Condition for existence of positive periodic solution of hepatitis B Virus infection model with immune response
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Abstract: In this paper, we consider a periodic Hepatitis B Virus infection model with immune response. By using continuation theorem of coincidence degree theory, a condition for the existence of positive periodic solution is obtained.
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1. Introduction

Hepatitis B has become a worldwide disease [1]. When people are infected with HBV, some antigens are secreted such as HBsAg, HBeAg and HBcAg. The virus antigens in blood or liver cell membrane can induce organism to produce the specific humoral and cellular immune response [2]. In recent years, research on Hepatitis B Virus model with immune response has been widely studied [3-9]. In [6], a Hepatitis B Virus infection model with the cellular immune and humoral immune was established as follows.

\[
\begin{align*}
    y_1'(t) &= b - cy_1(t)y_3(t) - dy_1(t), \\
    y_2'(t) &= b + cy_1(t)y_3(t) - my_2(t)y_4(t) - dy_2(t), \\
    y_3'(t) &= ky_2(t) - uy_3(t)y_4(t) - wy_3(t), \\
    y_4'(t) &= sy_3(t)y_4(t) - hy_4(t),
\end{align*}
\]

where \(y_1(t), y_2(t), y_3(t)\) and \(y_4(t)\) denote density of uninfected liver cells, infected liver cells, free antigen in the blood and strength of immune response at time \(t\), respectively. Here, the birth rate \(b\) of uninfected cells is approximately equal to that of infected cells. The death rate per uninfected cell and per infected cell is a constant \(d\). Uninfected cells are infected at rate \(cy_1(t)y_3(t)\), \(my_2(t)y_4(t)\) represents the removal rate of cellular immune response to infected liver cells. Antigens are produced by infected cells at rate \(ky_2(t)\), and die at rate \(wy_3(t)\). \(uy_3(t)y_4(t)\) denotes the removal rate of the humoral immune response to antigens. \(sy_3(t)y_4(t)\) is the strength of specific immune response. Immune factors metabolic rate is \(hy_4(t)\). In (1.1), the infection terms and immune responses are based on the mass-action principle. It is assumed that all parameters of system (1.1) are positive constants. By using Routh-Hurwitz criteria, Fang and Zhou studied the local asymptotic stability of a positive equilibrium point of system (1.1), and they also proved that the stable switch will occur with the delay increasing [6].

In fact, uninfected liver cells and infected liver cells are not generated at the same rate and they do not die also at the same rate. Because of the circadian rhythms of human body, the model parameters should depend on time and take place change periodically. Therefore, we establish the following model on the basis of the model (1.1).

\[
\begin{align*}
    y_1'(t) &= b_1(t) - c(t)y_1(t)y_3(t) - d_1(t)y_1(t), \\
    y_2'(t) &= b_2(t) + c(t)y_1(t)y_3(t) - m(t)y_2(t)y_4(t) - d_2(t)y_2(t), \\
    y_3'(t) &= k(t)y_2(t) - u(t)y_3(t)y_4(t) - w(t)y_3(t), \\
    y_4'(t) &= s(t)y_3(t)y_4(t) - d_4(t)y_4(t),
\end{align*}
\]

