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Abstract: This study aims to: (1) analyze the effect of remuneration, job satisfaction and Organizational Citizenship Behavior (OCB) on employee performance; (2) analyze the job satisfaction as a mediating variable of the effect remuneration on employee performance; and (3) analyze OCB role in mediating the effect of remuneration and job satisfaction on employee performance. Data was collected using saturated sampling method. The population consisted of 138 Account Representative (AR) Supervision of the work in the Tax Office in Malang area, the Regional Office of Directorate General of Taxes East Java III. Data analysis using Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modelling (PLS-SEM) or usually called Partial Least Squares Path Modelling (PLS-PM). The results showed that the remuneration is significantly and negatively effect the performance of AR Supervision, but the satisfaction and OCB do not significantly effect the performance of AR Supervision. Furthermore, remuneration and satisfaction positively and significantly effect AR satisfaction and OCB respectively, but remuneration does not significantly effect OCB. In relation to the indirect effects, job satisfaction and OCB do not mediate the effect of remuneration on the AR Supervision performance, neither OCB mediate the effect of job satisfaction on the AR Supervision performance. The results of this study indicate that the concept of the higher the remuneration received by employees, the higher their performance will be, only occurs in normal conditions. If there are obstacles in the work implementation process, then this concept does not apply. Therefore, the Directorate General of Taxation institution must provide the solution and attention to the barriers experienced by AR Supervision in order to maximize their performance.
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1. Introduction

In the last two decades, Indonesia's national income tax sector increasingly important because over the years, the proportion of state revenues from the sector increases. If in 1998 the proportion of tax revenue amounted to 61.6% of the total national income, in 2004 increased to 78.3% and reached Rp. 232 trillion rupiah, comparing to tax revenue in 1994 which only amounted to 37 trillion rupiah. Even in 2015 the national tax revenue reached Rp. 1,061 trillion from Rp. 1,295 trillion target (82%). Based on these achievements, in 2016 the target of national tax revenue set at Rp. 1,360 trillion [42].

In connection with the increasing tax revenue target, society as a whole really hope the performance of tax officials is increasing, because nearly 80% of the Indonesian budget relies on tax revenue [42]. According to Handayani, total tax revenue is highly dependent on five factors: (1) the tax system, (2) the tax regulations, (3) the tax data and information systems, (4) infrastructure and (5) human resources. Of five factors, the human resource factor, namely the tax officials becomes a very important factor in achieving the target of the tax revenue, because the state requires formidable figure tax officials that are physically and mentally strong. In addition, Luthans (2011) [35] confirms that tax officials should have the fortitude, tenacity and patience to devote his life to the nation.

Because the role of tax officials is increasingly important, their performance in their profession is very noteworthy. One of the policies pursued by the government to improve the tax officials’ performance is to fix the remuneration system in order to motivate tax officials in achieving organizational
goals [60]. As a follow up, the President has issued Presidential Regulation of the Republic of Indonesia Number 37 year 2015 about the performance benefits of employees within the Directorate General of Taxation.

Studies on the relationship between remuneration and employee performance became one of the important research in industrial-organizational psychology. Currently, the measurement of output and performance based remuneration system into the needs of public sector organizations in many countries [45]; [31]; [51]. Output and remuneration became the main characteristics of public sector reform [40]; [64]; [39]. These characteristics are intended to increase the motivation of civil servants and improve the quality of their service to the community. The characteristic diffuses into many public sectors, including the Internal Revenue Agencies [7]. Literally, the remuneration is defined as payment or remuneration stipulated by specific rules in return for a work routine [75].

According to Xiao, Henan, and Lei (2009) [74], remuneration is a form of payment or consideration given by organizations or employers with the aim to improve employee performance. Employees who receive rewards according to performance and expectations tend to perform productive and support the organization by doing positive things.

2. Theoretical Framework and Research Hypotheses

Dutra (2002, p.181) [14] defines remuneration as financial or non-financial received by an employee as a result of its performance. The results received are divided in the form of direct and indirect remuneration. Direct remuneration is the amount of money received by an employee for a job well done which consists of fixed and variable remuneration [10]; [14] in [30]. Indirect remuneration, on the other hand, provided by the organization for employees on the performance achieved with the aim to provide comfort and security to them, such as life insurance, health insurance, car, vacation, and other social insurance.

