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Abstract: In recent times, surfaces of hospital environment, constantly exposed to activities of patients and health-care workers, have been identified as potential routes for horizontal dissemination of drug resistant microorganisms of public health significance. This study was conducted to investigate the antibiotic resistance profile of bacteria isolated from samples collected from door handles of various units in University of Benin Teaching Hospital (UBTH), Benin City, Edo State, Nigeria. Out of the 66 door handles sampled and analysed bacteriologically, 68 predominant bacteria distributed among five (5) species of Escherichia coli (30.9%), Staphylococcus aureus (26.5%), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (17.6%), Streptococcus pneumonia (13.2%) and Bacillus subtilis (11.8%) were isolated. Kirby Bauer techniques of disk diffusion antibiotic susceptibility test on the isolates using 9 commercial antibiotics according to Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) procedure showed resistance of P. aeruginosa to five (5) drugs (Sulfamethoxazole-Trimethoprim, Streptomycin, Ampicillin, Cephalexin and Nalidixic acid), E. coli to five (5) drugs (Ofloxacin, Sulfamethoxazole-Trimethoprim, Ampicillin, Cephalaxin and Nalidixic acid), S. pneumoniae to two drugs (Ampicillin and Nalidixic acid) and S. aureus to only Nalidixic acid. Agarose gel electrophoresis carried out on their plasmid DNA revealed detectable fragments in P. aeruginosa and E. coli. After plasmid curing, the isolates became sensitive to Streptomycin, Cephalexin, Ofloxacin, Sulfamethoxazole-Trimethoprim, Ampicillin and Ciprofloxacin. Findings from this study suggest the presence of plasmid mediated multi-drug resistant P. aeruginosa and E. coli on surfaces of hospital door handles. Hence, public education on proper personal hygiene and disinfection techniques are recommended in hospitals.
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1. Introduction

A hospital is a designated health care institution for diagnosis and treatment of patients. A teaching hospital combines health care assistance to patients with teaching of medical students and nurses. Over the years, hospital environment and surfaces have been known to be reservoirs of a number of pathogens [1] [2]. The routes of hospital acquired infections were found to be mainly by direct hand-to-hand contact between health care workers and patients as well as indirect contact from contaminated surfaces used by others workers or patients [3] [4]. In recent times, some multi-drug resistant pathogens have been isolated from inanimate surfaces that were constantly exposed to patients and health care workers. Report of the spread of methicillin-
The presence of *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Pseudomonas sp.*, and *Klebsiella pneumonia* in samples collected from hospital surfaces and on the hands of health professionals and patients [7]. According to [8], there has been isolation of predominantly multi-drug resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Enterobacter cloacae*, and *Klebsiella pneumonia* from hospital surfaces and environment. Considering the hazard posed by rising cases of antibiotic-resistant pathogens to visitors, patients and health care workers within the hospital environmental, it is pertinent too for researchers to constantly monitor the susceptibility profiles of microbial isolates from hospital environments.

Previous study from University of Benin Teaching Hospital, Benin City, Nigeria, revealed poor quality of the outdoor and indoor air as well as the door-handle surfaces [9]. [10]. This is of public health importance, considering the potential hazards posed by the pathogens in such environment. Hence, this study was carried out to investigate the antibiotic-resistance profiles of bacterial isolates from door handle surfaces in the University of Benin Teaching Hospital, Benin City, Edo State, with a view to providing information needed for proactive action.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Site

The study site for this research project was University of Benin Teaching Hospital (UBTH), Benin City, Edo State, Nigeria. The study was carried out after permission was obtained from the hospital authorities and ethical clearance from the Committee on Human Research and Publication, University of Benin Teaching Hospital (UBTH), Benin City. The sampling locations were the door handles of the Emergency Ward, Paediatric Ward, Male and Female Surgical Wards, Intensive Care Units, Theatre, Consultant Out Patient Department (COPD), Microbiological Laboratory, Revenue Section, Pharmacy Department, and General Toilet found within the teaching hospital.

2.2. Sample Collection

A total of 66 samples of the door handle surfaces were collected over a period of six months (between May, 2015 and October, 2015). The samples were collected with the aid of sterile swab sticks moistened with sterile normal saline. The collected specimens were labeled appropriately and then transported in ice packed boxes to the Laboratory for microbiological analyses.

2.3. Bacteriological Analysis of Sample

Each swab stick was incubated overnight in 10 ml normal saline to encourage the growth of microorganisms. The original samples were serially diluted and plated on sterile Nutrient agar using pour plate techniques. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24h. The characteristic resistant colonies were isolated and purified by sub-culturing on nutrient agar to obtain pure culture isolates. The cultural, morphological, biochemical and physiological characterization of the bacterial isolates were carried out according to the methods of [11].

