Antioxidant Capacity and Total Phenolic Content in Honey Brands from Mexican Market and Some Physicochemical Parameters Related
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Abstract: During the production of a honey brand, artisanal or fresh honey is usually heated and filtered with the purpose of to improve fluency and this way can to facilitate its packaging process. However, an overheated honey can change the original antioxidant capacity, mainly due to the damage that the phenolic compounds have in the honeys. For this reason, the purpose of this work was to determine the behavior of the antioxidant capacity and total phenolic content in twelve samples of honey brand available in the Mexican market. 2, 2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) free radical scavenging activity and total phenolic content were measured. Moreover, moisture, hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF) content, color parameters (L*, a* and b*) and fructose and glucose content were also determined by the purpose to support the study of antioxidant capacity in the samples of honey brand studied. The results indicated that honey brands showed an antioxidant capacity in normal levels, in comparison to other types of honey from different countries, despite the fact that the total phenolic content values were relatively low. This behavior observed may be due to the fact that the formation of the compounds with high antioxidant capacity from Maillard reactions, mainly HMF, during the heat of the honeys, which contributed in an important way with the values of the antioxidant capacity observed.
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1. Introduction

Honey is a sweet substance, which is composed mainly of fructose (38%), glucose (31%), other sugars (10%) and water (18%) [1]. However, honey is rich in minor compounds (around 3%), which give it remarkable nutritional properties, such as: proteins, enzymes, carotenoids, phenolic compounds, free amino acids, organic acids, vitamins and minerals [2]. For several years, some studies have shown the potential benefit to human health of honeys from different botanical origins, in antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, antitumor and antioxidant properties [3-6].

The components associated with the antioxidant capacity in honey are mainly phenolic compounds [7, 8]. These compounds are biologically active secondary metabolites from plants, which are transferred to honey by bees that collect nectar from flowers [9]. Therefore, the antioxidant capacity in a honey depends on its floral origin, mainly. However, the processing of honey may also have a negative effect on its antioxidant activity. For example, polyphenol compounds decrease when a food is heated [10]. However, it has been observed that the antioxidant activity in a honey increases during a prolonged heating due to the formation of compounds from Maillard reaction, mainly hydroxymethylfurfural [11, 12].

Honey from Mexico enjoys the preference of consumers around of the world due to its good sensory and nutritional properties. Several studies have reported the physicochemical properties and the antioxidant capacity of different types of artisanal or fresh honeys from México [13-16]. In the Mexican market it is also possible to purchase different honey brands, which normally are subject to an industrial
packaging process that includes heating and filtering. The heating of honey (artisanal or fresh), has two principal purposes during the packaging process: a) destroy microorganisms, and b) dissolve glucose crystals [12, 17]. The dissolution of glucose crystals facilitates the fluidity (reduction of viscosity) of honey and this way is easier to pack. The filtrate removes pollen, bits of wax, and crystals of glucose hydrate. Also, the combination of the heating and filtering process allows for the honey brands to remain on the shelf for several more months without crystallization, which is important because a granulated honey is more likely to ferment than liquid honey. In addition, sensory, speaking, many people prefer a fluid and crystalline honey than a granulated honey.

The purpose of this work was to determine the antioxidant capacity and total phenolic content of twelve honey brands acquired in the supermarket (Guadalajara City, Mexico) and to correlate it with some physicochemical parameters that help to the interpretation, such as color determination (L*, a* and b*), fructose and glucose content, water content and HMF content.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Samples of Honey Brands

Twelve samples of honey brands were purchased in supermarkets in Mexico (Guadalajara) during the month of October 2017, which were identified as H1, H2…H12. All the samples of honey presented their own brand.