Utilization of the state apparatus minister (2011) [58] defines remuneration as a form of remuneration received by employees for contributions rendered to the organization. Remuneration is usually implemented to motivate employees so that they have better quality, more productive, not easily moved to other companies, establish a service-oriented behavior, and avoid corruption. Remuneration can be the form of money or salary, fixed allowances, variable allowances, incentives and other facilities. Remuneration is the total consideration received by the employees in return for the services he or she has done. In this study, the remuneration of employees of Directorate General of Taxation measured in exchange for financial and non-financial. Financial remuneration is performance benefits provided in accordance with the workload and incentives for the purpose of improving the performance of employees in realizing the tax revenues target. Meanwhile, non-financial rewards can be in the form of health insurance and/or vacation.

Furthermore, success in achieving the organization's objectives must be accompanied by the hard work of the existing human resources in the organization. Business activities can shape the development and improvement of human resources, improvement of information systems, remuneration, and other businesses. Those efforts eventually led to the efforts to improve employee performance. Davis (1996) [12] says that the performance of employees is the culmination of three elements which interrelate, namely skill, effort, and external conditions. Skills are something brought by employees to the workplace such as knowledge, intrapersonal skills, interpersonal skills, and technical skills. The skill level in this case, dealing with "what to do" employees.

Efforts are described as shown motivation of employees to accomplish the job. External conditions are the defining element of performance which support employee productivity. Furthermore, Bernardin, et al. (2001) [6] stated that the performance is a record gains resulting from a particular job function or activity in certain period. According to Bernardin, et al. (2001) [6], performance indicators are the quality, quantity, timeliness, and cost effectiveness. Meanwhile, Kiragu and Mukandala (2005) [28] explains that the employee's performance is the result of work achieved in executing tasks according to the responsibilities based on skills, experience, sincerity and punctuality.


In relation to remuneration influence on employee performance, Widyausti (2010:180) [72] indicates that low compensation has an effect on employee performance. Kiragu and Mukandala (2005) [28] found that in some areas of African countries there is a close relationship between the salary and employee's performance of the bureaucracy (civil service). Research conducted by Cecilia et al (2009) [9], Schmitz (2013) [57], Effendi (2013) [15], and Kojo (2013) [29] showed that the remuneration significantly effect employee performance. Based on the above research results, the first research hypothesis is stated as follows:

Hypothesis 1: Remuneration significantly effect employee performance

Furthermore, before discussing the effect of remuneration on job satisfaction, first described the sense of job satisfaction. According to Luthans (2011) [35], job satisfaction is employee perceptions of how well a person's job in giving something that is considered important through his or her work. Meanwhile, according to Greenberg and Baron (2003:148) [21], job satisfaction is a positive or negative attitude of a person to the job. Vecchio (1995) [65] states that job satisfaction is the thinking, feelings and actions of a person's propensity for his or her attitude towards work. To measure employee satisfaction, this study refers to Luthans (2011:141-145) [35] and Robbins (2006) [54], using...
the indicator: the work itself, pay, promotion opportunities, supervision, coworkers, and work condition.

In relation to remuneration influence on job satisfaction, Luthans (2011) [35] states that employee satisfaction and dissatisfaction can affect the performance and achievement in their work. Meanwhile, Lindgren and Sanna (2008) [34], Vosloo, Fouche, and Bernard (2014) [69], and Naji (2014) [41] have consistently claimed that the remuneration effect employee satisfaction significantly. In other words, the higher the remuneration given to employees, the higher the job satisfaction of employees will be. Based on these results, the second research hypothesis is stated as follows:

Hypothesis 2: Remuneration significantly effect employee satisfaction

The Organizational Citizenship Behavior (OCB) is an individual contribution that exceeds the role demands in the workplace. OCB involves some elements of behavior, such as helping others, volunteering for extra duties, and adhere to the rules and procedures in the workplace. These behaviors describe the "value-added employee" which is a form of pro-social behavior, the positive social behavior, constructive and meaningful [2].

