The mathematical modeling of the atmospheric diffusion equation
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Abstract: The advection diffusion equation (ADE) is solved in two directions to obtain the crosswind integrated concentration. The solution is solved using separation variables technique and considering the wind speed depends on the vertical height and eddy diffusivity depends on downwind and vertical distances. Comparing between the two predicted concentrations and observed concentration data are taken on the Copenhagen in Denmark.
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1. Introduction

The analytical solution of the atmospheric diffusion equation has been containing different shaped depending on Gaussian and non-Gaussian solutions. An analytical solution with power law for the wind speed and eddy diffusivity with the realistic assumption was studied by [1] the solution has been implemented in the KAPPA-G model [2], and [3] extended the solution of [1] under boundary conditions suitable for dry deposition at the ground. The mathematics of atmospheric dispersion modeling is studied by [4]. In the analytical solutions of the diffusion-advection equation, assuming constant along the whole planetary boundary layer (PBL) or following a power law was studied by [5-7], [2] and [8].

Estimating of crosswind integrated Gaussian and non-Gaussian concentration through different dispersion schemes is studied by [9]. Analytical solution of diffusion equation in two dimensions using two forms of eddy diffusivities is studied by [9]

In this paper the advection diffusion equation (ADE) is solved in two directions to obtain crosswind integrated ground level concentration in unstable conditions. We use separation variables technique and considering the wind speed and eddy diffusivity depends on the vertical height and downwind distance. We compare between observed data from Copenhagen (Denmark) and predicted concentration data using statistical technique.

2. Analytical Method

Time dependent advection – diffusion equation is written as [10]

\[
\frac{\partial C(x,y,z)}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial C(x,y,z)}{\partial x} = D \left( \frac{\partial^2 C(x,y,z)}{\partial x^2} \right) + D \left( \frac{\partial^2 C(x,y,z)}{\partial y^2} \right) + D \left( \frac{\partial^2 C(x,y,z)}{\partial z^2} \right)
\]

For steady state, taking \( \frac{\partial C(x,y,z)}{\partial t} = 0 \) and the diffusion in the x-axis direction is assumed to be zero compared with the adjective in the same directions, hence:

\[
\frac{\partial C(x,y,z)}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{\partial C(x,y,z)}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \frac{\partial C(x,y,z)}{\partial z} \right) \right) \tag{1}
\]

where: \( C(x,y,z) \) is the average concentration of air pollution (µg/m³) in three dimension. \( u \) is the mean wind speed in x-direction (m/s).
\( K_y \) and \( K_z \) are the eddy diffusivities coefficients which are function in x-direction (m²/s) i.e

\[
K_y = K_z.
\]

Integrating the equation (1) with respect to \( y \) from \(-\infty \) to \( \infty \) at a point \((x, z)\) of the atmospheric advection–diffusion equation is written in the form [11];

\[
u \frac{\partial}{\partial x} C(x, y, z)dy = k_y \frac{\partial C(x, y, z)}{\partial y} + k_z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ \int \frac{\partial C(x, y, z)}{\partial y} dy \right]
\]

(2)

Let

\[
C_y(x, z) = \int C(x, y, z)dy
\]

where \( C_y(x, z) \) is the normalized crosswind integrated concentration. Note that the value of concentration tends to zero at far distance i.e.

\[
K_y \frac{\partial C(x, y, z)}{\partial y} = 0
\]

(4)

Substituting by equations (3) and (4) in Eq. (2) it was getting:

\[
u \frac{\partial C_y(x, z)}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} k_x(x) \frac{\partial C_y(x, z)}{\partial z}
\]

(5)

\[
u \frac{\partial C_y(x, z)}{\partial x} = k_x(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \frac{\partial C_y(x, z)}{\partial z}
\]

(6)

let the solution of Eq. (6) in the form:

\[
C_y(x, z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} X_n(x) Z_n(z)
\]

(7)

For simplicity Eq. (7) can be written in the form

\[
C_y(x, z) = X_0(x) Z_0(z)
\]

(8)

