Design and Analysis of Triple Notched Band UWB Band Pass Filter Using Defected Microstrip Structure (DMS)
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Abstract: This paper presents design, simulation, fabrication and electrical analysis of a triple notched band UWB band pass filter. Short circuit stubs and microstrip line defected structures are used to design a triple notched band UWB filter. The proposed UWB BPF consists of five short circuited stubs of quarter wavelength attached to the feed line. The notched bands are created by introducing three U-shaped defected microstrip structures in the feed line. The proposed structure of the filter is designed, simulated on CST MSW and fabricated using conventional photolithography process. This band pass filter is designed to pass the UWB signals between 3.1 GHz to 10.6 GHz and to eliminate INSAT signal (4.6 GHz), WLAN signal (5.6 GHz) and satellite communication signal (8.0 GHz). The experimental results of this fabricated filter are compared with the simulated results and they are found to be in close agreement to each other. The electrical equivalent circuit of this triple notched band filter is also presented in this paper and verified mathematically. This filter is compact in size and better in performance. It can be incorporated in UWB communication system to efficiently increase the interference protection from undesired signals. The physical dimension of this filter is about 30x10.5 mm².
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1. Introduction

Ultra-wide band (UWB) from 3.1 GHz to 10.6 GHz is released by the Federal Communications Commission for commercial use of indoor and hand-held wireless systems in 2002 [1]. High mobility, flexibility and high data rate are the attractive features of UWB radio system which draws interest from scientists and engineers. An Ultra-wide band pass filter is an important passive element to realize such a wide band radio system. Therefore designing such a wide band filter is much in demand. In order to obtain UWB characteristics, researchers have designed UWB filters using various techniques such as follows: MMR [2-3], meander resonator; inter digital coupled lines with modified multi-mode ring resonator [4-5], short circuited stubs with non-redundant connecting lines [6], defected microstrip structure [7], defected ground structure in [8-9], three parallel coupled lines with a radial open stub in [10], short circuited stubs separated by uniform connecting lines [11].

In the UWB frequency range, some frequency bands which are allotted for specific functions such as WiMAX (3.5 GHz), INSAT C (4.5 GHz), WLAN (5.6 GHz) and satellite communication band (8.0 GHz) signals, may create interference with UWB systems. Therefore, UWB band pass filters with multiple notched bands are needed to eliminate these unwanted interfering signals. Lot of research has been done in the field of design and manufacturing of this type of filters. To achieve single notched band various techniques were reported in [12-19]. Band stop filters were realized by various methods with different configuration in [12], application of DGS and embedded stubs in [13-14], L-shaped open stubs and ring resonator and stub-loaded MMR with electrical coupling were used in [15-16]. For designing of dual notched bands many methods were demonstrated in [17-20]. C-shaped DGS in multi-layer structure [17], modified L-shaped resonator in [18], dual embedded open-circuited stubs with stub-loaded rectangular ring MMR in [19] and multi-mode resonator with dual spur-line [20] were used to design...
2. Design of UWB Filter with Notch Bands

Initially a five poles UWB BPF filter is designed by five short circuited stubs of quarter wavelengths based on Chebychev prototype low pass filter with 0.1 dB pass band ripple. The admittances and dimension of the stubs are calculated by using design equation which is given below [12].
The notch frequency is determined by the dimensions of the DMS. It is more sensitive towards the length of the resonator which can be obtained as shown below:

\[
    f_{\text{notch}} = \frac{c}{\lambda_{\text{notch}} \sqrt{\varepsilon_{\text{eff}}}} \tag{8}
\]

\[
    l = \frac{\lambda_{\text{notch}}}{4} \tag{9}
\]

C=Speed of Light in free space \(3 \times 10^8 \text{ m/s}\),
\(\varepsilon_{\text{eff}}\) is effective dielectric constant of the substrate used.

\[
    l = \frac{46.07}{f_{\text{notch}}} \tag{10}
\]

Calculation of respective lengths of DMS are shown in Table 2.

**Table 2. Calculation of length of DMS.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(f_{\text{notch}})</th>
<th>(l_1)</th>
<th>(l_2)</th>
<th>(l_3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.0 GHz</td>
<td>5.7 mm</td>
<td>8.2 mm</td>
<td>10.0 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.6 GHz</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.6 GHz</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(While other parameters are kept constant at \(a = b = 0.2 \text{ mm}\) and \(l_{12} = l_{23} = 2.0 \text{ mm}\)).

