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Abstract: The layered Li-rich Li[Li$_{0.13}$Mn$_{0.464}$Ni$_{0.203}$Co$_{0.203}$]O$_2$ cathode material was successfully synthesized via a hydrothermal treatment on the precursor method. X-ray diffraction spectrometry (XRD) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) were used to characterize the structure and micromorphology of the materials. Meanwhile, charge-discharge test and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) were employed to explore its electrochemical performance. The results indicate that the Li[Li$_{0.13}$Mn$_{0.464}$Ni$_{0.203}$Co$_{0.203}$]O$_2$ material possesses a layered $\alpha$-NaFeO$_2$ structure and exhibits excellent electrochemical performance. The initial discharge capacity is 235.9 mAh·g$^{-1}$ in the voltage range of 2.0-4.8 V at 0.1 C. And it exhibits the capacity retention of 94.1% after 50 cycles. The hydrothermal treatment not only shortens the calcination time, but also can greatly improve the electrochemical performance of the material.
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1. Introduction

Lithium ion batteries have been regarded as one of promising power source candidates for electric vehicles in recent years [1-3]. However, the discharge capacities of traditional cathode materials, such as layered LiCoO$_2$, LiMn$_{0.5}$Ni$_{0.5}$O$_2$, LiFePO$_4$, and spinel LiMn$_2$O$_4$, cannot entirely meet the capacity requirements of the electric vehicle. Therefore, the development of alternative low cost cathode materials with high specific capacity becomes the inevitable trend. Recently, Li-rich solid solution xLi$_2$MnO$_3$·(1-x)LiMO$_2$ ($M = \text{Co, Mn, Ni, etc.}$) cathode materials have received great attention for their large capacity over 200 mAh·g$^{-1}$ when charged to high potentials (typically >4.5 V) [4-10]. Although Li-rich cathode materials show extraordinarily high discharge capacity, they are still far from real applications because of several unsolved issues. Such as the low rate capability, the thick solid electrolyte inter-facial (SEI) layer formed when operating at high voltages and structural evolution while cycling [11].

The electrochemical performance of xLi$_2$MnO$_3$·(1-x)LiMO$_2$ is greatly depends on the synthesis method. Because the important roles in the electrochemical performance of the material, such as crystallinity, phase purity, particle morphology, grain size, surface area, and cation distribution in the structure are all rely on synthesis method [12-14]. A lot of different preparation methods have been recently developed to prepare high performance xLi$_2$MnO$_3$·(1-x)LiMO$_2$ materials, such as co-precipitation method [15-18], sol-gel method [19, 20], combustion method [21-23], template method [24] and so on. Among these methods, hydroxide and carbonate co-precipitation methods are widely used because they can mix the raw materials at molecular level. However, a major drawback of the hydroxide co-precipitation method is that Mn$^{2+}$ can be easily oxidized to Mn$^{3+}$, then result in impure phases in final product [25]. However, carbonate co-precipitation can keep Mn in divalent oxidation state since the pH value of solution is kept around 8, which is less harsh than that in hydroxide process (pH=11) [7]. It is reported the precursors play important roles on electrochemical performances of the cathode materials [26-28]. In this work, we developed a simple method of hydrothermal treatment on the carbonate precursor to
synthesize nanostructured Li\([Li_{0.13}Mn_{0.46}Ni_{0.20}Co_{0.20}]O_2\) particles. The structure, morphology and electrochemical properties of the material were investigated.

