Impacts of a National Action Plan on Antimicrobial Use in China: A Multi-Center Retrospective Study
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Abstract: This study retrospectively analyzed antimicrobial prescription and concomitant economic outcomes in 28 Chinese state-owned hospitals before and after the intervention introduced by the Action Plan. The outcomes of national intervention were evaluated by analyzing statistics collected before and after the Action Plan which came into effect as of July 1, 2011. The fourth quarters of 2010 and 2011 were set as the baseline and intervention periods, respectively. The percentage of patients receiving antimicrobial prescription, the intensity of antimicrobials prescribed, economic indexes related to antimicrobial prescribing, and antimicrobial prophylaxis in clean surgical procedures were investigated, respectively. First, during the intervention period, the percentage of patients receiving antimicrobial treatment dropped to 15.6% and 49.1% in outpatient and inpatient settings, respectively. The intensity of antimicrobial prescribed decreased to 50.29 DDD (defined daily dose) in outpatient setting. The defined daily doses (DDDs) per thousand patients per day decreased to 12914.33 DDDs in outpatient settings. The results were statistically significant as compared to those in the baseline period (P<0.001). Second, the expenses on antimicrobials significantly decreased (P<0.001). Finally, the percentage of patients receiving antimicrobial prophylaxis for clean surgical procedures decreased to 48.94% (P<0.001), while the infection rates did not differ significantly (P=0.581). This multi-center study suggests that the Action Plan launched by China’s government proved effective in state-owned medical institutions in promoting rational antimicrobial prescription.
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1. Introduction

Resistance to antimicrobial agent is a natural biological phenomenon that is becoming increasingly apparent [1-2]. Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) refers to resistance of a microorganism (bacterium, virus, fungus, or parasite) to an antimicrobial agent to which it was previously sensitive. AMR has been elevated to international focus in terms of patient safety and financial burdens incurred [3]. Irrational antimicrobial usage is common and may take the forms of inappropriate prescribing and administering in developed as well as developing countries, especially in China [4-5]. Furthermore, the rapidity with which antimicrobial resistance emerges and its extent are proportional to the density of antimicrobial use [6].

The emergence and development of AMR are a multifaceted issue driven by many interconnected socio-technological factors, including (1) lack of knowledge on differential diagnoses, infectious diseases and microbiology about the appropriate choice of antimicrobial therapies, resulting in inappropriate prescribing practice, as demonstrated in one study in China, in which 63% of antimicrobials selected to treat proven bacterial infections were found to be inappropriate [4]; (2) lack of knowledge on antimicrobial prophylaxis, which is a common reason for excessive prescribing in many hospitals, as revealed in studies
in which patients benefited from those procedures and those in which they did not [7-8]; (3) various patient factors, including lack of knowledge on illness and medicine, self-medication, poor adherence to antimicrobial regimen [9-10]; and (4) distorted financial incentives for prescribing antimicrobials, as observed in a previous study in which drug sales formed part of health worker salaries, resulting in consequent greater polypharmacy [11].

Due to the complexity of AMR, a global and national comprehensive response is urgently needed to contain the growing threat of AMR. National government and healthcare systems can have considerable impact on limiting the emergence and development of AMR through the introduction of legislations and regulations concerning the development, licensing, distribution, and clinical application of antimicrobial agents. Actions taken at the national level play critical roles in containing AMR as advocated by the WHO Global Strategy for Containment of Antimicrobial Resistance [12], and actions at national level have been taken to tackle this global threat worldwide [13-15].

On July 1, 2011, the National Antimicrobial Action Plan (referred to as Action Plan thereafter) went into effect in state-owned Grade 2 and 3 hospitals (the top two grades according to Chinese hospital grading system [16]) across China [17].

In this retrospective qualitative and quantitative study, the impacts of the Action Plan on promoting rational antimicrobial usage were evaluated by analyzing the medical records in 28 state-owned hospitals (Grade 2 and 3) across China.

