Design of Single Phase Transformer Through Different Optimization Techniques
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Abstract: Selection of an optimization scheme for a particular problem is a complicated issue of whole research community. An attempt is made for developing an algorithm for finding the optimal design parameters of a low cost small transformer with their own limitations. Most of the engineering optimization problems involve nonlinear objective functions that subject to many constraints. Sometimes it is very difficult to solve such problems by conventional optimization techniques and in some cases it may fail to give the global optima and could be trapped in the local minima. Comparatively the non-classical optimization schemes like Simulated Annealing (SA), Genetic Algorithm (GA), and Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) etc. are established as a handier tool for Global Search. In this paper an attempt has been made to find an algorithm to obtain the optimal design parameters for designing a minimal cost of material for a 5KV A, 230/115 volt, single phase, core type, and dry transformer using Simulated Annealing (SA) and validating the results with another acceptable method, called Pattern Search (PA). The aim of the paper is to establish an effective and efficient method, which gives more acceptable and improved solution for Global optima, with less no. of iterations and computation time.
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1. Introduction

Optimization is the process of choosing the best element from a set of available alternatives. It means solving problems in which one seeks to minimize or maximize a function by systematically choosing the values of real or integer variable within an allowed set [1]. In a practical problem, there are many feasible solutions. Optimal design is the best possible design out of many feasible designs, generally in the presence of a number of constraints [2], [3]. The first attempt is taken to use the computers for the design [4], [5]. Later on the concept of optimization is added to it. Various optimizing tools are available to reach the optimal solution such as classical, non-classical, etc. The classical techniques evolved into the 2nd half of the 20th century [6], [7] and they were successfully applied to transformer design [8]. The non-classical techniques are based on artificial intelligence [9], [10] and soft computing have now become much more popular. In this paper the design optimization of a single-phase transformer has been made by applying Simulated Annealing and Pattern Search [11], [12].

2. Transformer Design

Small transformers are used in power as well as electronic circuits to step up or step down the voltage. They are 3-phase or 1-phase, power or distribution type. The conductor materials are copper or aluminium and for the core different grades of Silicon steel stampings are used. The transformers are either dry or oil-immersed [13].

The power transformers are of large size, mostly copper is used as conductor in power transformers and high grade CRGOS as core material. Also, they are designed for higher values of leakage reactance to reduce the short-circuit current and hence the rating of the Circuit Breaker (CB). Distribution transformer on the other hand is designed for greater economy. Aluminium is often used as a conductor material for them and relatively inferior grades of core material are used to reduce
the cost of production. Due to competition in the power market and abolition of monopoly, distribution transformers are being designed for best possible economy.

Design of the transformer is not a simple task for the Engineers; it requires a long hand calculation step by step to reach the final design sheet. Now the task becomes quiet, comfortable because of the software’s available in the market. The decision variable with their chosen values is required as an input and the computer performs the calculation part to find out the design parameters. These design parameters are not optimal parameters. In order to get optimal design parameters special algorithm has to be developed with the proper optimization scheme [14].

Dry transformers are more expensive due to absence of oil also safer and less hazardous. They are small in size and used for specific purposes. Copper is generally used as a conductor material in it. The design principles of oil-cooled transformers are available in standard text-books and handbooks. In dry transformers, modifications are required for avoiding insulation failure and for keeping the temperature rise within limits. The design principle and the calculation remain mostly unaltered. The objective of the paper is to reach the optimal solution using a computationally efficient algorithm [15], [16].

Discrete optimization techniques for dry type transformers become more popular because the options have been taken among the available alternatives [17].

3. Development of the Objective Function

Cost of material is taken as the objective function which is affected by the design variables and constraints. Two key variables have been chosen, which is given below and design constraints are imposed on it. A transformer of rating 5 KVA, 230/115 V, 50 Hz, dry type is selected for optimization.

4. Design Variables

a) EMF constant, \( K \) in \( E_F = K \sqrt{S} \)
b) Window height and width ratio, \( R_w = H_w / W_w \)

5. Decision Variables

a) Core material: Cold Rolled Steel
b) Conductor material: Copper
c) Flux-density: \( B_m = 1.0 \) Wb/m\(^2\)
d) Current density: \( \delta = 3 \) A/mm\(^2\)
e) No. of steps = 3
f) Window space factor: \( K_w = 0.4 \)
g) Stacking factor (for CRS core): \( K_s = 0.9 \)

6. Design Constraints

a) The following design constraints have been specified.
b) The efficiency at full load, 0.85 lagging power factor > 96%
c) Voltage regulation at F.L, 0.85 lagging power factor <5%  
d) No load current < 2%;
e) Temperature rise at full load < 50°C.