2. Experiment

To synthesize lithium-rich Li\([Li_{0.13}Mn_{0.46}Ni_{0.20}Co_{0.20}]O_2\) cathode material, MnSO\(_4\)-H\(_2\)O, NiSO\(_4\)-6H\(_2\)O and CoSO\(_4\)-7H\(_2\)O were used as starting materials. The synthesis process is shown in Figure 1. An aqueous mixed solution of and MnSO\(_4\)-H\(_2\)O, NiSO\(_4\)-6H\(_2\)O and CoSO\(_4\)-7H\(_2\)O (cations ratio of Mn: Ni: Co = 0.464: 0.203: 0.203) with total metal ion concentration of 1.0 mol L\(^{-1}\) was pumped into a continuously stirred tank reactor. At the same time, 1.0 mol L\(^{-1}\) Na\(_2\)CO\(_3\) aqueous solution as a precipitant and NH\(_4\)OH aqueous solution as a chelating agent were also separately fed into the reactor. The pH of the solution is 8.0, the operation temperature is 55°C and the stirring speed of the mixture in the reactor were carefully controlled at 500 r min\(^{-1}\). After the precipitation was completely finished, the obtained \([Mn_{0.46}Ni_{0.20}Co_{0.20}]CO_3\) particles were filtered and washed by deionized water. LiNO\(_3\) (5% excess) was dissolved in deionized water and \([Mn_{0.46}Ni_{0.20}Co_{0.20}]CO_3\) was added to form 185 ml mixed suspension. Then it was transferred into a 200 ml Teflon beaker autoclave. The autoclave was sealed and heated at 180°C under auto-generated pressure for 30 h, and then transferred to a glass beaker and heated at 85°C with continuous stirring until the water was almost evaporated. The obtained mixture dried at 100°C overnight, then calcined at 850°C for 8 h in air to obtain the final products, named the sample A. For comparison, the sample B was prepared by the carbonate co-precipitation method without hydrothermal treatment on the carbonate precursor.

The structure characterization with X-ray diffraction (XRD) was carried out using Rigaku Ultima IV-185 with Cu K\(_\alpha\) radiation between 10 and 80° 2\(\theta\) at a scan rate of 8° min\(^{-1}\). The morphology of the materials was observed by a FEI Quanta 250 scanning electron microscope (SEM).

The electrochemical properties of Li\([Li_{0.13}Mn_{0.46}Ni_{0.20}Co_{0.20}]O_2\) were examined in the CR2025 coin type cells. Electrodes were prepared by mixing of 80 wt.% active material, 10 wt.% carbon black and 10 wt.% polyvinylidene fluoride in N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone. The obtained slurry was coated on aluminum foil and dried in a vacuum oven at 55°C for 8 h. CR2025-type coin cells were fabricated by using Li metal as the counter electrode in an argon-filled glove box. The electrolyte solution was a 1 M LiPF\(_6\) in ethylene carbonate (EC) - dimethyl carbonate (DMC) (1:1, v/v). The galvanostatic charge-discharge tests were controlled between 2.0 and 4.8 V using CT2001A Land instrument at room temperature. The electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurement was conducted by a CHI impedance analyzer, using an amplitude voltage 5 mV and the frequency range was 10\(^{-2}\)-10\(^5\) Hz.

Figure 2 shows the X-ray diffraction pattern of Li\([Li_{0.13}Mn_{0.46}Ni_{0.20}Co_{0.20}]O_2\). Both of the composites show a typical \(\alpha\)-NaFeO\(_2\) layered hexagonal structure with \(R-3m\) space group. The (006)/(102) and (108)/(110) doublets are clear split, which indicate the as-prepared cathode materials have a well-organized layered structure [29]. The magnified XRD patterns between 20° and 25° are inserted in Figure 2 (left). Several weak peaks around 20°-25° are related to the existence of Li\(_2\)MnO\(_3\) [30-32]. The intensity ration of \(I_{003}/I_{104}\) represents the cation mixing degree of the layered structure [33-35]. When the value of \(I_{003}/I_{104}>1.2\), the cation mixing phenomenon is very weak [36]. In this work, the \(I_{003}/I_{104}\) values of the sample A and B are 1.29 and 1.22, respectively. The sample A has a high \(I_{003}/I_{104}\) value which indicates this material has a higher structural order than the sample B.
Another important parameter related to the material structure order is the $R$-factor ($R=|I_{102}/I_{006}|/I_{101}$). A lower $R$ value represents better hexagonal order [37]. The $R$ value of the sample A (0.30) is lower than that of the sample B (0.36), which indicates the sample A has a better hexagonal order than the sample B. Consequently, the sample A prepared by hydrothermal treatment has good crystallinity. This is due to a short calcining time (8 h) is sufficient for the crystal growth of the sample A, but is not enough long for the sample B.

