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Abstract: Adherence to antiretroviral therapy is a major predictor of the survival of individuals living with HIV/AIDS. Appropriate use of antiretroviral drugs (ARVs) has improved the health of many HIV positive individuals. The effectiveness of HIV treatment depends on sustenance of high levels of adherence to ARVs, however, ARV regimens are often complicated and can be affected by varying dosing schedules, failing to have proper dietary requirements and patients developing adverse effects. The main objective of this study was to determine patient’s demographic, social and economic factors influencing adherence to Anti-retroviral drugs among HIV/AIDS adult patients. A cross sectional study was carried out at Embu County Teaching and Referral Hospital in Kenya. Stratified random sampling was used to obtain relative proportion of male and female respondents. 332 HIV positive patients were chosen from a total of 1694 patients who were active in ART for more than one year. A semi-structured interview schedules was used to obtain information. The study revealed that almost half (48.2%) of the respondents had optimal adherence to ART treatment. 34.9% cited traveling as an hindrance to optimal adherence to treatment. Adherence to ART treatment was significantly associated with age of the respondents, marital status, main occupation and average monthly income of the respondents. The study recommends that HIV patients should be given more information on the importance of consistency and nearly perfect adherence to ART. The patients should be enlightened on the importance of carrying their ARV drugs even when going for short travels.
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1. Introduction

1.1. Background Information

Adherence to ART is crucial for treatment success among HIV patients, high levels of adherence is a prerequisite for maintained viral suppression and lowers risk of drug resistance, this in turn will prevent premature morbidity and mortality [1]. Low adherence is the second strongest determinant for disease deterioration and death after CD4 count. Non-adherence to ART is a substantial challenge in resource-poor settings where increasing drug resistance is hard to combat using the limited treatment alternatives available [2]. It is estimated that the average rates of non-adherence to antiretroviral therapy to be ranging between 50% and 70% [3].

Globally the number of people living with HIV/AIDS continues to grow, for instance, there were 36.9 million people living with HIV in 2014, up from 29.8 million in 2001, this is as a result of continued new infections, people living longer with HIV and general population growth. The number of patients on antiretroviral therapy worldwide increased from


7.5 million in 2010 to over 15 million in 2015 representing
72% of eligible patients according to WHO 2013 guidelines.

1.2. Problem Statement

Significant progress has been made since lifesaving drugs
for HIV/AIDS came into existence. Low compliance to
prescribed medical interventions is an ever present and
complex problem, especially for patients with a chronic
illness. With an increasing number of medications shown to
do more good than harm when taken as prescribed, low
compliance is a growing concern and seriously undermines
the benefits of the current medical care.

Embu County, as of 2014, had an HIV prevalence of 3.7%
with 80.8% (3718 out of 4600) of adult PLHIV eligible for ART
having access to treatment [6]. There has been no documented
study on the adherence levels among HIV patients living with
HIV/AIDS or on the factors influencing their adherence.
Therefore this study sought to explore patient factors that
influence adherence to ART among AIDS patients in Embu.

1.3. Objectives

i. To determine the proportion of HIV/AIDS patients that
adhered to ART treatment among HIV patients attending Embu County Teaching and Referral Hospital
Comprehensive Care Clinic.

ii. To establish socio-demographic and economic factors
that influence adherence to ART drugs among HIV
patients attending Embu County Teaching and Referral
Hospital Comprehensive Care Clinic.

2. Literature Review

2.1. HIV and AIDS Management in Kenya

More than 1.5 million people were infected with HIV in
Kenya by the year 2005 but in the year 2012, 1.6 million
people were living with HIV with a prevalence rate of 6.1% [7,
8] Kenyan new HIV infections are estimated to have stabilized
at an average of 89,000 among adults and about 11,000 among
children annually. However progress has been made with HIV
prevalence dropping from 10.5% in 1996 to 6.1% in 2012 but
HIV, continues to contribute the highest mortality rates,
increase households burden and straining national health
systems [8]. In the Kenya Aids Strategic Framework
infections by 75% and reduce AIDS related mortality by 25%
in the next five years [8]. In addition, Kenya’s Vision 2030
aims at achieving comprehensive HIV prevention, treatment
and care.