2. Methods

2.1. Practice Settings and Subjects

Before the introduction of the Action Plan, the practice patterns of state-owned hospitals were also under constant regulations from healthcare authorities ranging from statutes affecting the overall patterns of healthcare delivery to guidelines for medication usage. The regulations that played roles in the overall healthcare provision included (1) the Regulations on Pharmacy Administration in Medical Institutions [18], in which the establishment of drug and therapeutics committee (DTC) was mandated and terms of reference were clarified; (2) the National Essential Medicine List (EML) [19], in which essential medicines covered by healthcare insurance policies were defined; (3) the National Formulary [20], which served as standard treatment guidelines (STGs) for clinicians in deciding on the appropriate treatment for individual patients; (4) the Principles for Clinical Antimicrobial Usage [21], which outlined antimicrobial usage for the purposes of antimicrobial therapy and prophylaxis; (5) the Guidelines for Perioperative Prophylactic Treatment [22], by which surgical procedures were categorized into four classes as clean, clean–contaminated, contaminated, and dirty surgical procedures and the principles for antimicrobial prophylaxis were specified; and (6) the Principles for Perioperative Prophylactic Treatment in Clean Surgeries [23], which covered information on indication for prophylaxis, choice of agent, timing of administration, and clinical considerations specific to clean surgical procedures.

However, various problems did exist before the introduction of the Action Plan concerning medication usage on many different levels: (1) the chief executive of a hospital was required to assume responsibility for the clinical outcome from antimicrobial treatment, as defined in the terms of reference of drugs and therapeutic committee [18]. However, antimicrobial usage was not placed high on the agenda in many hospitals; (2) fully-functioning infectious disease department and microbiology department were not prioritized in many hospital partly due to inadequate realization of the significance of the two departments in containing AMR; (3) structured antimicrobial management system was absent, resulting in unlimited antimicrobial prescribing by clinicians; (4) many hospitals maintained a stock of antimicrobials exceeding 100 classes; (5) a comprehensive national level antimicrobial usage monitoring system was not existent, failing to providing the needed statistics which could be the knowledge base for public health surveillance. Inspired by precious studies in probing the effects of certain interventions on public health [24-25], this multi-center cohort study was initiated in November 2011, covering 28 state-owned Chinese hospitals from 14 provinces or municipalities. The medical records in investigation were divided into 2 periods: the baseline period, 3 months, from October 1, to December 31, 2010; and the intervention period, 3 months, from October 1 to December 31, 2011. For reference purposes, the medical data between the baseline and the intervention periods were also analyzed aiming at providing a whole picture. The medical data in this study covered 14,431,923 hospital admissions, among which 13,563,365 cases were from outpatient setting and 868,558 cases were from inpatient setting.

2.2. Interventions Introduced by the Action Plan

At the beginning of intervention period, the Ministry of Health launched the Action Plan targeting irrational antimicrobial usage, mandating compulsory participation from Grade 2 and 3 state-owned hospitals. The Action Plan mandated that: (1) the chief executive of the hospital be responsible for the quality and cost-effectiveness of antimicrobial usage and for the implementation of the regulations and guidelines targeting irrational antimicrobial usage across the institution on any aspect [21-23]; (2) infectious disease department and microbiology department be established and strengthened to work in collaboration with other clinicians with the responsibilities of the daily management of infection control; (3) structured level-of-use (non-restricted, restricted, and very-restricted) antimicrobial policies be established and clinicians be trained and appraised before being authorized the level-of-use antimicrobial prescribing or dispensing qualification; (4) antimicrobial prophylaxis be administered 2 hours before incision and a
single dose of selected antimicrobial be administered for patients receiving clean surgical procedures in most cases; and (5) regulations on antimicrobial procurement and supply be enforced.

The Action Plan also focused on surveillance and infection control. Microbiologists and infection control specialists were responsible for the surveillance of pathogen susceptibility patterns and at both departmental and institutional levels and for disseminating the information or knowledge acquired to all departments. Furthermore, the prevalence of local pathogens and their susceptibilities to antimicrobial agents were required to report to a national AMR surveillance program [26].

Aiming at limiting the overall antimicrobial uses, the Action Plan set specific goals to achieve: (1) the proportion of patients receiving antimicrobial treatment should not exceed 20% and 60% in outpatient and inpatient settings, respectively; (2) antimicrobial consumption should be controlled below 40 DDD for inpatient; (3) the proportion of antimicrobial prophylaxis for inpatients undergoing clean surgical procedures should not exceed 30%; (4) the stock of antimicrobial classes should be kept below 50 in Grade 3 hospitals or 30 in Grade 2 hospitals; and (5) restrictions were placed to the routine stock of major antimicrobials including third-generation and fourth-generation cephalosporins, carbapenems, fluoroquinolones, and antifungals for deep-seated mycosis.

