Determination of Refractivity Gradient and Geoclimatic Factor Using Radiosonde Data and Inverse Distance Weighting Spatial Interpolation for Missing Data
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Abstract: In this paper, point refractivity gradient and geoclimatic factor are determined using radiosonde data on meteorological parameters obtained in Calabar, Nigeria. The meteorological parameters used are air temperature, pressure and humidity obtained from the radiosonde data archive of Nigerian Meteorological Agency. In view of the poor spatial resolution of radiosonde data, inverse distance weighting spatial interpolation technique is used to obtain the missing data at certain height of interest in the study. The results obtained showed that the point refractivity gradient and geoclimatic factor showed monthly and seasonal variations. Specifically, Calabar has annual average Point Refractivity Gradient (dN) and Geoclimatic Factor (K) of -125.508 N-units/Km and 6.53762E-05 respectively. The largest dN value of -25.4683 N-units/Km occurred in May whereas the smallest value of -305.2692 N-units/Km occurred in November. Furthermore, there is higher value of point refractivity gradient in the rainy season than in the dry season whereas there is lower value of geoclimatic factor in the rainy season than in the dry season.
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1. Introduction

In recent times, the concept of wireless communication in the lower atmospheric layer has become very essential to radio communications due to increasing demand for such technologies [1, 2, 3]. The wide spread application is brought about by the sophistication and ever changing trend of radio communication. However, due to the enormous demand and wide spread applications of wireless communications, there is the need to look closely into those properties which contribute to fading during signal propagation [4]. Considering signal transmission under clear air condition, especially on terrestrial line of sight links, several atmospheric actions leads to signal loses on the transmission link [5, 6, 7, 8]. Clear-air fading mechanism due to extreme refractive layers in the atmosphere includes beam spreading, antenna decoupling, surface multipath and atmospheric multipath [9]. In the terrestrial line of sight link, it has been shown that multipath fading is among the most recurring fading phenomenon in tropospheric propagation [10].

Importantly, geoclimatic factor is one of the factors used in the determination of the multipath fade depth at any point in radio communication link [9, 10]. Geoclimatic factor determination is primarily based on the use of meteorological parameters obtained in the lower atmosphere. The meteorological parameters used are mostly, temperature, pressure, humidity and vapour pressure. These parameters affect the propagation of electromagnetic waves in the lower part of the atmosphere. To characterize the effect of the meteorological parameters, an index named the radio refractive index n is used [12]. The radio refractive index n is further related to radio refractivity (N) and hence, radio refractivity gradient (dN) as well as geoclimatic factor (k) that is used in the determination of multipath fade depth at any point in radio communication link.

In this paper, the focus is to determine point refractivity
2. Theoretical Background

The concept of geoclimatic factor estimation remains vital to radio link planners as it is one of the major parameters in fade depth determination. The geoclimatic factor is used to determine the worst month outage probability [14, 15, 16]. Geoclimatic factor estimation is based on the refractivity gradient (dN), which is a function of some atmospheric parameters such as temperature, pressure and atmospheric vapour pressure. The refractivity gradient from study varies with atmospheric height, and also has seasonal and annual variation due to region and other parameters such as air humidity, pressure and so on. In order to take into account the variation of the atmospheric state as the values of the meteorological parameters change, the ITU-R recommends using the following parameters: the atmospheric radio-refractive index, the refractivity gradient, the point refractivity gradient and geoclimatic factor to design the communication link [17]. However, the refractivity at the lowest 65m from sea level can be computed by [18].

\[ N = \left( \frac{77.6}{T} \right) \left( p + 4810 \left( \frac{e}{T} \right) \right) \]  

Where e stands for water vapour pressure (in hpa) where [9]:

\[ e = \frac{6.112H}{100} \exp \left( \frac{17.5T}{T + 240.97} \right) \]  

H is the relative humidity (%)

T is the air temperature (Kelvin)

The value of N varies with attitude since all of the climatic parameters, namely, relative humidity, temperature and pressure vary with height.

Also, it is important to consider the point refractivity gradient which the geoclimatic factor is dependent upon. The vertical gradient of radio refractivity in the lowest layer of the atmosphere is an important parameter for the estimation of path clearance and propagation effects such as ducting, surface reflection and multipath on terrestrial line of sight lines. The refractive gradient at the upper atmosphere is calculated by [19].

\[ \frac{dN}{dh} = \frac{N_2 - N_1}{h_2 - h_1} \]  

Where

- \( N_1 \) is the lower atmospheric refractive index
- \( h_1 \) is the lower height (ground level),
- \( N_2 \) is the upper atmospheric refractive index and
- \( h_2 \) is upper height (65m).