Of the 1.6 million PLHIV, 656,359 were on ART against
estimated 902,302 in 2014 (141,608 children and 760,694
adults) PLHIV needing ART according to WHO 2009
guidelines [10] while according to the Kenya AIDs Indicator
Survey 2014, 78.4% of adults and 42.5% of children eligible
for ART coverage were receiving treatment as of year 2013
[11]. The low uptake in children was because of poor
awareness on the part of parents and caregivers than
non-availability of drugs.

2.2. Adherence of ART Treatment Among HIV/AIDS
Patients

Maximum and sustainable suppression of HIV viral
replication to below the level of detection is necessary to
achieve these biological and clinical goals. For success to be
achieved a near–perfect adherence to combination ARV
regimens is very necessary. Consistency and nearly perfect
adherence is considered an essential requirement for HIV
positive patients on ART to fully realize its life extending
benefits [2, 12]. Any levels of adherence below 95% have
been associated with poor suppression of HIV viral load and a
lowering of CD4 count leading to disease progression and
development of drug resistance [13]. Evidence suggests that
adherence greater than 95% is necessary to adequately
suppress viral replication and produce a durable response and
stop disease progression.

3. Methodology

This was a descriptive cross sectional research that utilized
quantitative research method of data collection and analysis
conducted in Embu County Hospital comprehensive care
clinic among HIV adult that were active in ART for more than
one year. The sample size of 332 patients utilized. Stratified
sampling was used to sample patients. Data was collected
using face-to-face interviews using structured questionnaires.
Quality control measures were employed including pre-test,
validity and reliability checks.

Authority to carry out the study was sought from Kenyatta
University Ethical Review Committee; and clearance from
Embu Teaching and Referral Hospital and Embu County
authorities. Respondents consented to participate with
confirmation of confidentiality. Collected data was
cross-checked for completeness and any missing entries
corrected upon completion of each interview.

Data coding, entry and analysis was done using the SPSS
version 20 software. The questionnaires were inspected to detect
errors and omissions and corrected immediately. Multiple binary
logistic regression used to test the association between ART
adherence and demographic and socio-economic factors.
Findings were presented as text, graphs and tables.

4. Findings and Discussion

4.1. Adherence to ART Treatment

Both methods of evaluating adherence indicated that 160
patients had optimal adherence to treatment. However there
were 11 patients which according to pill count had sub-optimal
adherence to treatment while they evaluated themselves as
having 100% adherence. And therefore because the pill-counts
is considered the most ‘objective’ of the approach to assessing
ART adherence, the 160 (48.2%) were considered to have had
optimal ART adherence and the rest having sub-optimal
adherence to ART as shown in Table 1. This was different from a study by Kidder et al. [15] where in a much higher figure 84.6% of 30-days optimal adherence was reported in a longitudinal group-randomized trial in Kenya. A study done in Pumwani Hospital by Karanja [16] reported higher levels of adherence, where 91% of the respondents showed perfect adherence levels between 95 and 100% according to hospital records as opposed to this study in Embu comprehensive care clinic. Similar findings were made in HIV patients attending Moi Teaching and Referral Hospital where it was reported that the overall adherence levels based on timing of taking ARVs was low for all respondents 43.2%, clearly indicated that there were serious barriers to adherence [17].

### 4.2. Causes of Non-adherence

According to figure 1 below, 116 (34.9%) of the respondents travelling was considered as the main cause of hindrance to optimal adherence to treatment. Other reasons included forgetfulness (23.5%), fatigue of taking drugs all the time (20.8%) and side effects 20.8%. Similar findings were made in Southwest Ethiopia where most (43.7%) simply indicated forgetfulness as the main hindrance to ART adherence. Similar findings were made in Pumwani CCCs where it was found out that most patients cited forgetfulness and side effects as a challenge in taking medications [16]. Similar findings were also made at Moi Teaching and Referral Hospital where it was found out that patients failed to adhere to timing of drugs because of being away from home (58.7%), forgetting (59.0%), change in routine (59.6%), and running out of medicines (60.9%) and due to unpleasant taste of ARVs (65.2%). A much lower proportions were reported in Botswana where 58% of ARV users who reported having experienced side-effects, only 8% cited side-effects as a reason for failure to achieve optimal adherence [13].

