The importance of the mental foramen location detection by using different radiographic technique: Mini review
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Abstract: This review gives detail information about the mental foramen location, shape, size and numbers and its variation between races. Studies have shown that there are variations in the position of mental foramen in different populations. It may lie between the apices of premolars, below the apex of first or second premolars. This variations mandate accurate detection of foramen during examination and treatment plan stage. Foramen detection usually done by 2 dimension radiograph, with high chances of error. Three dimension radiograph provide the operator more precise location and dimension of the foramen that decrease the chances of the mental nerve damaging during the surgery.
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1. Introduction

Knowing the location of the mental foramen is very important when considering placing implants or any other surgical procedure in the foraminal region. The complications, such as altered sensation, numbness and pain can be avoided if the mental foramen is located and evaluated.¹ There are variations in the location, the number of foramina, and the possibility that an anterior loop may be present mesial to the mental foramen in different populations. This article reviews the literature with respect to the mental foramen and determines the safety zone prior to preparing an osteotomy for implant placement in this region.

2. Mental Foramen

2.1. Shape

According to Mbajiorgu et al.,² there are different shapes of the mental foramen be found in the mandibles of Zimbabwean subjects: round in 14 of 32 mandibles (43.8%) and oval in 18 of 32 jaws (56.3%). Meanwhile, Grershenson A. et al.¹ reported that it was round in 34.5% of mandibles and oval in 65.5%.

2.2. Size

Neiva et al.⁴ stated that the morphometric skull analyses revealed the mean height of the mental foramen was 3.47mm (range: 2.5 to 5.5 mm) and the average width was 3.59mm (range: 2 to 5.5 mm). Others reported that the mean diameter of the foramen was 3.5mm² and 5mm wide.⁶

2.2.1. Location

According to textbooks of anatomy, the mental foramen is located below the interval between the premolar, or the second premolar, from which emerge the mental nerve and vessels.³⁴ However, there are anatomical variations concerning the mental foramen’s location.² ⁸ It is usually found more coronal than the mandibular canal.⁹, ¹⁰ Neiva et al.⁴ reported the foramen was 27.6mm (range: 22 to 31 mm) from the midline and 12mm (range: 9 to 15mm) from the most apical portion of the lower cortex of the mandible. Meanwhile, Agthong et al.¹¹ stated that the foramen was 28 mm from the midline of the mandible and 14 to 15 mm from the inferior border of the mandible. Other investigators noted that the foramen was usually found halfway between the crest of bone and the inferior border of the mandible.¹²

Table 1⁴, ¹⁰, ¹³-¹⁶, ²⁷-³² lists studies that addressed the mental foramen’s location in the horizontal plane. Usually, it is
located by the apex of the second mandibular premolar or between the apices of the premolars. However, there are some minor differences among the races. For example, Wang et al.\textsuperscript{13} stated that the mental foramen in the Chinese population is usually located apical to the second premolar, whereas in Caucasian subjects, according to Fishel et al.\textsuperscript{10} and Neiva et al.\textsuperscript{4}, it is usually found between the premolars. Atypically, it can be found anteriorly by the canine or posteriorly by the first molar.\textsuperscript{14-16, 27, 29, 31-32}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Horizontal Plane</th>
<th>Between apices of premolars</th>
<th>Other locations</th>
<th>Vertical Plane</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fishel et al. (1976)\textsuperscript{10}</td>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>Apical to second premolar</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>70.4</td>
<td>Apex first premolar: 3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al. (1986)\textsuperscript{13}</td>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>59</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Mesial to first premolar: 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kekere – Ekn (1989)\textsuperscript{15}</td>
<td>Nigerian</td>
<td>604</td>
<td></td>
<td>55.63</td>
<td>26.99</td>
<td>Apex first premolar: 1.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shankland (1994)\textsuperscript{27}</td>
<td>Asian Indians</td>
<td>138</td>
<td></td>
<td>75.4</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>Between premolar/ molars: 12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al Jasser and Nwoku (1998)\textsuperscript{28}</td>
<td>Saudi</td>
<td>414</td>
<td></td>
<td>45.3</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>By the molar: 3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ngeow and Yuzawati (2003)\textsuperscript{14}</td>
<td>Malay</td>
<td>169</td>
<td></td>
<td>69.2</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>Between premolar/ molars: 6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neiva et al. (2004)\textsuperscript{4}</td>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td>42</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>Not measured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apinhasmit et al. (2006)\textsuperscript{29}</td>
<td>Thai</td>
<td>106</td>
<td></td>
<td>56.9</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>Between premolar/ molars: 10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim et al. (2006)\textsuperscript{30}</td>
<td>Korean</td>
<td>72</td>
<td></td>
<td>64.3</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>Apex first premolar: 8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fabian (2007)\textsuperscript{31}</td>
<td>Tanzanian</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Between premolar/ molars: 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HaghaniFar and Rekouei (2009)\textsuperscript{12}</td>
<td>Iranian</td>
<td>400</td>
<td></td>
<td>46</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>Between premolar/ molars: 5.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fishel et al.\textsuperscript{10} (N= 936 full-mouth series) commented that the mental foramen’s location in the vertical plane (occluso-apically) for the first and second premolars: 38.6% coronal to the apex of the first premolars, 15.4% at the apex of the first premolars, and 46.0% apical to the apex of the first premolars; whereas for the second bicuspid, 24.5% coronal to the apex, 13.9% at the apex, and 61.6% apical to the apex. It can be concluded that the foramen’s location is not constant in the horizontal or vertical planes.\textsuperscript{1}