Substituting by Eq. (8) in Eq. (6) it was getting:

\[
u Z_0(z) \frac{dX_0(x)}{dx} = k_x(x) X_0(x) \frac{d^2Z_0(z)}{dz^2}
\]

(9)

Dividing Eq. (9) by \( k_x(x) X_0(x) Z_0(z) \) then:

\[
u \frac{1}{k_x(x) X_0(x)} \frac{dX_0(x)}{dx} = \frac{1}{Z_0(z)} \frac{d^2Z_0(z)}{dz^2} = -\beta_c
\]

(10)

Let

\[
u \frac{1}{k_x(x) X_0(x)} \frac{dX_0(x)}{dx} = \frac{1}{Z_0(z)} \frac{d^2Z_0(z)}{dz^2} = -\beta_c
\]

(11)

And

\[
u \frac{1}{k_x(x) X_0(x)} \frac{dX_0(x)}{dx} = \frac{1}{Z_0(z)} \frac{d^2Z_0(z)}{dz^2} = -\beta_c
\]

(12)

Then

\[
u \frac{dX_0(x)}{dx} = -\beta_c \frac{1}{Z_0(z)} \frac{d^2Z_0(z)}{dz^2} = -\beta_c
\]

(13)

\[
u \frac{dX_0(x)}{dx} = -\beta_c \frac{1}{Z_0(z)} \frac{d^2Z_0(z)}{dz^2}
\]

(14)

\[
u \frac{dX_0(x)}{dx} = -\beta_c \frac{1}{Z_0(z)} \frac{d^2Z_0(z)}{dz^2}
\]

(15)

\[
u \frac{dX_0(x)}{dx} = -\beta_c \frac{1}{Z_0(z)} \frac{d^2Z_0(z)}{dz^2}
\]

(16)

\[
u \frac{dX_0(x)}{dx} = -\beta_c \frac{1}{Z_0(z)} \frac{d^2Z_0(z)}{dz^2}
\]

(17)

Eq. (13) can be solved as following:

\[
u \frac{d^2Z_0(z)}{dz^2} + \beta_c Z_0(z) = 0
\]

(18)

\[
u \frac{d^2Z_0(z)}{dz^2} + \beta_c Z_0(z) = 0
\]

(19)

\[
u \frac{d^2Z_0(z)}{dz^2} + \beta_c Z_0(z) = 0
\]

(20)

\[
u \frac{d^2Z_0(z)}{dz^2} + \beta_c Z_0(z) = 0
\]

(21)

\[
u \frac{d^2Z_0(z)}{dz^2} + \beta_c Z_0(z) = 0
\]

(22)

\[
u \frac{d^2Z_0(z)}{dz^2} + \beta_c Z_0(z) = 0
\]

(23)

\[
u \frac{d^2Z_0(z)}{dz^2} + \beta_c Z_0(z) = 0
\]

(24)

\[
u \frac{d^2Z_0(z)}{dz^2} + \beta_c Z_0(z) = 0
\]

(25)
At $z = 0 \Rightarrow a_n\beta_n = 0 \Rightarrow a_n = 0$ \hspace{1cm} (26)

The equation (19) becomes:

$$Z_x(z) = b_n\cos(\beta_nz)$$ \hspace{1cm} (27)

Then equation (23) is written in the form:

$$c_y(x, z) = \alpha_ne^{-\frac{u^2}{v^2}(h_xz_{\alpha})^2}
\left(b_n\cos(\beta_nz)\right)$$ \hspace{1cm} (28)

Applying the condition (21) then Eq. (28) can be written in the form: $\alpha_n e^{-\frac{u^2}{v^2}(h_xz_{\alpha})^2} \frac{d}{dz}(b_n\cos(\beta_nz)) = 0$

At $z = h \Rightarrow -b_n\beta_n\sin(\beta_nz) = 0 \Rightarrow b_n\beta_n = 0$

$z = h \Rightarrow -b_n\beta_n\sin(\beta_nz) = 0 \Rightarrow b_n\beta_n = 0$

$\Rightarrow \beta_n = \frac{n\pi}{h}$ \hspace{1cm} Then,

$$Z_x(z) = b_n\cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{h}z\right) \hspace{1cm} (at) \hspace{1cm} n = 0 \Rightarrow \beta_n = 0 \hspace{1cm} (29)$$