#### Table 1. Comparison between Self-report and Pill Count.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Self-report adherence in the last one month</th>
<th>Adherence to ART treatment (pill count)</th>
<th>Sub-optimal</th>
<th>Optimal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 cm</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 cm</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 cm</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;=7 cm</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 4.3. Influence of Demographic Factors on ART Adherence

As shown in Table 2, adherence to ART treatment was significantly associated with age of the respondents with respondents aged below 25 years 4.028 times more likely (Adjusted Odds Ratio = 4.028, p-value = 0.048) to have optimal ART adherence as compared to respondents aged 56 years and above. Marital status was also significantly associated with ART adherence with monogamously married and polygamous married respondents more likely to have optimal adherence as compared to widowed or widower respondents. Contrary findings were made in a cohort study in Kenya by Karcher et al. [19] Where older patients were found to have lower treatment denial and therefore a higher risk of mortality as compared to younger patients. Similar findings were also made in Moi Teaching and Referral Hospital in which it was found out that most of the patients who failed to adhere to ART treatment were in the age bracket of 36-45 years and were either divorced, widowed or separated [17]. Contrary findings were made in Ethiopia where it was found that married patients had least adherence to ART treatment [20].

#### Table 2. Influence of Socio-demographic factors on ART adherence.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic characteristic</th>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>Adherence to ART treatment</th>
<th>AOR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sub-optimal</td>
<td>Optimal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of respondent</td>
<td>18-25</td>
<td>5 (23.8)</td>
<td>16 (76.2)</td>
<td>4.028 (1.011-16.050)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>26-35</td>
<td>34 (46.6)</td>
<td>39 (53.4)</td>
<td>1.233 (0.465-3.267)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>36-45</td>
<td>60 (51.7)</td>
<td>56 (48.3)</td>
<td>1.061 (0.425-2.647)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>46-55</td>
<td>52 (61.2)</td>
<td>33 (38.8)</td>
<td>.824 (0.336-2.022)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>56 and above (Ref)</td>
<td>21 (56.8)</td>
<td>16 (43.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender of respondent</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>50 (47.6)</td>
<td>55 (52.4)</td>
<td>1.013 (0.607-1.690)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female (Ref)</td>
<td>122 (53.7)</td>
<td>105 (46.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education level of respondents</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>50 (50.5)</td>
<td>49 (49.5)</td>
<td>8.588 (2.95-2.496)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>72 (49.0)</td>
<td>75 (51.0)</td>
<td>.690 (0.228-2.086)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mid-level College</td>
<td>29 (58.0)</td>
<td>21 (42.0)</td>
<td>.516 (0.156-1.707)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>University</td>
<td>7 (58.3)</td>
<td>5 (41.7)</td>
<td>.507 (0.103-2.498)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>None (Ref)</td>
<td>14 (58.3)</td>
<td>10 (41.7)</td>
<td>2.985 (0.837-4.984)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>48 (52.7)</td>
<td>43 (47.3)</td>
<td>3.744 (1.665-8.420)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status of respondents</td>
<td>Married Monogamous</td>
<td>56 (44.4)</td>
<td>70 (55.6)</td>
<td>4.235 (1.645-10.903)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Married polygamouss</td>
<td>16 (38.1)</td>
<td>26 (61.9)</td>
<td>1.890 (0.601-5.943)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Separated/Divorced</td>
<td>11 (61.1)</td>
<td>7 (38.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Widow/er (Ref)</td>
<td>41 (74.5)</td>
<td>14 (25.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.4. Influence of Social Factors on ART Adherence