The structure parameters of the filter shown in figure 1 are \(a = 0.2 \text{ mm}, b = 0.2 \text{ mm}, l_{12} = 2.00 \text{ mm}, l_{23} = 2.00 \text{ mm}, e = 3.2 \text{ mm}, \text{length of short circuit stub } l = 6.7 \text{ mm} f = g = h = i = 6.9 \text{ mm} \) (Spacing between short circuit stubs), \(l_1 = 5.7 \text{ mm}, l_2 = 8.2 \text{ mm} \) and \(l_3 = 10.0 \text{ mm} \) (length of U-shaped DMS), \(m = q = 0.35 \text{ mm}, n = p = 0.42 \text{ mm}, o = 0.56 \text{ mm} \) and diameter of the short circuit via hole is considered to be 0.3 mm. The proposed structure of the filter shown in the figure 1 is simulated by using electromagnetic simulator CST MWS software.

Finally, the proposed filter is fabricated by photolithography process and the picture of the fabricated filter is shown in figure 3. The s-parameters of the fabricated filter are measured on Agilent Tech. E5071C ENA Vector Network Analyzer. Comparison between the simulated and measured results is in consensus with each other as is shown in figure 4. The measured response of the filter shows pass band from 3.1 to 10.6 GHz and three highly rejected notched bands centered at 4.6 GHz, 5.6 GHz and 8.0 GHz with rejection level -15.0 dB, -20.0 dB and -20.2 dB at these notch frequencies. The 3-dB fractional bandwidth of 1.96%, 1.75% and 1.12% are also observed from the result. The value of insertion loss (S_{21}) of approximate value is 0.41 dB, 0.35 dB, 0.20 dB and 0.25 dB in band I^{th}, II^{th}, III^{th} and IV^{th} band respectively. The slight divergence in the measurement and simulation results
is caused due to imperfection in fabrication, quality of substrate and SMA connectors used. The design of our filter is compared with similar filters (dielectric constant, size of the filter, number of notch bands, pass band etc.) and comparison is given in Table 3.

It is observed that the performance of the triple notched band UWB filter using DMS has better and uniform insertion loss in the pass band.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of Ref.</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_r$/height</th>
<th>Size (mm$^2$)</th>
<th>Notch frequency/attenuation (dB)</th>
<th>Pass Band (GHz)</th>
<th>Year of Publication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[33]</td>
<td>3.38/1.527</td>
<td>20*19.5</td>
<td>4.2 and 7.7/&gt;20</td>
<td>3.0-8.5</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[34]</td>
<td>3.38/0.508</td>
<td>20*15</td>
<td>6/&gt;20</td>
<td>3.6-10.1</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[35]</td>
<td>2.20/0.508</td>
<td>35.66*13.15</td>
<td>5.2/6.8/8.0/&gt;10</td>
<td>3.1-10.9</td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[36]</td>
<td>2.65/1.0</td>
<td>30*16</td>
<td>5.3/7.8/&gt;20</td>
<td>2.8-11.0</td>
<td>2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[37]</td>
<td>2.55/0.8</td>
<td>22.5*13.7</td>
<td>5.5/&gt;10</td>
<td>3.1-10.6</td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[38]</td>
<td>2.2/1.0</td>
<td>31*20</td>
<td>3.6/5.9/8.0/&gt;10</td>
<td>3.1-10.6</td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This work</td>
<td>4.4/1.6</td>
<td>30.0*10.0</td>
<td>4.6/5.6/8.0/&gt;15</td>
<td>3.1-10.6</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3. Comparison of proposed work with various multi band UWB BPF’s.**

3. Electrical Analysis of the Proposed Filter

The structure of the filter shown in Figure 1 consists of 50 ohms feed line, quarter wavelength short circuited stub and defected microstrip structure (DMS). Electrical analysis of this filter can be explained by making an individual equivalent circuit consisting of the components of the filter. The microstrip line of 50 ohm is represented by distributed R-L-C-G network, but at very high frequency the line behaves as loss less line so it is represented by L-C parameters. The electrical equivalent circuit of a small section 50 ohm feed line is shown figure 5. The electrical equivalent of quarter wavelength short circuited stub and DMS is described in the following sections.
3.1. Electrical Equivalent of Quarter Wavelength Short Circuited Stub

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure6}
\caption{(a) Microstrip line stub and its equivalent circuit (b) Comparison of response (Ls=3.96 nH and Cs=0.22 pF).}
\end{figure}

\textbf{Figure 5.} (a) Small section of feed line (b) Electrical equivalent circuit of feed line for values \(L_1=0.21 \text{ nH}, L_2=0.35 \text{ nH}, L_3=0.39 \text{ nH}, C_1=0.71 \text{ pF}, C_2=0.12 \text{ pF},\) (c) Comparison of response.
Five short circuited stubs in the proposed structure of the filter create five poles in the frequency response. A short circuit stub of quarter wavelength can be represented by parallel L-C circuit and the values of L and C of individual stub is calculated by the use of standard equations in [31]. A microstrip line short circuited stub of quarter wave length is simulated on an EM simulator. The simulation results are compared with its equivalent L-C circuit simulation response which is shown in figure 6.