where \(b_1(t), b_2(t), c(t), d_1(t), d_2(t), m(t), k(t), h(t), s(t)\) and \(d_4(t)\) are positive continuous \(T\)-periodic functions. \(b_1(t)\) and \(b_2(t)\) are the birth rate of uninfected liver cells, infected liver cells, respectively. \(d_1(t)y_1(t), d_2(t)y_2(t)\) and \(d_3(t)y_3(t)\) are the death rate of uninfected liver cells, infected liver cells and antigen, respectively. Immune factors metabolic rate is \(d_4(t)y_4(t)\), \(h(t)y_3(t)y_4(t)\) denotes the removal rate of the humoral immune response to antigens. The meanings of other parameters are the same to (1.1). In order to understand the causes of the spontaneous fluctuation...
Suppose \( L : \text{Dom}(L) \subset X \to Z \) be a linear mapping, and \( \mathcal{N} : X \to Z \) be a continuous projector. If \( \dim(\text{Ker}(L)) = \text{codim}(\text{Im}(L)) < +\infty \) and \( \text{Im}(L) \) is closed in \( Z \). If \( L \) is a Fredholm mapping of index zero, there exist continuous projectors \( P, Q : Z \to Z \) such that \( \text{Im}(P) = \text{Ker}(L) \) and \( \text{Im}(L) = \text{Ker}(Q) = \text{Im}(I-Q) \). It follows that the restriction \( L_P \) of \( L \) to \( \text{Dom}(L) \cap \text{Ker}(P) \) is invertible. We denote the inverse of this map \( L_P \) by \( K_P \). If \( \Omega \) is an open bounded set of \( X \), the mapping \( N \) is called \( L_P \)-compact on \( \overline{\Omega} \) if \( QN(\overline{\Omega}) \) is bounded and \( K_P(I-Q)N\overline{\Omega} \to X \) is compact. Since \( \text{Im}(Q) \) is isomorphic to \( \text{Ker}(L) \), there exists an isomorphism \( J : \text{Im}(Q) \to \text{Ker}(L) \).

Next, we introduce continuation theorem of Gaines and Mawhin [10].

**Lemma 2.1** Let \( L \) be a Fredholm mapping of index zero and let \( N \) be \( L \)-compact on \( \overline{\Omega} \). Suppose

1. \( L(x) \neq N(x), \forall x \in \Omega \cap \text{Dom}(L) \);
2. \( QN(x) \neq 0, \forall x \in \text{Ker}(L) \cap \Omega \);
3. \( \text{deg}(QN, \Omega \cap \text{Ker}(L), 0) \neq 0 \).

Then \( L(x) = N(x) \) has at least one solution in \( \text{Dom}(L) \cap \overline{\Omega} \).

For convenience, we introduce some notations:

\[
L^i = \min_{t \in [0,T]} f(t), \quad L^M = \max_{t \in [0,T]} f(t), \quad T = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(t)dt
\]

where \( f(t) \) is a continuous \( T \)-periodic function.

**Theorem 2.1** If \( d_1'^i d_2'^i d_3'^i - b_1'^M c_1'^M k_1'^i > 0 \), then system (1.2) has at least one positive \( T \)-periodic solution.

**Proof** Define four function as follows,

\[
\begin{align*}
F_1(t) &= b_1(t) e^{\alpha_1(t)} - c_1(t) e^{\alpha_2(t)} - d_1(t), \\
F_2(t) &= b_2(t) e^{\alpha_1(t)} + c_1(t) e^{\alpha_2(t)} + u_1(t) e^{\alpha_3(t)} - d_2(t), \\
F_3(t) &= k(t) e^{\alpha_1(t)} - h(t) e^{\alpha_4(t)} - d_3(t), \\
F_4(t) &= s(t) e^{\alpha_4(t)} - d_4(t).
\end{align*}
\]

Let \( y_i(t) = \exp(u_i(t)), i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \). Then system (1.2) is rewritten as

\[
u_i'(t) = F_i(t), i = 1, 2, 3, 4
\]

To prove the existence of positive periodic solution system (1.2), we need to prove the existence of periodic solution of system (2.1). Let \( X = Z = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4\} \in C([0,T]) \).

