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Abstract: Wireless Sensor Networks have predefined objectives which require them to connect and communicate with other nodes. During communication these nodes transmit and receive data and other control packets. This paper proposes Swing-MAC, a Medium Access Control protocol for energy efficiency designed for wireless sensor networks. It adjusts transmission power level in a swinging mode where transmission power level keeps swinging between minimum and maximum requirement of the network. Minimum and maximum transmission power level is based on the expected coverage between nodes. As transmission power keeps swinging between two intervals on cyclic basis this leads to energy conservation resulting in a longer battery life. Simulation results show that Swing-MAC obtains significant energy savings compared with 802.11 MAC without sleeping.
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1. Introduction

There are continuous advancements in electronics and wireless technology which is creating enough opportunities to create low cost, low power multifunctional wireless sensor nodes that are tiny in size and can communicate in a local area. Wireless Sensor nodes are capable of sensing, measure and gather information from the environment, and as per the network requirements they can send this information to the desired location.

There are some challenges [3][8] of WSNs as applications, control, data, nodes and run-time. Properties of wireless nodes are as they are huge in numbers with low complexity and limited battery life. There are many protocols which are developed to minimize the energy consumption [1][2][3][4][6][12][16]. These protocols focus on the problem of idle listening

[5] and overhearing. These put WSN nodes to sleep [7][10][17] periodically which saves energy consumption. During sleep other nodes are expected to wait for their cycle to be completed so they can become active and start communication. Energy efficient MAC [6] protocol must not only conserve energy but should promise minimization of delay [11] and optimal information extraction [13][14].

Our Medium Access Control [6] protocol proposes that transmission power keeps swinging between a set of two values which are selected on the basis of minimum and maximum criteria of distance between nodes. As energy keeps swinging between 2 values this protocol is named as Swing-MAC. Process of energy swinging saves energy and throughput remains unchanged.

2. Related Works

Paulo Sérgio Sausen, José Renato de Brito Sousa, Marco Aurélio Spohn, Angelo Perkusich and Antônio Marcus Nogueira Lima [10] proposes that power saving techniques may be static / dynamic in nature. Static techniques maintains network parameters unchanged throughout network lifetime. Dynamic techniques are based on network parameter sensing which helps them to adjust some parameters to achieve more power saving. Wireless sensor nodes consume energy during transmission and reception. These techniques deal with making these nodes partially or completely turn-off so energy can be saved and network lifetime can be improved. When a node changes its state between available modes (i.e., transmission, reception and sleep) node consumes power during switching process also. Switching energy is assumed
to be negligible many times. Switching energy is dependent on the technology used in wireless sensor nodes. Radio used in sensor nodes can be used to save energy between switching cycles. Battery model used in network simulators use linear discharge model which leads to wrong conclusions as switching states depends on battery capacity discharge. Only 6% error in energy consumption of WSN may lead to a shorter network lifetime up to 2 months over the expected network life of 3 years. This research gives a power management technique called Dynamic Power Management with Scheduled Switching Modes (DPM-SSM) [10]. in this technique nodes are scheduled to sleep after transmission activity. Battery capacity recovery was analyzed using Differential Hybrid Petri Nets (DHPNs) [10] approach for switching energy of sensor nodes and non linear behavior of battery discharge. This model uses Rakhmatov–Vrudhula battery model and Differential Hybrid Petri Nets (DHPNs) [10] approach for simulating the technique.

Wen-Hwa Liao, Kuei-Ping Shih and Yu-Chee Lee [9] states that in WSN nodes are scattered over an area and they make a network by their own connecting in some fashion. Adjusting energy levels for energy conservation is important for the lifetime of WSN. This research does the estimation of transmission energy by the use of beacon packets [9]. Each node sends some beacon packets at different power level and receiving node estimates the distance. Sensor node estimates the distance through three landmarks. Localization is computed by triangulation method. Based on the availability there can be various types of nodes with different capabilities as low power, low cost, multi-functional nodes. They may have capacity to communicate in long / short distances. Estimation of location has advantages for coverage, deployment, routing, location service, target tracking and rescue.

Suan Khai Chong, Mohamed Medhat Gaber, Shonali Krishnaswamy and SengWai Loke [1] has given a technique that challenges to maximize sensor network lifetime by informing the node about its future operations so future readings can be inferred from previous readings. In this technique some of the sensors are chosen to be switched off for specific time to save battery. Techniques developed in this research are termed as CASE (Context Awareness for Sensing Environments) [1]. The CASE framework has components for building, learning and triggering components for energy conservation in both centralized and in-network WSN configurations. This research has developed a rule-learning algorithm Highly Correlated Rules for Energy Conservation (HiCoRE) [1] algorithm that can learn and discover rules to regulate sensing operations. For CASE Compact this research has evaluated two applications Physical clustering and Query Physical clustering is used to save energy and efficient clustering. Query processing deals with effective and efficient data transmission.

