A Prospective Study on Role of Water Soluble Contrast in Management of Small Bowel Obstruction
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Abstract: There is no definite protocol in management of small bowel obstruction in relation to duration and need of surgery. The aim is to study the role of gastrografin in management of small bowel obstruction. In this study patients who were diagnosed with intestinal obstruction were administered gastrografin. The patients were followed serially using x-ray at 4hrs interval for 24hrs; decision to operate was taken on non-progression of dye in two consecutive x-ray. Among 20 patients of this study 9 patients were operated on basis of gastrografin study. 11 were treated conservatively. 8 patients were of adhesive bowel obstruction. Out of which 1 was operated, 7 were treated conservatively. The sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive value of gastrografin administration in this study was 100%, 89%, 92%, 100% respectively. Gastrografin helps in strengthening the clinical decision about the management of intestinal obstruction; it helps in early decision making regarding continuing the conservative or operative management and allows the introduction of oral intake earlier and earlier discharge from the hospital as well as reduction in operative rate.
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1. Introduction

Intestinal obstruction is the most common abdominal emergency and cause of frequent admissions at surgical emergency wards. The most effective way to reduce the morbidity and mortality caused by intestinal obstruction is therefore, through the early diagnosis and the appropriate treatment. The improved tool for early diagnosis and treatment has reduced the mortality due to it to 5 to 10% [1].

Serial x-ray monitoring of the gastrografin® transit has been found to be more sensitive and specific in predicting wither the obstruction is partial or there is complete obstruction [2, 3, 4].

Considering the above facts, this study was designed to assess the value of water soluble contrast radiography as a diagnostic tool and its ability to aid surgical decision in patients with intestinal obstruction at Patna medical college and hospital. This study is a prospective study which was conducted at patna medical college and hospital, in the Emergency ward, Department of surgery; in which patients were divided into two group; one group was managed on classical conservative line of management, and the other group was given oral water soluble contrast gastrografin® at the time of admission and serial x-ray of abdomen were taken and decision whether to operate or continue the conservative was based on progression was dye in the x-ray. Results of the study were noted and analyzed. The primary end point of the study was need of surgery and duration of stay in the hospital.

2. Methods

This study was carried out at Patna medical college & hospital in the department of surgery from 2014 to 2015 in 20 patients. The patients who presented to surgical emergency at our hospital were selected for this study keeping in mind the exclusion criteria of this study.

Following were the inclusion criteria for the patients in the study:
1. Any age
2. Any sex
3. Presenting complaints:
Abdominal pain,
Distension,
Vomiting,
Not able to pass stool & flatus
1. Hemodynamically stable
2. Radiological evidence of intestinal obstruction (multiple gas fluid level in x-ray)
3. Sonological evidence of intestinal obstruction (dilated bowel loop in ultra-sonogram)
4. The patients giving informed and written consent to be included in the study group.

Following were the exclusion criteria:
1. toxemia
2. Hemodynamically unstable
3. Features of peritonitis
4. History of any trauma to the abdomen
5. History of intra-abdominal malignancy
6. History suggestive of inflammatory bowel disease
7. History of surgery within 30 days
8. Known history of asthma
9. Known history of allergy to iodine / contrast agent
10. History of abdominal irradiation

Patients were put on intravenous infusions, with complete rest to the bowel and nil by mouth. Ryle’s tube was inserted through nasal cavity and frequent aspirations were done. Blood investigations were sent. X-ray erect abdomen was taken. Ultrasonography of whole abdomen was done. The monitoring of input as well as output, along with frequent recording of all the vital signs was done. It was made sure the patients are well hydrated and all the gastric contents has been aspirated before gastrograffin® being administered to avoid dehydration and aspiration by the patients. After that 100 ml of water soluble oral contrast Gastrograffin (10 g sodium amidotrizoate and 66 g meglumine amidotrizoate) for adults and 60 ml for pediatric patients was given through ryles tube and serial x rays erect abdomen Anterio-posterior view were taken at the interval of 4 hrs (0, 4, 8, 12, 16, 24) and the progression of gastrograffin® was noted. If there was no progression of dye in two consecutive contrast x-rays, then the patient was considered for surgery and the operative findings were noted.

In the patients in whom the contrast appeared in the rectal region within the 24 hrs x-ray monitoring was considered for continuation of conservative and was considered to have partial obstruction.

The data were collected in the terms of:
1. Age
2. Sex
3. Presenting complaints
4. Duration of presenting complaints
5. Previous history of surgery
6. Time Duration since last surgery
7. Type of previous surgery
8. Whether considered for surgery or conservative
9. Time duration of delay in considering for surgery
10. Type of operation done
11. Duration of stay in the hospital in conservative patients
12. Duration of stay in operated patients

13. Whether patient expired or discharged

The statistical analysis of the data obtained were done by SPSS statistics 17.0 ver. (IBM Chicago, IL, USA). The test performed were Chi-squar test, Fisher-exact test Unpaired test, Mann Whiteny test. P Value of the entire study was kept as < 0.050.

The result obtained from this study was compared with the similar studies in the past and their outcomes were discussed.

3. Results

![Figure 1. Frequency of presenting complaints.](image)

From April 2014 to October 2015, 20 patients of intestinal obstruction were included in this study after satisfying the exclusion and inclusion criteria at Emergency ward Department of general surgery, Patna Medical College, Patna. There were 12 males and 8 female with male: female ratio as 1.5:1 (table: 1). The mean age in this study was 39.5 yrs (range 5 months – 80 years). The mean duration of presenting complaints was 4 days (range 1 -8 days).