The SEM images of the Li[Li$_{0.13}$Mn$_{0.464}$Ni$_{0.203}$Co$_{0.203}$]O$_2$ prepared by different methods are shown in Figure 3. As can be seen from the SEM images, the sample A is comprised of well crystallized particles with a slight aggregation. Comparatively, the particles edge of the sample B is not obviously sharp for the short calcination time. In addition, the agglomerates of primary particles in the sample B is much more close than that in the sample A. In general, a lower degree of agglomeration can lead to a better electrochemical performance [38]. The particle size distribution of the sample A is between 200 and 500 nm, while that of sample A is 400 and 800 nm. It can be seen that the hydrothermal treatment makes the particles evenly growing which will be good for high tap density. Moreover, such nanosized particles have a high surface area and provide a short diffusion path for Li$^+$ insertion/extraction and also for the diffusive transport of the oxygen ion vacancies. Thus, the electrochemical performance, especially the high rate capacity can be improved [39].

3.2. Electrochemical Properties

Figure 4 shows the initial and second charge–discharge curves of Li[Li$_{0.13}$Mn$_{0.464}$Ni$_{0.203}$Co$_{0.203}$]O$_2$ at a current density of 0.1 C (1.0 C = 250 mA·g$^{-1}$) in the voltage range of 2.0-4.8 V at room temperature. As can be seen from the Fig. 4, there are two plateaus in the initial charge curve: one is at about 4.0 V and the other one is at about 4.5 V. The former plateau is the Li-extraction from the structure of space group $R-3m$ accompanying with the reactions of Ni$^{2+}$/4$^+$ and Co$^{3+}$/4$^+$ [40]. The latter plateau is about the electrochemical removal of Li$_2$O from the structure which is related to the activation of Li$_2$MnO$_2$. This is responsible for the large irreversible capacity in the first cycle [41]. As shown in Figure 4, the charge platform at 4.5 V disappears in the second cycle. It attributes to the irreversible activation of Li$_2$MnO$_3$, which is agreement with other literature reports [42-45]. The initial discharge capacity and coulombic efficiency of the sample A are 235.9 mAh·g$^{-1}$ and 73.1%, respectively. While those of the sample B are only 205.7 mAh·g$^{-1}$ and 66.8%, respectively. The better electrochemical performance of the sample A can be due to the ordered layered structure. One of the great merits of the hydrothermal treatment on the carbonate precursor is it can prepared material with good electrochemical properties in a short period of calcination time [46]. The Li-rich layered oxides obtained via traditional carbonate coprecipitation method by other reports have to be heated at 900°C for more than 12 h [41, 47, 48]. In our study, materials with excellent electrochemical properties can be obtained by calcining only 8 hours.

![Figure 3. SEM images of the (a) sample A and (b) sample B.](image)

![Figure 4. The first and second charge-discharge curves of the (a) sample A and (b) sample B.](image)
well-defined structure. As discussed above, the hydrothermal treatment on the carbonate precursor is beneficial to prepare the highly ordered layered structure and low degree of cation mixing, and they are benefit to the electrochemical performance. The decrease in discharge capacity is apparent for both samples, which is attributed to two main reasons. One is the dissolution of the metal ions, especially the Mn^{3+} during the charge-discharge process. It will redeposit on the surface of the oxide particle and seriously destroy the oxide surface to form an unsatisfactory surface film. This may be the main reason for the low capacity retention of the Li-rich layered oxides. The other is the Jahn-Teller distortion during the cycling process [49-52].

Figure 5. Cycling performance of the (a) sample A and (b) sample B.