Other terms of OCB is an extra role behavior [4]. Organ (1997:86) [48] defines OCB as voluntary behavior, choice and individual initiatives that are not directly related to the formal reward system of the organization, but as a whole can improve the organizational effectiveness. This means that the behavior was not included in the job descriptions of employees so that if they do not carried out they would not be punished. Furthermore, Luthans (2011:149) [35] states that OCB is positively related to individual performance, group performance and organizational performance. Therefore, OCB has an essential role for the success of an organization.

Moreover, Organ (1997) [48] states that an employee who did OCB hopes to get the reward in doing his or her job. This means that financial rewards motivate someone to do OCB and is an important factor affecting satisfaction, employee performance, and organizational goals achievement. White-collar workers and blue collar and professional women's business in the Us who are actively do OCB get more rewards than those who do not carry out OCB [3]. Based on those results, the third research hypothesis can be stated as follows:

Hypothesis 3: Remuneration significantly effects OCB

In regard to the effect of job satisfaction on employee performance, Sudiro (2008) [59] believes that there is a significant relationship between job satisfaction and employee performance. Employees who are satisfied with what they receive in an organization would try to make the best efforts to achieve organizational goals and encourage employees to work harder and more productive. Similarly, the research results by Tissera and Fernando (2014) [62]; Vrinda and Nisha (2015) [68], and Noermijati (2015) [44] showed that job satisfaction significantly affects employee performance. Based on these results, the fourth research hypothesis can be stated as follows:

Hypothesis 4: Job satisfaction significantly effects employee performance

Robbins (2006) [54] found that job satisfaction is a major determinant of OCB. Employees are satisfied with their work have a greater opportunity to speak positively about the organization, help colleagues, and perform beyond the normal performance. Research by Organ and Konovsky (1989) [47], Yoon and Suh (2003) [76], Gonzales and Garazo (2006) [20], Zeinabadi (2010) [79], Rasheed, et al. (2013) [53], Zeinabadi and Salehi (2011) [80] and Salehi and Gholtsah (2011) [56] found that job satisfaction significantly effects OCB. Based on those results, the fifth research hypothesis can be stated as follows:

Hypothesis 5: Job satisfaction significantly effects OCB

OCB principle involves several behavior elements which include the behavior of helping others, volunteering for extra duties, adherence to the rules and procedures in the workplace. Those positive behaviors will be able to push the work climate and conducive situation in work place where finally this working atmosphere will affect employee's performance. The better teamwork and a culture of mutual help among fellow workers, the better employee's performance will be. This positive working atmosphere leads to a comfortable working atmosphere and encourage employees to work hard and provide maximum performance for the achievement of organizational goals. Mackenzie et al. (1993) [36] found that the dimensions of OCB, such as altruism, conscience and consciousness of citizenship in accordance with the norms in Indian society had a positive impact on the individuals performance. Likewise, Vigoda and Gadot (2005) [67], Pattanaik and Biswas (2005) [49], Kim (2006) [27], Zang et al. (2011) [78], Titisari (2011) [63], Bahana (2011) [5] and Ghalib et al. (2014) [18] stated that OCB positively and significantly effects employee performance. Based on those results, the sixth research hypothesis can be stated as follows:

Hypothesis 6: OCB significantly effects employee performance

Research by Rose and Sisira (2008) [55], Cecilia et al. (2009) [9], Schmitz (2013) [57], Effendi (2013) [15], and Kojo et al. (2013) [29] showed a significant relationship between remuneration and employee's performance. However in some cases, the high remuneration was not always affect employees performance. Studies conducted by Hussain et al. (2014) [25] on young bankers in Malaysia concluded that high remuneration did not change the intention of the bank employees to leave their job.