It can be seen that the mental foramen is closer to the alveolar crest after the extraction of teeth and resorption of alveolar bone. In some extreme situations, the mental foramen and mandibular canal can be adjacent to the crest of the alveolar ridge. Hence, using alveolar crest bone as a landmark is not stable because different levels of crestal bone loss existed.

2.2.2. Number of Foramina

There may be more than one mental foramen present.\textsuperscript{7, 8} Sawyer et al.\textsuperscript{8} assessed the frequency of accessory mental foramina in skulls in 4 population groups: American Whites = 1.4%; Asian Indians = 1.5%; African Americans = 5.7%; and pre-Columbian Nazca Indians = 9.0%. Some studies found that there were two mental foramina in 1.8% (N = 110) of examined Asian skulls\textsuperscript{11} and in 10% (N = 50) of examined cadavers.\textsuperscript{33} In contrast, de Freitas et al.\textsuperscript{24} found no mental foramen in some skulls (among 1,435 dry human mandibles,
the foramen was absent twice on the right side (0.06%) and once on the left side (0.03%). It can be concluded that there is a variety of patterns occurs, and it should not be assumed that there is only one mental foramen on each side. \textsuperscript{1}

2.2.3. Path of Emergence of Mental Foramen

According to Solar et al.\textsuperscript{6}, the mental canal (the anterior opening of the mandibular canal) traverses cranially at an angle of inclination ranging from 11° to 77°. The average gradient was 50° in 37 specimens and 22 had an anterior loop. Kieser et al.\textsuperscript{25} classified the path of emergence of mental foramen into posterior, anterior, right-angled or multiple. They investigated the path of emergence of the mental canal in 117 Negro skulls (53 males), 114 Caucasoid skulls (62 males) and 100 pre-contact Maori skulls (70 males). Among all the Caucasoid and Maori skulls, the most common pattern of emergence was a posterior direction (86.7% of Caucasoid males, 90.2% of Caucasoid females; 85.5% of Maori males, and 93.1% of Maori females). In Fabian's study on measurements of 100 Tanzanian mandibles\textsuperscript{31}, he concluded that the direction of mental foramen opening was superiorly in 44%, posterosuperiorly in 40%, labially in 10%; mesially (anteriorly) in 3%, and posteriorly in 3% of cases. (Figure 1). To create multileveled equations, it may be necessary to treat the equation as a graphic and insert it into the text after your paper is styled.

3. Detection of Mental Foramen on Radiograph

The ideal imaging technique for dental implant care should have several important characteristics such as, the ability to visualize the implant site in the mesiodistal, faciolingual and superioinferior dimensions; the ability to allow reliable, accurate measurements; a capacity to evaluate trabecular bone density and cortical thickness; a capacity to correlate the imaged site with the clinical site; reasonable access and cost to the patient; and minimal radiation risk.

The radiographic techniques that can be used to access the location of mental foramen are panoramic radiography, intraoral radiography, cephalometric radiography, conventional tomography as well as cone-beam and multidetector computed tomography.\textsuperscript{35}

3.1. Panoramic Radiography

Philips et al. found mental foramen on 75% (N=75) of periapical films\textsuperscript{40}, whereas Fishel et al. found mental foramen on 46.8% (N=1000) of periapical films in their study.\textsuperscript{10} In order to enhance the detection of foramen, it was suggested that a vertical bitewing and a panoramic film be taken in conjunction with a horizontal periapical film.\textsuperscript{42} Ngeow WC also suggested that 2 periapical radiographs should be obtained at different angles (parallax technique), to ascertain the exact relationship of the premolars to the mental foramen.\textsuperscript{43} Al-juboori et al.\textsuperscript{44}, conduct a study among Malaysian population to detect the mental foramen location by using panoramic radiograph, the study shows the feasibility of using panoramic radiograph in detection the location of the mental foramen among different races.