\( \frac{dN}{dh} \) can be represented as dN1. Hence, the geoclimatic factor for quick planning can be calculated from the approach given by International Telecommunication Union [20, 21];

\[ K = 10^{4.6-0.0027dN1} \]  

3. Methodology

In this work, three years data on meteorological parameters, namely, air temperature, pressure and humidity are obtained from Nigerian Meteorological Agency (NIMET). The NIMET meteorological parameters data are obtained using radiosonde, which is a radiosonde is an airborne weather station with inbuilt radio transmitter. The radiosonde is launched on daily basis and as it ascends from ground level it measures the meteorological parameters at given time interval. At the same time, it transmits the measured values to the appropriate ground station where the data are further processed [14]. Notably, radiosonde do not measure and report climatic parameters at definite heights, rather it measures at preset time intervals. Due to the spatial resolution problem in the radiosonde data obtained, Inverse Distance Weighting (IDW) technique is used for interpolation of the radiosonde data to obtain the missing data at specific heights required in the study. The IDW technique is expressed as [22, 23]:

\[ Z(x_0) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\lambda_i)Z(x_i) \]  

Where

- \( Z \) is the approximated value at the point of interest \( x_0 \),
- \( Z \) is the known value at the sampled point \( x_i \),
- \( \lambda_i \) is the weighting parameter and
- \( n \) denotes the number of sampled points used for approximation.

The weighting bias (\( \lambda_i \)) in equation 6 can be represented by as,

\[ \lambda_i = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=0}^{n} \left( \frac{1}{(d_{i0})^p} \right)^q} \]  

Where

- \( d_{i0} \) is the distance between \( x_0 \) and \( x_i \),
- \( p \) is a power parameter, and \( n \) is as denoted previously.

The IDW technique is applied in the spatial interpolation of the radiosonde data on air temperature (Temp), pressure (Pres) and humidity (Humd) as follows;

Let \( x_i \) be the height at the time \( i \) at which the radiosonde took the \( i^{th} \) reading of temperature (Temp(\( x_i \))), pressure (Pres(\( x_i \))) and humidity (Humd(\( x_i \))).

Let \( x_0 \) be the height of interest. Particularly, 65m is one of the height the value of the temperature, pressure and humidity are required.

Let Temp(\( x_0 \)), Pres(\( x_0 \)) and Humd(\( x_0 \)) be the approximated value of air temperature, pressure and humidity.
at the point of interest \( x_0 \). Then,

\[
d_i = x_0 - x_i
\]  

(7)

A value of 2 is taken for \( p \). Then, for all \( i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, n \) the value of \( d_i \) and \( \lambda_i \) are computed. Also, for each \( i \), equation 6 is used to compute the value of \( \text{Temp}(x_0) \), \( \text{Pres}(x_0) \) and \( \text{Humd}(x_0) \) as follows;

\[
\text{Temp}(x_0) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\lambda_i) \text{Temp}(x_i)
\]  

(8)

\[
\text{Pres}(x_0) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\lambda_i) \text{Pres}(x_i)
\]  

(9)

\[
\text{Humd}(x_0) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\lambda_i) \text{Humd}(x_i)
\]  

(10)

After determining the values of air temperature, pressure and humidity at all the essential heights, including 65 m, the water vapour pressure (\( e \)) and the radio refractivity (\( N \)) are computed using equation 2 and equation 1 respectively. Next, the point refractivity gradient \( \left( \frac{dn}{dh} \right) \) in the lowest 65 m altitude of the atmosphere is calculated using equation 4 by setting \( h_1 = 65 \text{ m} \) and \( h_2 = 0 \text{ m} \). Finally, the geoclimatic factor (\( K \)) is obtained using equation 5.

4. Results and Discussion

The results of the computations for the monthly Point Refractivity Gradient (\( dN \)) and Geoclimatic Factor (\( K \)) for Calabar are given in Table 1, Figure 1 and figure 2. According to table 1, the annual average Point Refractivity Gradient (\( dN \)) and Geoclimatic Factor (\( K \)) for Calabar are -125.508 N-units/Km and 6.53762E-05 respectively. There is wide variation in the value of point refractivity gradient in Calabar; the largest value of -25.4683 N-units/Km occurred in May whereas the smallest value of -305.2692 N-units/Km occurred in November.

