New Fixed Point Theorems for Mixed Monotone Operators with Perturbation and Applications
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Abstract: By using the properties of cone and the fixed point theorem for mixed monotone operators in ordered Banach spaces, we investigate the mixed monotone operators of a new type with perturbation. We establish some sufficient conditions for such operators to have a new existence and uniqueness fixed point and provide monotone iterative techniques which give sequences convergent to the fixed point. Finally, as applications, we apply the results obtained in this paper to study the existence and uniqueness of positive solutions for nonlinear fractional differential equation boundary value problems.
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1. Introduction and Preliminaries

The study of mixed monotone operators has been a lot of discussion since they were introduced by Guo and Lakshmikantham (see [1]) in 1987, because they have not only important theoretical meaning but also wide applications in microeconomics, the nuclear industry, and so on (see [1, 2]). In the past several decades, many authors investigated these kinds of operators in ordered Banach spaces and obtained a lot of interesting and important fixed point theorems for mixed monotone operators, see [3-5] and the references therein. Recently, some new results about these kinds of operators have emerged, and they are extensively used in nonlinear fractional differential and integral equations, see [6-9, 26, 27] and the references therein. In this paper, without demanding the assumptions of the existence of coupled upper-lower solutions or compactness or continuity, we study mixed monotone operators with perturbation and give several of new fixed point theorems. In other words, we consider the existence and uniqueness of positive solutions to the following operator equation in ordered Banach spaces:

\[ A(x) + Bx = x \]  

where \( A \) is a mixed monotone operator, \( B \) is an increasing sub-homogeneous operator or general \( \alpha \)-concave operator.

The results in essence extend and generalize recent related results, see [10-12] and the references therein. As an application, we apply our main fixed point theorem to study a class of nonlinear fractional differential equation boundary value problems.

Suppose \((E, || \cdot ||)\) is a real Banach space which is partially ordered by a cone \( P \subset E \), i.e. \( x \leq y \) if and only if \( y - x \in P \). If \( x \leq y \) and \( x \neq y \), then we denote \( x < y \). We denote the zero element of \( E \) by \( \theta \). Recall that a non-empty closed convex set \( P \subset E \) is a cone if it satisfies:

(i) \( x \in P, \lambda \geq 0 \Rightarrow \lambda x \in P \); (ii) \( x \in P, -x \in P \Rightarrow x = \theta \).

Putting \( P^0 = \{ x \in P | x \text{ is an interior point of } P \} \), a cone \( P \) is said to be solid if \( P^0 \) is non-empty. Moreover, \( P \) is called normal if there exists a constant \( N > 0 \) such that, for all \( x, y \in E, \theta \leq x \leq y \) implies \( ||x|| \leq N ||y|| \); in this case \( N \) is called the normality constant of \( P \).

We say that an operator \( A: E \rightarrow E \) is increasing if \( x \leq y \) implies \( Ax \leq Ay \). Element \( x \in P \) is called a fixed point of \( A \) if \( Ax = x \).

For all \( x, y \in E \), the notation \( x \sim y \) means that there exist \( \lambda > 0 \) and \( \mu > 0 \) such that \( \lambda x \leq y \leq \mu x \). Clearly \( \sim \) is an equivalence relation. Given \( w > \theta \) (i.e. \( w \geq \theta \) and \( w \neq \theta \)), we denote the set \( P_w = \{ x \in E | x \sim w \} \) by \( P_w \). It is easy to see that \( P_w \subset P \) for \( w \in P \).
All the concepts discussed above can be found in [2, 12-15]. For more results about mixed monotone operators and other related concepts, the reader is referred to [10-12] and some of the references therein.

Definition 1.1 (see [1]) An operator $A : P \to P$ is said to be a mixed monotone operator if $A(x, y)$ is increasing in $x$ and decreasing in $y$. Element $x \in P$ is called a fixed point of $A$ if $A(x, x) = x$.