2.2. Determination of Total Antioxidant Capacity

The method of scavenging activity against 2, 2-diphenyl-1-picyrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical of honey was used to determine the total antioxidant capacity [12]. For the preparation of honey samples, 2g of each honey was dissolved in 10 ml of distilled water, and then the sample was centrifuged and filtered, respectively. And later, 0.75 mL of the solution was mixed with 2 mL of 0.1 mM methanol solution of DPPH. Distilled water was used as a control. After incubation for 60 min at a room temperature in the dark, the absorbance of the honey solution was measured at 517 nm against methanol as blank, using a UV-vis spectrophotometer Cintra 6 (GBS Scientific Equipment, Victoria, Australia). The antioxidant activity was expressed as a percentage of inhibition of DPPH radical (AA_DPPH,%) and was calculated by the following equation:

\[ AA_{DPPH}(\%) = \left( \frac{Ab_{control} - Ab_{honey\,sample}}{Ab_{control}} \right) \times 100 \]  

2.3. Determination of Total Phenolic Content

The total phenolic content of honeys was measured using the Folin-Ciocalteu method [18]. Honey solutions with the concentration of 1 g/10 ml were centrifuged and filtered by a paper filter. Afterwards, 0.5 mL of the resultant solution were mixed with 2.5 ml of 0.2 mol/l solution of Folin Ciocalteu reagent and 2 ml of sodium carbonate solution (75 g/l) was added. After incubation in dark and at room temperature for 2 h, absorbance of the reaction mixture was measured at 760 nm using a UV-Vis spectrophotometer Cintra 6 (GBS Scientific Equipment, Victoria, Australia). The standard curve was produced for gallic acid within the concentration range from 0 to 200 mg/l. The total phenolic content was expressed as gallic acid equivalents in mg/100 g of honey sample (mgGAE/100 g).

2.4. Physicochemical Analysis

The moisture of the honey was determined using the refractive index at 20°C and was measured with a ABBE refractometer (Japan). The results were expressed as the percentage of moisture.

Color parameters (L*, a*, b*) were established in the CIE system using a Minolta CM-5 Chroma-meter (Konica-Minolta, Japan) with illuminant D65, under an observed angle of 10°. About 40 g honey samples was weighed into glass petri dish (30 mm in diameter) for color determination. The instrument was calibrated with a white background. Hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF) content was determined according to a spectrophotometric method [19], using a UV-Vis spectrophotometer Cintra 6 (GBS Scientific Equipment, Victoria, Australia). Results were expressed in HMF mg/kg of honey.

2.5. Sugars Analysis

The fructose and glucose content were assessed using a HPLC method [20]. The chromatographic mobile phase consisted of a mixture of water-acetonitrile (25-75), the flow was kept constant at 1 ml/min. The HPLC equipment comprised a binary pump, an auto-sampler, and a refractive index detector, all from Varian Prostar (Varian Inc., Palo Alto, CA, USA). Separation was performed on a 5 μm LC-NH2 column of 250 mm x 4.6 mm (Supelco, Bellefonte, PA, USA).

2.6. Statistical Analysis

All analyzes were carried out in triplicate and the data were expressed as means ± standard deviations (SD), which were calculated using Excel (Microsoft Office, Version 2016).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Physicochemical Parameters of Honey Brand: Effect of the Overheating

Table 1 shows the visual characteristics of the honey samples, as well as the information declared on the packaging label. All the honey brands visually showed no signs of crystallization. All honey brands presented a dark color. On the packaging label, the manufacturer declared a purity of 100% for all honeys used in this work, with the exception of two samples (H6 and H10). The expiration date declared on the packaging of honey brands was indicated in a range between August 2019 and January 2020.
presented was due, at least in part, to chemical changes, because this compound is not present in fresh honey. Prolonged storage times or when honey is subjected to the Maillard reactions during the overheating. Honey color is the most important sensory property perceived by the consumers, for this reason was investigated in this work. Table 2 shows the values of the color parameters (L*, a* and b*) found in the samples of honey brand. The L* values varied from 1.26 to 9.10 and the b* values was founded between 5.19 and 19.05.