Define the norm of \( X \) and \( Z \)

\[
\|u\| = \sum_{i=1}^4 \max_{t \in [0,T]} |u_i(t)|, u \in X \text{ or } u \in Z,
\]

then \( X, Z \) are Banach spaces. Let

\[
\begin{align*}
N(u) &= (F_1(t), F_2(t), F_3(t), F_4(t))T, \\
L(u) &= u', P(u) = u, u \in X, Q(z) = \overline{z} \text{ or } z \in Z
\end{align*}
\]

Thus, it follows that \( \text{Ker}(L) = \mathbb{R}^4 \), \( \text{Im}(L) = \{z \in Z : \int_0^T z(t)dt = 0, i = 1, 2, 3, 4\} \) is closed in \( Z \), \( \text{dim} (\text{Ker}(L)) = 4 = \text{codim}(\text{Im}(L)) \) and \( P, Q \) are continuous projectors such that \( \text{Im}(P) = \text{Ker}(L), \text{Ker}(Q) = \text{Im}(I-Q) \). Hence, \( L \) is a Fredholm mapping of index zero. Furthermore, the generalized inverse (to \( L \)) is

\[
K_p: \text{Im}(L) \to \text{Ker}(P) \cap \text{Dom}(L),
\]

\[
K_p(z) = \int_0^T z(t)ds - \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \int_0^T z(s)dsdt
\]

Thus,

\[
QN(u) = (F_1, F_2, F_3, F_4)^T
\]

and

\[
K_p(I-Q)N(u) = \begin{pmatrix}
\int_0^T F_1(s)ds - \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \int_0^T F_1(s)dsdt + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{t}{T}\right) \int_0^T F_1(s)ds \\
\int_0^T F_2(s)ds - \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \int_0^T F_2(s)dsdt + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{t}{T}\right) \int_0^T F_2(s)ds \\
\int_0^T F_3(s)ds - \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \int_0^T F_3(s)dsdt + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{t}{T}\right) \int_0^T F_3(s)ds \\
\int_0^T F_4(s)ds - \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \int_0^T F_4(s)dsdt + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{t}{T}\right) \int_0^T F_4(s)ds
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Obviously, \( \text{QN} \) and \( K_p(I-Q)N \) are continuous. By Arzela-Ascoli Theorem, we know that for any open bounded set \( \Omega \subset X \), \( K_p(I-Q)N\overline{\Omega} \) is compact. Therefore, \( N \) is \( L \)-compact on \( \overline{\Omega} \) for open bounded set \( \Omega \subset X \).

Corresponding to the operator equation \( L(x) = \lambda N(x), \lambda \in (0,1) \), we have

\[
u_i'(t) = \lambda F_i(t), i = 1, 2, 3, 4
\]

