Effect of Interferential Therapy on Chronic Pain After Inguinal Hernioplasty
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Abstract: Introduction: Chronic postoperative pain is strongly related to patient-related factors surgery-related factors. Treatment of chronic groin pain after surgery may be difficult for both the patient and the surgeon and many algorithms have been advocated but none of them has been accepted totally. Interferential Therapy has been used in clinical practice for reducing pain and other symptoms following musculoskeletal injury. This current study was designed to detect the therapeutic efficacy of Interferential Therapy as a method of treatment to reduce chronic post operative pain after inguinal hernia repair. Subjects and Methods: A total of 40 adult male patients were operated upon as inguinal hernioplasty with synthetic mesh repair and their ages were ranged from 30-50 years. Patients were randomly assigned into two equal groups; Group A (Interferential Therapy group) and Group B (Control group). Patients of group A underwent inguinal hernioplasty with synthetic mesh and received the application of interferential therapy over the operative skin incisions in addition they received the routine postoperative analgesia. Regarding the postoperative pain, the assessment approaches were subdivided into 3 main procedures: Visual Analog Scale, prosthesis awareness and physical activity. Results: Total pain score was the sum VAS, prosthesis awareness and physical activity scores. We observed that statistical analysis of total pain scores and the final scores per patient in both groups of this study were statistically significant. Conclusion: Interferential current as a supplement to traditional analgesia in the early postoperative course in hernia repair with mesh seems to be more effective for reducing pain than traditional postoperative analgesia alone. Interferential current therapy is a noninvasive therapy for relief from chronic postsurgical pain. It provides a safe, with minimal side effects and effective alternative to pharmacological approaches to pain control.
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1. Introduction

The surgical methods of inguinal hernia repair can be divided into tension repairs as Bassini's technique with numerous modifications and tension-free with the use of synthetic meshes [1]. Chronic postoperative pain is defined as pain lasting more than three months after surgery and in general is strongly related to two main patient-related factors; age and body mass index or three surgery-related factors such as surgery for recurrence with anterior approach, operations performed in specialist hernia centers and finally the experience of the surgeon [2]. The treatment of chronic groin pain after surgery may be difficult for both the patient and the surgeon and many algorithms have been advocated but none of them has been accepted totally. Life style modification and administration of pharmacological agents are usually used [3]. The role of physical therapy in the management of chronic groin pain was studied. Massage, physiotherapy and acupuncture have been tried [3]. Thermotherapy was used to temporarily alleviate the painful stimulus and Capsaicin cream was applied topically as a counter-irritant to desensitize painful stimulus [4] and [5]. Interferential Therapy (IFT) has been used in clinical practice for reducing pain and other symptoms following musculoskeletal injury. In fact, there is still controversy surrounding the depth efficiency and whether voltages can be induced in deep tissues by IFC [6].

This current study was designed to detect the therapeutic efficacy of Interferential Therapy as a method of treatment to reduce chronic post operative pain after inguinal hernia repair.
2. Subjects, Materials and Methods

A total of 40 adult male patients were operated upon as inguinal hernioplasty with synthetic mesh repair and their ages were ranged from 30-50 years. These patients were selected from the outpatient clinic of Port-Fouad General Hospital, Port-Fouad, Egypt. The diagnosis was performed clinically by the consultant surgeon.

Patients were randomly assigned into two equal groups; Group A (Interferential Therapy group) and Group B (Control group). Patients of group A underwent inguinal hernioplasty with synthetic mesh and received the application of interferential therapy over the operative skin incisions in addition they received the routine postoperative analgesia. The electrical current was applied to the affected area using four electrodes. The four electrodes were placed in such a way that the two currents produced cross each other in the affected area. The electrodes were usually used with a damp sponge placed between the electrode and the patient's skin or a conductive gel may be used. The intensity of the current was increased within the patient's comfort level [7].

Group B patients underwent inguinal hernioplasty with mesh repair but received placebo interferential and in addition they received the routine postoperative analgesia.

Patients with co-morbidity such as cardiac, renal or hepatic diseases, those with uncontrolled diabetes, having strangulated hernia at presentation or with postoperative wound sepsis also were excluded from the study.

Written consents were obtained from all patients before the study. The steps of both treatment policies was explained to all patients by the treating team and the ethical review committee under supervision of the general director of Port-Fouad general hospital, Port-Fouad, Port-Said, Egypt.

Regarding the postoperative pain, the assessment approaches were subdivided into 3 main procedures: Visual Analog Scale, prosthesis awareness and physical activity

1- Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) is a measurement instrument that tries to measure a characteristic or attitude that is believed to range across a continuum of values and cannot easily be directly measured. For example, the amount of pain that a patient feels ranges across a continuum from none to an extreme amount of pain [8]:

Mild (1–4) = 1 point,
Moderate (5–7) = 2 points,
Severe (8–10) = 3 points.

2.1. Prosthesis Awareness

A questionnaire was signed by the patient about his feeling of the presence of the mesh in the operative field and the score was as following: [2].

Yes = 1 point,
No = 0 point.

2.2. Physical Activity

It was stated that the chronic post hernioplasty pain actually has impact on the patient's everyday life and activities such as restrictions during work, sport, or other leisure activities: [9]

- Pain only on exertion = 1
- Pain limits some daily activity = 2,
- Disabling pain = 3.

2.3. Statistical Analysis

Data were entered and analyzed using SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences) software program version 15.0 for analysis. Student t test was used to compare categorical variables. P value set at <0.05 for significant results.

