whereas the one positioned in the pocket (beneath the cloth) gave the least reading 2.7J/m². The dosimeter placed in the Shade (Shop Canopy) also gave a low value of 45.4 J/m² and the mean UV radiation exposure was determined as 432 ± 47 J/m². The work sets a reliable baseline data for solar UV radiation monitoring in central Nigeria. Appropriate recommendations have also been made to create awareness on the harmful effects of solar UV radiation.
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1. Introduction

Market arenas, playgrounds, tourist sites, farmlands, work and construction sites in Nigeria are the places that present significant health risks to most people who expose their bodies in UV radiation without adequate protection. The risk is most significant in market sites, car parks and work sites located in the villages having little or no shade covers for UV protection. In Africa, there is predominance in the naturally brown or black skin type which is very much resistant to instant sunburn or other acute effects of over exposure to solar UV radiation [1, 2]. This has made the general public to neglect the necessary UV protection measures resulting to increased risks associated with chronic effects of UV radiation exposure.

Solar UV radiation is responsible for the synthesis of vitamin D but excessive exposure to it causes sunburn, eye problems (such as cataracts, pterygium), skin aging, skin cancer and immune depression [1, 3-6]. Solar UV radiation also penetrates to ecological depths in aquatic systems and can affect both marine and freshwater systems from major biomass producers (phytoplankton) to consumers (zooplankton) in the food web [7]. The known immediate and acute effect of over exposure to Solar Ultraviolet Radiation (UVR) on skin is sunburn (erythema). This occurs 12-14 hours after exposure if the dose of erythema irradiance has exceeded 1 unit of Minimum Erythemal Dose (MED). MED depends on skin type and it has a range of 200 to 500J/m² among the light skinned individuals [8, 9]. It has been shown that the efficiency of producing Erythermal increases by up to 5 orders of magnitude in the 280nm to 350nm wavelength range. The black skin type contains a dark biological pigment (Melanin), which absorbs harmful and visible radiation and thus prevents the skin from sunburn and other acute effects of solar UV radiation on the skin [1]. It has also been shown that UV-B suppresses the body immune response to Herpes simplex virus and to skin lesion development and may affect the spleen. The eyes are more vulnerable; UV-B causes cataract and snow blindness [10]. In agriculture, UV-B increases plant’s susceptibility to diseases, hence it’s over exposure poses a great threat in central Nigeria where farming is the main occupation.

Many researchers have done a lot of work in reporting the estimation of global and diffused solar radiation in various parts of Nigeria employing various methods and techniques. [11], estimated the solar radiation at Uturu (Abia State) (latitude 05.33°N and 06.03°N) in October, 2007 using Hargreaves equation and the mean global solar UV radiation was reported as 1.89±0.82 kWh [12] measured and reported that the average solar radiation that is received in Nigeria per day is as high as 20 MJ/m².
Nigeria is located in western Africa and has an approximate land mass of 910,770 sq. km [13] with a population of more than 160 million. The country has six geopolitical regions and mainly based on geography. The north central is comprised of the middle belt region with relatively similar weather and geographic features (average sunshine, rainfall, ambient temperatures, humidity and wind speed) in general.

In this work, we have measured and estimated the solar UV radiation exposure (J/m$^2$) on market traders and the general public that expose their bodies continuously for a long period of time in the solar UV radiation field. The measurement was carried out in a typical market setting in Gboko, Benue State of Central Nigeria. The research obtains reliable estimations of UVR for members of the general public in Central Nigeria. Also, it determines the cumulative erythemal dose (J/m$^2$) received on different exposure parts of the body for a given exposure period which serves as a baseline for further research in this area and to regulators of environmental safety standards in Nigeria.

![Figure 1. Yearly average of daily sums of global horizon irradiation in Nigeria. Dashed perimeter line indicates average coverage of central Nigeria [14].](image)

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Site

Measurements were taken at a typical market setting located in Gboko, Benue State, Nigeria with Latitude 07.19.416’N, Longitude 09.02.491’E and Elevation of 238m.

2.2. Materials

A digital UV broadband meter, the Educator (No. P3-6510), obtained from Ann Arbor (USA), was used for measuring UV exposures. The UV meter detects both UV-A and UV-B and then calculates the UV index and UV irradiance (solar power density in mW/m$^2$) through averaging the readings of the various wavelengths according to the Erythemal Action Spectrum.