Table 1. Visual characteristics and information declared on the label of the honey brands purchased.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Honey</th>
<th>Visual color</th>
<th>Consistency</th>
<th>Purity declared</th>
<th>Expiration date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H1</td>
<td>Dark</td>
<td>Fluid</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>August 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2</td>
<td>Dark</td>
<td>Fluid</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>January 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3</td>
<td>Dark</td>
<td>Fluid</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>September 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4</td>
<td>Dark</td>
<td>Fluid</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>December 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H5</td>
<td>Dark</td>
<td>Fluid</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>February 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H6</td>
<td>Dark</td>
<td>Fluid</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>November 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H7</td>
<td>Dark</td>
<td>Fluid</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>February 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H8</td>
<td>Dark</td>
<td>Fluid</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>January 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H9</td>
<td>Dark</td>
<td>Fluid</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>January 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H10</td>
<td>Dark</td>
<td>Fluid</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>October 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H11</td>
<td>Dark</td>
<td>Fluid</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>September 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H12</td>
<td>Dark</td>
<td>Fluid</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>September 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Information not available (N/A)

In this work, we hypothesized that the honey brands were subjected to an overheated, therefore the dark color that they presented was due, at least in part, to chemical changes, mainly non-enzymatic darkening reactions, for example the Maillard reactions during the overheating. Honey color is the most important sensory property perceived by the consumers, for this reason was investigated in this work. Table 2 shows the values of the color parameters (L*, a* and b*) found in the samples of honey brand. The L* values varied from 23.89 to 44.52. And according to the following classification, where a value of L*>50 indicates a clear honey and a value of L*<50 indicates a dark honey [21], the honey brands analyzed can be classified as dark honeys, since the L* value in the honey brands found is well below the value of 50. Therefore, it is possible to assume that the darken of the honey brands in part was due to Maillard reactions. The a* value varied from 1.26 to 9.10 and the b* values was founded between 5.19 and 19.05.

Table 2. Color parameters of honey brands.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Honey</th>
<th>L*</th>
<th>a*</th>
<th>b*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H1</td>
<td>28.68 ± 0.97</td>
<td>2.65 ± 0.74</td>
<td>12.94 ± 0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2</td>
<td>23.89 ± 0.87</td>
<td>8.83 ± 0.50</td>
<td>6.89 ± 0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3</td>
<td>40.79 ± 0.83</td>
<td>5.07 ± 0.25</td>
<td>5.15 ± 0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4</td>
<td>38.22 ± 0.40</td>
<td>1.95 ± 0.26</td>
<td>7.06 ± 0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H5</td>
<td>43.45 ± 1.28</td>
<td>6.47 ± 0.70</td>
<td>19.05 ± 0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H6</td>
<td>34.26 ± 0.39</td>
<td>3.69 ± 0.39</td>
<td>15.88 ± 0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H7</td>
<td>32.13 ± 0.59</td>
<td>1.26 ± 0.33</td>
<td>8.49 ± 0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H8</td>
<td>42.20 ± 0.92</td>
<td>4.76 ± 0.50</td>
<td>11.25 ± 0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H9</td>
<td>44.52 ± 0.52</td>
<td>9.10 ± 0.51</td>
<td>17.67 ± 0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H10</td>
<td>33.19 ± 0.39</td>
<td>3.03 ± 0.55</td>
<td>18.17 ± 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H11</td>
<td>41.55 ± 0.40</td>
<td>4.44 ± 0.28</td>
<td>11.42 ± 0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H12</td>
<td>41.65 ± 1.05</td>
<td>3.53 ± 0.37</td>
<td>13.67 ± 0.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are expressed as mean values ± standard deviation