2.4. Determination of the Antibiotic Susceptibility Pattern of the Bacterial Isolates

The antimicrobial susceptibility tests of the isolates were determined using the disc diffusion test according to the methods of [12] [13] [14]. An inoculum of each isolate (approximately 1 x 10⁶ cfu/ml) was developed using the McFarland Standard and aseptically streaked evenly on the surface of sterile Mueller-Hinton agar. Nine (9) commercially prepared antibiotic disc made up of ofloxacin (10 µg), Ofloxacin (10 µg), Streptomycin (30 µg), Sulfamethoxazole-Trimethoprim (30 µg), Cephallexin (10 µg), Naldixic acid, Ciprofloxacin (10 µg), Amoxicillin (30 µg), Amoxicillin-Clavulanic acid and (30 µg), Gentamicin (10 µg), were aseptically placed on the seeded plates. Plates were incubated at 37°C C for 16-24 h. The zones of growth inhibition around each of the antibiotics disc were measured to the nearest millimeter. The zone diameters of the drugs were interpreted using the criteria published by National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards [15].

2.5. Plasmid Profile Test

Plasmid analysis was performed on bacterial isolates on the basis of their antibiotic resistance phenotypes. All isolates selected for plasmid analysis were those with multiple resistant characteristics. The modified alkaline lysis method for plasmids extraction described by [16] was used for extraction of plasmid. Organisms were grown in 2.5ml of nutrient broth and incubated at 35°C for 18h. After incubation, 0.5 ml of each culture was transferred into 1.5ml Eppendorf tubes for plasmid extraction; glycerol was added to the remaining and stored at 4°C. The Eppendorf tubes were centrifuged at 6000rev/min for 15 seconds after which the supernatant was carefully removed with the use of fine-tip automatic micropipette and the cell pellet was thoroughly suspended in the 100µl of lysozyme solution. The pellet-lysozyme mixture was incubated at 0°C for 30mins after which 200ml of the alkaline sodium doedecylsulphate (SDS) solution was added and gently vortexed. This suspension was almost clear and slightly viscous. The tube was maintained for 5mins at 0°C and then 150µl of sodium acetate solution was added. The content of each tube was gently mixed for about six to seven seconds during which clots of DNA were observed in each tube. The tubes were maintained at 0°C for 60mins to allow most of the protein, high molecular weight RNA and chromosomal DNA to precipitate. The tubes were centrifuged for 5mins at 15,000rev/minute to yield a clear supernatant; about 0.4ml of the supernatant was removed from each tube and transferred into smaller centrifuge tubes.
One milliliter (ml) of cold ethanol was added and held at 20°C for 30mins, the precipitate was then collected by centrifugation at 6000 rev/min for 2mins and the supernatant was removed by aspiration.

The pellet was dissolved in 100µl of 0.1M sodium acetate/0.05 TrisHCl (pH 8) and re-precipitated in 2vols of cold ethanol and allowed to stand for 10 min, at 20°C, the precipitate was again collected by centrifugation as described earlier. The pellet was dissolved in 40µl of water and then 10µl of sample buffer was added, about 10-20µl of plasmid DNA in solution was applied to an agarose gel for electrophoresis. One percent agarose was prepared and loaded into electrophoresis chamber containing between 12-18 wells. The electrophoresis buffer that was used which contained 40mM Tris, 20mM sodium acetate, 2mM EDTA, adjusted to pH 7.8 with acetic acid. The buffer contained 25% sucrose, 5mM sodium acetate, 0.05% bromophenol blue and 0.1% SDS. Electrophoresis was allowed to proceed at room temperature until bands become visible at the positive end of the chamber. After electrophoresis, gels were stained with ethidium bromide (1µl/ml) and viewed under UV Tran illumination.

2.5.1. Plasmid Curing and Antibiotic Analysis

To cure the plasmid DNA, a loop full of an overnight bacterial culture cultivated in nutrient broth containing antibiotics for 24 hrs at 37°C was inoculated into 1ml of nutrient broth and incubated for 18-24 hours. Sodium-deodecyl-sulphate (SDS) curing agent was then added to bring the concentration to 1% (w/v) SDS, followed by incubation at 7°C for 24 hrs. Freshly prepared nutrient broth was then inoculated with an aliquot of cured culture and incubated for 24 hrs at 37°C. Antibiotic susceptibility profile for all isolates were then repeated as described above using the disc-diffusion technique on Mueller-Hinton agar [17].