Previous research showed that there is a difference on the relationship between remuneration and employees performance. This shows there is a research gap between remuneration and employees performance that is interesting and important to be investigated. Referring to the above studies, this research included job satisfaction variables as a mediating variable in thee effect of remuneration on employee performance. Therefore, the seventh research hypothesis is stated as follows:

Hypothesis 7: Job satisfaction mediates the effect of remuneration on employee performance

Research conducted by Rose and Sisira (2008) [55], Cecilia et al. (2009) [9], Schmitz (2013) [57], Effendi (2013) [15]
[15] and Kojo et al. (2013) [29] showed a significant relationship between remuneration and employee's performance. However, in assessing the success of the organization's performance cannot be separated from individuals behaviors. Such behaviors are positive behaviors which are performed by employees to improve the effectiveness and performance of organizations. Voluntary behavior, and individual choice and initiative do not directly relate to the reward system of the formal organizations, but in aggregate it may increase the effectiveness of organization [48]. Organ (1997) [48] further stated that an employee who did OCB hopes for rewards in doing his or her job. This means that financial rewards motivate someone to do OCB and is an important factor in affecting employees’ performance. The results of the study proved that the financial rewards given to employees significantly effects their OCB [3] and [11]. Based on those results, the eighth research hypothesis is stated as follows: Hypothesis 8: OCB mediates the effect of remuneration on employee performance

The empirical study that examines the relationship between job satisfaction and employee performance showed a controversy since the first. Kahn (1960) [26] and Dowling (1975) [13] state that there is no relationship between job satisfaction and employee performance. In contrary, Mafini et al. (2013) [37] and Gathungu and Hannah (2013) [17] found a positive and significant correlation between job satisfaction and employee performance. This finding is consistent with research findings by Yvonne et al. (2014) [77] Choi (2015) [11], Tissera (2014) [62], Godfrey (2014) [19], and Vrinda and Nisha (2015) [68]. Furthermore, Maharani, Troena, and Noermijati (2013) [38] found that job satisfaction directly affects performance and indirectly effect it through OCB. Based on those results of the study, the ninth research hypothesis is stated as follows: Hypothesis 9: OCB mediates the effect of job satisfaction on employee performance

3. Research Methodology

By its nature, this study included in the explanatory study, using a quantitative approach. Remuneration and job satisfaction data was obtained through questionnaires that use the Likert scale of five levels, from strongly agree (5) to strongly disagree (1). Furthermore, employee performance data was obtained from the Directorate General of Taxation which poured through letter No. S-64 / PJ.08 (2016) [33] relates to the performance appraisal of taxpayer supervision by Account Representative and Supervision & Consulting division in 2015. In addition, collecting data has been done also through interviews structured and direct observation with the aim to further refine the analysis, particularly regarding Monitoring Account Representative.

The population was the whole of Account Representative Supervision, in the area of Malang Raya which is included within the Regional Office of Directorate General of Tax, East Java III, which consists of North Malang Pratama Tax Office (TO), South Malang TO, Singsosari TO, Kepanjen TO, Batu TO and Madya Malang TO that consists of 111 account Representative Supervision. Sampling method using saturated sampling which uses the entire population. The analytical tool used in this study is Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modelling (PLS-SEM), usually called Partial Least Squares Path Modelling (PLS-PM).

3.1. Data Analysis

AR Monitoring Performance in Malang Regional area, Directorate General Office of Tax, Jawa Timur III is quite varie which, minimum 18 and maximum 92. The average of 44.52 with a standard deviation of 17.45. In measuring AR Monitoring performance, the Directorate General of Taxation does not make the interval scale of the scoring result due to the characteristics of each tax office vary, such as the difference tax revenue target and the diversity of layout geografic, so that if the results of the performance appraisal was made into the intervals it would be unfair and are not comparable.