Nikolaos A. Pantazis, Dimitrios J. Vergados, Dimitrios D. Vergados and Christos Douligeris [7] proposes that WSN nodes must listen to idle channel for the prevention of network partitioning. If there is no acknowledgement then nodes are considered as dead nodes and incoming nodes create their own network. Wireless transceiver listening to idle channel consumes energy equivalent to energy consumption in transmission or reception. It is very large in comparison to a node in sleeping condition. A synchronization schemes that keeps nodes in sleeping mode as long as possible and retain network connectivity would solve the purpose and save energy. Sleep mode synchronization techniques (like S-MAC [17]) create sleep mode related delays. During sleep mode nodes are not able to communicate and this creates delay but saves power. This controls overhearing but introduces sleep-mode delay. This research uses TDMA based scheduling for creating appropriate transmission schedule which saves power and minimizes end to end transmission time between sensors to gateway. Network connectivity is retained through the scheduling of TDMA based wakeup intervals. During these intervals WakeUp [7] messages are transmitted before actual data transmissions. Due to WakeUp scheduling data packets can be delayed for one sleep interval for end-to-end transmission from sensors to appropriate gateway. This technique is advantageous over S-MAC when situation expects high power conservation and low delay simultaneously in network static in nature and requires limited traffic. Disaster detection WSNs expects this situation to keep detecting a rare event for a long time.

3. Proposed Protocol

Every WSN has some defined limits for connectivity. It is assumed that nodes requiring connection would remain within these limits. Transmission power is set to sing within these 2 levels. For smoothening of the power switching level it follows the half circular path described in the algorithm. The reason behind the swinging power levels is that nodes in the WSN are at different distance and require different power levels. Transmitter saves energy by setting different power levels at the variable of time. Bottom energy level is slashed by the minimum power requirement of the network. This helps to keep the nodes active in certain conditions where nodes are at minimum distance specified by the network.

As per our protocol Swing-MAC follows swinging path between two set of values. These values are taken by reference to provide connectivity between 100 meters to 250 meters. Transmission power follows the path described by Swing-MAC Algorithm. TPower_Max=0.2818 and TPower_Min=7.214e-3 are opted for the simulation. Pattern followed by transmission is shown in Fig.1.

Start
Set SimulationTime=DesiredTime
Time=0
/* Time is auto incremented*/
Repeat
Set TPower_Max=UpValue
Set TPower_Min=DownValue
X=0
While(X<=2* TPower_Max){
AT Time=X{
Y= TPower_Max – Sqrt(Sqr(TPower_Max) – Sqr(X- TPower_Max))
If(Y< TPower_Min) Y= TPower_Min
}
Increment X by 0.001
}
Until Time <=SimulationTime
End

/* TPower_Max, TPower_Min are transmission power in Watt */

4. Simulation Results

Simulation was done in NS 2.35 with following transmission power levels.
Pt_ = 8.5872e-4 Watt
// 40 m transmission range
Pt_ =7.214e-3 Watt
// 100 m transmission range
Pt_ = 0.2818 Watt
// 250m transmission range

4.1. Simulation with 2 WSN Nodes

Graphical representation of energy consumption between 802.11 MAC and Swing-MAC is shown in Fig.2. Graph produced by XGRAPH shows energy advantages. Comparison between 802.11 MAC and Swing-MAC protocol shows 69.48507278% energy savings. Throughput between these protocols is shown in Fig.3. Graph produced by XGRAPH shows no changes in throughput compared to 802.11 MAC. Two lines exactly overlap each other showing no change in throughput. Comparison showing energy consumption and throughput is depicted in Table 1.

4.2. Simulation with 6 WSN Nodes

Graphical representation of energy consumption between 802.11 MAC and Swing-MAC is shown in Fig.4. Graph produced by XGRAPH shows energy advantages. Comparison between 802.11 MAC and Swing-MAC protocol shows 12.9733317% energy savings. Throughput between these protocols is shown in Fig.5. Graph produced by XGRAPH shows no changes in throughput compared to 802.11 MAC. Two lines exactly overlap each other showing no change in throughput. Comparison showing energy consumption and throughput is depicted in Table 2.
4.3. Simulation with 50 WSN Nodes

Graphical representation of energy consumption between 802.11 MAC and Swing-MAC is shown in Fig.6. Graph produced by XGRAPH shows energy advantages. Comparison between 802.11 MAC and Swing-MAC protocol shows 4.75773803% energy savings. Throughput between these protocols is shown in Fig.7. Graph produced by XGRAPH shows no changes in throughput compared to 802.11 MAC. Two lines exactly overlap each other showing no change in throughput. Comparison showing energy consumption and throughput is depicted in Table 3.

Table 3. Energy consumption and network throughput with 50 Nodes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.N.</th>
<th>Protocol</th>
<th>Energy Consumption</th>
<th>Throughput Achieved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Swing-MAC</td>
<td>682.643175</td>
<td>468.237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>802.11 MAC</td>
<td>716.743974</td>
<td>468.237</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 shows summary of energy consumption with respect to percentage of energy saved using various sets of nodes comparing Swing-MAC against 802.11 MAC. Table 4 also shows throughput consistency for performance.

5. Conclusions

Energy is the important criteria in WSN networks. Some protocols put WSN nodes to sleep to save energy. In this protocol nodes remain active throughout their battery lifetime. Energy in the nodes keeps swinging between two values decided by the network range. This protocol promises savings up to 69.49% (approximately) in energy and no
compromises on throughput. This protocol does not put nodes to sleep and is suitable to critical situations where there is a need to transfer continuous data on very small interval gaps. Swing-MAC has shown energy savings for static WSN nodes. Simulation and research is yet to be done for nodes under certain motion criteria. Comparison of this protocol to other protocols can also be done to prove its efficiency. Impact to other network parameters like latency, packet loss, congestion, etc. are also for futuristic research.
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