We can write the general solution in the form:

$$C_y(x, z) = X_n(x)Z_n(z) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n(x)Z_n(z) \hspace{1cm} (30)$$

Eq. (30) can be written in the form:

$$C_y(x, z) = \alpha_nM + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n(x)Z_n(z)$$ \hspace{1cm} (31)

$$c_y(x, z) = \alpha_nM + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n(x)Z_n(z)$$ \hspace{1cm} (32)

Let $R_n = \alpha_nM, b_n\alpha_n = R_n$

$$c_y(x, z) = R_n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R_n\cos\left(\frac{n\pi z}{h}\right)e^{-\frac{u^2}{v^2}(h_xz_{\alpha})^2}$$ \hspace{1cm} (33)

Using condition (22), one can get:

$$R_n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R_n\cos\left(\frac{n\pi z}{h}\right)e^{-\frac{u^2}{v^2}(h_xz_{\alpha})^2} = \frac{Q}{uh}\delta(z-h) \hspace{1cm} (34)$$

$$R_n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R_n\cos\left(\frac{n\pi z}{h}\right)e^{-\frac{u^2}{v^2}(h_xz_{\alpha})^2} = \frac{Q}{uh}\delta(z-h) \hspace{1cm} (35)$$

Integrating with respect to $z$ from $z = 0$ to $z = h$

$$\int R_n dz + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R_n\frac{1}{n\pi z}e^{-\frac{u^2}{v^2}(h_xz_{\alpha})^2} \left.\right|_0^h = \frac{Q}{uh}\delta(z-h) dz$$

$$\Rightarrow R_nh + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{h}{n\pi} R_n\sin(n\pi) = \frac{Q}{uh}\delta(z-h) dz \hspace{1cm} (36)$$

But

$$\sin(n\pi) = 0 \Rightarrow R_n = 0 \hspace{1cm} (37)$$

Equation (35) becomes:

$$\frac{Q}{uh} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R_n\cos\left(\frac{n\pi z}{h}\right) = \frac{Q}{uh}\delta(z-h)$$ \hspace{1cm} (38)

Multiplying by $\cos\left(\frac{n\pi z}{h}\right)$ and integrating with respect to $z$ from $z = 0$ to $h$:

$$\frac{Q}{uh}\left[\cos\left(\frac{n\pi z}{h}\right)\right] + \int \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R_n\cos\left(\frac{n\pi z}{h}\right) dz = \frac{Q}{uh}\int\delta(z-h)\cos\left(\frac{n\pi z}{h}\right) dz \hspace{1cm} (39)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{Q}{uh}\delta(z-h) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R_n\left[\cos\left(\frac{n\pi z}{h}\right)\right] = \frac{Q}{uh}\int\delta(z-h)\cos\left(\frac{n\pi z}{h}\right) dz \hspace{1cm} (40)$$

then, $R_n = \frac{Q}{uh}\cos\left(\frac{n\pi z}{h}\right)$ \hspace{1cm} $R_n = \frac{Q}{uh}\cos\left(\frac{n\pi z}{h}\right)$

The general solution becomes:

$$c_y(x, z) = \frac{Q}{uh}\left[1 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \cos\left(\frac{n\pi z}{h}\right)\cos\left(\frac{n\pi z}{h}\right)\right] \hspace{1cm} (42)$$

The value of the crosswind integrated concentration at ground put $z = 0$ in Eq. (42), one can get:

$$c_y(x, z) = \frac{Q}{uh}\left[1 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \cos\left(\frac{n\pi z}{h}\right)\cos\left(\frac{n\pi z}{h}\right)\right] \hspace{1cm} (43)$$

The value of the crosswind integrated concentration at ground source, put $h_z = 0$ in Eq. (42), one can get:

$$c_y(x, z) = \frac{Q}{uh}\left[1 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \cos\left(\frac{n\pi z}{h}\right)\cos\left(\frac{n\pi z}{h}\right)\right] \hspace{1cm} (44)$$

