Anaerobic Digestion of Parthenium Weed with Goat Manure to Generate Biogas Energy
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Abstract: The aim of this study was to obtain the optimal Mix ratio for biogas production from anaerobic digestion of Parthenium weed and goat manure. The experiment was batch operated and daily gas yield from the plant was monitored for 30 days. The Parameters studied were total solids (TS) and volatile solids (VS), fixed solids, organic carbon, and moisture content. For the experimental design, different mix ratios were adopted for the five digesters employed. In this case, for the first digester, 100% goat manure was used. For the 2nd digester 75%GM and 25% PW were used. The 3rd digester was filled with GM and PW in 1:1 ratio. The 4th digester contained 25%GM and 75% PW. the last digester contained only PW. The gas production rate was measured on daily basis using water displacement method. Gas production was noticed in all of the substrates types from the second and third day of digestion and went to zero at about 28 days in all digesters. The experimental data shows a greatest gas output of 572.5ml of gas production in the mix ratio of 75%GM and 25% PW, suggesting this mix ratio of the two substrates is an optimal mix to yield the highest biogas production. All measured TS, VS, PH, organic carbon and moisture content were significantly varied between before and after AD. Overall results indicates that the biogas yield and VS and TS reduction can be significantly enhanced when GM and PW are co-digested.
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1. Introduction

Fossil fuels are the main contributor to the emission of greenhouse gases causing climate change and unprecedented environmental problems. The impact of climate change is projected to increase rapidly because of continued dominance of the use of fossil fuels in many countries [1]. In addition, many households in the developing world today burn biomass in traditional stoves to obtain heat. This burning can result in indoor pollution and human health problems [2].

The anaerobic digestion of biomass requires less capital investment per unit production cost compared to other renewable energy sources, such as hydro, solar and wind energy [3]. Apart from this, the effluent from the biogas process supplies nutrients which can also be utilized as fertilizer [4]. Cattle dung has been used as the major feed material for anaerobic digesters which is not likely to have significant impact. This calls for widening the scope of this technology by tapping other organic materials like energy crops. Using spineless cacti as an energy crop is offering serious perspectives to countries prone to drought and relying on imports for their energy consumption [5].

Anaerobic digestion is a multi-stage biochemical process in which the complex organic materials undergo hydrolysis, acidogenesis, and methanogenesis in series and each metabolic stage is functioned by different types of microorganisms. Parthenium hysterophorus grows abundantly throughout Ethiopia. It is considered to be
important energy plant for biogas production because of its high organic matter yield per hectare and high availability to supplement goat manure for biogas production [6].

Co-digestion of different plant and animal materials could be beneficial due to dilution of inhibitory substances, improved nutrient content (ammonium nitrogen, potassium, phosphorus, calcium, magnesium), synergetic effect between the treated materials resulting in better degradation of both and greater balance between carbon and nitrogen [7].

Objectives of the study
To evaluate the potential of Parthenium hysterophorus to produce biogas through anaerobic digestion alone or in combination with Goat manure.
To characterize Goat manure and Parthenium hysterophorus in terms of total solids (TS) and volatile solids (VS), fixed solids, organic carbon, and moisture content.
To find out the optimal Parthenium hysterophorus and goat manure mix ratio for high biogas production.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Description of the Study Area
The experiment was conducted in Microbiology laboratory Department of Biology at Haramaya University which is located at latitude of 9°26 N, longitude of 42°03 E and altitude of 1980 m.a.s.l. (FAO, 1990). The mean annual temperature is 17°C with mean minimum and maximum temperatures of 3.8 and 25°C, respectively.

2.2. Sample Collection
Samples were collected (Parthenium weed) from Haramaya University compound. The fresh goat manure was obtained from goat farm in Haramaya University. The rumen fluid that will be used as inoculums was obtained from slaughter house of Haramaya University and stored at 5°C. Parthenium weed was cut manually into small pieces and used for digestion.

2.3. Design of the Experiment
Anaerobic digestion was conducted in batch mode in 0.5 L digester using the two substrates, i.e., goat manure (GM) and Parthenium weed (PW) in five proportions to have five substrate treatments. The five substrate treatments were 100% GM, 75%:25% mix of GM:PW, 50%:50% mix of GM: PW, 25%:75% mix of GM: PW and 100% PW. To have 8% TS in fermenting slurry, appropriate amount of distilled water and rumen fluid (100ml) was mixed [8].

Treatments were randomly arranged in the lab and done in three replicates. The temperature of bio-digester was maintained at 38°C by keeping in oven, which represents mesophilic condition [9]. The pH of the slurry is maintained within the pH range for optimal biogas production, i.e. aboutneutral [10].