3. Results

We studied our patients according to their jobs, their education status, and their ordinary daily efforts and according to their special habits such as smoking, athletics and drug addiction. There was no significant difference between the two groups regarding the sociodemographic data and special habits [P ≥ 0.05].

The mean differences of pain score (VAS) in the pre-treatment course of the (IFT) group (group A) was 4.35±1.49, with maximum and minimum values of 9 and 3 respectively, while the mean value after one month of treatment application (post-treatment) was .9±1.2 with maximum and minimum values of 5 and 0 respectively [P= 0.0001]. However, the mean value of pain score (VAS) in the pre-treatment course of control group (group B) was 6±1.55, with maximum and minimum values of 10 and 3 respectively, while the mean post-treatment value was 4.35±2.13 with maximum and minimum values of 8 and 1 respectively [P= 0.9].

We observed that there was no significant difference in the mean values of pain (VAS) score (pre-treatment application) between both groups while a statistical significant difference in the mean values of pain (VAS) score post-treatment application was evident [P= 0.0001].[Table 1]

Table 1. Comparison between post-treatment in both groups of the study (A and B)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistical Analysis</th>
<th>VAS</th>
<th>Post-treatment (group A)</th>
<th>Post-treatment (group B)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean (X)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation (SD)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of Significance</td>
<td>Significant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mean value of pain during physical activity before treatment application in group A was ±0.05, with maximum and minimum values of 2 and 0 respectively, while the mean value of physical activity after one month of treatment application (post-treatment) was .05±0.22 with maximum and minimum values of 1 and 0 respectively [ P= 0.005]. While in group B the pretreatment and post treatment values were 0.5±1, with maximum and minimum values of 3 and 0
respectively 0.3±0.8 with maximum and minimum values of
3 and 0 respectively {P =0.69}.

Table 2 showed that there was a non significant
difference in the mean values of physical activity (post-treatment)
between both groups while despite being higher in group A,
also nonsignificant distribution was observed in the post-
treatment mean values of physical activity of both groups.

### Table 2. Comparison between post-treatment in both groups of the study (A and B)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistical Analysis</th>
<th>Physical Activity</th>
<th>Post-treatment (group A)</th>
<th>Post-treatment (group B)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>Non-significant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of Significance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We observed that in the treatment group A, the two
patients were aware of the presence of prosthesis having pain

4. Discussion

In this prospective study, forty adult male patients were
operated upon for inguinal hernioplasty with synthetic mesh
repair and their ages were ranged from 30-50 years. There
was no statistical significant difference between the two
groups as regard age, special habits and the socioeconomic
background [P ≥ 0.05]. This distribution came in agreement
with other studies of same interest [2].

Chronic postoperative pain is strongly related to two main
factors; patient-related factors and surgery- related factors.
The patient-related factors are age and body mass index and
the surgery- related factors such as type of repair, operations
performed in specialist hernia centers and finally the
experience of the surgeon [2].

Chronic postoperative pain was observed in 2/20 patients
in group A (10%) and in 6/20 patients in group B (30%).
Many similar studies reported that the incidence of chronic
pain after hernioplasty with mesh was 10-30% [2] and [10],
and might reach up to 40% [3] while a number of studies
reported incidence rates of chronic pain varying from 0% to
37% with the overall incidence was reported to be 12% [11].
Other stated the frequency of chronic pain after inguinal
hernia repair to be as high as 54% [12]. To explain this
discrepancy, we found that the observational methods for
pain evaluation vary, prospective studies are few and chronic
pain is not a primary outcome parameter in most studies [11]
and [13].

Recently, it was found an increased incidence of chronic
pain in patients who had a mesh repair compared with those
who had a suture repair [14]. Indeed Hakeem and his colleagues reported that mesh-related fibrosis is a major contribution to the chronic groin pain after mesh hernioplasty [3]. The evidence based effective treatment of post-operative chronic groin pain is unclear. Surgical exploration with division of all three nerves with, or without, the removal of the mesh is associated with mixed results [15]. In their series, Aroori and Spence achieved a 75% success rate using a combination of nerve blocks and anti-neuropathic medication using methyl prednisolone acetate as a synthetic corticosteroid providing long-term pain relief when injected into localised areas of chronic and acute inflammation [14].

The physiological effects of IFC show increase in localized blood flow which can improve healing by reducing swelling and help removing damaged tissue and bringing nutrients to the injured area. IFC causes blocking the transmission of the pain signals and stimulating the release of pain reducing endorphins [7].

The most common use of IFC is to relieve pain, although some therapists also report using IFC for the reduction of swelling, the healing of wounds and fractures, and the restoration of function associated with muscle weakness [16]. The purpose of IFC therapy is to deliver currents to deep-seated tissue. Currents with a kilohertz cycle duration are used in an effort to overcome skin impedance and penetrate deep into the body. Some authors claim that the amplitude-modulated interference wave is what makes IFC potentially effective and that by delivering it at frequencies between 1 and 250 Hz, IFC will elicit a physiological response that leads to pain relief [7].

The physiological effects of IFC include vasodilating effects that helping venous return, lymphatic drainage, also, allows the rapid elimination of toxic metabolic products, ensures better oxygen supply to the tissue, in addition it changes pH to the alkaline side and helps to disperse infiltration and adhesions [17].

5. Conclusion

Use of interferential current is a common electrotherapeutic modality to treat pain. Interferential current as a supplement to traditional analgesia in the early postoperative course in hernia repair with mesh seems to be more effective for reducing pain than traditional postoperative analgesia alone. Interferential current therapy is a noninvasive therapy for relief from chronic post-surgical pain. It provides a safe, with minimal side effects and effective alternative to pharmacological approaches to pain control.
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