Management of gastroesophageal reflux disease and hiatus hernia: Overview and authors' perspective
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Abstract: Hiatus hernia and GORD is a common problem, with many different approaches to treatment. Management has changed dramatically over the years as new and varied treatments have become available. The treatment differs between countries depending on the availability of the various therapies. Overall, medical therapy is undoubtedly the commonest form of treatment, with surgery being used as second line therapy or for intractable symptoms. This paper provides an overview of reflux disease and the available treatments, as well as describing the most common surgical techniques, providing the practitioner with guidance as to when surgery may be a viable option.
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1. Introduction

The treatment of gastroesophageal reflux (GORD) and paraoesophageal hernia is complex, with no international consensus achieved (1). Ten to 20% of the western world’s population suffers with GORD, although 2004 UK guidelines suggest this may be up to 40% (2).

Reflux occurs primarily during transient relaxation of the lower oesophageal sphincter (3). Symptoms include heartburn, cough (reflux of acid causing laryngeal spasm), shortness of breath (often secondary to aspiration) and unpleasant oral tastes.

Several factors are known to increase the risk of reflux, most notably obesity (4, 5), tobacco, alcohol intake (6) and hiatus hernia. It is important to note that the degree of symptomatic reflux does not always correlate with the level of oesophageal mucosal damage; endoscopy negative reflux (reflux symptoms in the absence of endoscopic signs) is more common than reflux oesophagitis.

The consequences of GORD can be substantial. Erosive oesophagitis occurs in approximately 45% of cases, oesophageal strictures in 8% and oesophageal ulcers in 6% (7). Barrett’s oesophagus (columnar metaplasia of the oesophagus) occurs in approximately 1-2% of patients with GORD (8-11). Diagnosis is often made only when a patient presents with symptoms of oesophageal adenocarcinoma, and endoscopic examination is performed. The overall risk of developing adenocarcinoma in Barrett’s oesophagus is approximately 0.5% (1)- 2.5 times higher in men than in women (7, 12). This may be in part due to the increased likelihood of abdominal obesity, and consequently intra-abdominal pressure, in male patients. The Gold standard method of diagnosis of GORD is pH and manometry testing.

Hiatus hernia can be caused by one or more of three mechanisms: widening of the diaphragmatic hiatus; oesophageal shortening causing the stomach to ‘pull’ through the hiatus; and increased intra-abdominal pressure causing a pressure effect forcing the stomach through the hiatus. Given that up to 80% of power athletes can be seen to have a hiatus hernia, this third cause may be significant (13). A congenital hiatus hernia, also known as a congenital diaphragmatic hernia, is a rare but potentially life-threatening form of hiatus hernia found in children. Although operative repair is often successful, development of a subsequent hiatus hernia is relatively common. This is considered to be due to slow pulmonary expansion, lung hypoplasia, and shortening of the abdominal oesophagus (14). Mechanism & Types are mentioned, but what about the causes, especially congenital?

Two types of hiatus hernia exist: “sliding” (95%) and ‘rolling’ (or paraoesophageal) hernia (5%). In the former the upper stomach and lower oesophagus slide up into the chest, whilst in the latter the body of the stomach protrudes through the oesophageal hiatus to lie alongside the oesophagus, with no movement of the gastro-oesophageal junction. Also, with
a paraoesophageal hernia, a true peritoneal hernia sac encloses the stomach, most commonly alongside the left diaphragmatic crus. They tend to become larger with time and incidence increases with age (see figure 1).
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![Figure 1. A barium swallow showing a large paraoesophageal hiatus hernia (Sheffield Teaching Hospitals)](image)

A sliding hiatus hernia usually presents with heartburn or ENT symptoms, such as hoarse voice or nocturnal cough/wheeze. Up to 50% of patients with a paraoesophageal hernia may be asymptomatic (12); symptomatic patients may complain of epigastric fullness (83%), post-prandial pain (75%), regurgitation (60%) and heartburn (57%). A further 41% of patients experience nausea, vomiting and aspiration.