where \( \lambda \in (0,1) \). Assume that \( u = u(t) \in X \) is a \( T \)-periodic solution of (2.2). There exist \( \xi_i, \eta_i \in [0,T] \) such that
Integrating the fourth equation of (2.1) in \([0, T]\), we obtain
\[ F(\xi_i) = 0, F(\eta_i) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4. \]
From (2.2) we obtain
\[ b_i(\xi_i) e^{v_i(\xi_i)} = c(\xi_i) e^{v_i(\xi_i)} + d_i(\xi_i). \]
So \( b_i^M e^{v_i(\xi_i)} > d_i' \), that is
\[ e^{v_i(\xi_i)} < \frac{b_i^M}{d_i'} = \delta_i. \tag{2.3} \]
The equality \( F(\xi_i) = 0 \) can be rewritten as
\[ k(\xi_i) e^{u_i(\xi_i)} = h(\xi_i) e^{v_i(\xi_i)} + d_i(\xi_i). \]
Hence \( k^M e^{u_i(\xi_i)} > d_i' \), and then we obtain
\[ e^{v_i(\xi_i)} < \frac{k^M}{d_i'} e^{v_i(\xi_i)}. \tag{2.4} \]
The equality \( F(\xi_i) = 0 \) implies
\[ b_i(\xi_i) e^{v_i(\xi_i)} + c(t) e^{u_i(\xi_i) + v_i(\xi_i)} = m(\xi_i) e^{v_i(\xi_i)} + d_i(\xi_i). \]
So we have
\[ d_i' < b_i^M e^{v_i(\xi_i)} + c^M e^{u_i(\xi_i) + v_i(\xi_i)} = m(\xi_i) e^{v_i(\xi_i)} + d_i(\xi_i). \tag{2.5} \]
According to (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5), we know
\[ d_i' < b_i^M e^{v_i(\xi_i)} + c^M e^{u_i(\xi_i) + v_i(\xi_i)} = m(\xi_i) e^{v_i(\xi_i)} + d_i(\xi_i). \]
that is
\[ e^{v_i(\xi_i)} < \frac{b_i^M d_i'}{d_i' d_i'' - b_i^M c^M k^M} \equiv \delta_i. \tag{2.6} \]
From (2.4) and (2.6) we obtain
\[ e^{v_i(\xi_i)} < \frac{b_i^M d_i'}{d_i' d_i'' - b_i^M c^M k^M} \equiv \delta_i. \tag{2.7} \]
Integrating the fourth equality of (2.1) in \([0, T]\), we get
\[ \int_0^T s(t) e^{v_i(t)} dt = \int_0^T d_i(t) dt = T\delta_i. \tag{2.8} \]
Integrating the fourth equation of (2.1) in \([0, t]\) and taking the absolute value, from (2.8) we have
\[ \left| \int_0^t u_i'(t) dt \right| \leq \int_0^t \left| s(t) e^{v_i(t)} + d_i(t) \right| dt = 2T\delta_i. \]
Let \( \delta_i = \left| u_i(0) \right| + 2T\delta_i \), then we have
\[ -\delta_i \leq u_i(t) \leq \delta_i \tag{2.9} \]
for any \( t \in [0, T] \).
The equality \( F(\xi_i) = 0 \) implies
\[ b_i^t e^{v_i(\xi_i)} = c(\xi_i) e^{v_i(\xi_i)} + d_i(\xi_i). \]
Therefore,
\[ e^{v_i(\xi_i)} > \frac{b_i^t}{c^M \delta_i + d_i'} := \rho_i. \tag{2.10} \]
From \( F(\eta_i) = 0 \) we have
\[ b_i^t e^{v_i(\xi_i)} = m(\eta_i) e^{v_i(\xi_i)} + d_i(\eta_i), \]
According to (2.9), we have
\[ e^{v_i(\xi_i)} > \frac{b_i^t}{m^M \delta_i + d_i'} := \rho_i. \tag{2.11} \]
From \( F(\eta_i) = 0 \) we have
\[ k(\eta_i) e^{v_i(\xi_i) - u_i(\eta_i)} = h(\eta_i) e^{v_i(\xi_i)} + d_i(\eta_i). \]
Thus we can obtain
\[ k^t e^{v_i(\xi_i) - u_i(\eta_i)} < k(\eta_i) e^{v_i(\xi_i)} + d_i(\eta_i). \]
Therefore, we obtain
\[ e^{v_i(\eta_i)} > \frac{k^t}{h^M \delta_i + d_i'} := \rho_i. \tag{2.12} \]
Let
\[ H = \sum_{i=1}^3 \max \left\{ \left| \ln \rho_i \right|, \left| \ln \delta_i \right| \right\} + \delta_i \cdot \]
Obviously, \( H \) is independent of \( t \). Now define
\[ \Omega = \left\{ u = (u_1(t), u_2(t), u_3(t), u_4(t)) \in X : \| u \| < H \right\}. \]
According to (2.3), (2.6), (2.7), (2.9), (2.10), (2.11) and (2.12), \( \Omega \) satisfies the condition (1) in Lemma 2.1.
Next, we prove that \( QN(u) \neq 0 \) for \( u \in \partial \Omega \cap \text{Ker}(L) \).
Because \( \text{Ker}(L) = \mathbb{R}^4 \), when \( u \in \partial \Omega \cap \text{Ker}(L), \) \( u = (u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4)^T \) is a constant vector, and \( \| u \| = H \). Assume that \( QN(u) = 0 \), then
\[
\int_0^T (b_1(t) e^{-u} - c(t) e^{u} - d_1(t)) dt = 0, \\
\int_0^T (b_2(t) e^{-u} + c(t) e^{u} - m(t) e^{u} - d_2(t)) dt = 0, \\
\int_0^T (k(t) e^{-u} - h(t) e^{u} - d_3(t)) dt = 0, \\
\int_0^T (s(t) e^{-u} - d_4(t)) dt = 0. 
\]