Definition 1.2 (see [12]) An operator $A : P \to P$ is said to be a sub-homogeneous operator if it satisfies:

$$ A(tx) \geq tA(x), \quad \forall t \in (0, 1), \ x \in P. \quad (2) $$

Definition 1.3 (see [16]) An operator $A : P \to P$ is said to be a general $\alpha$-concave operator if it satisfies: for all $x, w \in P$ and $t \in (0, 1)$, there exists $0 < \alpha(t) < 1$ such that $A(tx, t^{-1}w) \geq \alpha(t) A(x, w)$.

Definition 1.4 (see [17]) An operator $A : P \times P \to P$ is said to be a $t-\alpha(t)$ mixed monotone model operator if it satisfies: for all $x, y \in P$ and $t \in (0, 1)$, there exists $0 < \alpha(t) < 1$ such that

$$ A(tx, t^{-1}y) \geq \alpha(t) A(x, y). $$

Lemma 1.5 (see [9]) Let $P$ be a normal cone in $E$. Assume that $T : P \times P \to P$ is a mixed monotone operator and satisfies:

(A1) there exists $w \in P$ with $w \neq \emptyset$ such that $T(w, w) \in P$;

(A2) for any $u, v \in P$ and $t \in (0, 1)$, there exists $\phi(t) \in (t, 1)$ such that $T(tu, tv) \leq \phi(t) T(u, v)$.

Then

(T1) $T : P_w \times P_w \to P_w$;

(T2) there exist $u_0, v_0 \in P_w$ and $r \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$ ru_0 \leq u_0 < v_0, \ u_0 \leq T(u_0, v_0) \leq T(v_0, u_0) \leq v_0; $$

(T3) $T$ has a unique fixed point $x^*$ in $P_w$;

(T4) for any initial values $x_0, y_0 \in P_w$, constructing successively the sequences

$$ x_n = T(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), \quad y_n = T(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}), \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots $$

we have $x_n \to x^*$ and $y_n \to x^*$ as $n \to \infty$.

2. Main Results

In this section, we present our main results. We always assume that $E$ is a real Banach space with a partial order introduced by a normal cone $P$ of $E$. Take $w \in E, w > \emptyset, P_w$ is given as in the first part.

Theorem 2.1 $A : P \times P \to P$ is a mixed monotone operator and satisfies

$$ A(tx, t^{-1}y) \geq \alpha(t) A(x, y), \quad t \in (0, 1), \ x, y \in P, $$

where the function $\alpha(t)$ is differentiated in the interval $(0, 1)$ and $0 < \alpha(t) < 1$.

$B : P \to P$ is an increasing sub-homogeneous operator. Assume that

(i) there is $w_0 \in P_w$ such that $A(w_0, w_0) \in P_w$ and $Bw_0 \in P_w$;

(ii) there exists a constant $\delta > 0$ such that $A(x, y) \geq \delta Bx$, $\forall x, y \in P$.

Then

(T1) $A : P_w \times P_w \to P_w, \ B : P_w \to P_w$;

(T2) there exist $u_0, v_0 \in P_w$ and $r \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$ ru_0 \leq u_0 < v_0, \ u_0 \leq A(u_0, v_0) + Bv_0 \leq A(v_0, u_0) + Bv_0 \leq v_0; $$

(T3) the operator equation (1) has a unique solution $x^*$ in $P_w$;

(T4) for any initial values $x_0, y_0 \in P_w$, constructing successively the sequences we have $x_n \to x^*$ and $y_n \to x^*$ as $n \to \infty$.

Proof: Firstly, for $t \in (0, 1), x, y \in P$, from (2) and (3), we have

$$ A(x, y) = A(t \frac{1}{t} x - t\frac{1}{t} y) \geq \frac{1}{t} A(\frac{1}{t} x, t\frac{1}{t} y), \quad x_n = A(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}) + Bx_{n-1}, \quad y_n = A(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}) + By_{n-1}, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots $$

Hence

$$ A(\frac{1}{t} x, t\frac{1}{t} y) \leq \frac{1}{t} A(x, y) \quad \text{and} \quad B(\frac{1}{t} x) \leq \frac{1}{t} Bx \quad \text{for} \ t \in (0, 1), \ x, y \in P. \quad (4) $$