Table 1. The proportion of different substrates added in the five digesters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>TS of GM (g)</th>
<th>TS of PW (g)</th>
<th>Amount of water (ml)</th>
<th>Amount of inoculum added (ml)</th>
<th>Fresh Goat manure (g)</th>
<th>Parthenium Weed (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D1(100%GM)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2(75%GM and 25%PW)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3(50%GM and 50%PW)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D4(25%GM and 75%PW)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D5(100%PW)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.4. Digester Configuration and Setup for Biogas Production
The three plastic bottles of 0.5ml were arranged in order in such a way that the first bottle contain slurry, the middle contain acidified brine solution and the last in order was empty for collecting the brine solution that was expelled out from the second container. The acidified brine solution was prepared by dissolving NaCl in distilled water with few drops of sulphuric acid until a supersaturated solution is formed to prevent the dissolution of biogas in the water. All the three containers were interconnected with plastic tubes having a diameter of 1 cm. The tube connecting the first bottle to the second was fitted just above the slurry in the first bottle to help gas collection. Thus, the biogas produced by fermentation of the slurry was driven from the first bottle to the second bottle that contains a brine solution so as to displace a volume of the brine solution equivalent to the volume of biogas produced. The lids of all digester were sealed tightly using super glue in order to control the entry of oxygen and loss of biogas.

![Figure 1. Arrangement of the digesters.](image-url)
2.5. Data Analysis

Data were first checked for their normality. Data that are not normally distributed were log-transformed and thereafter were subjected to the analysis of variance (one-way ANOVA) using SPSS 20. Paired samples T-test was used to investigate statistical significance within a treatment. Difference between means was considered statistically significant at $P<0.05$.

3. Results and Discussions

In this observation, all the research analysis has been done under batch system. In the batch system, the slurry has been added once to the digester for whole duration of the process.

3.1. Average Daily and Cumulative Biogas Production in Each Digester

The quantity of biogas produced from the goat manure and Parthenium weed over a period of 30 days at an average temperature of 38°C are summarized in Figure 1. The total biogas from each treatment was measured until it stopped to produce any more gas. In the course of measurement, all substrate types appeared to yield more biogas than GM alone. This may be due to more availability of biodegradable material in PW than GM to serve as a source of energy for microbes. Thus, biogas production is a function of the feedstock’s organic content and its biodegradability [11].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Mix Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>100%GM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>75%GM+25%PW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>50%GM+50%PW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>25%GM+75%PW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T5</td>
<td>100%PW</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It was observed that biogas production was actually slow at starting and the end of observation. This is predicted because biogas production rate in batch condition is directly equal to specific growth of methanogenic bacteria.

During the first day of observation, there was less biogas production mainly due to the lag phase of microbial growth. Whereas, in the range of 2-6 days of observation; biogas production increases substantially due to exponential growth of methanogens.

It was observed that biogas production started in three digesters 2, 3 and 4 on the 2nd day after loading while production in digester 1 and 5 commenced on the 3rd day. Highest biogas production occurred in the 2nd digester with substrate mix of 75%GM and PW and the lowest for 100%GM.

It was observed that biogas production was actually slow at starting and the end of observation. This is predicted because biogas production rate in batch condition is directly equal to specific growth of methanogenic bacteria [12].

During the first 3 days of observation, there was less biogas production and mainly due to the lag phase of microbial growth. Whereas, in the range of 4 to 6 days of observation; biogas production increases substantially due to exponential growth of methanogens.

The result obtained from the gas production showed that the 2nd digester had the highest cumulative biogas yield of 572.5 ml/total mass of slurry (TMS) while the 3rd digester had 467.6 ml/TMS followed by the 4th and the 5th digester with the yield of 376.1ml and 375ml respectively. The 1st digester had with lowest cumulative biogas yield of 240 ml/TMS within this retention period.

Production reached the peak on the 4th day with only...
49.5ml of gas produced in reactor 1. digester 1 which contains 100% of goat manure alone resulted in less biogas probably due to its partial fermentation that usually takes place in digestive tract of the ruminant animal. [13]

Figure 4. Mean total volume of biogas produced by different substrate concentration.

Digester 2 with 75%GM and 25%PW produced the highest cumulative biogas of 572.5ml. There was a significant difference between the substrates in an overall biogas yield (p<0.05). The highest cumulative production was produced in the system with the lowest concentration of plant material. This may be due to the high concentration of total nitrogen (ammonia) resulting from anaerobic breakdown of proteins to inhibit anaerobic digestion [14].

Thus it can be concluded that co-digestion of GM and PW is more productive with PW proportion not exceeding 25%. The mixture produce the highest biogas may be due to a proper nutrient balance, increased buffering capacity, and decreased effect of toxic compounds resulting from mixing of the substrates [11].

Biogas production showed fluctuating decline after the first day of measurement and eventually reached 0ml on about 30th day of the experiment (Figure 3). This might be due to the depletion of readily decomposable substrate after the first day [15]. and/or an increase in ammonium concentration that resulted in an increased pH values. It is also possible that accumulation of toxic wastes due to increasing microbial population in the digester might have inhibited gas production.