7. Objective Function

The optimizing function has been computed- the expression is given below. The development of the expression has been given in Appendix A.

Total cost of material in rupees =
\[
1131(\sqrt{R_c K} + \sqrt{R_e K}) + 3371K^{1.5} + 2520 / \sqrt{K} + 803 / (K^{1.5} \sqrt{R_e})
\]

Simulated Annealing (SA) is used to find out the optimal solution. The method of exhaustive search has also been used to verify the results. Same results have been obtained from both, but the no. of iterations is much less for SA.

8. Simulated Annealing (SA)

Annealing is a process used in crystallization of metals. An atom of metals, heated up to a high degree of temperature, achieves high energy level and undergoes motion. Controlled cooling helps the atoms to achieve an equilibrium state with least energy level [17]. The probability of energy change is given as:

\[
P(\Delta E) = e^{-\Delta E/KT}
\]

The function to be optimized is starts with a high temperature and then it is slowly cooled down until it reaches global optima. Firstly, \( e^{-\Delta E/KT} \) is calculated and a random number \( r \), between 0 and 1, is generated. If \( r \leq e^{-\Delta E/KT} \) then it is retained, otherwise it is discarded. Then we move to the next step.

The initial temperature and no. of iterations are the two important parameters which govern the successful operation of simulated annealing. If the initial temperature is high, then the no. of iteration is more for convergence, on the other hand, if the initial temperature is lower than no. of iteration is inadequate to investigate thoroughly in the search space before converging to true optima. A large no. of iteration is recommended to achieve the quasi-equilibrium stage, but computation time will be more. Estimation of the initial temperature is obtained by taking the average of function values at no. of random points in the search space.

The algorithm for simulated annealing is given below in step-by-step form:

**Step1:** Choose an initial point, a termination criterion \( \epsilon \), set \( T \) at sufficiently high temperature, no. of iteration to be performed at a particular temperature is \( n \); set \( T = 0 \).

**Step2:** Calculate a neighboring point: \( x(t+1) = N x(t) \). Usually, a random point in the neighborhood is created.

**Step3:** If: set, else create in the range \((0, 1)\).

If: set, else go to step2.

**Step4:** If \( |x(t+1)-x(t)| < \epsilon \) and \( T \) is small, terminate; else lower the value of \( T \) according to a cooling schedule; go to
9. Method of Pattern Search

The pattern search always follow the direction \( S (i) = \{ X (i) - X (i-n) \} \), where \( X (i) \) indicates the point obtained at the end of the \( n \) steps and \( X (i-n) \) is the starting point before the \( n \) steps. \( S (i) \) denotes the direction along the pattern search. In this method two points are created for patterns direction [18].

A set of directions is considered throughout the search plane. The points are obtained by walking along the search directions. There are many methods of optimization presents in engineering applications but still it is difficult to choose the right one because chances to trapped in a local minima point is very high.

The algorithm for the pattern search given below:

Step 1: initialize \( x (0) \), increment \( \Delta (i) \), reduction factor \( \alpha > 1 \) and termination criterion \( \varepsilon \).

Step 2: set \( K=0 \).

Step 3: Base point \( x (k) \), if movement successful then set \( x (k) = x \) & go to step 5 else step 4.

Step 4: if \(|\Delta| < \varepsilon \)? If yes terminate; else set \( \Delta (i) = \Delta (i)/\alpha \) and go to step 2.

Step 5: set \( k=k+1 \) and move \( x (k+1) = x (k) + [x (k) - x(k-1)] \) and go to step 2.

Step 6: is \( f[ x (k+1) < f[ x (k) ] \)? If yes go to step 5; else go to step 4.

10. Case Study

Case-study on the design problem has been made using Simulated Annealing and Pattern Search Algorithm [18]. The results obtained by running the program on Simulated Annealing and Pattern Search algorithm is given below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. no.</th>
<th>( f(x) )</th>
<th>Sl. no.</th>
<th>( f(x) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>13380</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>9122.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>13380</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>9122.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>13380</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>9122.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>9336.38</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>9122.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>9336.38</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>9122.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>9336.38</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>9122.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>9336.38</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>9122.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>9336.38</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>9121.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9190.98</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>9122.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>9190.98</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>9122.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>9190.98</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>9122.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>9132.53</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>9121.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>9132.53</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>9121.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>9132.53</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>9121.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>9132.53</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>9121.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>9132.53</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>9128.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>9125.83</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>9123.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>9125.83</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>9122.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>9125.83</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>9121.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>9122.53</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>9121.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The convergence has been obtained for \( K = 0.6 \) and \( R_w = 2.0 \). The minimum cost is (Rs.) 9121.88 for Pattern search method in around 40 no. of iteration given in Table 2. Exactly the same values have been obtained by the method of Simulated Annealing but approximately 1000 of iterations; the last 40 value is shown in Table 1.