2.6. Statistical Analysis

Two-way ANOVA without replication was used to determine the significant difference between the frequencies of occurrence of isolates on door handles at different locations in the hospital including general toilet. Data were summarized and analyzed using SPSS software 16 version.

3. Results and Discussion

The predominant bacteria that were isolated and characterized from the door handle surface samples included three Gram-positives (Staphylococcus aureus, Streptococcus pneumoniae and Bacillus subtilis) and two Gram-negatives (Escherichia coli and Pseudomonas aeruginosa). The most prevalent bacterium was E. coli (30.9%), followed by S. aureus (26.5%), with B. subtilis (11.8%) being the least (Figure 1). The prevalence of S. aureus, Pseudomonas sp., E. coli and Streptococcus sp. has been reported in earlier studies as the dominant bacteria on inanimate surfaces and on the hands of health care professionals and patients [7]. The presence of different species of Bacillus spp. has been reported in outdoor and indoor air samples collected from UBTH, Benin City, Nigeria [9]. Recently, [8] reported the isolation of predominant multi-drug resistant P. aeruginosa and other Gram-negative bacteria from hospital environment. Hence, our findings are in agreement with earlier findings. The finding of E. coli as the most frequent bacterium is not consistent with earlier reports, which shows S. aureus as the most prevalent isolate from samples of surfaces of hospitals and public institutions in Nigeria [18] [19] [20] [21]. The significant levels of E. coli and S. aureus in this study suggest the need for intensification of environmental sanitation and personal hygiene within hospital environments.

![Figure 1. Percentage of bacteria isolated from door handles of UBTH.](image)

The five predominant bacterial isolates were subjected to susceptibility test using some commonly used commercial antibiotic discs and the results revealed varied susceptibility patterns. Escherichia coli and P. aeruginosa were found to demonstrate remarkable resistance to Sulfamethoxazole-Trimethoprim, Cephalexin, Ampicilin, and Nalidixic acid
Most isolates of \textit{S. pneumoniae} demonstrated greater than 50% resistance to Gentamycin, Ampicillin and Nalidixic acid (Figure 4). Only Sulfamethoxazole-Trimethoprim and Nalidixic acid were found not to significantly inhibit the growth of \textit{S. aureus} (Figure 5). \textit{Bacillus} species were generally the most susceptible isolates to all the antibiotics (Figure 6). Findings from the pre-plasmid curing antibiotic analysis suggest that both \textit{E. coli} and \textit{P. aeruginosa} were resistant to majority of the antibiotics, followed by \textit{S. pneumoniae}, \textit{S. aureus} and \textit{B. subtilis}. The multi-drug resistance observed in most isolates of \textit{E. coli} and \textit{P. aeruginosa} in line with the earlier studies that reported them as among the major Gram-negative multi-drug resistant isolates from hospital and environmental samples [7] [8] [21]. According to [14] [22], these bacteria demonstrate antibiotic resistance as a result of the presence of the efflux system genes that confer hyper expression of Mex-AB-Opm efflux pump leading to exclusion of antibiotics activities. The relative low resistance of most isolates of \textit{S. pneumoniae}, \textit{S. aureus}, \textit{Bacillus subtilis} further support earlier reports that majority of multi-drug resistant bacteria from hospital environment are Gram-negative [7] [8] [21] [23]. However, other studies have reported high levels of Gram-positive multi-drug resistant bacteria from hospital environment [22]. The rising cases of antibiotics resistance strains has been attributed to misuse and abuse of drugs, which create a favourable environment for both the environmental opportunistic bacterial to acquire resistant genes [7] [13]. The negative implications of infections caused by multi-drug resistant strains include magnified adverse disease outcomes, treatment failures, increased cost of treatments and death [24].

\textbf{Figure 2. Pre-curing Antibiotic Susceptibility Pattern of E. coli isolates.}

PEF=Pefloxacin (10 µg), OFX= Ofloxacin (10 µg), S=Streptomycin (30 µg), SXT= Sulfamethoxazole-Trimethoprim (30 µg), CEP=Cephalexin (10 µg), NA=Nalidixic acid, CPX=Ciprofloxacin (10 µg), PN= Ampicillin (30 µg), AU=Amoxicillin-Clavulanic Acid (30 µg), GN=Gentamicin (10 µg)

\textbf{Figure 3. Pre-curing Antibiotic Susceptibility Pattern of P. aeruginosa isolates.}

PEF=Pefloxacin (10 µg), OFX= Ofloxacin (10 µg), S=Streptomycin (30 µg), SXT= Sulfamethoxazole-Trimethoprim (30 µg), CEP=Cephalexin (10 µg), NA=Nalidixic acid, CPX=Ciprofloxacin (10 µg), PN= Ampicillin (30 µg), AU=Amoxicillin-Clavulanic Acid (30 µg), GN=Gentamicin (10 µg)
Figure 4. Pre-curing Antibiotic Susceptibility Pattern of *S. pneumoniae* isolates.