3.2. Values of TS and VS and Other Physico-Chemical Characterizations of the Feed Stocks

Table 2. Comparison of %Organic carbon and Moisture content of GM and PW both before and after anaerobic digestion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digester</th>
<th>%Initial Carbon</th>
<th>%Final carbon</th>
<th>%Initial Moisture content</th>
<th>%Final Moisture content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100%GM</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75%GM, 25%PW</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%GM, 50%PW</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25%GM, 75%PW</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100%PW</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The carbon content of the feed stock was obtained from volatile solids data (% carbon=%VS/1.8) as reported by Haug [17]. Before AD, the mean moisture content for 100%GM, 75%GM and 25%PW, 50%GM and 50%PW, 25%GM and 75% PW and 100%PW were 75.5, 78, 80, 77 and 76, respectively. This result shows that addition of Parthenium weed to Goat manure will increase the moisture content of the slurry in the digester.
Before AD, the maximum initial TS was measured in 100% Parthenium weed, whereas the minimum initial TS was registered in the digester which contains more Goat manure (Figure 3). This implies that Parthenium weed contains more biodegradable organic matter for biogas production and is a potential for suitable substrate for biogas production. The total solid content of all mix before AD was between 20±0.00% (i.e., 2 gram of TS from 10 gram sample) and 24±0.01%.

**Table 3. Values of VS for substrates before and after anaerobic digestion.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Initial VS</th>
<th>Final VS</th>
<th>%Volatile solid reduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D1</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>17.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D4</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The total solids and volatile solids were determined for all substrates both before and after AD.

### 3.3. PH Values of the Digester

pH is one of the indication factors of inhibition in anaerobic reactors. Therefore, the pH of each digester’s substrate was recorded in two days interval on a regular basis.

**Table 4. PH of each digester before and after AD.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digester</th>
<th>Initial PH</th>
<th>Final PH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100%GM</td>
<td>6.97</td>
<td>7.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75%GM and 25%PW</td>
<td>6.92</td>
<td>7.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%GM and 50%PW</td>
<td>6.91</td>
<td>7.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25%GM and 75%PW</td>
<td>6.81</td>
<td>8.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100%PW</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>8.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The initial pH of each input mixture in digester was 6.97, 6.92, 6.91, 6.81 and 6.75. For the digester labeled as T1, T2, T3, T4 and T5 respectively. The PH of 100%GM slurry before anaerobic digestion was about 6.97, where as that of 100% PW was 6.75. As the amount of plant material added increases, PH starts to decrease. This shows goat manure helps to maintain the PH to meet the optimum required.

In anaerobic digestion, the optimum condition of acidity for biogas production is in the range 6.8 to 8.2. Biogas production rate will decline at pH condition higher or lower than the range [17].

It is generally agreed that at the initial stages of the overall process of biogas production, acid forming bacteria produce volatile fatty acids (VFA) resulting in declining pH and diminishing growth of methanogenic bacteria. That is, a low pH value inactivated microorganisms responsible for biogas production. In this regard, the pH of all the digesters was not in the range of optimal level 6.7 to 7.4 suitable for most methanogenic bacteria to function for biogas production as described by [18].

Increase in pH value of the substrates after anaerobic digestion may be attributed to production of alkali compounds, such as ammonium ions during the digestion of organic compounds in the digester [19]. Carbohydrate-rich substrates were good producers of VFAs and protein-rich substrates were good buffering capacity due to production of ammonia. So, if ratio between carbohydrate (C) and protein (N) are appropriate, biogas will be produced optimally [20].

### 4. Conclusions and Recommendations

#### 4.1. Summary and Conclusions

In this study, out of the five treatments the optimum gas production was obtained in the 75 GM: 25% PW ratio which clearly indicates that Parthenium hysterophorus as a substrate can be used as good supplement with goat manure and can be recommended that 75:25% ratio should effectively be tried at commercial scale productions. It is concluded that, the production of biogas form 100% GM, 75%GM: 25% PW, 50% GM: 50% PW, 25% GM: 75% PW and 100%PW75% were statistically significant at 0.05 significance level.

The use of co-substrate in anaerobic digestion systems improves biogas yield by positive synergies established in the digestion by increasing the availability of nutrients for the bacteria. Co digestion of more than one substrate increases
bacterial diversities that could provide buffering capacity that will enable for adjusting PH value to the optimum.

The co-digestion of goat manure and parthenium weed not only resolves waste management, but also overcomes C/N ratio imbalances in single digestion substrates and enhances the AD process.

The initial Volatile solid content of parthenium weed was 83.3% of the initial Total solid. This clearly shows that a large fraction of parthenium weed is biodegradable, so it is possible to conclude that PW is an important feedstock for biogas production.

4.2. Recommendation

Efforts should be made to measure the methane quality of the different combinations by a Gas Chromatography. Checking for toxic gases like hydrogen sulphide and ammonia with gas detection equipment should be carried out before entering an empty digester.

Government agencies should take an active part in biogas project in Ethiopia as it is done in other countries like India, Nepal, and Philippines etc.

The result has indicated that co-digestion of GM and PW is more productive with PW proportion not exceeding 25%, hence, further investigation will be beneficial for the future.
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