The respondents who had disclosed their HIV status was significantly associated with ART adherence, respondents who had disclosed their HIV status to spouse or sexual partner were 3.525 times more likely (Adjusted Odds Ratio = 3.525, p-value = 0.008) and those who had disclosed to parents or children were more likely (Adjusted Odds Ratio = 2.779, p-value = 0.05) to adhere to ART treatment as compared to those who had disclosed their HIV status to friends or colleagues as indicated in Table 3. Non-disclosure to family members and friends is seen as a constraint to successful adherence and in cases of non-disclosure among partners, the partner on ART may resort to hiding pills, occasionally skipping medications and failure to keep clinic appointments for refills or review while disclosure on the other hand allow for support which plays a vital role in encouraging good adherence [21]. In Southern Malawi, although healthcare providers advocate for disclosure of HIV status to family members, more than half of the providers interviewed stated that some of the women fear disclosing their HIV status to their spouses because their husbands may leave them [22]. A study at Pumwani CCCs reported that among patients interviewed all had disclosed their HIV status to someone close to them while most reported to be receiving support from the people they disclosed to [16].

In this study presence of psychological or emotional support was not associated with ART adherence. Similar findings were made in Ethiopia where it was reported that presence of support from family did not translate to better ART adherence as patients might miss support at working places and other places outside home [20]. Contrary findings were made in Malawi where it was also revealed that there was better adherence among patients who get some form of support in their homes, hospital, or among their friends, as compared with non-supported patients [22]. Contrary findings were also made in South-west Ethiopia where it was found that existence of social support was a significant predictor of adherence to treatment.

4.5. Influence of Economic Factors on ART Adherence

Main occupation of the respondents was significantly associated with ART adherence with employed 0.356 times less likely (Adjusted Odds Ratio = 0.356, p-value = 0.016), casual workers 0.264 times less likely (Adjusted Odds Ratio = 0.264, p-value = 0.04) and those engaged in business 0.328 times less likely (Adjusted Odds Ratio = 0.328, p-value = 0.016) to adhere to ART treatment as compared to students or those who were unemployed as indicated in Table 4. Similar findings were made in Ethiopia where it was observed that unemployed patients were more likely to be depressed and less likely to socialize, leading to miss appointments and access to health care [23]. Similar findings were also made in Cameron where it was reported that adherence was high among employed patients as compared to unemployed patients [24]. Similar findings has been observed in low-income countries where it was found that overall pooled odds ratio (OR) for the association between being employed and adhering to ART was 1.85 [25].

Level of income was also significantly associated with ART treatment adherence with respondents earning less than Kshs 5,000 and those earning between Kshs 5,000 and Kshs 10,000 more likely to adhere to treatment as compared to respondents earning more Kshs 15,000. This showed that patients earning less had better adherence as compared to higher income earners. Contrary findings were made in Cameron where it was observed that a monthly middle income was significantly associated with greater pharmacy adherence. Contrary findings were also made in Pumwani CCCs where respondents earning an income of 20,000 Kenyan shillings and above were four times more likely to adhere to ART which