The test results of Goodness of Fit model shows that the model is fit and the whole quality indices are met. Thus the model is good and can be used to explain the phenomenon and could be used to test the hypothesis. Furthermore, the validity and reliability of test results are shown in the Table 1. Table 1 shows, as the measuring variable, the dimension is reliable and reliable as the measurement of the study variables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Independent Variable</th>
<th>Composite reliability</th>
<th>Cronbach's alpha</th>
<th>AVE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Remuneration</td>
<td>0.856</td>
<td>0.741</td>
<td>0.671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Work satisfaction</td>
<td>0.826</td>
<td>0.744</td>
<td>0.447</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>OCB</td>
<td>0.892</td>
<td>0.846</td>
<td>0.626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rule of thumb</td>
<td>0.70 ≥</td>
<td>0.60 ≥</td>
<td>0.50 ≥</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 shows the testing results of six direct effect hypotheses and Table 3 shows the testing results of three indirect effect hypotheses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent Variable</th>
<th>Dependent Variable</th>
<th>Path Coefficient</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>Remark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Remuneration</td>
<td>Employee performance</td>
<td>-0.184*</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>Accepted (negative and significant)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remuneration</td>
<td>Work satisfaction</td>
<td>0.473*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>Accepted (positive and significant)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work satisfaction</td>
<td>Employee performance</td>
<td>-0.087</td>
<td>0.175</td>
<td>Rejected (negative and not significant)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work satisfaction</td>
<td>OCB</td>
<td>0.703*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>Accepted (positive and significant)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remuneration</td>
<td>OCB</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>0.169</td>
<td>Rejected (positive and not significant)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCB</td>
<td>Employee performance</td>
<td>-0.077</td>
<td>0.204</td>
<td>Rejected (negative and not significant)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
correlation between rewards and employee performance, which means that when there are additional rewards, employee performance tends to decrease. This shows that the concept of high remuneration given to employees can improve employee performance can only applies to normal conditions. When there is an obstacle in the implementation of work processes, this concept becomes invalid.

Hypothesis 2: Remuneration significantly effects employee satisfaction.

The analysis showed the path coefficient = 0.473 and p-value < 0.001 was significant at \( \alpha = 0.05 \), so the hypothesis is accepted. Because the path coefficient is positive and significant, it can be concluded that the remuneration significantly effect employee satisfaction. This shows that the remuneration received by AR Supervisions can increase their job satisfaction. Based on the results of descriptive analysis, remuneration was described quite high by the respondents, especially for performance benefits and incentives indicators. Respondents' perceptions on performance allowance indicators have the highest mean value of 3:41, meaning that AR Supervisions perceive that performance benefits received by them is adequate. Likewise, the incentive indicators shown a mean value of 3.20. This implies that the remuneration received by AR Supervisions can improve their job satisfaction.

These results are consistent with research findings by Lai (2011) [32] that the remuneration, either in the form of salary or other form is one of the factors that can increase employee satisfaction. This finding is also consistent with research findings by Wan (2007) [71], Lindgren and Sanna (2008) [34], Taylor (2013) [61], Vosloo, Fouche, and Bernard (2014) [69], and Naji (2014) [41] who found that the remuneration significantly effects job satisfaction. But the results of this study are not consistent with research findings by Gathungu and Hannah (2013) [17] which states that the remuneration does not significantly effect job satisfaction of the government official in Kenya; and Negwaya et al. (2014) [43] who found there is no significant effect of remuneration on employees job satisfaction in Zimbabwe; As well as Bialas et al. (2015) [8] who found that remuneration does not significantly effect employee job satisfaction of public administration in Poland.

Hypothesis 3: Remuneration significantly effects OCB.

From the analysis of the effect of remuneration on OCB obtained path coefficients value = 0.089 and p-value = 0.169 is not significant at \( \alpha = 0.05 \), because the p-value > 0.05. Therefore, the hypothesis of remuneration significantly effect on OCB is rejected. This suggests that the high-low value of the remuneration received by employees does not effect their OCB.