Serious consequences such as respiratory embarrassment and obstruction occur in 25% and 13% respectively (12). The anatomical abnormalities associated with the paraoesophageal hiatus hernia, such as decreased lower oesophageal sphincter length and pressure, and counteracting the anti-reflux effects of this diaphragmatic crus contribute to the increased likelihood of developing significant reflux. The hernia itself can act as a reservoir for gastric acid, which can then reflux into the oesophagus especially when swallowing or supine (15). The risk of strangulation and volvulus is greater with a paraoesophageal hernia, such that some surgeons advocate elective repair of this type of hiatus hernia (7).
2. Medical Therapies for Reflux

Before the advent of modern medical therapies, many simple ‘remedies’ were advised such as not eating before sleep, elevating the head of the bed or sleeping propped up, and remedies such as drinking acidophilus milk or adding bicarbonate of soda to water. First line treatment of gastrooesophageal reflux disease should be modification of lifestyle risk factors such as obesity, and oral intake. It has been demonstrated that cigarette smoking causes a decrease in lower oesophageal sphincter pressure, increasing reflux. A study examining the correlation between smoking and oesophageal pH with manometry demonstrated reflux during smoking in two-thirds of participants; one-third of all recorded reflux episodes throughout the study occurred whilst the subjects were smoking (16). Cessation may be the most successful method of treating GORD, although it may be difficult to persuade the patient to this effect. Significant alcohol consumption during early adulthood may increase the risk of reflux oesophagitis, although in older adults, there is disagreement about the exact correlation between alcohol and reflux (17). It has been suggested that wine may have a protective effect against the development of reflux oesophagitis and Barrett’s oesophagus, as one study of the relationship between Barrett’s reflux and adenocarcinoma with alcohol showed a decreased incidence of all 3 disorders in wine drinkers. The authors however, offered no suggestion as to any possible cause for this link (18)(What is the possible cause for the protective effect of wine?).

Medical therapies are successful in mild to moderate reflux but less so in severe cases (19). In 1975 ranitidine, a H2 receptor antagonist became a popular first line treatment (20), superseded in the 1990’s by the proton-pump inhibitor (PPI) (20). This popular and effective treatment is widely used in the UK, with costs of £425 million in 2006. An estimated £500 million of NHS annual budget is spent unnecessarily on PPI’s; the cheaper H2 antagonist class is only slightly less efficacious but significantly cheaper (21). A Cochrane review demonstrated the superior benefit of PPI as compared to H2 antagonists, but that these still have a superior effect to that of placebo in the treatment of reflux oesophagitis (22). Other pharmacological treatments of reflux include the use of baclofen, which may help to inhibit the transient relaxation of the sphincter (3). Medical treatments rarely if ever treat the underlying pathology and up to 80% of patients discontinuing PPI therapy relapse (23), as compared to only 32% of those taking ranitidine, suggesting that PPI’s have a prophylactic effect.

Many cases of severe reflux may be linked to the presence or absence of H. Pylori, which is linked to chronic atrophic gastritis, an established precursor of gastric adenocarcinoma (24). The link between H. Pylori and reflux disease remains unproven and its presence may even have a protective effect against GORD (25). Although it is a known cause of gastritis and infects 50% of the world’s population (26), gastritis is not always associated with reflux, and studies including a meta-analysis (27) published on the topic have shown a decreased risk of GORD in those individuals colonized by H. Pylori (28).

3. Endoscopic Management

Diagnosis of GORD is usually made clinically based on symptoms, with empirical prescription of PPI’s. Patients in whom this medical therapy is not effective often undergo endoscopy in order to diagnose oesophagitis, although the majority of patients have negative findings. Any diagnosis of Barrett’s should be followed up with routine endoscopic surveillance.

Endoscopic treatment of symptomatic patients can usually be performed as a day case and is suitable in those unfit for surgery. It has demonstrated consistently good outcomes, including a 56% reduction in the need for medication (28-30).