Let the eddy diffusivity in the form:

$$k_z(x) = \alpha u_x$$ \hspace{1cm} (45)

Where "u" is the mean wind speed in x-direction (m/s) described in region $0 \leq z \leq h$, $h$ is the mixing height and $\alpha$ is the turbulence intensity is taken in the form

$$\alpha = \left(\sigma_w / u\right)^2$$ \hspace{1cm} (54) where $\sigma_w$ is the standard deviation for vertical velocity. Then

$$k_z(x) = \frac{\sigma_w^2}{u}x \hspace{1cm} \left(46\right)$$

Substituting from equation (46) in equation (42), then the general equation becomes:

$$c_y(x, z) = \frac{Q}{uh}\left[1 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \cos\left(\frac{n\pi z}{h}\right)\cos\left(\frac{n\pi z}{h}\right)\right] \hspace{1cm} (47)$$

Put $z = 0$ in Eq. (47), one can get:
\[ c_y(x,0) = \frac{Q}{u h} \left( 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \cos \left( \frac{n \pi h}{h} \right) e^{-\frac{u x}{2} \sigma_w^2} \right) \]  

(48)

3. Validation

The used data was observed from the atmospheric diffusion experiments conducted at the northern part of Copenhagen, Denmark, under neutral and unstable conditions by [12] and [13]. Fig. (1) shows that the predicted normalized crosswind integrated concentrations values of the present predicted model are good to the observed data as the Gaussian predicted model. Fig. (2) shows that the present predicted data is nearer to the observed concentrations data than the predicted Gaussian model.
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**Figure 1.** The variation of the two predicted and observed models via downwind distances.

From the two figures, we find that there is agreement between the predicted normalized crosswind integrated concentrations of present model with the observed normalized crosswind integrated concentrations as the predicted Gaussian model.

![Figure 2](image2.png)

**Figure 2.** The variation between the predicted models and observed concentrations data.

4. Statistical Method

Now, the statistical method is presented and comparison between predicted and observed results will be offered by [14]. The following standard statistical performance measures that characterize the agreement between prediction \( (C_p = C_{\text{pred}}/Q) \) and observations \( (C_o = C_{\text{obs}}/Q) \):

- **Normalized Mean Square Error (NMSE):**
  \[
  \text{NMSE} = \frac{(C_p - C_o)^2}{(C_{p\text{pred}} - C_{o\text{obs}})}
  \]

- **Fractional Bias (FB):**
  \[
  \text{FB} = \frac{(C_o - C_p)}{0.5(C_o + C_p)}
  \]

- **Correlation Coefficient (COR):**
  \[
  \text{COR} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (C_{pi} - \bar{C}_p) \times (C_{oi} - \bar{C}_o) \frac{(\sigma_p \sigma_o)}{\sigma_p^2}
  \]

- **Factor of Two (FAC2):** \( 0.5 \leq \frac{C_p}{C_o} \leq 2.0 \)

Where \( \sigma_p \) and \( \sigma_o \) are the standard deviations of \( C_p \) and \( C_o \) respectively. Here the over bars indicate the average over all measurements. A perfect model would have the following idealized performance: NMSE = FB = 0 and COR = 1.0.

**Table 1.** Comparison between our two predicted models according to standard statistical Performance measure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Models</th>
<th>NMSE</th>
<th>FB</th>
<th>COR</th>
<th>FAC2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Present model</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaussian model</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the statistical method (Table 1), we find that the two models are inside a factor of two with observed data. Regarding to NMSE and FB, the present predicted model is better good with observed data than the Gaussian model. The correlation of present predicted model equals (0.60) and Gaussian model equals (0.80).

5. Conclusions

The predicted crosswind integrated concentrations of the two predicted models are inside a factor of two with observed concentration data. One finds that there is agreement between the present predicted normalized crosswind integrated concentrations model with the observed normalized crosswind integrated concentrations than the Gaussian predicted model with respect to normalized square error and fraction bias (NMSE and FB). The correlation of present predicted model equals (0.60) and Gaussian model equals (0.80).
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