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Abstract: This work studied the comparison of the effects of acetylation and alkali treatments on the physical and morphological properties of raffia palm fibre polyester composites. The clean raffia palm fibres obtained from raffia palm tree were pre-treated using acetylation and alkali (mercerization) methods. The treated fibres were dried, ground and incorporated into polyester resin at various fibre loads of 0%, 5%, 10%, 15% and 20%. The treated fibre composite samples were subjected to tensile tests according to ASTM D638 using Instron model 3369. The microhardness test was done using microhardness tester (LECO/M700 AT). The scanning electron micrographs of the samples were taken using Scanning electron microscope (SEM) machine, model EVO/MA 10. The results of the analyses showed that the composites of the acetylated fibre improved the properties of the composites for ultimate tensile strength, better than the composites of alkali(mercerized) treated fibre, while the latter gave better modulus of elasticity and extension at break. Both the treatment methods showed increase in microhardness for the composites as fibre loads increases, but the acetylated fibre composites gave better results at each of the fibre loads of 5%, 10%, 15% and 20%, studied. The SEM of the acetylated fibre composites, especially the 5% fibre load, showed better fibre-matrix interfacial bonding than the alkali treated fibre composites.
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1. Introduction

There is an increasing interest in the use of natural fibres as reinforcing components in fibre reinforced polymeric materials due to their enormous properties such as low density, low cost, renewability, biodegradability and environmentally friendliness [1]. The natural fibres have the potential to be used as a replacement for glass or other conventional reinforcement materials in composites. The combination of interesting mechanical and physical properties, together with their environmental friendly character has motivated a number of industrial sectors to consider these fibres as potential materials to replace synthetic fibres in environmentally safe products [1]. An interesting environmental friendly alternative for the use of synthetic fibres as reinforcement in engineering composites are lignocellulosic natural fibres such as flax, jute, etc. Recent reports indicate that cellulose based natural fibres can very well be used as reinforcement in polymer composites, replacing more expensive and non-renewable synthetic fibres such as glass fibre, due to the potential for recycling of the material form [2]. Natural fibres come from renewable source that in principle is exhaustible; they are biodegradable [3]

However, there are some bottlenecks associated with natural fibres, which have to be tackled before they can be employed in polymer composites [4]. Natural fibres are hydrophilic as they are derived from lignocellulosics, which contain strongly polarized hydroxyl groups. The major limitations of using these fibres as reinforcements in such matrices include poor interfacial adhesion between polar hydrophilic fibres and non polar-hydrophilic matrix. Cellulose is a semicrystalline polysaccharide with a large amount of hydroxyl group in cellulose, giving hydrophilic nature to natural fibre when used to reinforce hydrophobic
matrices; the result is a very poor interface and poor resistance to moisture absorption [5].

Chemical pretreatment of the natural fibre can help to overcome such limitations to enhance the compatibility between fibre and the matrices, resulting in improved performance of fibre-reinforced composites [6]. Different surface treatment methods such as mercerization (alkali treatment), isocyanate treatment, acylation, benzylation, latex coating, permanganate treatment, acetylation, silane treatment and peroxide treatment have been applied on the fibre to improve its strength, size and its shape and the fibre-matrix adhesion [6, 7].

Alkali treatment of natural fibres is known to improve the stiffness, strength, and dynamic flexural moduli of the composites, indicating an increased interfacial bond strength and adhesion between the matrix and the fibres [7]. Alkaline processing directly influences the cellulosic fibril, the degree of polymerization and the extraction of lignin and hemicellulosic compounds [8].

To introduce plasticization to cellulosic fibres, acetylation of natural fibres is a well-known esterification method [4, 9]. Fibers are acetylated with and without an acid catalyst to graft acetyl groups onto the cellulosic structure. The agent reacts with the hydrophilic hydroxyl groups and swells the fiber cell wall. The fiber cell wall is thereby stabilized against moisture, improving dimensional stability and environmental degradation [10]. Acetylation is beneficial in reducing the moisture absorption on natural fibres. The reinforcement of polyester with various cellulosic fibers has been widely reported [11].

The aim of this work is to compare the effects of acetylation and alkali treatments on the physical and morphological properties of raffia palm fibre polyester composites.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Material

Plate 1. Extracting of the raffia fibre.

