
Prevalence of manifest hypermetropia in primary school children of Gaza city

Abuimara Amer, Tarshawi Mohammed

Islamic University – Gaza, Gaza, Palestine

Email address:

aabuimara@iugaza.edu.ps(A. Amer)

To cite this article:

Abuimara Amer, Tarshawi Mohammed. Prevalence of Manifest Hypermetropia in Primary School Children of Gaza City *Science Journal of Public Health*. Vol. 1, No. 3, 2013, pp. 131-134. doi: 10.11648/j.sjph.20130103.14

Abstract: Research question: what is the prevalence of manifest hypermetropia in primary school children (6 – 11 years) of Gaza city? Objective: to study the prevalence of manifest hypermetropia in primary school children of Gaza city . Study design: cross sectional. Setting: schools of Gaza city –Palestine Participants: school children aged 6 – 11 years studying in 1st to 6th class. Study period: March 2013 to June 2013. Sample size: 1063 school children which included 478 females and 585 males. Study variables: manifest hypermetropic refractive error by age, sex and class, uncorrected, best corrected visual acuity and scientific achievement in school. Statistical analysis: Chi square test, proportions. Results: manifest hypermetropia is the most prevalent refractive error in primary school children in Gaza city irrespective of age or gender or eye . The prevalence of manifest hypermetropia is 45.3% for right eye and 46.7% for left eye. No statistically significant difference in scientific achievement in Arabic language or mathematics between children with various types of refractive errors. Conclusions: These data support the assumption that vision screening of school children in developing countries could be useful in detecting correctable causes of decreased vision especially refractive errors and in minimizing long term visual disability.

Keywords: Prevalence, Manifest Hypermetropia, School Children, Age, Sex, Class, Uncorrected Visual Acuity, Best Corrected Visual Acuity

1. Introduction

The global initiative for the Elimination of Avoidable Blindness sets a major challenge to work relentlessly to avoid the preventable blindness. This initiative will also require both government and private sector's commitment to allocate more budgets to increase significantly the provision and uptake of eye care services. Refractive errors have been listed, along with cataract, trachoma, onchocerciasis and childhood blindness, among eye problems whose prevention and cure should provide enormous savings and facilitate societal developments. ¹

The number of visually impaired persons in the world is about 259 millions. This estimate includes 98 millions persons with visual impairment due to uncorrected refractive error. ²

Uncorrected refractive errors are an important cause of visual impairment in many countries. In a study conducted at New Delhi, refractive error was the cause in 81.7% of eyes with vision impairment. ³ The refractive error was responsible for 1.1% legal blindness (which is defined as

vision less than 6/60) and 0.5% economic blindness reported by Kalikivayi. ⁴

Dandona et al estimated 12.3% total blindness was due to uncorrected refractive error, which is also responsible for a large number of blind years lived by a person than most other causes if left uncorrected. It was estimated that blindness due to refractive error resulted on an average of 30 years of blindness for each person as compared with 5 years of blindness due to untreated cataract for each person. ²

Refractive errors is an optical problem which prevent the formation of clear image on the retina .

Hypermetropic refractive error necessitate the use of accommodation in order to get a clear focus . Some times the degree of hypermetropia is beyond the accommodative capacity and so a defocused image well form on the retina .

Distance as well as near vision are both needed for students, so the problem of hypermetropic refractive error is important, because uncorrected hypermetropia may result in decreased vision and may eventually cause amblyopia .

Population based data concerning the prevalence of

refractive errors in general or hypermetropia in particular in children are not readily available for Palestine . There have been reports of refractive errors for population of other countries, but very little has been reported for Palestine population .

The present study was carried out in the schools of Gaza city.

Students of the age group 6-11 years were chosen because refractive errors are most prevalent in this age group, and the possibility to treat refractive error and amblyopia is still possible in this age group.

This study presents the prevalence of manifest hypermetropia by age, sex, class, uncorrected, best corrected visual acuity and scientific achievement in school .

This information may be used for planning appropriate eye care program for high risk groups in order to reduce the burden of visual impairment in the population .

2. Materials and Methods

A population-based cross-sectional study, sought to estimate the prevalence of manifest hypermetropia in Gaza city. The present study included only the primary school children. The study area is Gaza city. Four primary schools were randomly selected in Gaza city.

The primary school children constitute about 15.4% of Palestinian population in Gaza city, according to the Palestinian central bureau of statistics 2007.

The study was conducted between March 2013 and June 2013.

Each child underwent autorefractometry, uncorrected distance visual acuity and best corrected visual acuity if her/his vision is not 6/6 . Also scientific achievement in Arabic language and mathematics was known for each child from school data.

Each child who has eye problem other than refractive error (for example corneal opacity)was excluded from the study .

Each child with +0.25 D or more was considered to have manifest hypermetropia.