Table 3. Physicochemical parameters of honey brands.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Honey</th>
<th>Water (%)</th>
<th>HMF content (mg/kg)</th>
<th>Fructose (%)</th>
<th>Glucose (%)</th>
<th>Fructose + Glucose (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H1</td>
<td>16.43 ± 0.23</td>
<td>71.49 ± 1.23</td>
<td>36.31 ± 1.19</td>
<td>31.51 ± 0.50</td>
<td>67.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2</td>
<td>17.30 ± 0.20</td>
<td>76.94 ± 2.06</td>
<td>36.62 ± 0.52</td>
<td>30.46 ± 0.35</td>
<td>67.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3</td>
<td>16.56 ± 0.11</td>
<td>55.06 ± 0.36</td>
<td>37.59 ± 0.49</td>
<td>29.14 ± 0.39</td>
<td>66.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4</td>
<td>16.46 ± 0.11</td>
<td>58.67 ± 0.69</td>
<td>38.17 ± 0.60</td>
<td>30.75 ± 0.32</td>
<td>68.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H5</td>
<td>16.80 ± 0.10</td>
<td>41.48 ± 1.33</td>
<td>37.13 ± 0.86</td>
<td>30.60 ± 0.38</td>
<td>67.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H6</td>
<td>17.20 ± 0.17</td>
<td>62.83 ± 0.90</td>
<td>36.53 ± 0.53</td>
<td>29.30 ± 0.31</td>
<td>65.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H7</td>
<td>17.23 ± 0.15</td>
<td>65.20 ± 1.30</td>
<td>35.72 ± 0.52</td>
<td>30.58 ± 0.79</td>
<td>66.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H8</td>
<td>17.30 ± 0.26</td>
<td>48.35 ± 0.65</td>
<td>34.33 ± 0.23</td>
<td>31.47 ± 0.48</td>
<td>65.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H9</td>
<td>16.60 ± 0.10</td>
<td>37.67 ± 1.64</td>
<td>36.68 ± 0.68</td>
<td>29.26 ± 0.36</td>
<td>65.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H10</td>
<td>16.40 ± 0.10</td>
<td>62.59 ± 1.27</td>
<td>35.81 ± 0.70</td>
<td>30.92 ± 0.89</td>
<td>66.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H11</td>
<td>17.23 ± 0.05</td>
<td>50.21 ± 1.47</td>
<td>34.51 ± 0.21</td>
<td>31.76 ± 0.25</td>
<td>66.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H12</td>
<td>17.16 ± 0.20</td>
<td>41.80 ± 1.50</td>
<td>34.32 ± 0.78</td>
<td>31.52 ± 0.34</td>
<td>65.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are expressed as mean values ± standard deviation

Table 3 illustrates the results obtained of moisture content, HMF content, and sugar composition (fructose and glucose). The HMF content in a honey is an indicator of its freshness, because this compound is not present in fresh honey. However, HMF can be formed into a honey when it remains in inadequate conditions of humidity and temperature during prolonged storage times or when honey is subjected to overheating. In previous studies it has been found that the formation of HMF increases rapidly when fresh honey is heated at high temperature (>100°C) in short periods of time [22, 23], such as might occur in the industrial packaging of honey. According to international regulations, HMF content should not exceed 40 mg/kg in honey samples [24, 25]. In this work, all the HMF values founded in the samples of honey brands exceeded the maximum allowed limit, with the exception of one sample, which presented a value of 37 mg/kg. Samples of honey brands that exceeded the maximum allowed limit of HMF content was found between 41.48 and 76.94 mg/kg. The results suggest that these honey brands were subjected to overheating during the packaging process.

In a honey, a thermal treatment favors the formation of HMF at the expense of a decrease in the sugar contents, mainly fructose [26]. In this work, the content of fructose and glucose were in the range between 34.32 and 38.17% and 29.14 and 31.76%, respectively. The sum of fructose and glucose showed values above the minimum level recommended by international standards (65%) [27]. Presumably, in a fresh honey before being overheated must have higher fructose content than that found in the honey heated, due to the formation of HMF to the expense of the fructose. But, apparently, this relative decrease maintained acceptable levels of fructose content in all the samples of honey brands evaluated. For example, it has been reported an increase of HMF content from 2.04 to 6.13 mg/kg in honey from Poland.
heated in a water bath (~ 90°C) for 60 minutes, observing a
decrease in the fructose content about 1.83% [28]. In addition,
the results obtained from fructose content are similar to those
found in fresh honey from different latitudes [14, 29].

The water content value in a honey brand can be affected,
due to the evaporation of the water in the original honey,
when it is subjected to excessive heating. In this work, the
water content varied between 16.4 and 17.3%. The water
content in the samples of honey brands is within the
maximum limit allowed by international regulations (<20%).
But it is possible to infer that the water content in the honeys
prior to heating has been higher than that determined in the
samples of honey brand.