The longitude, latitude and altitude of the measurement site were measured by a twelve-channel GPS (etrex by Garmin Ltd, USA). Fourteen pieces of polymer polysulphone dosimeter (2cm x 2cm) were used in this work. Five dosimeters were used for calibration whereas the remaining nine dosimeters were attached on a plastic human dummy of 1.7m tall dressed in light clothes. A UV/Vis spectrophotometer, (UV-Vis serial no. 6305, designed by Jenway Co. Ltd, UK) was used in measuring the change in dosimeter absorbance.

2.3. Dosimeter Calibration and Experimental Model

The calibration of the dosimeters was done at the measurement site on 7th March, 2012. It was done by subjecting five (5) dosimeters to series of solar UV radiation on a horizontal plane, while measuring the solar UV exposures with the UV meter. This was done to enable the dosimeters quantify UV exposures in J/m$^2$. The first dosimeter was exposed for 10mins, the second 20mins, third, fourth and fifth for a period of 30mins, 40mins, and 50mins respectively. The measurement time was taken between 12.00pm and 1.00pm.

![Figure 2. Dosimeters placed on plastic human figure to measure UV radiation](image)

Specifically, the dosimeters are not manufactured and designed to measure absorbance. The main aim is to quantify cumulative UV radiation (J/m$^2$), and hence the need for them to be calibrated so as to measure UV radiation.

\[
\text{Desired Quantity (J/m}^2\text{)} = \text{Calibration factor (Constant)} \times \text{Absorbance}
\] (1)
For linear calibration, the calibration factor is often taken as the gradient of a line and this may be sufficient approximation for a UV polysulphone dosimeter provided a linear range can be determined [8].

The UV exposure (E) of each individual dosimeter was calculated using equation (2) [8].

\[
E = \sum_{t_1}^{t_2} I \times \Delta t
\]

where \( E \) is the exposure in (J/m²), \( I \) is the measured irradiance (W/m²) and \( \Delta t \) is the exposure time interval from \( t_1 \) to \( t_2 \).

2.4. UV Exposures

Both calibration and plastic human dummy exposure were done at the same site and on the same day so as to avoid mismatch errors. Eight (8) dosimeters of size 2cm x 2cm were tagged on different exposure parts of the plastic human figure (manikin) and placed under the sun from 9:30am to 4:00pm on 7th March, 2012 (Figure 2). One (1) dosimeter each was placed on the right eye, left eye, right hand, left hand, forehead, chest, back and the pocket. One dosimeter was tagged on another plastic manikin and placed in the shade on the same day and time. The polymer polysulphone undergoes UV induced photo degradation when exposed to UV wavelengths shorter than approximately 340nm [15]. The pre-exposure optical absorbance and post-exposure optical absorbance at 330nm (\( \Delta A_{330} \)) in a UV spectrophotometer (UV-Vis 6305 Jenway, UK) was measured and reported.

3. Results

Table 1 shows the results for cumulative exposure of the dosimeters during calibration. Equation (2) was used in calculating UV exposure of each dosimeter at an interval of ten (10) minutes. The total exposure for each dosimeter was the cumulative sum of the exposure calculated for the current exposure interval (10 minutes) and the sum of previous exposures of the dosimeters taken in that order. The UV exposure of each dosimeter was estimated from a single measurement made at the end of an interval of 10 minutes. The UV irradiance was therefore approximated to be constant for the 10-minute exposure intervals.

![Figure 3. Dosimeter Calibration Curve](image)

Figure 4 shows the calibration curve for cumulative UV exposure with dosimeter absorbance. The almost linear line indicates a strong relationship between increasing exposure to UV radiation and the absorbance in the measured exposure intervals of 10 minutes (between 12.00pm and 1.00pm). Periods of cloud cover were avoided during the measurement so as to obtain better results. A straight line graph was therefore plotted to get the calibration equation which is \( y = 907.6x \) with \( R^2 = 0.9006 \). The calibration period was chosen around midday because of high intensities of UV exposures normally experienced around solar noon. If the calibration period were to exceed 1.00pm and run past 4.00pm, the straight line graph (Figure 4) would have flatten and form a curve as only minimal exposures would be absorbed by the dosimeter as the time increases. Similarly, if the calibration proceeds until sun set, there would be no observable increase in UV exposure and absorbance with time. This is typical of UV polymer dosimeters curving away after excessive cumulative exposure to sunlight as a result of increasing limitation of the available polymer chains which increase the opacity of a dosimeter to a certain exposure limit [8].
4. Discussion