PEF=Pefloxacin (10 µg), OFX= Ofloxacin (10 µg), S=Streptomycin (30 µg), SXT= Sulfamethoxazole-Trimethoprim (30 µg), CEP=Cephalexin (10 µg), NA=Nalidixic acid, CPX=Ciprofloxacin (10 µg), PN=Ampicillin (30 µg), AU=Amoxicillin-Clavulanic Acid (30 µg), GN=Gentamicin (10 µg)

Figure 5. Pre-curing Antibiotic Susceptibility Pattern of *S. aureus* isolates.

PEF=Pefloxacin (10 µg), OFX= Ofloxacin (10 µg), S=Streptomycin (30 µg), SXT= Sulfamethoxazole-Trimethoprim (30 µg), CEP=Cephalexin (10 µg), NA=Nalidixic acid, CPX=Ciprofloxacin (10 µg), PN=Ampicillin (30 µg), AU=Amoxicillin-Clavulanic Acid (30 µg), GN=Gentamicin (10 µg)

Figure 6. Pre-curing Antibiotic Susceptibility Pattern of *B. subtilis* isolates.

PEF=Pefloxacin (10 µg), OFX= Ofloxacin (10 µg), S=Streptomycin (30 µg), SXT= Sulfamethoxazole-Trimethoprim (30 µg), CEP=Cephalexin (10 µg), NA=Nalidixic acid, CPX=Ciprofloxacin (10 µg), PN=Ampicillin (30 µg), AU=Amoxicillin-Clavulanic Acid (30 µg), GN=Gentamicin (10 µg)
Further analysis of the nature of antibiotic resistance, revealed it was plasmid mediated, since most of them lost their resistance abilities after curing of the plasmids in each of the previously multi-drug resistant isolates (Table 1). These findings suggest that most of the multidrug resistance observed with *E. coli* and *P. aeruginosa* were plasmid mediated and further buttress the assertion that several resistant genes are plasmid encoded. Several studies within Nigeria have shown that most of the multi-drug resistant isolates of clinical and environmental origins were plasmid mediated [25][26].

The plasmid DNA fragments were detected in samples of *P. aeruginosa* and *E. coli* which represented the two Gram-negative bacteria that demonstrated multidrug resistance activity (Plate 1). Plasmids are extra-chromosomal genetic materials capable of self replication and also transferable among different microorganisms. The presence of plasmids confers on the microorganisms extra-abilities, especially against antimicrobial agents or potentials to utilized uncommon nutrient source. Thus, the presence of plasmid-borne resistant genes from some isolates from surfaces of hospital door handles is of public health concern.

Table 1. Post-curing antibiotic susceptibility testing of bacterial pathogens isolated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolate</th>
<th>PEF</th>
<th>OFX</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>SXT</th>
<th>CEP</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>CPX</th>
<th>PN</th>
<th>AU</th>
<th>GN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>P. aeruginosa</em></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. coli</em></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R = Resistant (zone of bacterial inhibition is < 18 mm and S = Sensitive (zone of bacterial inhibition is > 18)
PFE=Pefloxacin (10 µg), OFX= Ofloxacin (10 µg), S=Sulfamethoxazole-Trimethoprim (30 µg), SXT=Sulfamethoxazole-Trimethoprim (30 µg), CEP=Cephalexin (10 µg), NA=Nalidixic acid, CPX=Ciprofloxacin (10 µg), PN=Ampicillin (30 µg), AU=Amoxicillin-Clavulanic Acid (30 µg), GN=Gentamicin (10 µg)

4. Conclusion

This study has shown that most of the Gram-negative isolates from UBTH door handle surfaces are resistant to most clinical antibiotics. The most resistant isolates were the two Gram-negative bacteria, *E. coli* and *P. aeruginosa*, though remarkable antibiotic resistance activities were also found with the Gram-positive isolates. The antibiotic resistance observed with *E. coli* and *P. aeruginosa*, particularly, was found to be plasmid-mediated since they became susceptible after curing of their plasmids. The danger associated with this is the possibility of cross-transmission of resistant genes among the pathogens, health-workers and patients, thereby creating unfavourable conditions for effective chemotherapy. Hence, it is important to intensify public education on proper personal hygiene, environmental sanitation and development of effective approaches towards limiting the misuse and abuse of antimicrobial agents. Also, continuous surveillance on the antibiogram and molecular typing of isolates from hospital and non-hospital environments are recommended.
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