Significance was assigned at $P < 0.05$ level.

Categorical variables between groups were compared with the χ^2 test or the Fisher exact test. The t-test was used for continuous variables. Trends with age were analyzed with χ^2 analysis for trend. Data analysis was performed by SPSS and EPINFO.

3. Results

One thousand sixty three (1063) participants were included in our study, of them there were 478 (45.0%) females and 585 (55.0%) males. The class room ranged from first class to sixth class according to age from 6 years to 11 years, with mean age (8.72 ± 1.64). The mean age of females and males was (9.07 ± 1.65) and (8.44 ± 1.58) respectively (statistically significant student-t test = 6.396, p-value < 0.001) table 1.

Table (1) : the distribution of female and male students among primary school classes

Class room	Female (n = 478)		Male (n=585)		Total	(n=1063)
1 st	66	(67.3%)	32	(32.7%)	98	(9.2%)
2 nd	22	(10.6%)	185	(89.4%)	207	(19.5%)
3 rd	72	(34.4%)	137	(65.6%)	209	(19.7%)
4 th	69	(53.5%)	60	(46.5%)	129	(12.1%)
5 th	150	(68.5%)	69	(31.5%)	219	(20.6%)
6 th	99	(49.3%)	102	(50.7%)	201	(18.9%)
Total	478	(45.0%)	585	(55.0%)	1063	(100.0%)
	student-t test = 6.396		p-value < 0.001			

Of the whole sample the most prevalent refractive error was manifest hypermetropia reaching 45.3% for right eye and 46.7% for left eye.

The second most prevalent refractive error was astigmatism which reached 34.4% for right eye and 35.1% for left eye.

Table (2) : the prevalence of various types of refractive errors for right and left eye among primary school classes.

Class room	N	Left auto refraction			Right auto refraction		
		Myopia	Hyperopia	Astig.	Myopia	Hyperopia	Astig.
1 st	98	16.3%	53.1%	37.8%	13.3%	62.2%	30.6%
2 nd	207	23.7%	46.9%	30.4%	26.1%	43.0%	32.4%
3 rd	209	13.4%	56.5%	33.0%	13.9%	57.4%	31.6%
4 th	129	20.9%	37.2%	36.4%	24.0%	37.2%	32.6%
5 th	219	24.2%	41.1%	36.1%	29.2%	37.9%	35.2%
6 th	201	18.4%	45.3%	38.8%	27.4%	40.3%	41.8%
Total	1063	19.7%	46.7%	35.1%	23.1%	45.3%	34.4%
Coefficient		0.439	0.423	0.726	0.660	0.554	0.708
Significant		0.384	0.404	0.102	0.154	0.255	0.115

The third and least prevalent refractive error(but still a common one) is myopia reaching 23.1% for right eye and 19.7% for left eye.

This sequence of prevalence keeps true for all classes from first to sixth.

The most prevalent type of astigmatism irrespective of

eye (right or left) or severity (mild, moderate, severe and extreme) was with the rule astigmatism.

The second most prevalent type was against the rule followed by oblique astigmatism.

Exception was in the right eye for extreme astigmatism in which all cases was of the oblique type (table 3).

Table 3 : the prevalence of various types of astigmatism for right and left eye according to severity .

Cylinder	Left auto refraction			Right auto refraction		
	with	against	oblique	with	against	oblique
mild	49.10%	29.60%	21.30%	39.50%	42.30%	18.10%
Moderate	71.10%	21.10%	7.90%	57.70%	25.40%	16.90%
Severe	77.80%	11.10%	11.10%	100%	0.00%	0.00%
Extreme	100%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0%	100.00%
Total	19.10%	9.60%	6.40%	15.50%	12.90%	6.30%
X2	473.3 (0.000)			641.5 (0.000)		

There was no significant difference in the mean of academic achievement in Arabic language between myopes and hypermetropes. (t-test=-1.81, p-value > 0.05), there was no significant difference in the mean of academic achievement in mathematics between myopes and

hypermetropes (t-test=-0.221, p-value >0.05).

Tables 4 and 5 show the uncorrected and best corrected visual acuities for left and right eyes respectively and compare various types of refractive errors with each others.

Table 4 : left eye uncorrected and best corrected visual acuity for various types of refractive errors.

	LT-ucva				LT-bcva			
	6/6	6/9 – 6/12	6/18 – 6/36	6/60 or less	6/6	6/9 – 6/12	6/18 – 6/36	6/60 or less
Pure Hyperopia	88.1%	6.0%	3.3%	2.6%	97.0%	1.0%	0.7%	1.3%
Astigmatism Hyperopia	90.7%	5.7%	2.6%	1.0%	97.4%	2.1%	0.0%	0.5%
Pure Myopia	89.7%	5.9%	2.9%	1.5%	97.1%	1.5%	0.7%	0.7%
Astigmatism Myopia	95.9%	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Normal	89.7%	6.0%	2.4%	2.0%	97.2%	1.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Normal with Astigmatism	89.5%	2.9%	5.7%	1.9%	97.1%	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%

LT-ucva : left uncorrected visual acuity, LT-bcva : left best corrected visual acuity.