### 3.2. Electrical Equivalent Circuit of DMS

In the microstrip line circuit; defected ground plane structures and defected microstrip structures are very useful techniques to reduce the size of the circuit for a given frequency response. Defect in the ground plane, arises the problem of radiation, which can be overcome by using DMS in place of DGS. Introducing DMS in the microstrip line circuit disturbs the current distribution and increases the effective value of the inductance and capacitance of the line. This behavior of the DMS is electrically explained by a parallel L-C circuit [32]. The inductance and capacitance of DMS are frequency sensitive and can be calculated by using the formulae shown in Table 4.

**Table 4. Equivalent circuit parameter calculation of DMS.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( f_0 )</th>
<th>( f_c )</th>
<th>( L_{d1} )</th>
<th>( C_{d1} )</th>
<th>( L_{d2} )</th>
<th>( C_{d2} )</th>
<th>( L_{d3} )</th>
<th>( C_{d3} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.545</td>
<td>0.08147</td>
<td>15.85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.64</td>
<td>0.0638</td>
<td>12.52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>8.16</td>
<td>0.0437</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( C = \frac{1.59 f_c}{f_0^2 - f_c^2} \) pf and \( L = \frac{25}{f_c^2} nH \),

\( f_0, f_c \) are in GHz where \( f_0 \) is frequency of resonance while \( f_c \) is cut of frequency.

Simulation responses of a DMS of length 8.2 mm for a notch band frequency 5.6 GHz and its equivalent L-C network circuit is compared and shown in figure 7.

4. **Analysis of the Proposed Filter**

The filter structure shown in figure 1, having three defected microstrip structures which behave as resonators are closely placed on a 50 ohms line and an electrical coupling between these resonators affects the performance of the filter. This filter structure can be analysed by dividing it into sections. The frequency response of the electrical equivalent circuit of individual section is compared with CST simulation frequency response. The overall electrical equivalent circuit is made by combining the individual section’s equivalent circuits. This filter structure is divided into three sections as named as section 01, section 02 and section 03 which is shown in figure 8.

#### 4.1. Analysis of Section 01

Section 01 consists of one DMS, one QWSS and 50 ohms microstrip line. The analysis of this section is mentioned in figure 9. Figure 9 (a) represents the CST model of this section and its electrical equivalent is shown in figure 9 (b). This equivalent electrical circuit is simulated on an EM circuit simulator (SERENIDE SV 8.5) and its frequency response is compared with the CST simulation results. Figure 9 (c)
shows that the results are in agreement with each other.

Figure 9. (a) CST modal of section 01 (b) Electrical equivalent of section 01 (c) Comparison of frequency responses for values \( L_s=3.94 \) nH, \( C_s=0.22 \) pF, \( L_{d1}=0.044 \) nH and \( C_{d1}=9 \) pF.

4.2. Analysis of Section 02

The analysis of section 02, consists of two QWSS and one DMS and 50 ohms microstrip line. It’s CST model, electrical equivalent circuit and comparison of frequency responses are shown in figure 10.

Figure 10. (a) CST model of section 02 (b) Electrical Equivalent of sections 02 (c) Comparison of frequency response for parameters \( L_{s2}=3.64 \) nH, \( C_{s2}=0.24 \) pF, \( L_{d2}=0.064 \) nH, \( C_{d2}=12.52 \) pF, \( L_{s3}=3.4 \) nH and \( C_{s3}=0.26 \) pF.

4.3. Analysis of Section 03

The section 03 of the proposed structure consists of the remaining two QWSS, one DMS and a 50 ohms microstrip line. The CST model, electrical equivalent circuit and comparison of frequency responses of section 03 are shown in figure 11.

The letters in subscription with \( L \) and \( C \) stands for, \( t=\)transmission line parameters, \( s=\)short circuit stub parameters and \( d=\)defected microstrip structure parameters.
For better understanding of the notched filter behaviour, the surface current distribution at three different frequencies of 8 GHz, 5.6 GHz and 4.6 GHz are observed as shown in figure 12. These frequencies correspond to the center frequencies of the three notched bands. At the notched frequencies, current distribution is concentrated along the DMS which verifies that the resonance at frequencies 8 GHz, 5.6 GHz and 4.6 GHz are as provided by the DMSs.

Figure 11. (a) CST modal of section 03 (b) electrical equivalent of section 03 (c) Comparison of frequency response for values $L_{s4}=3.64\,\text{nH}$, $C_{s4}=0.24\,\text{pF}$, $L_{s5}=3.96\,\text{nH}$, $C_{s5}=0.22\,\text{pF}$, $L_{d3}=0.082\,\text{nH}$ and $C_{d3}=15.85\,\text{pF}$.

