Determinants of Project Sustainability: The Case of NGO Projects in West Arsi Zone
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Abstract: This study analyses the sustainability of Non-Governmental Organization implemented projects phased out since 2015. The objective of this study is to assess determinants of projects sustainability in West Arsi Zone, Ethiopia. In order to achieve this objective, descriptive analysis and econometric model were carried out. The study employed both primary and secondary data sources. Primary data was collected from sample target beneficiaries using structured questionnaire whereas the secondary data was collected from published and unpublished sources. The target population was 11,626 beneficiaries addressed by Non-Governmental Organizations implemented that get phase out in the past five years (2014-2019) out of which 240 sample respondents were drawn based on probability proportion to sample. Descriptive analysis was used to describe the socio-economic and demographic characteristics of sample project beneficiaries. Key informant interview was done using two person per each project sampled for the study with a composition of officer and focal person from line project signatory offices. To draw conclusion about population under the study, different tests were undertaken for critical assumption of statistical analysis. The result of tests for assumptions between variables shows that the data is adequate to run regressions. From the result of the study, educational level of Non-Governmental Organizations implemented project beneficiaries, community contribution (money, labor, and local materials, price/cost of project inputs, government involvement and follow up in project after phase out of donors, complementing effort from government and execution of planned exit strategies throughout the whole project life are variables that influence sustainability of projects implemented by Non-Governmental Organizations. It can be recommended that more effective project sustainability results can be achieved through execution of planned project exit strategies throughout the whole project life. Moreover, the finding of the study encourage government to endeavor design and in place of exit strategies, follow up its execution and ensure accountability system if any failure could happen.
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1. Introduction

1.1. Background of the Study

Non-Government Organizations (NGOs) both local and international non-profit organizations primarily engaged in development activities, relief, and rehabilitation work. They are recognized as very important institutions in development. Globally, NGOs are considered as the third sector that plays a great role in the gap between supply made by private and government sectors. NGOs engage in various areas such as relief and humanitarian aid, disaster risk management, conflict resolution, environmental protection, and poverty alleviation, among others. Many NGOs endeavour to deliver basic services to people in need and organizing policy advocacy and public campaigns for change [18].

NGOs employee project management approaches to deploy specific amount of donor provided resources to address typical socio-economic problems in a targeted community within a specific time frame and budget constraint. The core principle in NGO lead project management is ensuring project outcome sustainability. Success in the management of NGO project; hence, measured by the extent at which the project management capacitate targeted beneficiaries and relevant stakeholders in ensuring sustainability of project outcomes after major
assistance from donor is completed.

Bennett stated the term "Sustainable" means to endure, to last, and to keep in being. The two terms used interchangeably together; Sustainable development would be all about marshalling resources to ensure that some measure of human well-being is sustained over time [3]. Organization of Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) presents three dimensions of project sustainability: 1) continuation of positive benefits resulted from the project practices, 2) probability that these benefits and achieved institutional structures will be maintained and 3) the ability to be resistant to risks, both internal and external [2].

Empirical studies on sustainability of donor funded project, albeit limited, identified several instances of failure in ensuring project sustainability which suggests the need for further studies to identify the factors associated with donor funded projects sustainability. According to the information from the District Executive Director’s office, more than two hundred (200) government and donor funded projects have been initiated in Iringa District [16]. Despite this heavy investment in clean water projects, still water shortage problem is high at Iringa District due to gaps in projects sustainability. Another study by Obasanjo pointed out analysis of the capital economic structure have identified the complexity of getting resources to the people, getting people to participate, financing and managing delivery of services at micro and macro levels as major challenges for projects sustainability [25]. Unsurprisingly, poor communities have continued to witness a decline in living standards, increasing levels of poverty and deterioration in infrastructures.

Sustainability cannot be achieved without stakeholder involvement and support. Stakeholders should actively participate to influence the direction and detail of design and implementation of the projects. Allocating adequate time and resources for participatory analysis and responding to demand-led approaches are important ways to improve participation [24].

Traditions, core values and customs within the community are part of the socio-cultural factor and since they steer the behaviour of the community members, they have significant effects on the project success and sustainability. Furthermore, the basic living standards in the area, the level and availability of services and technology and environmental conditions have effects on the project and its sustainability [13].

Abdulahi identified four main factors that play to influence project sustainability such as community participation, community capacity building, project leadership and monitoring and evaluation of project [1]. Judging whether a project and its benefits are sustainable is important as a means of determining project success. However, the studies ignored other important factors affecting donor funded projects pertaining to government strategies, socio-economic factors, and target community participation. Therefore, understanding what factors influence sustainability is even more important for designing better projects in the future.

1.2. Statement of the Problem

Both international and national NGOs play an important role in development throughout the developing country. They offer services national governments are unable to provide for their citizens [10]. However, different scholars studied factors affecting sustainability of project outcomes. To mention few, Esther Mukoya Mutimba who studied determinants of sustainability of selected donor funded projects in Ganze constituency in Kenya revealed a strong positive correlation between management practices and sustainability in projects thus reflecting that project with good management practices had higher chance of sustainability [8]. Jimson Joseph Chumbula studied the sustainability of water projects in Tanzania [17]. The result of the study revealed that project maintenance per annum and meetings with community conducted per annum were found to affect sustainability of the project.