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Social factors</th>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>Adherence to ART treatment</th>
<th>AOR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Disclosed HIV status</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>149 (51.0)</td>
<td>143 (49.0)</td>
<td>1.298 (0.666-2.532)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No (Ref)</td>
<td>23 (57.5)</td>
<td>17 (42.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relationship with person disclosed to HIV status</td>
<td>Spouse/Sexual partner</td>
<td>56 (40.9)</td>
<td>81 (59.1)</td>
<td>3.525 (1.395-8.909)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parent/Children</td>
<td>26 (44.8)</td>
<td>32 (55.2)</td>
<td>2.779 (0.999-7.733)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other Relatives</td>
<td>42 (65.6)</td>
<td>22 (34.4)</td>
<td>1.278 (0.464-3.519)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Friends/Colleagues (Ref)</td>
<td>25 (75.8)</td>
<td>8 (24.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological/Emotional</td>
<td>Financial</td>
<td>26 (47.3)</td>
<td>29 (52.7)</td>
<td>1.479 (0.419-5.219)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moral</td>
<td>68 (46.9)</td>
<td>77 (53.1)</td>
<td>2.102 (0.643-6.873)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spiritual (Ref)</td>
<td>13 (72.2)</td>
<td>5 (27.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate support received</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>72 (41.6)</td>
<td>101 (58.4)</td>
<td>.968 (0.396-2.368)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>64 (69.6)</td>
<td>28 (30.4)</td>
<td>.342 (.132-886)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poor (Ref)</td>
<td>13 (48.1)</td>
<td>14 (51.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ART opinion</td>
<td>Approve</td>
<td>168 (51.5)</td>
<td>158 (48.5)</td>
<td>2.729 (.402-18.522)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree (Ref)</td>
<td>4 (66.7)</td>
<td>2 (33.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friends or relatives avoidance</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>48 (54.5)</td>
<td>40 (45.5)</td>
<td>1.613 (.384-3.120)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No (Ref)</td>
<td>124 (50.8)</td>
<td>120 (49.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family or community support in taking ARV</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>135 (51.9)</td>
<td>125 (48.1)</td>
<td>.863 (.443-1.683)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
was attributed to the ability to meet expenses such as transport to the clinic, food and shelter leading to a better way of dealing with economic problems that can negatively affect adherence.

Table 4. Influence of Economic Factors on ART Adherence.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Economic factors</th>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>Adherence to ART treatment</th>
<th>AOR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sub-optimal</td>
<td>Optimal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main occupation</td>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>55 (52.4)</td>
<td>50 (47.6)</td>
<td>.356 (.154-822)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Casual worker</td>
<td>34 (61.8)</td>
<td>21 (38.2)</td>
<td>264 (108-648)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>33 (52.4)</td>
<td>30 (47.6)</td>
<td>486 (202-1.171)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Business</td>
<td>37 (53.6)</td>
<td>32 (46.4)</td>
<td>.328 (.135-.800)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Student/unemployed (Ref)</td>
<td>13 (32.5)</td>
<td>27 (67.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≤ 5,000</td>
<td>76 (55.1)</td>
<td>62 (44.9)</td>
<td>2.937 (1.032-8.359)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5,001 - 10,000</td>
<td>47 (46.1)</td>
<td>55 (53.9)</td>
<td>4.213 (1.453-12.215)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 10,000 (Ref)</td>
<td>18 (62.1)</td>
<td>11 (37.9)</td>
<td>2.200 (.635-7.623)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly income (Kshs.)</td>
<td>Market &amp; grocery (Ref)</td>
<td>62 (56.4)</td>
<td>48 (43.6)</td>
<td>.612 (.349-1.074)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Own farm</td>
<td>107 (49.8)</td>
<td>108 (50.2)</td>
<td>.622 (1.121-3.184)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Others</td>
<td>3 (42.9)</td>
<td>4 (57.1)</td>
<td>.710 (1.151-3.333)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>One meal</td>
<td>4 (57.1)</td>
<td>3 (42.9)</td>
<td>.912 (.527-1.580)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>128 (51.6)</td>
<td>120 (48.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Conclusions

Based on the study findings the researcher concludes as follows:
1. About half of the patients had optimal adherence to ART treatment while slightly over half of the patients had sub-optimal adherence to ART treatment.
2. Age, marital status, main source of income and average monthly influences adherence to treatment and majority had disclosed their HIV to significantly others of whom they had received satisfactory moral support. Disclosure of HIV status to the spouse or sexual partner increases ART adherence.

Recommendations

Based on the study findings the researcher recommends as follows:
1. HIV patients should be given more information on the importance of consistency and nearly perfect adherence to ART so as to fully realize its life extending benefits as it has been shown that there is significant non-adherence among HIV positive patients on ART in the County.
2. HIV patients should be enlightened on the importance of carrying their ARV drugs even when going for short travels so that they will not miss their doses. Additionally, the study recommends should be enlightened on having treatment assistants/buddies who can help them remember to take the treatments all the time.
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