Since there is $w_0 \in P_w$ such that $A(w_0, w_0) \in P_w$ and $Bw_0 \in P_w$, there exist constants $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4 > 0$ such that

$$ \lambda_1 w \leq A(w_0, w_0) \leq \lambda_2 w, \quad \lambda_3 v_0 \leq Bw_0 \leq \lambda_4 v_2 w. $$

Also from $w_0 \in P_w$, there exists a constant $t_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$ x_n = T(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), \quad y_n = T(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}), \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots $$

we have $x_n \to x^*$ and $y_n \to x^*$ as $n \to \infty$.
\[ A(w, w) = A\left(\frac{1}{t_0}w_0, t_0 w_0\right) \leq \frac{1}{t_0} A\left(w_0, w_0\right) = \frac{1}{t_0} \lambda_0 A\left(w_0, w_0\right) = \frac{\lambda_0}{t_0} A\left(w_0, w_0\right). \]

\[ A(w, w) \geq A\left(t_0 w_0, \frac{1}{t_0} w_0\right) \geq t_0 A\left(w_0, w_0\right) = \frac{\lambda_0}{t_0} A\left(w_0, w_0\right). \]

Noting that \( \frac{\lambda_0}{t_0^2} > 0 \), we can get \( A(w, w) \in P_w \). An application of Lemma 1.5 implies that \( A: P_w \times P_w \rightarrow P_w \). And from (2), (4) and the monotone property of operator \( B \), we have

\[ Bw \leq B\left(\frac{1}{t_0}w_0\right) \leq \frac{1}{t_0} Bw_0 \leq \frac{V_2}{t_0} w, \quad Bw \geq B\left(t_0 w_0\right) \geq t_0 Bw_0 \geq V_1 t_0 w. \]

Next we show \( B: P_w \rightarrow P_w \). For any \( x \in P_w \); we can choose a sufficiently small number \( \mu \in (0, 1) \) such that

\[ \mu w \leq x \leq \frac{1}{\mu} w. \]

Since \( B\left(\frac{1}{\mu} w\right) \leq \frac{1}{\mu} B(w) \) and \( Bw \leq B\left(\frac{1}{t_0}w_0\right) \leq \frac{1}{t_0} Bw_0 \leq \frac{V_2}{t_0} w \), then

\[ Bx \leq B\left(\frac{1}{\mu} w\right) \leq \frac{V_2}{t_0} \frac{1}{\mu} \leq \frac{V_2}{t_0} \mu t_0 w, \quad Bx \geq B(\mu w) \geq \mu t_0 v_1 w. \]

Evidently, \( \frac{V_2}{\mu} t_0 \mu t_0 > 0 \). Thus \( Bx \in P_w \); that is, \( B: P_w \rightarrow P_w \). So the conclusion (1) holds.

Now we define an operator \( T = A + B \) by \( T(x, y) = A(x, y) + Bx \). Then \( T: P \times P \rightarrow P \) is a mixed monotone operator and \( T(w, w) \in P_w \). In the following, we show that there exists \( \phi(t) \in (t, 1] \) with respect to \( t \in (0, 1) \) such that

\[ T\left(\frac{1}{t} y, y\right) \geq \phi(t) T\left(x, y\right), \quad \forall x, y \in P. \]

Let \( \phi(t) = t^{\beta(t)}, t \in (0, 1) \). Then \( \phi(t) \in (t, 1] \) and \( T\left(\frac{1}{t} y, y\right) \geq \phi(t) T(x, y) \) for any \( t \in (0, 1) \) and \( x, y \in P \). Hence the condition (A2) in Lemma 1.5 is satisfied. An application of Lemma 1.5 implies:

(c1) there exist \( u_0, v_0 \in P_w \) and \( r \in (0, 1) \) such that \( r_0 \leq u_0 < v_0, \quad u_0 \leq T(u_0, v_0) \leq T(v_0, u_0) \leq v_0 \);

(c2) \( T \) has a unique fixed point \( x^* \) in \( P_w \);

(c3) for any initial values \( x_0, y_0 \in P_w \), constructing successively the sequences

\[ x_n = T(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), \quad y_n = T(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}), \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots, \]

we have \( x_n \rightarrow x^* \) and \( y_n \rightarrow x^* \) as \( n \rightarrow \infty \). That is, the conclusions (T2)–(T4) hold.