The raffia palm fibres were sourced from raffia palm trees near a stream at Nchatancha, Enugu state and the chemicals (ortho unsaturated-polyester resin, methyl ketone peroxide and cobalt octoate) were bought from Polyconsult venture (25 ogunleti street), Ojota Lagos.

2.2. Method

2.2.1. Preparation of Materials

The raffia fibres were taken off from the pinnate leaves. Thereafter, the fibres were washed thoroughly and allowed to dry under the sun. Plate 1-2.

2.2.2. Chemical Pretreatment of the Fibres

The raffia palm fibres were divided into two (2) portions. A portion was treated using alkali treatment method (mercerization) while the other portion was treated using acetylation method. The alkali and acetylation treatment methods were carried out following the method reported by Aziz et al. [8].

a) Alkali Treatment (Mercerization)

10% sodium hydroxide (NaOH) solution was used to soak the clean fibres at temperature of 30ºC for an hour. Fibres were then removed from the NaOH solution and washed thoroughly in plentiful of distilled water to remove excess NaOH (or nonreacted alkali).

b) Acetylation Treatment

Clean raffia palm fibres were first soaked in 5% NaOH solution for one hour at 30°C. Then, the fibres were decanted and soaked in glacial acetic acid for another one hour at 30°C. Thereafter, the fibres were decanted and finally soaked in acetic anhydride containing few drops of concentrated H2SO4 for 5 minutes at the same temperature. The fibres were drained and sun dried.

Later on, the fibres (alkali treated and acetylated treated) were taken to electric oven, where they were oven-dried at temperature of 70°C for 2 hours. The oven-dried fibres were ground into small particle sizes, not up to 1 mm.

c) Preparation of the Composite

The moulds used were thoroughly coated with Polyvinyl Alcohol (PVA), and allowed to dry. A thin film formed on the mould when the PVA dried, acted as the mould releasing...
agent. The unsaturated polyester resin was then mixed with different loads (5%, 10%, 15%, and 20%) of the raffia palm fibres, following the steps given below.

First, the unsaturated polyester resin was weighed in a glass beaker, using a digital electronic balance. 2% (by weight of the unsaturated polyester resin) of the catalyst, methyl ethyl ketone peroxyde (MEKP), was added and the mixture stirred for 2 minutes. After which, 1% (by weight of the unsaturated polyester resin) of the accelerator, cobalt octoate, was added and stirred for additional 2 minutes. Thereafter, the ground treated-raffia palm fibres were then added gradually and stirred to allow for proper dispersion of the fibres within the gel-like mixture. Then, the prepared formulation was poured into the mould, and allowed to cure for one hour. After, the cured samples were carefully removed from the mould and trimmed very well. The formulation used is shown in Table 1 below, for each of the treatment methods.

**Table 1. Formulation of Raffia palm fibre-Polyester Composites.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>Weight in Grammes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Raffia palm fibre</td>
<td>(5%) (10%) (15%) (20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ortho unsaturated polyester</td>
<td>5g 10g 15g 20g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEKP as Catalyst (2%)</td>
<td>1.90 1.80 1.70 1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt octoate as Accelerator (1%)</td>
<td>0.95 0.90 0.85 0.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.3. Characterization of the Samples

**Tensile Tests:** Test for tensile properties were carried out as described in ASTM method D638, using Instron Universal testing machine (3369 model). Each tensile specimen was positioned in the Instron Universal tester and then subjected to tensile load. As the specimen stretches, the computer generates the graph as well as all the desired parameters. The various properties determined include; ultimate tensile strength, modulus of elasticity and extension at breaks.

**Microhardness test:** The Microhardness test was done using microhardness tester, LECO/M700AT. The test was carried out by forcing a diamond cone indenter into the surface of the hard specimen, to create an impression. Microhardness testing is a method of measuring the hardness of a material to deformation, on a microscopic scale [12].

**Scanning Electron Microscopy Test:** The Microstructure of the modified fibre-polymer matrix interface was examined using a scanning electron microscope (SEM), EVO MA/10 Model. The samples were cut into small sizes, 1cm by 1cm, and placed on the sample holder, inside the machine, using carbon tape. The scanning electron microscope produced images of the samples by scanning them with focused beam of electrons that detect information about the samples’ interfacial bonding, between the fibre and polymer matrix to indicate the extent of fibre-matrix adhesion.