2.3. Outcome Measures

The primary outcome measures were changes in antimicrobial prescribing, which were further divided into two sub-indicators. First, the changes in the proportion of patients receiving antimicrobial treatment were assessed in both outpatient and inpatient settings by analyzing medical data for the baseline and intervention periods, respectively. Second, the changes in the intensity of antimicrobial prescription were investigated in both outpatient and inpatient settings. The measurements of intensity were presented as DDD for inpatients and DDDs (defined daily doses per thousand patients per day) for outpatients.

The secondary outcome measures were changes in the expenses during hospital stay in inpatient setting between the baseline and intervention periods, which were further divided into four sub-indicators: (1) the total expenses during hospital stay; (2) expenses on medicines; (3) expenses on antimicrobials; and (4) expenses on very-restricted antimicrobials.

The tertiary outcome measure was changes in prescribing antimicrobial prophylaxis and related surgical site infection (SSI) rates in clean surgical procedures. This prescribing indicator reflected the impacts of the Action Plan on prescribing prophylaxis by making comparison between patients receiving antimicrobial prophylaxis for clean surgical procedures during the baseline and intervention periods.

2.4. Statistical Analyses

The statistics were analyzed using the statistical software SPSS version 19.0. Statistics were represented in different manners depending on their distribution patterns revealed by One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. For the data following normal distribution, statistics were expressed as means ± SD followed by Student’s t-test or corrected t-test. Otherwise, the data were expressed by medians plus 95% confidence intervals followed by Wilcoxon rank sum test. All statistical tests were two-sided and P ≤0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

Preliminary Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests revealed that the statistics investigated herein followed normal distribution except for two indices which were the percentage of inpatients receiving antimicrobial prophylaxis for clean surgical procedures and related SSI rates.

3.1. The Action Plan Facilitated Rational Antimicrobial Prescribing

Compared to the baseline period, the percentage of patients receiving antimicrobials in the intervention period decreased from 26.6% to 15.6% in outpatient setting and from 64.8% to 49.1% in inpatient setting, respectively (Figure 1, Table 1).

Figure 1. Inpatient and outpatient use rates of antimicrobials before and after "Action Plan". The overall use rates of antimicrobials in the reference period and the estimation period differ significantly (**P<0.001).

Meanwhile, the intensity of antimicrobials prescribed decreased from 69.44 to 50.29 DDD in inpatient setting, while the DDDs per thousand patients per day dropped from 16632.31 to 12914.33 DDDs in outpatient setting (Figure 2, Table 1).
3.2. The Action Plan Reduced Expenses on Antimicrobial Agents in Inpatient Setting

Compared to the baseline period, the total expenses during hospital stay (Figure 3A, Table 1) and expenses on medicines (Figure 3B, Table 1) in the intervention period did not change significantly ($P=0.828$). By contrast, the expenses on antimicrobials (Figure 3C, Table 1) and on special antimicrobials (Figure 3D, Table 1) significantly decreased from 906.58 CNY (China Yuan) to 633.34 CNY and from 321.18 CNY to 145.42 CNY, respectively ($P<0.001$).
4. Discussion

This research was initiated by the first author, who conceived the design protocol and advocated the research on a pharmacist community well-known to peers by posting research protocols and terms of confidentiality on the website (http://www.clinphar.cn/). Of all the statistics received from 80 hospitals with prior approval from the leadership of the hospitals involved, 28 hospitals were included in the research, while 51 hospitals were excluded due to incompleteness of medical records targeted by this research. The detailed study design and writing of the manuscript were discussed repeatedly by all authors using a popular network meeting software.