From the proof of Theorem 2.1, we can easily prove the following corollary.
interval $(0,1)$ and $0 < \alpha(t) < 1$.

$A : P \times P \to P$ is a mixed monotone operator and $B : P \to P$ is an increasing sub-homogeneous operator. Assume that (3) holds and

(i) there is $w_0 > \theta$ such that $A(w_0, w_0) \in P_w$ and $Bw_0 \in P_w$;

(ii) there exists a constant $\delta_0 > 0$ such that

$$x_n = \frac{1}{\lambda}[A(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}) + Bx_{n-1}], \quad y_n = \frac{1}{\lambda}[A(y_{n-1}, y_{n-1}) + By_{n-1}], \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots,$$

we have $x_n \to x^*$ and $y_n \to x^*$ as $n \to \infty$.

Theorem 2.4 $A : P \times P \to P$ is a mixed monotone operator and satisfies

$$A(tx, t^{-1}y) \geq tA(x, y), \quad t \in (0, 1), x, y \in P. \quad (5)$$

$B : P \to P$ is an increasing general $\alpha$-concave operator and satisfies

$$B(tx) \geq t^{\alpha(t)}Bx, \quad t \in (0, 1), x, y \in P, \quad (6)$$

where the function $\alpha(t)$ be differentiated in the interval $(0, 1)$ and $0 < \alpha(t) < 1$.

Assume that

$$x_n = A(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}) + Bx_{n-1}, \quad y_n = A(y_{n-1}, y_{n-1}) + By_{n-1}, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots,$$

we have $x_n \to x^*$ and $y_n \to x^*$ as $n \to \infty$.

Proof: Firstly, from (5) and (6), we have

$$A\left(\frac{1}{t}x, ty\right) \leq \frac{1}{t}A(x, y) \quad \text{and} \quad B\left(\frac{1}{t}x\right) \leq \frac{1}{t^{\alpha(t)}}Bx \quad \text{for} \quad t \in (0, 1), x, y \in P. \quad (7)$$

Next, we define an operator $T = A + B$ by $T(x, y) = A(x, y) + Bx$. Similarly to the proof of Theorem 2.1, we have $A : P_w \times P_w \to P_w; B : P_w \to P_w$. Further, we can easily prove that $T : P \times P \to P$ is a mixed monotone operator and $T(\omega, \omega) \in P_w$.

In the following, we show that there exists $\varphi(t) \in (t, 1]$ with respect to $t \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$T\left(\frac{1}{t}x, y\right) \geq \varphi(t)T(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in P. \quad (T1)$$

Let $\alpha = \sup_{0 < t < 1} \alpha(t), \quad \alpha = \lim_{t \to 1^-} \alpha(t)$. Consider the following function:

$$f(t) = \frac{\alpha(t) - t}{t^\beta - 1}, \quad \forall t \in (0, 1), \quad \beta \in (\overline{\alpha}, 1).$$

It is easy to prove that $f$ is decreasing in $(0, 1)$ and

$$\lim_{t \to 0^+} f(t) = +\infty, \quad \lim_{t \to 1^-} f(t) = \frac{\alpha - \beta}{\beta - 1} > 0.$$

Further, fixing $t \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$\lim_{\beta \to 1^+} f(t) = \lim_{\beta \to 1^+}\frac{\alpha(t) - t}{t^\beta - 1} = +\infty.$$

So there exists $\beta(t) \in (\overline{\alpha}, 1)$ with respect to $t$ such that

$$\frac{\alpha(t) - t}{t^\beta(t) - 1} \geq \delta_0, \quad t \in (0, 1).$$

Hence we have

$$A(x, y) \leq \delta_0 Bx, \quad \forall t \in (0, 1), \quad x, y \in P. \quad (T2)$$

Then the operator equation $A(x, x) + Bx = \lambda x$ has a unique solution $x^*_\lambda$ in $P_w$ for any given $\lambda > 0$.

Moreover, for any initial values $x_0, y_0 \in P_w$, constructing successively the sequences

(i) there is $w_0 \in P_w$ such that $A(w_0, w_0) \in P_w$ and $Bw_0 \in P_w$;

(ii) there exists a constant $\delta_0 > 0$ such that $A(x, y) \leq \delta_0 Bx, \quad \forall x, y \in P$.