Some new endoscopic techniques have been developed in the treatment of reflux. Endoscopic radio frequency thermal ablation (the Stretta procedure), which causes scarring at the lower oesophageal sphincter (LOS), thereby increasing sphincter pressure and decreasing reflux, was described as early as 2001 and UK guidelines advocated its use in 2009 (31). Originally pioneered as a treatment for Barrett’s oesophagus, it has been found to be effective in the early stages for patients with resistant reflux. Endoscopic suturing of the lower oesophageal sphincter (plication) alters the anatomy of the LOS by increasing the angle of His. EndoCinch™, Plicator™, EsophyX™, technologies designed in 2001, 2003 and 2007 respectively make endoscopic suturing less technically demanding. Plication can be submucosal or full thickness. One randomized controlled trial comparing endoscopic suturing of the LOS has also demonstrated short term-benefits, although long-term effects are yet to be proven (32). The injection of biocompatible polymers into the deep muscle or the submucosal layers of the cardia, increasing LOS pressure can also be used and the UK issued guidance in 2004 on its application. It reports evidence that at 12 months 67% of 85 patients involved in a study reported resolution of their symptoms and a further 9% had halved their use of PPI’s. The effect was related to the volume of injection used, although repeated treatments may be necessary to gain the desired effect (33).

4. Surgical Interventions

Surgery can be used as either a first line treatment or in cases where medical treatments have been unsuccessful. Operative treatment may be more appropriate in cases where costs and risks of operative morbidity are low. Historically, GORD was always considered to be secondary to a hiatus hernia; therefore operations were designed purely to correct this anatomical abnormality (34) and surgery was often ineffective at treating reflux oesophagitis. However not all patients with reflux have a hiatus hernia; the mainstay of
surgical treatment today therefore is to prevent gastric contents from refluxing into the oesophagus by recreating the natural effect of the lower oesophageal sphincter.

Indications for surgery include refractory oesophagitis after medical treatment, bleeding from the oesophageal or trans-hiatal erosions, oesophageal symptoms, for example asthma or laryngitis, and patient preference (35). Surgery in patients with substantial co-morbidities (i.e cardiac or respiratory disease), impaired peristaltic function and atypical symptoms is often contra-indicated as symptoms may even be made worse by more radical treatment and, in these patients, endoscopic treatment may be more suitable. Studies have suggested that for chronic gastro-oesophageal reflux disease, surgery may be the optimal treatment (36).

In the case of the paraoesophageal hernia, the only viable treatment option is surgery. The risks of surgery associated with significant comorbidities must be weighed against the 30% lifelong risk of developing a complication of this type of hernia (7). Studies have shown that, although initially there is no significant benefit to surgical treatment for GORD, after 7 years the benefit was proven (37).

The firstoperative interventions for elective repair of hiatus hernia were performed in 1919 as an attempt to demonstrate the importance of herniae to the wider medical community. The original operation aimed to reduce the hernia and narrow the diaphragmatic hiatus. In 1928 a report from the Mayo Clinic described a series of 27 hiatal hernia repairs, repairing only those that were symptomatic. Early surgeons, when unable to fully close the hiatus, would suture the viscera to the abdominal wall. Concurrently, Angelo Soresi described the paralysis of the left hemi-diaphragm in difficult hernias; despite zero mortality and a low recurrence rate, the results by other surgeons performing this technique were unpredictable (34) (Is whole paragraph cited from ref. 337). yes

In 1956, Dr. Rudolph Nissen, after years of performing various anti-reflux procedures, first performed his “gastropllication” operation, now known as the fundoplication that is in common use today. Although not gaining popularity until the 1970’s, the Nissen fundoplication today has a 90% success rate (36) in resolving symptoms of GORD by altering the anatomy of the gastroesophageal junction.