The convergence graph for Pattern Search is shown in figure 1 and for the Simulated Annealing in figure 2 respectively.

It is clear from the graph that, the search space for both the cases is almost same and convex in nature. So, there is no chance to trap in local minima.

11. Conclusion

In this paper, the cost of material of a small single-phase dry transformer has been optimized using Simulated Annealing and Pattern Search Algorithm. Two design variables have been
chosen as the key variables viz. the e.m.f constant $K$ and the window height/width ratio $R_w$. These two variables directly affect the cost of production. CRGOS has been chosen as core material and copper as conductor material. The current density and the flux-density have been adjusted such that the design constraints are not violated and the specifications are fulfilled. The objective function (taken as the total cost of iron and copper) has been framed in terms of the design variables. The minimum cost has been found out using Simulated Annealing as well as the method of Pattern Search. Almost same answer has been obtained by Simulated Annealing with large no. of iterations; only last 40 iterations are shown in Table 1. Based on the value of the design variables found out by the program, the dimensions of the optimized transformer and the performance variables have been calculated, which is given in the Table 3. It may be noted that the design constraints have not been violated. The attempt of this paper is to establish that sometimes conventional optimization schemes like Pattern Search are capable of giving optimal solution in smaller no of steps and less computation time of 1 min 20 second compared to other complicated Stochastic Schemes of Simulated Annealing, takes 1000 of iterations and more the 2min. of time. The search space for both the cases is almost convex i.e., no. of local minima is less. So it is better before choosing the optimization Scheme, to observe the search space, no of variable and constraints, which makes the problem more complicated.

**Appendix A**

**Development of the objective function**

For the given rating of transformer, EMF/turn=$K\sqrt{5} = 2.236$ K volts, $K \rightarrow$ e.m.f constant

Maximum value of flux,

$\phi_m = E_i / (4.44 / f) = 2.236 / 222 = 0.01007K$

Net area of core,

$A_i = \phi_m / B_m = 0.01007K / 1.4 = 0.00736K$;

Gross area of core,

$A_g = A_i / K_s = 0.0071946K / 93 = 0.00736K$

For a 3-stepped core, diam. of circumscribing circle, $d = \sqrt{A_g / 0.67} = \sqrt{0.00736K / 0.67} = 0.17045\sqrt{K}$

Window area, in m$^2$ = $A_w = 5 / [2.22 / \phi_m K_s \delta.10^3] = 4.692 x 10^{-3} / K$

Window width, in m = $W_w = \sqrt{A_w / R_w} = \sqrt{0.004692 / (KR_w)} = 0.0685 / \sqrt{KR_w} \$

Window height, in m = $H_w = W_w R_w = 0.0685 / \sqrt{KR_w} \$

Distance between core centers, $d_c = d + W_w = 0.17045\sqrt{K} + 0.0685 / \sqrt{KR_w} \$

Length of the largest side of core stamping (for 3-stepped core),$a = 0.9d = 0.9697\sqrt{K}$

Overall width, $W = d_c + a = 0.0685 / \sqrt{KR_w} + 0.2042\sqrt{K}$

Gross area of yoke is same as gross area of core assuming same flux-density in the core and the yoke.

The height of yoke, $m = H_y = A_g / a = 0.00736K / (0.0967\sqrt{K}) = 0.08\sqrt{K}$

Over all height, $m = H = H_w + 2H_y = 0.0685\sqrt{R_w / K} + 0.169\sqrt{K} \$

Volume of iron, $m^3 = V_i = 2(H_u + W)A_i = 20.0685(\sqrt{R_w / K} + \sqrt{K / R_w}) + 0.2042\sqrt{KR_w} \times 0.0071946$ $= 0.0009856(\sqrt{R_w / K} + \sqrt{K / R_w}) + 0.0028938$ $K^{1.5}$

Taking density of iron as 7650 Kg/m$^3$ and cost of high grade CRS as Rs. 150/- per Kg.