All the cardinal features were present in varying proportions in the patients (Figure: 1). Abdominal pain was the most common presenting complaints in 90% of the patients followed by absolute constipation in 70% of the patients. Abdominal distension was present in 70% of the patients and vomiting in 50% of the patients. 8 patients (40%) had a previous history of surgery. Out of which 5% of the patients had history of more than 1 surgery.

Gynecological surgeries (50%) followed by emergency exploratory laparotomy (37.5%) and appendectomy (12.5%) was most common previous surgeries (Figure: 2). The mean time gap between antecedent abdominal surgery and the episode of intestinal obstruction was 9.5 months.

![Figure 2. Previous surgeries done on patients.](image)
11 patients (55%) were treated successfully on conservative treatment while 9 patients (45%) were operated (table: 1). Resection and anastomosis was most common surgery followed by Adhesolysis, ileostomy (Figure: 3). Among the total 8 patients with post-operative adhesions one was operated and 7 were treated conservatively.

4. Discussion

Intestinal obstruction is an important cause of admission in the department of general surgery, Emergency ward in most of the hospitals in the world. Early diagnosis and treatment helps in reducing the morbidity and mortality among the patients of intestinal obstruction. There is no defined protocol regarding the management of intestinal obstruction, no definite duration till which the conservative management can be continued. Accurate diagnosis can prevent unnecessary operation, thereby reducing the morbidity and mortality. The delay in decision to operate may increase the chances of resections and stoma formation.


In India kapoor et al [16] on 24 patients, vakiirakesh et al [17] on 32 patients, skgupta et al [18] on 20 patients, Rkgupta et al [19] on 58 patients did similar study.

In this study Gastografin® 100 ml (100 ml contain 10 g Sodium diatrizoate & 66 g Meglumine Amidotrizoate) has been used as oral water soluble contrast agent in adults and gastrograffin 60 ml has been used in pediatric patients more than 6 yrs of age and gastrograffin 30 ml has been used in patient less than 6 years of age. Stordah et al [5] in did a double blinded RCT on comparison of hyper-osmolar contrast agent Gastrograffin and Omnipaque. Chung et al [8] in had used 76% urografin for their study. Joyce wp et al [6], Assalia [7] Fevang [12], Choi [13], Biondo et al [15], Burge et al [20], kapoor et al [16] has used gastrograffin 100 ml in their study. Feigin et al [21] in 1996 has used Meglumine ioxitalamate (100 ml) in their study. Chen et al [10, 11] has used 40 ml urografin mixed with 40 ml of water in their study.

The male: female ratio was 1.5: 1 in this study. The degree of slight male predominance is consistence with previous studies. Sarraf [22] et al in 1983 had reported a ratio of 1.4:1. Among Indian studies Skgupta et al [18] in his study had male: female ratio of 1.2:1. In the present study gynecological surgery (35%) followed by emergency laprotomy (25%), Appendectomy (20%) was the most common surgeries preceding the episodes of intestinal obstruction. Studies have reported gynecological and colorectal surgeries and appendicectomy as most common procedure causing the postoperative intestinal obstruction. Abdallah ME et al [23] has reported gynecological surgery (28%) as the most common cause, Casper haule et al [24] has reported previous surgery for intestinal obstruction (42%) as the most common cause. Among Indian studies vakiirakesh et al [17] has described appendectomy (34%) as the commonest preceding surgery. RK gupta et al [19] has described appendicectomy (31%) as the most common antecedent surgery following the episode of intestinal obstruction. The success rate of conservative treatment was 40% in the present study. The success rate of conservative treatment for intestinal has been described as being between 73% and 90% [25]. Rakeshgupta et al. [19] has reported this rate to be 75.8% in their study.

The duration of conservative treatment in patients with intestinal obstruction remains controversial. Seror et al. [25] reported that this period could be prolonged up to 5 days in patients with intestinal obstruction caused by post-operative adhesions, whereas Sosa and Gardner [26] considered that conservative treatment could be performed for only 24 – 48 h, unless there were signs of strangulation. Assalia et al. [7] recommended 48 h, Bizer et al. [27] 24 h and Brodin et al [28] 48 – 72 h. Hofstetter [29] suggested that surgical
treatment should be undertaken in obstruction not relieved within 24 h and others have reported that conservative treatment should be limited to 12, 24, 48 or 72 h. In this study the mean duration till which the conservative was continued before being decided to be operated was 13.56 hrs.

In this study the mean duration of Hospital stay of the patients in conservative subset was 4.18 days. The mean duration of hospital stay of the patients in the operated subset was 11.6 days (range 10-13 days). In the study by Salomone et al [30] (GUSBOCA TRIAL) the mean difference of hospital stay in subset of patient who did not required surgery in the gastrografin group was 3 days compared to 5 days in the control group for the same subset of patients. While the mean duration of stay in subset of patient who were operated in the gastrografin group was 4.7 days compared to 7.8 days in the similar subset of patient in the test group Casper haule et al [24] in their study reported duration of say in the hospital in the gastrografin group was 5.62 day compared to 10.88 days in the conservative group.

In present study the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value of gastrografin administration was 100%, 89%, 92%, 100% respectively (table: 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Comparisons of sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value &amp; negative predictive value.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joyce WP [6]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chung [8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen [11]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biondo [15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rkgupta [19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skgupta [18]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Conclusion

Gastrografin helps in strengthening the clinical decision about the management of intestinal obstruction; it helps in early decision making regarding continuing the conservative or operative management and allows the introduction of oral intake earlier and earlier discharge from the hospital as well as reduction in operative rate.
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