**Table 1. Monthly Point Refractivity Gradient (dN) and Geoclimatic Factor (K) for Calabar.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>( dN ) (N-units / Km)</th>
<th>Geoclimatic Factor (K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jan</td>
<td>-33.002</td>
<td>3.08393E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb</td>
<td>-74.363</td>
<td>3.98822E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar</td>
<td>-256.57</td>
<td>0.000123801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr</td>
<td>-111.33</td>
<td>5.01867E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>-25.468</td>
<td>2.94282E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>-75.53</td>
<td>4.01726E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul</td>
<td>-38.643</td>
<td>3.19401E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug</td>
<td>-75.601</td>
<td>4.01905E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep</td>
<td>-92.515</td>
<td>4.46496E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct</td>
<td>-186.86</td>
<td>8.02622E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov</td>
<td>-305.27</td>
<td>0.000167582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>-230.96</td>
<td>0.000105583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Average</td>
<td>-125.508</td>
<td>6.53762E-05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conversely, the variation in the value of geoclimatic factor in Calabar (table 1 and figure 2) is such that the largest value of 0.000167582 occurred in November whereas the smallest value of 2.94282E-05 occurred in May.

**Fig. 1. Point Refractivity Gradient (dN) for the various months of the year.**

**Fig. 2. Geoclimatic Factor (K) for the various months of the year.**
There are also seasonal variations in the values of \( dN \) and \( K \) for Calabar, as shown in Table 2, Figure 3 and Figure 4. Notably, in Calabar the rainy season occurs April to September whereas the dry seasons occurs October to March (Ele and Nkang, 2014). In Figure 3, the rainy season average \( dN \) is \(-69.8478333\) (N-units / Km) whereas the dry season average \( dN \) is \(-181.1708333\) (N-units / Km). Essentially, the point refractivity gradient \( (dN) \) is higher in the rainy season than in the dry season.

On the contrary, in Table 2 and Figure 4, the rainy season average Geoclimatic factor \( (K) \) is \(3.94275E-05\) whereas the dry season average Geoclimatic factor \( (K) \) is \(9.1325E-05\). As such, the Geoclimatic factor is higher in the dry season than in the rainy season.

The distribution shows lower values in the rainy period between May and September while higher values occur in dry season with November having the highest.
Table 2. Point Refractivity Gradient (dN) for the Rainy and Dry Seasons Months In Calabar.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rainy Season Months</th>
<th>dN (N-units / Km) For The Rainy Season Months</th>
<th>Dry Season Months</th>
<th>dN (N-units / Km) For The Dry Season Months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apr</td>
<td>-111.33</td>
<td>Jan</td>
<td>-33.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>-25.468</td>
<td>Feb</td>
<td>-74.363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>-75.53</td>
<td>March</td>
<td>-256.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul</td>
<td>-38.643</td>
<td>Oct</td>
<td>-186.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug</td>
<td>-75.601</td>
<td>Nov</td>
<td>-305.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep</td>
<td>-92.515</td>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>-230.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average dN (N-units / Km) For Rainy Season Months</td>
<td>-69.847833333</td>
<td>Average dN (N-units / Km) For Dry Season Months</td>
<td>-181.1708333</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Geoclimatic factor (K ) for the Rainy and Dry Seasons Months In Calabar.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rainy Season Months</th>
<th>Geoclimatic factor (K ) for the Rainy Season Months</th>
<th>Dry Season Months</th>
<th>Geoclimatic factor (K ) for the Dry Season Months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apr</td>
<td>5.02E-05</td>
<td>Jan</td>
<td>3.08E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>2.94E-05</td>
<td>Feb</td>
<td>3.99E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>4.02E-05</td>
<td>March</td>
<td>0.000123801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul</td>
<td>3.19E-05</td>
<td>Oct</td>
<td>8.03E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug</td>
<td>4.02E-05</td>
<td>Nov</td>
<td>0.000167582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep</td>
<td>4.46E-05</td>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>0.000105583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Geoclimatic factor (K ) for the Rainy Season Months</td>
<td>3.94275E-05</td>
<td>Average Geoclimatic factor (K ) for the Dry Season Months</td>
<td>9.1325E-05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Conclusions

Point refractivity gradient and geoclimatic factor are determined using radiosonde data on meteorological parameters, namely, air temperature, pressure and humidity obtained in Calabar. The point refractivity gradient and geoclimatic factor showed monthly and seasonal variations. There is higher value of point refractivity gradient in the rainy season than in the dry season whereas there is lower value of geoclimatic factor in the rainy season than in the dry season.
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