### Table 3. Testing results of indirect effect hypotheses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Explanatory Variable</th>
<th>Mediation Variable</th>
<th>Dependent Variable</th>
<th>Path Coefficient</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>Remark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Remuneration</td>
<td>Work satisfaction</td>
<td>Employee performance</td>
<td>-0.038</td>
<td>0.401</td>
<td>Rejected (negative and not significant)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remuneration</td>
<td>OCB</td>
<td>Employee performance</td>
<td>-0.009</td>
<td>0.476</td>
<td>Rejected (negative and not significant)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work satisfaction</td>
<td>OCB</td>
<td>Employee performance</td>
<td>-0.060</td>
<td>0.363</td>
<td>Rejected (negative and not significant)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: * = significant at \( \alpha = 0.05 \)
The results of this study support the idea of Organ (1997) [48] who defines voluntary behavior as OCB, and states that the individual voluntary behavior does not directly or explicitly associate with the reward system and cannot increase the effective the organization functioning. This concept believes that extra person's behavior is neither relate to the presence or absence of rewards nor the size of the remuneration received by an employee. If someone does extra behavior, it is solely because of their willingness and volition, not because they hope rewards.

Hypothesis 4: Job satisfaction significantly effects employee performance.

From the analysis of the effect of job satisfaction on employee performance obtained path coefficient value = -0.087 and p-value = 0.175 is not significant at α = 0.05, because the p-value > 0.05. Therefore, the hypothesis of job satisfaction significantly effects employee performance is rejected. This implies that the high-low job satisfaction does not effect employees performance.

Based on the distribution analysis of the respondent answers and the explanations by several head office, section head of supervision and consulting, and Account Representative, there are several factors why satisfaction does not affect the AR Supervision performance. There are many types of work performed by AR Supervisions so that they cannot focus on their main duty, which finding out the tax potential to fulfill the tax revenue target. Almost all duties in the tax office involves AR Supervision such as education and socialization, Tax gathering, reception of the annual tax payment, help desk and others.

The results of this study are consistent with the research findings by Kahn (1960) [26], Dowling (1975) [13] and Ram (2013) [52] who state that there is no relationship between job satisfaction and employee performance. But the results of this study contradict the research findings by Velmanpy (2007) [66], who examined the relationship between job satisfaction and employees performance in the public sector organizations in Sri Lanka; research by Gathungu and Hannah (2013) [17] who studied at the government official in Kenya; and research by Wright et al. (2007) [73] who studied in the public service sector in the USA.

Hypothesis 5: Job satisfaction significantly effects OCB.

From the analysis of the effect of job satisfaction on OCB obtained path coefficients value = 0.703 and p-value < 0.001 is significant at α = 0.05. Because p-value < 0.05, then the hypothesis that job satisfaction significantly effects OCB is accepted. This implies that the higher the employee satisfaction, the better the OCB will be.

Therefore, it can be explained that a satisfied employee will voluntarily work together, help each other, give advice, participate actively, provide extra service and excellence to service users, as well as use his or her time effectively. This finding supports the research carried out by Organ who states that all job satisfaction indicators such as work, co-workers, supervision, promotions, pay overall positively correlate with OCB. This study is also consistent with the research findings by Organ and Konovsky (1989) [47], Wagner et al. (2000) [70], Yoon and Suh (2003) [76], Maharani, Troena, and Noermijati (2013) [38].

Hypothesis 6: OCB significantly effects employee performance.

From the analysis of the OCB effect on employee performance obtained path coefficient values = -0.077 and p-value = 0.204 is not significant at α = 0.05. Because p-value > 0.05, then the hypothesis of OCB effect employee performance is rejected. This implies that the employees OCB does not effect employees performance.

Based on the results of interviews with the Head of the Section of Supervision and Consulting and the Head of Tax Office obtained information, that in general OCB AR Supervision is good. Not evenly potential regions and taxpayer overseen by AR Supervision accompanied by the same tax revenue target of becoming one of the low scoring performance achievement by each AR Supervision. Uneven Competence AR Supervisions in analyzing and exploring the potential taxpayer is another factor causing their poor performance. This is supported by the results of descriptive analysis where OCB are perceived by AR Supervisions in the tax office in Malang area, East Java Regional Office III. The highest average score is in the aspect of sensitivity to help friends without coercion (Altruism), especially ready to volunteer in doing something relate to the interests of the organization and to help co-workers who are having difficulties.

Cecilia et al. (2009) [9], assert that the desire of an individual to contribute cooperatively on organizational effort is something very necessary. According to Organ (1988) [46], OCB also lies in one's personal efforts in general to help other employees to accelerate the accomplishment of the task, communicate and provide direct appreciation in an effort to provide the best for organization progress.