The primary technique of Nissen fundoplication involves opening the phrenoesophageal ligament and dissecting the crura with preservation of the hepatic branch of the anterior vagus nerve. The lower end of the oesophagus is mobilized trans-hiataly and the short gastric vessels divided to prevent tension (“floppy-Nissen”). The crura are closed posteriorly and the stomach wrapped behind the oesophagus (38).

Other surgeons, noting the increasing incidence of dysphagia after the Nissen fundoplication, described modifications to this procedure; Toupet performed a 270-degree posterior wrap in 1963 with no division of the short gastric vessels. Dor developed an anterior hiatal 180-200 degree wrap (1962). The Lind (subtotal 270-300 degree lateral wrap) is another modification that is frequently used technique in our unit (39).

The technique used is important, not only in terms of success but also in complication rate. Laparoscopic Nissen and Toupet have similar outcomes (40), and no difference between the Lind and the Nissen has been demonstrated at one year (41). Nine randomized controlled trials have compared the Toupet fundoplication with the Nissen (41-53). Most studies have demonstrated that the Toupet fundoplication has a lower postoperative incidence of dysphagia with no difference in level of reflux. However, none of these trials have more than a five-year follow up, and other studies suggest that the Toupet has inferior long-term results when compared to the Nissen (53, 54). The Nissen fundoplication itself has in the past shown a 10-40% risk of short-term dysphagia and 5-10% long term, and so patients with a pre-operative oesophageal motility disorder may be at a slightly increased risk of post-operative complications (55). Conversely, in our experience, many patients with preoperative motility disorders find that the decrease in acidic irritation causes a degree of motility that improves their symptoms. Although there is no universally accepted definition of disordered oesophageal motility, it has been defined by many as less than 80% propagation of primary peristalsis or distal oesophageal contraction of less than 30mmHg (55, 56). It has been hypothesized that despite the reported incidence of post-operative dysphagia in the Nissen fundoplication, there seems to be no benefit in using a partial wrap instead of the 360-degree in patients with disordered motility (56).

The anterior wrap technique, although shown to decrease the incidence of postoperative dysphagia with a follow up of 10 years (57), has a higher incidence of failure to control reflux and the need for further anti-reflux surgery when compared to the Nissen operation. Furthermore, when compared to the Toupet fundoplication, the anterior wraps are found to be inferior in terms of both incidence of dysphagia and reflux (53, 58). This same study concluded that anterior wraps cannot be recommended due to insufficient reflux control. Although the wrap technique has been shown to be influential, anterior versus posterior repair of the hiatus itself has not been shown to affect outcome (38).

The classic Nissen fundoplication is the only version in which the short gastrics are divided: no difference in successful outcome has been shown in patients with or without division of these blood vessels (31, 59-62), although studies have shown an increase in operating time, epigastric bloating and flatus due to an inability to expel air from the stomach (61-63). Some authors claim that division of the short gastric vessels is necessary where the wrap would otherwise be under tension; others dispute this and believe that short gastric division is never necessary (31).

Excellent results for both the trans-thoracic and trans-abdominal approach in open surgery for anti-reflux surgery have been reported, and the approach unsurprisingly, is often based on the subspecialty of the surgeon.
Trans-abdominal operations are more common in General Surgery; thoracic surgeons have published trans-thoracic series. A review of the literature published in 2008 demonstrated a superior ability to mobilise the oesophagus trans-thoracically and therefore may be more suitable if performing a Collis-Nissen operation for a shortened oesophagus. Interestingly, short oesophagus is reported in 69-97% of thoracic operations thereby necessitating a Collis-Nissen gastroplasty; however this finding is rare during the transabdominal operation and the oesophagus rarely needs extensive mobilisation (64). The abdominal approach avoids the need for the painful thoracotomy incision therefore posing fewer respiratory considerations; as there are fewer Collis gastroplasties performed, an anastomosis and its considerable complication risks are avoided. The Collis Nissen fundoplication involves mobilization of the whole oesophagus with division of the short gastrics, and creation of a neo-oesophagus from the fundus of the stomach, thereby lengthening the oesophagus, before performing the Nissen fundoplication wrap.