3.2. Total Phenolic Content and Antioxidant Capacity

In a honey, the main compounds that contribute to the
antioxidant activity are phenolic acids, flavonoids, glucose
oxidase, catalase, ascorbic acid, protein and carotenoids. Some
authors have found a correlation between phenolic compounds
and antioxidant capacity in different types of honey [7, 8].
However, it is known that phenolic compounds are susceptible
to degradation with temperature. For example, in honey
samples of various floral origins subjected to heat treatment
(90°C/5 min) the total phenolic content decreased by about
20% in honey of lychee flowers [23]. Figure 1 shows the
values of the total phenolic contents determined in the samples
of honey brands studied. The values varied in a range between
15.29 and 32.18 mg GAE/100 g. These values can be
considered relatively low in relation to values obtained in fresh
honey from different parts of the world. For example, values
between 32.17 and 119.42 mg GAE/100 g for honeys from
Tunisia [30], 54.30 mg GAE/100 g for Cuban polyfloral
honeys [31], and in honeys from Brazil values between 26.0
and 100 mg GAE/100 g [32]. In other studies, have found
values similar to those found in this work. For example, it has
been reported values between 16.5 and 133.3 mg GAE/100 g
for honeys from Sicilian (Italy) [33], and in honeys from
Turkey values between 16.02 and 120.04 mg GAE/100 g were
found [4]. Therefore, it is possible to infer that the loss of
phenolic compounds occurs during the overheating in the
packaging process of the honey brands.

On the other hand, the antioxidant capacity of honeys has
been determined often using the DPPH method. The DPPH
assay measures the ability of the sample to donate hydrogen to
the DPPH radical, which results in a quantitative discoloration
of the DPPH reagent, which is related to the antioxidant
activity. In this work, the DPPH values varied from 30.58 to
51.91% of in the samples of honey brand analyzed as can be
observed in Figure 2. In theory, these values of antioxidant
capacity found in honey brands are not influenced totally by
the amount of phenolic compounds that still remain in the
honey samples after the potential overheating to which they
were subjected, but a large percentage of the DPPH value is
influenced by the amount of compounds from the Maillard
reactions. For example, it has been observed that the
antioxidant activity (DPPH) in a honey grew linearly with the
increase of the heating time at 50 and 60°C [12]. Also, when
the temperature was 70°C, the antioxidant capacity showed a
logarithmic growth as function of time. On the other hand, the
antioxidant capacity (% DPPH) increased by around 10 and 12% in honeys from longan flower and wildflower,
respectively, when these samples were heated at 90°C/5 min
[23]. In other researches, higher DPPH values have been
reported, but in fresh honeys from different parts of the world.
For example, an average value of 66.8% inhibition for honey
from the north-west Spain from *Castanea sativa* was observed
[34] and in honeys from Portugal values between 106.67 and
168.94% [7]. However, some samples of fresh honey have
similar levels of antioxidant capacity to the values found in this
work. For example, it has been reported values between 33.4
and 85.5% for honeys from Tabasco (México) [16] and in
honeys from Lithuania values between 31.1 and 86.9% in
DPPH reaction system [35].

The formation of compounds via the Maillard reactions
during the heating of a honey increases its antioxidant
capacity, but it must take into consideration the levels that are
reached, because of what is still a subject of controversy the
health damage that can occur. Therefore, in the packaging
process of a honey brand, a balance must be considered
between the benefits and potentially damages that can be
generated. For example, the use of less severe temperatures
and duration times during the packaging process of honey.

![Figure 1. Total phenolic content of honey brands.](image1)

![Figure 2. Antioxidant capacity (% DPPH) of honey brands.](image2)
4. Conclusion

HMF content in twelve samples of honey brand showed higher values, which exceeded the limits established in international standards (<40 mg/kg). Only one sample showed a value recommended by international regulation (37.67 mg/kg). This behaviour observed was due to an overheating in the honeys, presumably during its packaging process.

The Mexican honey brands had a good level of antioxidant capacity, according to values found in honey samples (artisanal or fresh) from other countries. However, the antioxidant capacity values in the honey brands found could be highly influenced by the formation of HMF via Maillard reaction produced by overheating during the packaging process. Total phenolic content values in the honey brands found could be relatively low due to its destruction during the overheated carried out.

Water and sugar content can be considered as good values, despite potential changes suffered during the overheating of the samples, for example water evaporation and fructose transformation to HMF. According to $L^+$ value measured the samples of honey brand are dark. Potentially, this color characteristic in the samples were due to several non-enzymatic darker reactions (Maillard reactions) produced during the packaging process.
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