The results of this study are not consistent with the research findings by Pattanaik and Biswas (2005) [49], Kim (2006) [27], Zang et al. (2011) [78], Titiris (2011) [63], Bahana (2011) [5], and Ghalib et al. (2014) [18] who found that OCB significantly effects employee performance.

Hypothesis 7: Job satisfaction mediates the effect of remuneration on employee performance.

Analysis results using WarpPLS and Sobel test obtained that path coefficient values of the indirect effect of remuneration on employee performance is -0.038 and p-value = 0.401 is not significant at α = 0.05. Because p-value > 0.05 then the hypothesis that job satisfaction mediates the effect of remuneration on the employee's performance is rejected. This shows that job satisfaction variable is not a mediating variable of the remuneration effect on employee performance.

Based on discussions and interviews with AR Supervision shows that for the job satisfaction variables, control indicators from immediate supervisor has not been able to provide enough supervision, coaching and solution as expected. Consequently, AR Supervisions perform their duties without any guidance and supervision from their immediate supervisor. For example in the process of finding out the taxpayer potential, it was found in the work condition
indicators that the organization cannot provide a sense of security to employees, especially when there is a conflict with the taxpayer, such as when AR Supervisions are sued by the taxpayer in terms of issuing an appeal letter. Likewise for promotion indicators, AR Supervision felt that promotions lacked transparency and was not based on ability and competence of an employee. In addition, the implementation of a promotion by AR Supervision is less satisfactory due to get a diploma course adjustment had to wait a very long time with a promotion exam is not easy. As a result, the promotion process requires a very long time.

Hypothesis 8: OCB mediates the effect of remuneration on employee performance.

Analysis results using WarpPLS and Sobel test obtained path coefficient values of indirect effect -0.009 and p-value = 0.476 is not significant at α = 0.05. Because p-value > 0.05, then the hypothesis that OCB mediates the effect of remuneration on employee performance is rejected. This indicates that the OCB variable is not a mediating variable in the effect of remuneration on employee performance.

The reason given by AR Supervision is they are required to do a lot of duties at the same time, so they do not have enough time to help other AR Supervisions and cannot actively participate in the organization meetings. Therefore, the leadership of the Directorate General of Tax needs to pay more attention on the tasks and functions of AR Supervisions in order to be more focused on their main task, namely the potential exploration tax so the AR Supervisions can optimize their work in collecting tax revenue.

Hypothesis 9: OCB mediates the effect of job satisfaction on employee performance.

Results analysis using WarpPLS and Sobel test obtained path coefficient values of indirect effect -0.060 and p-value = 0.009 and p-value = 0.363 is not significant at α = 0.05. Because p-value > 0.05, then the hypothesis that the OCB mediates the effect of job satisfaction on employee performance is rejected. This shows that the variable of OCB is not a mediating variable in the effect of job satisfaction on employee performance.

Based on the interviews with AR Supervision found that duties and functions of AR Supervisions who often do a lot of ad hoc work is one of the reasons they cannot optimize in helping other AR Supervisions. This means that the AR Supervisions are less satisfied with their work conditions. Based on the theory of justice (equity theory), a person will feel satisfied or dissatisfied are dependent on justice in a situation, especially in work situations. Input is a valuable factor for employees who are considered to support work such as education, experience, skills, the number of tasks and equipment or equipment used to perform work [1].

The result is something that is considered valuable by an employee obtained from his or her job as a wage or salary, symbol, status, awards and the opportunity to succeed or self-actualization. According to this theory, every employee will compare the results of other people's input ratio. When a comparison was considered fair, the employee will be satisfied. If the comparison is not balanced but profitable may lead to satisfaction or dissatisfaction. If the comparison is not balanced then there will be dissatisfaction. This is in accordance with the test weight of factors that job satisfaction variable is determined more by the working condition indicators. The results of this study are not consistent with the research findings by Maharani et al. (2013) [38] who found that the OCB mediates the effect of job satisfaction on the employees’ performance at Mandiri Syariah Bank in Malang, East Java.