Then

(T1) $A : P_w \times P_w \to P_w, \quad B : P_w \to P_w$;

(T2) there exist $u_0, v_0 \in P_w$ and $r \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$ru_0 < u_0 \leq A(u_0, u_0) + Bv_0 \leq A(v_0, u_0) + Bv_0 \leq v_0;$$

(T3) the operator equation (1) has a unique solution $x^*$ in $P_w$;

(T4) for any initial values $x_0, y_0 \in P_w$, constructing successively the sequences

$$x_n = A(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}) + Bx_{n-1}, \quad y_n = A(y_{n-1}, y_{n-1}) + By_{n-1}, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots,$$

we have $x_n \to x^*$ and $y_n \to x^*$ as $n \to \infty$. $\square$
Let \( \varphi(t) = t^{\beta(i)} \), \( t \in (0,1) \). Then \( \varphi(t) \in (t,1] \) and 
\[
T(t, x, y) = A(t, x, y) + B(t, x, y) \geq tA(x, y) + t^\alpha B(x) \geq t^{\beta(i)} [A(x, y) + Bx] = t^{\beta(i)} T(x, y).
\]

From the proof of Theorem 2.4, we can easily prove the following conclusion.

Corollary 2.5: \( A : P \times P \rightarrow P \) is a mixed monotone operator and \( B : P \rightarrow P \) is an increasing general \( \alpha \)-concave operator.

Assume that (5), (6) hold and 
(i) there exists \( w_0 > \theta \) such that \( A(w_0, w_0) \in P_w \) and \( Bw_0 \in P_w \);  
(ii) there exists a constant \( \delta_0 > 0 \) such that \( A(x, y) \leq \delta_0 Bx \), \( \forall x, y \in P \).

Then the operator equation \( A(x, y) + Bx = \lambda x \) has a unique solution \( x^* \) in \( P_w \) for any given \( \lambda > 0 \). Moreover, for any initial values \( x_0, y_0 \in P_w \), constructing successively the sequences 
\[
\delta_0 > 0 \text{ such that } A(x, y) \geq \delta_0 Bx, \ \forall x, y \in D.
\]

\[
\frac{\delta_0}{\lambda} > 0 \text{ such that } A(x, y) \leq \delta_0 Bx, \ \forall x, y \in D.
\]

\[
\frac{\delta_0}{\lambda} > 0 \text{ such that } A(x, y) \leq \delta_0 Bx, \ \forall x, y \in D.
\]

3. Applications

Fractional differential equations have been of great interest recently. It is caused both by the intensive development of the theory of fractional calculus itself and by the applications of such constructions in various sciences such as physics, mechanics, chemistry, engineering, etc. For details, see [17,18] and references therein. In this section, we use the conclusions (T2)–(T4) hold.

Theorem 3.1: Assume that (3) holds and there exists a constant \( \delta > 0 \) such that \( A(x, y) \leq \delta Bx \), \( \forall x, y \in D \). Then 
\[
\delta > 0 \text{ such that } A(x, y) \geq \delta Bx, \ \forall x, y \in D.
\]

\[
\delta > 0 \text{ such that } A(x, y) \geq \delta Bx, \ \forall x, y \in D.
\]

\[
\delta > 0 \text{ such that } A(x, y) \leq \delta Bx, \ \forall x, y \in D.
\]

\[
\delta > 0 \text{ such that } A(x, y) \geq \delta Bx, \ \forall x, y \in D.
\]

\[
\delta > 0 \text{ such that } A(x, y) \leq \delta Bx, \ \forall x, y \in D.
\]

\[
\delta > 0 \text{ such that } A(x, y) \geq \delta Bx, \ \forall x, y \in D.
\]

\[
\delta > 0 \text{ such that } A(x, y) \leq \delta Bx, \ \forall x, y \in D.
\]

\[
\delta > 0 \text{ such that } A(x, y) \geq \delta Bx, \ \forall x, y \in D.
\]