Table 5 : right eye uncorrected and best corrected visual acuity for various types of refractive errors .

	RT-ucva				RT-bcva			
	6/6	6/9 – 6/12	6/18 – 6/36	6/60 or less	6/6	6/9 – 6/12	6/18 – 6/36	6/60 or less
Pure Hyperopia	88.4%	6.3%	3.0%	2.3%	96.7%	1.3%	0.7%	1.3%
Astigmatism Hyperopia	94.3%	3.6%	0.5%	1.5%	97.4%	2.1%	0.0%	0.5%
Pure Myopia	89.7%	5.1%	5.1%	0.0%	97.8%	0.7%	1.5%	0.0%
Astigmatism Myopia	95.9%	2.7%	1.4%	0.0%	98.6%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Normal	91.7%	5.2%	2.0%	1.2%	98.8%	0.8%	0.4%	0.0%
Normal with Astigmatism	90.5%	4.8%	2.9%	1.9%	97.1%	1.9%	0.0%	1.0%

RT-ucva: right uncorrected visual acuity, RT-bcva: right best corrected visual acuity .

From tables 4 and 5 the percent of visual acuity 6/60 or less vision is highest for hypermetropia.

birth are hypermetropic and after the age of two years there may be an increase in hypermetropia and it may continue to increase until the age of 6 years, leveling off between the ages of 6 and 8 years and subsequently decreases. According to these data our study found that the most prevalent refractive error in primary school children is hypermetropia and this is logic. In fact we could detect those with manifest hypermetropia but we know that if

4. Discussion

In the present study, prevalence of manifest hypermetropia was found to be 45.3% for right eye and 46.7 % for left eye. As it is known that most children at

cycloplegia was used the percent of hypermetropic children would be more than what was found regarding manifest hypermetropia. At the same time as much of those who are hypermetropic have in fact mild hypermetropia and that children in this age group can easily overcome this mild hypermetropia by the act of accommodation so there is no problem with mild hypermetropia.

The percent of eyes that has best corrected visual acuity 6/60 or less is highest among hypermetropic children (1.3% for either eye).

Refractive errors did not differ significantly between males and females. However, in other studies^{6,7} refractive errors were found more commonly in girls than in boys, probably related to their rate of growth. Girls attain puberty earlier on an average and reach their final body weight 1-2 years earlier than the boys.

5. Conclusion

The data support the assumption that vision screening of school children in developing countries could be useful in detecting correctable causes of decreased vision especially refractive errors and in minimizing long term visual disability.

6. Recommendations

The present study shows that the child age group forms the high risk group for refractive errors and most of the children are unaware of refractive errors. Due emphasis must, therefore, be laid upon the screening for refractive errors in this age group. Moreover, attention should be paid to visual hygiene. While reading illumination should be good and adequately arranged. Posture should be easy and natural. Clarity and print should be carefully supervised. An undue ocular fatigue should be avoided. Students should

have as many outdoor hours as possible. Students need to be educated about signs and symptoms of refractive errors. Pre-school and school screening for detection of refractive errors should be carried out on periodic basis.

Acknowledgements

we wish to acknowledge the help of optometrists and optometry department students who helped us in this study. we also thank research affairs of the Islamic University-Gaza for their support.

References

- [1] Murthy GVS. Vision Testing for Refractive Errors in Schools 'Screening' Programmes in Schools. *Journal of Community Eye Health* 2000;13: 3-5.
- [2] Dandona L, Dandona R. What is the global burden of visual impairment? *BMC Medicine* 2006;4:6, Available at <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1741-7015/4/6>
- [3] Murthy GV, Gupta SK, Ellwein LB, Munoz SR, Pokharel GP, Sanga L, et al . Refractive error in an urban population in New Delhi. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2002;43: 623-31.
- [4] Kalikivayi V, Naduvilath TJ, Bansal AK, Dandona L. Visual impairment in school children in Southern India. *Indian J Ophthalmol* 1997;45:129-34.
- [5] Kanski JJ, Bowling B . *Clinical Ophthalmology A systematic approach* . seventh edition. 2011;18:764
- [6] Tay MT et al: Myopic and educational attainment in 421116 young Singaporean males, *Ann Acad Med, Singapore*, 1992, 21(6): 785-91.
- [7] Venkatramana K, Naduvilam T: Visual impairment in school children in Southern India, *Ind. Jou. of Ophthalmol*, 1997, 129-34.