The Midpoint voltages (MPVs) of discharge at different cycles of the sample A and B are shown in Figure 6. It can be observed from Figure 6, the discharge MPVs of the sample A is higher than that of the sample B. With the increases of cycle number, the MPVs of discharge decrease fast for the sample B. At 50th cycle, the MPV of discharge for the sample B is only 3.41 V, which is much lower than that of the sample A (3.65 V). The significantly reduced midpoint voltage and increased polarization of the materials after cycling would be partly due to the deterioration of electrode/electrolyte interface caused by side reaction with the electrolyte. This can be proved from the later EIS test.

Figure 6. Midpoint voltages of charge/discharge at different cycles at 0.1 C.

The rate capability is an important factor for battery performance because the charge time of batteries in portable electric devices depends on the rate of Li^{+} extraction out and insertion into the cathode [22]. Figure 7 presents the rate capability of the sample A and B between 2.0 and 4.8 V at room temperature. And retention of the discharge capacity are also presented in Figure 7. Each cell was charged at 0.1 C and then discharged at different rates from 0.1 to 2.0 C. Obviously, the sample A exhibits a higher discharge capacity and better rate capability at any C rates than the sample B. When the current density increased from 0.1 to 2.0 C, the discharge capacity of the sample A drops from 235.9 to 195.1 mAh·g^{-1} and the capacity retention is 82.7%. While the sample B shows a low discharge capacity of 155.9 mAh·g^{-1} at 2.0 C, which is only 75.8% of the discharge capacity at 0.1 C. The enhanced rate capacity of the sample A is mainly attributed to the less aggregation particles which could provide more paths for lithium-ion de/intercalation.

Figure 7. The discharge capacities and capacity retention of the (a) sample A and (b) sample B at different current rate.

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) of the fully charged coin cells (4.8 V) is measured to investigate the interface reaction and the process of lithium intercalation/deintercalation into electrode [53, 54]. The EIS profiles of the sample A were obtained when the cell cycled for 1 and 50 times respectively at 0.1 C between 2.0-4.8 V. The EIS profiles of the sample A are presented in Figure 8. The impedance spectra curves are composed of two parts, a depressed semicircle in high frequency region and a sloping line in low frequency region. Generally, the high frequency semicircle is related to the impedance due to Li^{+} ion migration through the interfacial layer between solid and electrolyte. The low frequency line is ascribed to the solid-state diffusion of Li^{+} ion in the positive electrode [55, 56]. An equivalent electrical circuit was inset in Figure 8. The fitting parameters involve the resistance of the electrode system (R_{s}), charge transfer resistance (R_{ct}) due to lithium intercalation/de-intercalation process, the non-ideal double layer capacitance (CPE) and the Warburg element (Z_{w}) corresponding to the straight sloping line at the low frequency region [47, 57]. It can be seen that, the charge transfer resistance increases as the cycle proceeds. The Nyquist plots is 149.6 Ω at first cycle then increases to 217.1 Ω.
after 50 cycles. One reason is that when the charge potential gets as high as 4.8 V, some side reactions will occur between the active material and electrolyte and this will destroy the structure of the material to some extent. Therefore, the bulk structural changes result in the increase of impedance value [45, 58].

Figure 8. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) Nyquist plots of the sample A at 4.8 V.

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, the Li[Li_{0.13}Mn_{0.46}Ni_{0.20}Co_{0.20}]O_2 cathode material has been successfully prepared via a hydrothermal reaction route and a short calcination process. This method could shorten calcination time and reduce the energy consumption compared with traditional process. The material is proven to have a well-organized layered structured and the particle size distribution is 400-800 nm. The initial discharge capacity is 235.9 mAh·g⁻¹ at 0.1 C. Rate test shows that the capacity retention at 2.0 C is 82.7% of the discharge capacity at 0.1 C. The good electrochemical performance of the materials prepared by this method can be attributed to the highly ordered layered structure and low amount of cation mixing.
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