\[
\delta > 0 \text{ such that } A(x, y) \leq \delta Bx, \ \forall x, y \in D.
\]

\[
\delta > 0 \text{ such that } A(x, y) \geq \delta Bx, \ \forall x, y \in D.
\]

\[
\delta > 0 \text{ such that } A(x, y) \leq \delta Bx, \ \forall x, y \in D.
\]

\[
\delta > 0 \text{ such that } A(x, y) \geq \delta Bx, \ \forall x, y \in D.
\]

\[
\delta > 0 \text{ such that } A(x, y) \leq \delta Bx, \ \forall x, y \in D.
\]

\[
\delta > 0 \text{ such that } A(x, y) \geq \delta Bx, \ \forall x, y \in D.
\]

\[
\delta > 0 \text{ such that } A(x, y) \leq \delta Bx, \ \forall x, y \in D.
\]

\[
\delta > 0 \text{ such that } A(x, y) \geq \delta Bx, \ \forall x, y \in D.
\]

\[
\delta > 0 \text{ such that } A(x, y) \leq \delta Bx, \ \forall x, y \in D.
\]

\[
\delta > 0 \text{ such that } A(x, y) \geq \delta Bx, \ \forall x, y \in D.
\]

\[
\delta > 0 \text{ such that } A(x, y) \leq \delta Bx, \ \forall x, y \in D.
\]

\[
\delta > 0 \text{ such that } A(x, y) \geq \delta Bx, \ \forall x, y \in D.
\]

\[
\delta > 0 \text{ such that } A(x, y) \leq \delta Bx, \ \forall x, y \in D.
\]

\[
\delta > 0 \text{ such that } A(x, y) \geq \delta Bx, \ \forall x, y \in D.
\]

\[
\delta > 0 \text{ such that } A(x, y) \leq \delta Bx, \ \forall x, y \in D.
\]

\[
\delta > 0 \text{ such that } A(x, y) \geq \delta Bx, \ \forall x, y \in D.
\]

\[
\delta > 0 \text{ such that } A(x, y) \leq \delta Bx, \ \forall x, y \in D.
\]

\[
\delta > 0 \text{ such that } A(x, y) \geq \delta Bx, \ \forall x, y \in D.
\]
\[ D_{\alpha}^\mu u(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t \frac{u(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{\alpha+\mu-1}} d\tau, \]

where \( n = [\alpha] + 1 \), \([\alpha]\) denotes the integer part of number \( \alpha \), see [19, 20].

In recent years, there are many papers discuss the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions for nonlinear fractional differential equation boundary value problem by the use of Leray–Schauder theory, fixed-point theorems, etc., see [21-25]. However, there are few papers consider the existence of a unique positive solution for nonlinear fractional differential equation boundary value problem. In this section, we apply the results in section 2 to study the nonlinear fractional differential equation boundary value problem (8).

Let \( E = C[0,1] \) be a Banach space of continuous functions on \([0,1]\) with the maximum norm \( \|u\| = \max \{|u(t)|: t \in [0,1]\} \). Then \( P = \{u \in E | u(t) \geq 0, \forall t \in [0,1]\} \), then \( P \) is a normal solid cone of which the normality constant is 1 in Banach space \( E \). The partial ordering defined by \( P \) is given by \( u \preceq v \iff u(t) \leq v(t) \) for all \( t \in [0,1] \).

Theorem 3.3 Assume that

(H1) \( f(t,u,v) : [0,1] \times [0,\infty) \times [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty) \) is continuous and \( g(t,u) : [0,1] \times [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty) \) is continuous with \( g(t,0) \neq 0 \);

(H2) \( f(t,u,v) \) is increasing in \( u \in [0,\infty) \) for fixed \( t \in [0,1] \) and \( v \in [0,\infty) \), decreasing in \( v \in [0,\infty) \) for fixed \( t \in [0,1] \) and \( u \in [0,\infty) \), and \( g(t,u) \) is increasing in \( u \in [0,\infty) \) for fixed \( t \in [0,1] \);

(H3) there exists a function \( \alpha(t) \) which is differentiated in the interval \((0,1)\) and \( 0 < \alpha(t) < 1 \) such that

\[ x_n(t) = \int_0^t G(t,s) f(s,x_n(s),y_n(s)) ds + \int_0^t G(t,s) g(s,x_n(s)) ds, \quad n = 1,2,\cdots, \]

\[ y_n(t) = \int_0^t G(t,s) f(s,y_n(s),s) ds + \int_0^t G(t,s) g(s,y_n(s)) ds, \quad n = 1,2,\cdots, \]

we have \( x_n \to u^* \) and \( y_n \to u^* \) as \( n \to \infty \), where \( G(t,s) \) is given as (10).