3.2. Digital Radiography

Digital radiography has more advantages than conventional plain film radiography, including speed, convenience, lack of darkroom procedures, computer image improvement, less environmental contamination and better patient education. It also imposes lower radiation exposure dose to the patient.\textsuperscript{45,46} Studies have found that digital radiography has a 50-80% decrease in exposure dose compared with conventional plain film imaging.

However, on average, the total amount of decrease in exposure dose is 25%, as clinicians tend to repeat more radiographs when using the digital radiograph.\textsuperscript{47,48} Parissis et al. also found that digitized radiographs has higher density than the conventional radiographs, moreover, they demonstrated a narrower density range. The resolution between the digitized image and the film-based radiographs has found to be the same.\textsuperscript{43}

3.3. Computed Tomography (CT)

Computed tomogram enables the mandible to be visualized in three dimensions.\textsuperscript{49-55} The mandibular canal and its surrounding bone can be appreciated in three dimensions. By using computed tomogram, the clinicians will be able to know the bone density, buccolingual position of the mandibular canal, height of the bone.\textsuperscript{49-58} The accuracy of computed tomography was within 0.5mm of the caliper measurement in every reading taken. There was no distortion of the computerized tomographic measurements in eight out of the twelve readings. According to Sonick et al.\textsuperscript{59}, the following average linear errors occurred during routine bone assessment (N=12): panoramic films: 24% (mean: 3mm; range: 0.5-7.5mm); periapical films: 14% (mean: 1.9mm; range: 0.0 to 5.0mm); and computerized tomography scans: 1.8% (mean: 0.2mm; range: 0.0 to 0.5mm).\textsuperscript{59}

4. Mental Nerve Damage Due to Miss Diagnosed Mental Foramen during Dental Implant Treatment

According to Sharawy et al., nerve damage can result from the nerve being stretched, compressed, and partially or totally transected. Parasthesia (numb feeling), hypoesthesia (reduced feeling), hyperesthesia (increased sensitivity), dyesthesia (painful sensation), or anesthesia (complete loss of feeling) of the teeth, the lower lip, or surrounding skin and mucosa may be encountered during an
osteotomy. It is not surprising that venous or arterial bleeding can also occur. Other terms used to describe nerve injuries are: 

Neurapraxia: The nerve has been stretched or undergone blunt trauma. No loss of continuity of the nerve occurs. The paraesthesia will subside and feelings will be returned in days to weeks.

Axonotmesis: There is nerve damage, but the damage is not severe. Feelings will be returned within 2 to 6 months.

Neurotmesis: There is severe nerve damage. It is a poor prognosis for resolution of paresthesia.

Reasons like osteotomy locations, manner of surgery, study design, sensitivity of assessment techniques, selection of outcome variables, and terminology employed to explain sensory disturbances determine the prevalence of sensory alterations. Walton reported that 1% of the patients experienced sensory alterations 1 year after therapy, whereas Bartling et al. noted no permanent alterations of sensation 4 months post therapy (N= 94). Some investigators found transient altered lip sensations after implant placement in the anterior mandible: 8.5% (N=94), 11% (N = 110), and 24% (N=75) of patients. In another study, 7% (N= 110) of the patients noted sensory disturbance 16 months after the treatment.

Although the mental foramen was exposed as part of the surgical procedure and placement of implants at least 3mm in front of the mental foramen, this incident still occurred. Due to these issues, patients must be forewarned of these possible complications prior to implant surgery. Besides, Flanagan reported that there was delayed onset of altered sensation of the mental nerve after surgery. According to him, it might be due to the remote bone compression which causing his patients to experience transient altered sensation. It was not due to the direct injury induced by the twist drill. Flanagan believed that the implant, which compresses the cancellous bone may in turn presses on the nerve, resulting in nerve dysfunction. Bone compression occurs because the implant used is usually 0.5mm wider than the osteotomy, and because of this, the force may be transferred to the nerve.

Table 2. Appearance of mental foramina on panoramic radiographs: Percentage of occurrence from Yosue et al study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Radiographic Appearance</th>
<th>Incidence (N=297)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>Foramen has continuity with the mandibular canal</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separated</td>
<td>Foramen distinctly separated from the canal</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffuse</td>
<td>Foramen has indistinct border</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unidentified</td>
<td>Foramen cannot be identified</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Conclusion

From this mini review we can conclude that 3 dimensional imaging which is presented by computerized tomography is mandatory when dental implant or oral surgical procedure conducted in the mental foramen area, this will prevent neural complication and patient morbidity.
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