### 3. Results and Discussion

The test results of the physical properties of the composites samples are shown in Table 2, and Fig 1-4.

**Table 2. Results of the Physical Properties of the Composites.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composite</th>
<th>Ultimate tensile strength (N/mm²)</th>
<th>Modulus of Elasticity (N/mm²)</th>
<th>Extension at break (mm)</th>
<th>Microhardness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C₀</td>
<td>23.05</td>
<td>782.16</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>12.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALK₅</td>
<td>11.27</td>
<td>986.98</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>13.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALK₁₀</td>
<td>22.45</td>
<td>1360.46</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>14.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALK₁₅</td>
<td>18.43</td>
<td>846.91</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>14.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALK₂₀</td>
<td>13.86</td>
<td>924.20</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>14.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACT₁₀</td>
<td>20.07</td>
<td>793.50</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>14.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACT₁₅</td>
<td>27.78</td>
<td>832.74</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>14.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACT₂₀</td>
<td>15.41</td>
<td>855.95</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>14.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACT₂₅</td>
<td>23.50</td>
<td>900.93</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>15.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C₀ = control sample, i.e. 0% fibre or 100% polyester. ALK₅, ALK₁₀, ALK₁₅, ALK₂₀ are composite samples containing 5%, 10%, 15% and 20% alkali treated raffia palm fibres respectively. ACT₁₀, ACT₁₅, ACT₂₀ and ACT₂₅ are composite samples containing 5%, 10%, 15% and 20% acetylated raffia palm fibres respectively.

![Fig. 1. Effect of Fibre Loads on tensile strength.](image-url)
(mercerized) and acetylated fibres. The results of the acetylated treated fibre composites showed higher values at 5%, 10% and 20%, than the mercerized fibre composites, but 15% fibre load of the mercerized is higher than same percent of acetylated, by 3.02N/mm². Thus, acetylation can be seen to have a substantial increase in the ultimate tensile strength of the composites.

Fig. 2 shows the results of the modulus of elasticity, a measure of the stiffness and resistant to stress. From the results, the modulus of elasticity of the alkali treated (mercerized) composites gave higher values at the 5%, 10% and 20%, than the acetylated treated fibre composites, but 15% fibre load of the acetylated is higher than the same percent mercerized fibre composites by 9.04N/mm².

From fig.3, the graph shows that the extension at break for each of fibre loads of 5%, 10%, 15% and 20% mercerized fibre composites are better than the corresponding fibre loads of acetylated fibre composites. Although, the control sample (100% polyester) gave highest value of 3.77mm, than all the treated composites.

From fig. 4, it can be seen that both treatments as well as the increase in the fibre loads increase the microhardness of the composites. The microhardness for each of fibre loads of 5%, 10%, 15% and 20% of the acetylated treated fibre composites increased more than the corresponding alkali treated composites.

Plates 3-6 and 7-10; show the scanning electron microscopy of acetylated treated fibre composites and alkali treated fibre composites, respectively. The results showed that the micrographs of the acetylated fibre composites, especially the 5%, showed better fibre-matrix interfacial bonding than the alkali treated fibre composites.
Plate 6. SEM of 20% acetylated fibre composite.

Plate 7. SEM of 5% alkali fibre composite.

Plate 8. SEM of 10% alkali fibre composite.

Plate 9. SEM of 15% alkali fibre composite.

Plate 10. SEM of 20% alkali fibre composite.

4. Conclusion

From the results obtained, it can be established that the composites of the mercerized fibre improved the modulus of elasticity and extension at break better than the acetylated treated composites, while that of the acetylated showed better ultimate tensile strength and the microhardness better than mercerized ones. For the scanning electron micrographs, the acetylated fibre composites (best at 5%) gave clearer fibre-matrix interfacial bonding.

Recommendations

We recommend that raffia palm fibres should be used as an alternative for synthetic fibre in polymer reinforcement, as the fibres are cheap, available and biodegradable. Also, that other forms of fibre pretreatment methods, short particle sizes instead of ground ones and more fibre loads to polymer matrix may still be implored.
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