Proof. To begin with, from Lemma 3.1, the problem (8) has an integral formulation given by

\[ u(t) = \int_0^t G(t,s) f(s,u(s),v(s)) ds + \int_0^t G(t,s) g(s,u(s)) ds, \]

where \( G(t,s) \) is given as in Lemma 3.1.

Define two operators \( A : P \times P \to E \) and \( B : P \to P \) by

\[ A(u,v)(t) = \int_0^t G(t,s) f(s,u(s),v(s)) ds, \quad B(u)(t) = \int_0^t G(t,s) g(s,u(s)) ds. \]

It is easy to prove that \( u \) is the solution of the problem (8) if and only if \( u = A(u,u) + Bu \).

By assumption (H1) and Lemma 3.2, we know that \( A : P \times P \to P \) and \( B : P \to P \). Further, it follows from (H2) that \( A \) is
mixed monotone and $B$ is increasing. For any \( \lambda \in (0,1) \) and \( u,v \in P \), from (H3) we know that

\[
A(\lambda u, \frac{1}{\lambda} v)(t) = \int_0^t G(t,s)f(s,\lambda u(s),\frac{1}{\lambda} v(s))ds \geq \lambda^{\alpha(t)} \int_0^t G(t,s)f(s,u(s),v(s))ds = \lambda^{\alpha(t)} A(u,v)(t).
\]

That is, \( A(\lambda u, \frac{1}{\lambda} v) \geq \lambda^{\alpha(t)} A(u,v) \) for \( \lambda \in (0,1), u,v \in P \). So the operator satisfies (3). Also, for any \( \mu \in (0,1) \) and \( u \in P \), by (H3) we obtain

\[
B(\mu u)(t) = \int_0^t G(t,s)g(s,\mu u(s))ds \geq \mu \int_0^t G(t,s)g(s,u(s))ds = \mu B(u)(t),
\]

That is, \( B(\mu u) \geq \mu B(u) \) for \( \mu \in (0,1), u \in P \). So the operator \( B \) is a sub-homogeneous operator.

Next we show that \( PwA \in P \) and \( PBw \in P \), where \( t^\alpha w \). By (H1) and Lemma 3.2,

\[
\int_0^t G(t,s)f(s,w(s),w(s))ds \geq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} w(t) \int_0^t (1-s)^{\alpha-2} f(s,w_{\text{max}},0)ds,
\]

\[
A(w,w)(t) = \int_0^t G(t,s)f(s,w(s),w(s))ds \geq \frac{\alpha-1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} w(t) \int_0^t (1-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s,0,0)ds,
\]

where \( w_{\text{max}} = \max \{w(t) : t \in [0,1]\} \).

From (H2) and (H4), we have

\[
f(s,0,0) \geq f(s,0,0) \geq \delta_0 g(s,0) \geq 0.
\]

Since \( g(t,0) \neq 0 \), we can get

\[
\int_0^t f(s,0,0)ds \geq \int_0^t f(s,0,0)ds \geq \delta_0 \int_0^t g(s,0)ds > 0,
\]

and in consequence,

\[
l_1 := \frac{\alpha-1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (1-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s,0,0)ds > 0,
\]

\[
l_2 := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (1-s)^{\alpha-2} f(s,0,0)ds > 0.
\]