Cost of iron (Rs.), $CI = 1131(\sqrt{R_w / K} + \sqrt{K / R_w}) + 3376K^{1.5}$

Mean length of turn, $m = L_{mt} = \pi(d + W_w) / 2 = \pi(0.17045\sqrt{K} + 0.0685) / 2 / \sqrt{KR_w}$

Total copper area in the window, mm$^2$

$N_1.1 + N_2 = (2S.10^3) / (E_{i,5} \delta) = 10000 / (2.236k.2.4) = 1863.4 / k$

Volume of copper = $1863.4(0.3376\sqrt{K} + 0.1076 / \sqrt{KR_w}) / (K x 10^6)$

$= 6.292e^{-4} / \sqrt{K} + 2.05e^{-4} / (K^{1.5} \sqrt{R_w})$

Taking density of copper as 8900 Kg/m$^3$ and the cost of super-enamed refined copper as Rs. 450/- per Kg, the cost of Copper (Rs.), CC

**Abbreviations**

- $S,V_1,V_2$: Rating, KVA; Primary/Secondary voltage, V
- $I_1,I_2$: Primary and secondary current, A
- $B_m,\delta$: Max. flux density, tesla, current density A/sq.mm
- $K_e,K_w$: Stacking factor, window space factor
- $H_w,W_w,R_w$: Window height, width, m, height/width ratio
- $E_i,T_1,T_2$: EMF/turn, turns of the primary and secondary
- $a_1,a_2$: Cross-section, primary and secondary in sq.mm.
\[ \text{Height of yoke in meter} = 0.0883 \]
\[ \text{Height of frame (H)frame in meter} = 0.9647 \]
\[ \text{Width of frame (W)frame in meter} = 0.2296 \]

**Appendix B**

**Dimensions and performance variables of the optimized transformer**

The values of \( K \) & \( R \) obtained by Simulated Annealing as well as Pattern search are given below:

- EMF constant, \( K = 0.6 \); Window height/width ratio, \( R_w = 2 \).

The dimensions of the optimized transformer and the corresponding performance variables are given below:

- **Specifications:** 5 KVA, 230/115 V, 50 Hz., dry type, open (without casing).
- **Chosen values of other design variables:**
  - Core material: CRGOS with stacking factor, \( K_s = 0.9 \); cost of iron/Kg = 150/-.
  - No. of core steps = 2; Flux-density, \( B_m = 1 \) wb/m²; Iron loss/Kg = 1.331 W.
  - Conductor material: copper; current density = 2.4 A/mm²; Cost of copper/Kg = Rs. 450/-.
  - Resistivity of copper at operating temperature = 0.022 Ω/m/mm².

**Table 3. Optimal Design Parameters.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Turns</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary Current in Amps</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary Current in Amps</td>
<td>21.7391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross sectional area of primary sq.mm</td>
<td>7.2464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross sectional area of secondary sq.mm</td>
<td>14.9298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter of the conductor in mm</td>
<td>4.2968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area of iron core in sq.mm</td>
<td>0.0060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum flux</td>
<td>0.0060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary induced e.m.f.</td>
<td>229.4206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary induced e.m.f.</td>
<td>115.3811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary impedance in ohm</td>
<td>0.0267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary impedance in ohms</td>
<td>0.0088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetizing Current in Amps</td>
<td>1.4280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leakage reactance w.t. primary in ohm</td>
<td>0.4957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature rise at full load in degree centgrade</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter of the circumscribing circle in meter</td>
<td>0.1039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of mean turn in meter</td>
<td>0.3262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistance of the primary conductor in ohm</td>
<td>0.1693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistance of the secondary conductor in ohm</td>
<td>0.0426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu loss of the Transformer in watts</td>
<td>160.5305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage Cu loss of the Transformer</td>
<td>3.2106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron loss of the Transformer in watts</td>
<td>32.0120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage iron loss of the Transformer</td>
<td>0.6402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency of the Transformer</td>
<td>0.9629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage efficiency of the Transformer</td>
<td>96.2919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width of the largest stamping in meter</td>
<td>0.0883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance between adjacent limb (D) in meter</td>
<td>0.1413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aw area of window in sq.-m</td>
<td>0.3106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width of window (Ww) in meter</td>
<td>0.3941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height of window (Hw) in meter</td>
<td>0.7881</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth of yoke in meter</td>
<td>0.0883</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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