That is

\[
\begin{align*}
\int \bar{b}_1 e^{-u} - c e^{u} d_1 &= 0, \\
\int \bar{b}_2 e^{-u} + c e^{u} d_2 &= 0, \\
\int k e^{-u} - h e^{u} d_3 &= 0, \\
\int s e^{-u} d_4 &= 0. 
\end{align*} 
\tag{2.13}
\]

Similar to the proof process of (2.3), (2.6), (2.7), (2.9), (2.10), (2.11) and (2.12), the equation (2.13) implies \(|u| \leq H\), that is \(u \in \Omega\), it contradicts in \(u \in \partial \Omega \cap \text{Ker}(L)\). Therefore, the condition (2) in Lemma 2.1 holds.

We show that the condition (3) in Lemma 2.1 also holds. Consider the following system:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\bar{b}_1}{x_1} - c x_3 - d_1 &= 0, \\
\frac{\bar{b}_2}{x_2} - c x_1 x_3 - m x_4 - d_2 &= 0, \\
\frac{-k}{x_3} x_3 - h x_4 - d_3 &= 0, \\
\frac{-s}{x_3} x_3 &= 0. 
\end{align*} 
\tag{2.14}
\]

The equation (2.14) has distinct solution \((x_1^*, x_2^*, x_3^*, x_4^*)\), where

\[
x_1^* = \frac{\bar{b}_1 s}{\bar{d}_1 + s d_1}, \quad x_2^* = \frac{\bar{b} d_1 x_1 + d_3 d_1}{k s}, \\
x_3^* = \frac{d_1}{s}, \quad x_4^* = \frac{k x_2^*}{h x_3^*}. 
\]

Because \(\text{Im}(Q) = \text{Ker}(L)\), we can take \(J=1\). A direct computation gives

\[
\text{deg}_B(JQN, \Omega \cap \text{Ker}(L), (0,0,0,0)^T) 
\]

\[
= \begin{vmatrix}
\bar{b}_1 & 0 & -c & 0 \\
-c x_1 & \bar{b}_2 & -k x_1 & -m x_1 \\
-3 x_1 & -k x_1 & \bar{k} x_1 & -s x_1 \\
-s x_3 & \bar{s} x_3 & \bar{s} x_3 & 0 \\
\end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix}
\bar{b}_1 s + c x_1 x_3 & \bar{s} x_1 x_3 & \bar{s} x_1 x_3 & \bar{s} x_1 x_3 \\
\bar{b}_2 x_3 + c x_1 x_3 & \bar{k} x_1 x_3 & \bar{k} x_1 x_3 & \bar{k} x_1 x_3 \\
-s x_3 x_1 & \bar{s} x_3 x_1 & \bar{s} x_3 x_1 & \bar{s} x_3 x_1 \\
\end{vmatrix} = 1 \neq 0. 
\]

Therefore, the condition (3) in Lemma 2.1 is satisfied.

By Lemma 2.1, the system (2.1) has at least one \(T\)-periodic solution. Therefore system (1.2) has at least one positive \(T\)-periodic solution.

3. Conclusion

This paper considers a hepatitis B virus infection model with the immune response. By using the coincidence degree theory, we obtain a condition that ensures the existence of one positive periodic solution of this system. If the system (1.2) has a positive periodic solution, then it shows that the hepatitis B viruses are not cleared fully in some cases. This result can be used to explain the wave phenomena of hepatitis B virus in patients. It also can be used to guide the clinical treatment. From the condition of Theorem 2.1, we know that the therapeutic measures should be taken to reduce the mortalities of uninfected liver cells, infected liver cells and free antigen in the blood, to increase the uninfected liver cell birth rate.
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