4. Conclusions and Recommendations

Remuneration is an important factor that motivates AR Supervision to perform better and do positive things for the organization. Furthermore, employee satisfaction can stimulate a person to behave pro-socially such as positive social behavior, constructive and meaningful help. Thus, in order to improve employee performance to note some important variables that contribute to the achievement of optimal performance that remuneration, job satisfaction and OCB of AR Supervision.

In detail, the results of this study can be concluded that the remuneration has a significantly and negatively effect on AR Supervision performance. This indicates that the higher the value of the remuneration received by AR Supervision, the lower their performance will be. The negative effect occurs because there are some obstacles in the implementation process of AR Supervision tasks, such as not optimal data accessibility and availability to support the AR Supervision main tasks in exploring tax potential. Another obstacle is the reorganization of the Directorate General of Tax body in mid-2015 that adapts the Minister of Finance regulation No. 206.2/PMK.01 (2014) [50] regarding organization and working system of Vertical Institutions Directorate General of Taxation, which resulted in changes in the target and the number of Tax Payer supervised by each AR Supervision. As a result, the number of taxpayers and the realization of revenue received by AR supervision also declined. Uneven potential regions and taxpayers supervised by AR Supervision, similar amount tax revenue target, a lot of work that is Ad-Hoc and excludes from AR Supervision primary tasks, and not measurability of the performance of each AR Supervision, be other inhibiting factors that also need attention from the Directorate General of Taxation.

Furthermore, the fair, equitable and proportionate remuneration will encourage the increase of employee satisfaction. To maintain job satisfaction in the future, AR Supervisions hope that remuneration in the form of performance benefits in a fair manner and proportionally based on the workload and the individual performance achievement. Also, there is clarity on the provision of incentives mainly basic incentives, the amount and time of incentive. Besides the organization provides proper health and life insurance, as well as the harmonization of rules on maternity leave according to the employees needs must be considered.

Some job satisfaction indicators such as job promotion, assignment and workload, and lack of supervision or direction of the immediate supervisor needs attention from
the leadership of the Directorate General of Taxation. Promotion system in the DGT is still not entirely based on the performance of AR Supervision. Power and education are still dominant factors. Research findings show that some AR Supervision with ordinary abilities, especially in exploring the taxpayer potential but are promoted to a higher position. Finally, the presence of diverse job done by AR Supervision render them unable to focus on their main job, which is exploring the tax and taxpayer potential to meet the tax revenue target.

A high job satisfaction on the work condition and colleagues were able to increase the AR Supervision OCB. An increase in AR Supervision job satisfaction due to the working conditions in the form of good relationship, familiar among fellow employees, and comfortable office condition makes them more motivated in improving their OCB, get better way to perform their duties and tasks in accordance responsibilities in order to increase their social awareness such as helping other AR supervisions, and avoiding harmful behaviors that can endanger the organization.

The remuneration received by AR Supervisions does not encourage their OCB behaviors. It is caused by a variety of tasks that excludes from their main duties, so they cannot help other AR Supervisions optimally. In addition, remuneration is disproportionate among employees, which may lead to jealousy so they become less concerned to other employees. For example, there is reluctance in providing voluntary assistance in the tasks, including reluctant to give opinion on the problems experienced by colleagues.

Not optimal role of employers in providing supervision, coaching and solutions as expected, and yet optimal back-up or security to the AR Supervision in the work implementation process, especially when there is a conflict with the taxpayer, the implementation of the promotion is less satisfactory, and the barriers in the work implementation process that trigger the AR Supervisions dissatisfaction towards their work lead to job satisfaction and OCB variables were not capable to act as mediator in the effect of remuneration on employee performance.

This study has limitations as this only used cross section data obtained from a specific time. In addition, this study is limited to the AR Supervision in Malang area that has not been able to explain comprehensively from the scope of the entire AR Supervision in other areas. Therefore, it gives an opportunity to the next researchers in considering broader research area of the tax offices, so the research findings can be widely generalized.

References