Antimicrobials use has been paid particular attention by China’s government, aiming at providing secure, effective, convenient, and affordable medical services for patients. It has been previously reported that government intervention directly and significantly influenced medical services [27-29]. Similarly, the gradually rational use of antimicrobials in China results from the intervention of the Chinese Ministry of Health and the established technological supporting system of antimicrobials clinical use. According to relevant policies, departments of infectious diseases and clinical microbiology are required in Grade 2 and 3 hospitals. Professional infectious disease doctors, professional microbiological examination personnel and clinical pharmacists are also required to guide the clinical use of antimicrobials technically and to provide professional training the concerning the clinical use of antimicrobials for physicians. Doctors are permitted to prescribe with different authorities after they have been trained and have passed the corresponding tests. Medical institutions and doctors are strictly restricted in the clinical use of antimicrobials. In principle, no more than 50 and 35 types of antimicrobials are allowed in Grade 2 and 3 hospitals [21, 30].

In this study, changes in prescribing of antimicrobials were quantitatively measured and the impacts were reflected from the indicators of proportion of patients receiving antimicrobial prophylaxis, antimicrobial use intensity (AUI), and antimicrobial use rate (AUR). Antimicrobials use intensity (AUI) is expressed as the average defined daily dose (DDD) per hundred beds (DDD/100 patients per day) that equals (DDDs/total time of medication for all the patients) × 100, which has been widely used in estimating the extensity and density of antimicrobials for inpatients [31]. Although the average AUI obtained in this study (57.29 DDD) is higher than that required by the Chinese Ministry of Health (40 DDD), it has significantly reduced compared to that obtained in the reference period. Besides, DDDs also significantly decreased.

Moreover, no more than 30% of the patients enrolled in our study received prophylactic antimicrobials in Class I clean surgical procedures, which is much lower than that in the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Reference period (n=28)</th>
<th>Estimation period (n=28)</th>
<th>t-Value</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AURO</td>
<td>26.60±6.32</td>
<td>15.59±4.07</td>
<td>7.892*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AURI</td>
<td>64.84±8.98</td>
<td>49.11±9.43</td>
<td>6.506*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADDOO</td>
<td>69.64±16.13</td>
<td>50.29±13.75</td>
<td>4.917*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADDDO</td>
<td>16632.31±5188.94</td>
<td>12914.33±4819.02</td>
<td>2.817*</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHC</td>
<td>8193.37±2288.31</td>
<td>8852.88±3066.31</td>
<td>0.928*</td>
<td>0.357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADEI</td>
<td>3649.98±1255.27</td>
<td>3725.68±1379.64</td>
<td>0.219*</td>
<td>0.828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AACI</td>
<td>906.58±300.21</td>
<td>633.34±218.61</td>
<td>3.962*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASACI</td>
<td>321.18±114.72</td>
<td>145.42±53.76</td>
<td>7.471b</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Use of antimicrobials before and after the Action Plan (X ± S).

Table 2. Use rates of antimicrobials and infection rates in clean surgical procedures. [Median (QL–Qu)].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Use rate of antimicrobials (%)</th>
<th>Reference period (95% CI)</th>
<th>Estimation period (95% CI)</th>
<th>Z value</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Infection rate (%)</td>
<td>0.86(0.652–0.994)</td>
<td>0.84(0.680–0.989)</td>
<td>-0.553</td>
<td>0.581</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a: Student's t test; b: Corrected t-test

Antimicrobial DDD: defined daily dose; AUI: antimicrobial use intensity; AUR: antimicrobial use rate; AURO: antimicrobial use rate of outpatients; ADEI: average drug expense of inpatients; AHC: average hospitalized charge; ASACI: average special agent charge of inpatients; AACI: average antimicrobial charge of inpatients; ADDDDI: antimicrobial agent defined daily dose of inpatients; ADDDO: antimicrobial DDD of outpatient per thousand population; ADDDI: antimicrobial DDD of outpatients; AUI: antimicrobial use rate of inpatients; AUI: antimicrobial use rate of outpatients; AURO: antimicrobial use rate of outpatients; AADDDI: antimicrobial agent defined daily dose of inpatients; ADEI: average drug expense of inpatients; AHC: average hospitalized charge; ASACI: average special agent charge of inpatients;
5. Conclusion

It is a long way to strengthen the clinical use management of antimicrobials, boost rational drug use and ensure medical security, which are in need of continuously improved management systems and execution methods. Further development of the Action Plan in China and the resulting management, indexes and working systems will eventually promote the rational use of antimicrobials in China and even all around the world.
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