The polymer polysulphone dosimeter has been successfully calibrated with a calibration equation $y = 907.6x$ ($R^2 = 0.9006$) to make the dosimeter effectively measure cumulative UV radiation in $\text{J/m}^2$. According to [9], calibrated measurements of personal UV exposures have been performed accurately over the past 30 years with the use of polysulphone and poly 2, 6-dimethyl-1, 4-phenylene oxide polymer dosimeters.

Different exposure parts of the body were also measured with the dosimeter and it was observed that, the dosimeter placed on the head (Top) recorded the highest cumulative UV radiation of 595.386 $\text{J/m}^2$, followed by the one placed on the chest with 491.012 $\text{J/m}^2$. The dosimeter placed in the pocket recorded the least cumulative UV radiation of 2.723 $\text{J/m}^2$ revealing that the clothing we put on everyday also assist in attenuating the solar UV radiation penetrating the skin thereby reducing the harm it would have caused on our bodies. Also, the dosimeter placed in the open shade (Canopy) recorded little cumulative UV radiation of 45.380 $\text{J/m}^2$. The dosimeters on the Left Hand and Right Hand both reported high UV exposures of 308.584 and 225.085 $\text{J/m}^2$ respectively. The small difference being attributed to the fact that the sun movement was not directed over head.

Studies by [16] reported similar UV exposures with polysulphone dosimeters. Calculated daily UV exposures in the shade during the winter ranged from 0.3 SED per day for top of head and 1.8 SED per day for chin while calculated UV exposures in shade during the summer ranged from 0.3 SED per day for top of head and 4.6 SED for chin [1 SED is equal to 100 $\text{J/m}^2$ [16]]. In this research, the dosimeter in the shop canopy gave a reading of 45.380 $\text{J/m}^2$, and it clearly shows that even the shade do not provide 100 per cent protection against UV radiation exposures as also shown by [16].

The Mean UV radiation exposure in this work was determined to be 432.147±47 $\text{J/m}^2$ for a 6.5 hour-exposure period. The mean UV radiation exposure is higher than the recommended value by International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP) for occupational exposure limit of 30 $\text{J/m}^2$ [17], for a 6.5 hour-exposure period for both the eyes and skin. This is therefore capable of causing cell damage. Majority of the damage due to solar UV radiation is as a result of this little but intermittent and cumulative absorbed doses that turn out to affect the body in later stages of life (i.e. chronic effects). The chronic exposure gives rise to accelerated skin aging process, increases the risk of developing skin cancer, both melanoma and non-melanoma, eye cataracts, and pterygium [17] which are prominent in Central Nigeria especially within the age bracket of 50 years and above [18] report shows an increase in UV induced eye related diseases like cataract, pterygium and conjunctivitis in Central Nigeria.

The result clearly indicates that, people who allow direct penetration of UV radiation on their scalp are at high risk of developing chronic effects of UV exposure to radiation even without the acute effects. Although this result may vary in different individuals due to the way their heads are positioned. Apart from women who use artificial hairs on their heads and few covering their heads with head-ties and hats, a greater percentage normally leave their heads unprotected. The research has proven the necessity of always using head cover protection against high UV exposures which are normally concentrated at the upper extremities especially the scalp and eyes. Hats and shade
should be used when carrying out different occupational and outdoor activities under intense solar irradiation.

5. Conclusion

The research made use of a chemical dosimeter fitted on an anatomical model of human (manikin) to gauge UV radiation on different body sites. UV radiation exposure on different human body sites varies with difference in body geometry. As a result of different behavioral patterns, levels of UV exposure awareness and exposure geometry, application of exposure limits is not practical as it is done in artificial UV radiation exposures, but seeking shade and limiting solar UV radiation exposures to as low as reasonably achievable is the effective approach.
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