Traditional anti-reflux was performed at open surgery. However during the advancement of laparoscopic surgery over the last 20 years, unless contraindicated, most surgery is performed laparoscopically. The first laparoscopic anti-reflux operation was performed as the Nissen fundoplication in 1991 (65, 66). Since then, numerous studies including randomized control trials have been published since 1997, comparing traditional open surgery with laparoscopic techniques, and the results for laparoscopy are favorable in terms of long term outcome, costs and recovery period (36, 38, 67-71). Only one study has been published showing unfavorable results in laparoscopic surgery demonstrating an increased incidence of dysphagia at 3 month follow up (72).

Other less common anti-reflux surgical techniques include repairing the defect in the oesophageal hiatus with a mesh after reducing the hernia, without performing a fundoplication. During fundoplication, sutures are placed between the diaphragmatic crura in order to decrease the size of the hiatal defect but this procedure can be performed without the wrap. The first mesh repair of the crura was performed in 1993 by placing a polyester mesh anterior to the oesophagus (56). This series included 6 patients in whom the defect was too large to close primarily, and the short-term results were favourable. Numerous trials have demonstrated low recurrence rates in patients undergoing mesh hiatalplasty compared to those undergoing primary repair (73-75). Dysphagia rates in the short term were found to be higher in mesh repairs, although long-term results equate those of the non-mesh repairs (64).

Although surgery is successful in the treatment of GORD, recurrence remains possible. Recurrence rates of 9-30% at open surgery and 2-17% at laparoscopic surgery have been reported (76-80). Five causes of operative fundoplication failure have been described: slipped or misplaced fundoplication, disrupted, twisted or herniated fundoplication and an excessively tight or lengthy wrap. In some cases, the original pathology may have been misdiagnosed. Fewer adhesions are formed at laparoscopic operation and this may contribute to the recurrence rate, although given that recurrence is lower than at open operation, this may not be a factor. Broeders et al demonstrated that patients who had significantly reduced oesophageal peristalsis pre-operatively may be more at risk of failure (81); in their series, 14% of post operative patients had persistent pathological acid exposure. 50% of these patients underwent revisional surgery for symptomatic recurrence; a further 8 patients underwent surgery for persistent dysphagia and 2 for intra-thoracic herniation of the wrap. Randomised controlled trails have demonstrated that approximately 9.5% of patients need revisional anti-reflux surgery (82). Attempts have been made to predict the likelihood of failure: the presence of disordered motility, and excessive supine acid exposure preoperatively has been shown to be predictive of recurrence. Age, sex, and body mass index (BMI) have been shown in some studies to predict recurrence (83, 84) but not by others, and hiatal hernia size seems to have no bearing (83). In one case, a sleeve gastrectomy was performed as a revision operation for an obese patient with a complex recurrent paraoesophageal hernia (85).

There is a known association between obesity and GORD, and higher failure rates have been demonstrated in patients with a BMI of over 30, (86, 87). The laparoscopic Roux-en-Y bypass is an effective technique for the management of morbid obesity, but has the advantageous effect of reducing and controlling GORD. The American Society of Gastroenterologists recommend that patients with a BMI of over 35 should undergo primary bypass for control of reflux rather than fundoplication. Although gastric banding may also decrease the incidence of reflux, a consensus has not yet been reached therefore bypass should be considered first, despite it’s increased technical requirements (88, 89). Obesity is playing an increasing role in the aetiology of GORD and as the obesity epidemic worsens other approaches to treatment will need to be adopted.

5. Conclusion

A variety of treatment modalities exist for the management of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease, ranging from simple lifestyle modification to surgical treatment. Where a hiatus hernia co-exists alongside with reflux disease, primary repair could be considered a reasonable first line treatment. In the case of para-oesophageal hiatus hernia, operative intervention is imperative given the risk of complications. The clinician should be aware of the spectrum of reflux disease, and causes, in order to help the patient make an informed choice regarding their treatment.
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