So \( l_1 w(t) \leq A(w(t)) \leq l_2 w(t), t \in [0,1] \), and hence we have \( A(w,w) \in P \). Similarly,

\[
\int_0^t g(s,0,0)ds \leq \int_0^t g(s,0,0)ds \leq \delta_0 \int_0^t g(s,0)ds > 0,
\]

and in consequence,

\[
\int_0^t f(s,0,0)ds \geq \int_0^t f(s,0,0)ds \geq \delta_0 \int_0^t g(s,0)ds > 0,
\]

and in consequence,

\[
\int_0^t g(s,0,0)ds \leq \int_0^t g(s,0,0)ds \leq \delta_0 \int_0^t g(s,0)ds > 0.
\]

So \( l_1 w(t) \leq A(w(t)) \leq l_2 w(t), t \in [0,1] \), and hence we have \( A(w,w) \in P \). Similarly,

\[
\frac{\alpha-1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (1-s)^{\alpha-1} g(s,0)ds \leq B(w(t)) \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (1-s)^{\alpha-2} g(s,0)ds,
\]

from \( g(t,0) \neq 0 \), we easily prove \( Bw \in P \). Hence the condition (i) of Theorem 2.1 is satisfied.

In the following we show that the condition (ii) of Theorem 2.1 is satisfied.

For \( u,v \in P \), by (H4),

\[
A(u,v)(t) = \int_0^t G(t,s)f(s,u(s),v(s))ds \geq \delta_0 \int_0^t G(t,s)g(s,u(s),v(s))ds = \delta_B Bu(t).
\]

Then we get \( A(u,v) \geq \delta_B Bu, u,v \in P \). So the conclusion of Theorem 3.3 follows from Theorem 2.1.

Remark 3.4 There exist many functions which satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3.3. For example

\[
f(t,u,v) = t^\beta u^\alpha(t) + v^{-\alpha(t)}, \quad g(t,u) = t^\beta u^\alpha(t), \quad \alpha(t) : (0,\infty) \to (0,1), \quad \beta(t) : (0,\infty) \to (0,1), \quad \beta(t) \text{ is non-decreasing}.
\]

Example 3.5 We give an example to illustrate Theorem 3.3.

Consider the following nonlinear fractional differential equation boundary value problem:

\[
\begin{cases}
-P_{\alpha(t)}^\alpha u(t) = 2t^3 + 2u^\beta(t) + v^{-\alpha(t)}, & 0 < t < 1, \quad 1 < \alpha \leq 2 \\
u(0) = u(1) = 0,
\end{cases}
\]

where \( \beta : (0,\infty) \to (0,1) \) is non-decreasing. In this example, we have
It is easy to show that the nonlinear fractional differential equation boundary value problem satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3.3. So the equation (12) has a unique positive solution $u^* \in P_w$, where $w(t) = t^{\alpha-1}(1-t)$.

From Theorem 3.3 and using Corollary 2.2, we can easily obtain the following result.

Theorem 3.4 Assume that

$$f(t, u, v) = t^\alpha + u^\beta + v^{-\beta}$$

and

$$g(t, u) = t^\alpha + u^\beta$$

Then the problem

$$\begin{cases}
-D_0^\alpha u(t) = f(t, u(t), u(t)), & 0 < t < 1, \\
u(0) = u(1) = 0
\end{cases}$$

has a unique positive solution $u^*$ in $P_w$, where $w(t) = t^{\alpha-1}(1-t)$.

Moreover, for any initial value $x_0, y_0 \in P_h$, constructing successively the iterative scheme

$$x_n(t) = \int_0^t G(s, x_{n-1}(s), y_{n-1}(s))ds, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots,$$

$$y_n(t) = \int_0^t G(s, y_{n-1}(s), x_{n-1}(s))ds, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots,$$

we have $x_n \to u^*$ and $y_n \to u^*$ as $n \to \infty$, where $G(t, s)$ is given as (10).

From Theorem 2.4, we can easily obtain the following result.

$$x_n(t) = \int_0^t G(s, x_{n-1}(s), y_{n-1}(s))ds + \int_0^t G(t, s)g(s, x_{n-1}(s))ds, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots,$$

$$y_n(t) = \int_0^t G(s, y_{n-1}(s), x_{n-1}(s))ds + \int_0^t G(t, s)g(s, y_{n-1}(s))ds, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots,$$

we have $x_n \to u^*$ and $y_n \to u^*$ as $n \to \infty$, where $G(t, s)$ is given as (10).
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