Figure 12. Surface current distribution in DMS at three different frequencies (a) Front side at 8.0 GHz (b) Front side at 5.6 GHz (c) Front side at 4.4 GHz.
4.4. Overall Electrical Equivalent Circuit of the Filter

The overall electrical equivalent circuit of the proposed filter structure which is shown in figure 13 can be obtained by combining the equivalent circuits explained in figure 8, 9 and 10. This circuit is simulated by SERENIDE SV 8.5 circuit simulator and its frequency response is compared with CST simulation frequency response. This comparison is shown in figure 14.

![Figure 13. Electrical equivalent circuit of the filter.](image)

![Figure 14. Comparison of frequency response.](image)

This comparison of frequency response shows close resemblance and it verifies that the circuit shown in figure 13 is the electrical equivalent circuit of the proposed structure of the filter.

4.5. Mathematical Analysis of This Proposed Filter

The electrical equivalent circuit shown in figure 13 can be mathematically verified by solving this electrical network using circuit theory. Overall ABCD parameters of this circuit are calculated by calculating ABCD parameters of the individual sections. The calculated ABCD parameters of overall network are converted into S-parameters by using the following equations:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    A & B \\
    C & D
\end{bmatrix}_{\text{overall}} = \begin{bmatrix}
    A & B \\
    C & D
\end{bmatrix}_{\text{section01}} \begin{bmatrix}
    A & B \\
    C & D
\end{bmatrix}_{\text{section02}} \begin{bmatrix}
    A & B \\
    C & D
\end{bmatrix}_{\text{section03}}
\]

\[
S_{11} = \frac{A + BY_0 - CZ_0 - D}{A + BY_0 + CZ_0 + D} = \frac{\Delta_1}{\Delta} \tag{12}
\]

\[
S_{21} = \frac{2}{A + BY_0 + CZ_0 + D} = \frac{2}{\Delta} \tag{13}
\]

Where \( Y_0 = \frac{1}{Z_0} \) and \( Z_0 = 50\Omega \)

\[
S_{11} \text{ (dB)} = 20 \log_{10} |S_{11}| \tag{14}
\]

\[
S_{21} \text{ (dB)} = 20 \log_{10} |S_{21}| \tag{15}
\]

The expression of the S-parameters in terms of frequency obtained from equation 7 and 8 is solved using MATLAB. This frequency response is compared with CST simulation response and the equivalent circuit simulation response is shown in figure 15. The resemblance in the results is shown in figure 15. This verifies the equivalent model of the proposed filter.
4.6. Parameter Characterization of the Filter

In the proposed filter structure DMS resonator creates notch band, therefore the parameters of DMS resonator i.e length \( l \), width \( b \) and spacing \( a \) affects the characteristics of the notch band in the frequency response of the filter. The study of parameter characterization is carried out by considering a U-shaped DMS which provide notch band at 5.4 GHz shown in figure 16.
One parameter of DMS is varies while other kept constant and structure is simulated and effect of this change in the parameter is observed in the frequency response which is shown in figure 17. These observations from simulation are illustrated in Table 5. It is observed that the length of the DMS is the effective parameter to control the location of the notch in comparison to other parameters. The notch frequency decreases with increasing the length of the resonator. It is observed that the notch frequency slightly decreases with increasing width of the DMS and variation in the spacing of the resonator does not affect the notch frequency of the filter.

**Table 5.** The \( f_{\text{notch}} \) against parameter variation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter dimensions in mm</th>
<th>Length ( (l) )</th>
<th>Spacing ( (a) )</th>
<th>Width ( (b) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( f_{\text{notch}} ) (GHz)</td>
<td>6.21</td>
<td>5.46</td>
<td>4.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.43</td>
<td>5.46</td>
<td>5.475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.53</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 17.** Effect of variation in length of DMS while \( a=0.2\text{mm} \) and \( b=1.0\text{mm} \) are constant.

**Figure 18.** Effect of variation in spacing of DMS while \( l=8.0\text{ mm} \) and \( b=1.0 \text{mm} \) are const.
5. Conclusions

A microstrip UWB BPF with three highly rejected notched bands has been proposed in this paper. Initially the basic UWB filter is designed by using quarter wavelength short circuited stubs connected with the feed line. Then three quarter wave length U-shaped slots are incorporated in the feed line of the basic UWB filter structure to achieve three notched bands. Three notch bands are easily generated and their centre frequency is controlled by varying the length of the U-shaped resonator. For demonstration the UWB BPF is designed, simulated and fabricated. The measured value parameters show close resemblance with the predicted value parameters. The electrical analysis of this filter is also reported in this paper. Therefore the proposed filter is useful for wireless communication systems as it is easy to fabricate, comparatively compact in size and is far superior in performance.
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