Adverse effects of lipocryolysis: Analysis of 28 cases
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Abstract: Lipocryolysis is an effective and safe technique for the treatment of localized adiposities. However, there is very little evidence regarding its adverse effects. Materials and Methods: Retrospective analysis of 28 clinical records. Results: The adverse effects of lipocryolysis are mild to moderate, and reversible. Discussion: Specific studies need to be carried out in order to survey adverse effects in a large number of subjects, including medium/long-term follow-up.
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1. Introduction

According to today’s beauty standards, body image is negatively affected by unwanted localized subcutaneous fat. Fatty tissue can be surgically removed by conventional abdominoplasty [1] or by liposuction. Other, non-invasive therapies, such as laser [2], radiofrequency [3] and ultrasound [4], are also available to try to destroy subcutaneous fatty tissue. One of such non-invasive techniques is lipocryolysis [5], which combines tissue heat extraction with vacuum for the selective damaging of adipocytes and the reduction of subcutaneous fat [6, 7], with no damage to any other tissues. This technique has become established as a safe alternative with proven effectiveness [8] and minimal complications.

Coleman et al [9] assessed biopsies from patients with post-session hypotension and shown the absence of structural damage or any changes in peripheral nerve fibers, as well as process reversibility in 100% of cases. Other studies have applied lipocryolysis on flanks, observing a mild decrease in HDL (not below 4 mg/dl) concomitant with a discrete increase in serum triglycerides (not over 11 mg/dl), both values normalizing starting at 12 weeks post-treatment [10, 11]. Lastly, there have been two reports of paradoxical adipose hyperplasia (PAH), an adverse effect which had never been reported before associated with a lipocryolysis session, indicating that its frequency is extremely low [12].

To date, only one study has explored the adverse effects of lipocryolysis in general, concluding that complications are usually mild and associated with the trauma caused by suction [13].

The great interest in this technique, the current lack of evidence for the development of new and improved protocols, and the lack of information regarding the determinants of its adverse effects have motivated this paper, whose purpose has been to assess the frequency of the adverse effects of lipocryolysis in the treatment of localized adiposities.

2. Material and Methods

A retrospective analysis of the medical record of the patients who had undergone a session of lipocryolysis on the abdomen, flanks or thighs between September 1, 2013 and November 30 2013 was performed. Inclusion criteria: a) women between 25 and 45 years old; b) body mass index between 23 and 27; c) no systemic pathologies; d) no daily medication or chronic treatment; e) no specific contraindications for lipocryolysis (Eg.: Raynaud’s disease, Haushausen’s disease, Essential Cryoglobulinemia or skin lesions in the area of application, including dermatitis, urticaria, ulcers, wounds, zoster, severe varicose veins); f) no other aesthetic medicine or weight reduction procedures within 30 days prior to the first session.

Thirty-two medical records fulfilled the inclusion criteria, although only 28 were included in the study. 4 medical records
were discarded: 1 patient refused consent to include his clinical record in this study, 1 patient did not satisfy inclusion criterion f), and 2 patients were lost to follow-up.

Lipocryolysis was performed with Lipocryo®, Clinipro S.L., Sant Cugat del Vallès, Spain, and the gel used was Transonic Gel 250 ml, Transonic, Spain.

3. Results

We have observed: erythema in 100% of cases, pain in 71.43%, hematoma in 17.86%, paresthesias 3.57% and blisters in 3.57%. Figure 1 shows the absolute frequencies of the witnessed adverse effects.

4. Discussion

There is very little evidence regarding the adverse effects of lipocryolysis. In addition, the few studies which have explored them analyzed a very small sample. Compared to the great number of subjects who have undergone lipocryolysis treatments around the world in the past 5 years, the total population on which adverse effects have been studied is tiny. The mild, reversible, and usual adverse effects of lipocryolysis are known. However, are these a good approximation to real post-treatment complications, or is it that the total sample on which adverse effects have been assessed was too small?

Thousands of lipocryolysis treatments are performed around the world every day, and even if only empirically, no evidence of greater or different complications comes up. However, new adverse effects are reported occasionally, and although they are extremely rare, they should not be overlooked. A good example of this are the two cases of paradoxical adipose hyperplasia (a very rare condition with no identified risk factors) recently reported by Jailan et al [13].

New adverse effects studies with specific designs should be carried out in order to provide solid data. Based on the current status of the knowledge of the adverse effects of lipocryolysis, we believe that prospective, observational and simple studies enabling the assessment of a great number of subjects with very good follow-up capabilities are needed.

Figure 1. Adverse effects: absolute frequencies.
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