The Ethiopian government in collaboration with different partners have accorded high priority to the poverty reduction sectors and have shown commitment to design, generate funds and support implementation of different projects. Despite the recognition of the contribution of NGOs implemented projects, very limited studies have been made on sustainability of donor funded projects. As part of this effort, several projects have been designed and implemented and some are under implementation in West Arsi zone since the establishment of the zone. Although efforts have been made since then, there are problems in continuously generating benefits as targeted.

One of the critical problems concerning the projects implemented by NGOs in West Arsi Zone is sustainability that occurs after project phase-out. In this regard, although they are expected to continue as per the project plan or agreement signed between donor and government/community, significant number of projects failed to continue after project completion [30]. To improve this situation, it is necessary to identify key exit strategies design and implementations adapted and assess the extent of target community involvement and government strategies in influencing project sustainability. However, none attempted to investigate sustainability of non-governmental organizations implemented projects and no research has been done within the aforementioned geographical area to investigate factors affecting sustainability of donor funded projects. Therefore, this study attempted to seek answer for what are the main factors affecting sustainability of donor funded projects implemented in West Arsi Zone. The study findings helpfully provide the ways forward that will help the government and the concerned community to arrive at the desired targets; also, it will be used by the local government of the zone to refine the approaches that have been used for quite a long time in initiating sustainability.

The general objective of the study is to assess the determinants of projects sustainability implemented by NGOs in West Arsi Zone, Ethiopia. The specific objectives of the study are: to describe the socio-economic and
demographic characteristics of projects beneficiaries in the study area; assess the extent to which government strategies determine sustainability of donor projects; assess the design and implementation of key exit strategies adapted for ensuring project sustainability; examine how target community involvement at different stages of project management cycle influences the sustainability, and identify factors affecting projects sustainability of the beneficiaries in the study area.

2. Review of Related Literature

2.1. Theoretical Literature Review

In Ethiopia, there are different forms of NGOs and the main types are “Local Organization” means a civil society organization formed under the laws of Ethiopia by Ethiopians, foreigners resident in Ethiopia or both; “Foreign Organization” means a non-governmental organization formed under the laws of foreign countries and registered to operate in Ethiopia; “Charitable Organization” means an organization established with the aim of working for the interest of general public or third party; “Consortium” means a grouping formed by two or more civil societies Organizations, and includes consortia of consortiums [22].

Evolution of NGOs begun back in the 1910s, in Ethiopia, but they were few. Until 1973/74 there were about 18 registered NGOs. Due to the 1984/85 drought of Ethiopia their number increased to 58. As of April 2002, the number reached to 429. According to the registry of Ministry of Justice in addition to the federal-level, regions, too, have registered many more localized NGOs, and if included the total number of legally registered CSO/NGOs would be more than 3,000 [22].

Clark said that through strategic use of their grass roots experience, NGOs can make an invaluable contribution to development understanding [5]. According to Rahmato et al the heavy influx of foreign INGOs at the height of the famine crisis and the emergency food assistance they brought with them in Ethiopia during the Derg regime not only helped stave off the crisis but also provided a foundation for the growing involvement of INGOs in the country [27].

There are many definitions of sustainability and even more interpretation of its meanings. These are terms which are used frequently in development discourse and can be sources of misunderstanding or misrepresentation. In the most obvious sense, the term “sustainable” refers to something which can be sustained or kept going. But it also refers to resource use and lifestyles which do not damage resources or society [21].

Sustainability is of the opinion that the long-term sustainability of micro projects not only depends on community’s active participation in selecting technical options and services, but also end users need to make some responsibility for cost sharing and investment support [28]. The IFAD Strategic Framework 2007-2010 defines sustainability as ensuring that the institutions supported through projects and the benefits realized are maintained and continue after the end of the project [14].

2.2. Empirical Literature

Sustainability of the project is dependent on the performance of institutions. Project sustainability is indicated by the ability to continue to meet objectives defined in term of benefit levels. Clarke P. and Oswald K. adds that projects produce specific benefits for targeted beneficiaries which ideally should continue to increase after project completion [6]. More narrowly, one can speak of sustaining or keeping in operation a particular WS&S facility, such as a sewer system or hand pump.

When communities recover from costs or stabilize in raising funds for maintenance, it contributes to sustainability by increasing resources and expanding benefits. Beneficiary contribution to capital costs, either labor or money, may be a significant indicator of system sustainability. However, a willingness to contribute to capital expenditures, in cash or in-kind, does not on its own ensure sustainability [7].

Participation is defined as a process through which stakeholders influence and share control over development initiatives, and the decisions and resources which affect them [31]. Community participation in a micro project means the contribution of the people in the area of micro project in identifying, characterizing the problem and implementation [26].

In the views of Levinger et al, a well designed and implemented exit strategy bear six elements, namely: (i) Planning for exit from the beginning; (ii) Developing partnership and local linkages; (iii) Building local organizational and human capacities; (iv) Mobilizing local and external resources; (v) Staggering the phasing of activities and resources; and (vi) Allowing roles and relationship to evolve [20]. Based on the above examined background, exit strategies design and implementation adapted to ensure sustainability of donor funded projects in the study area was of the focus of this study.

3. Research Methodology

3.1. Method of Data Collection and Sampling

In order to select a representative sample of actors multistage random sampling techniques were implemented. In the first stage, out of the 13 woredas and 4 towns in the zone, three woredas namely Negelle Arsi, Siraro and Shashamane where significant number of NGOs with agriculture and related sectors of interventions were found in a mixed composition (local those established under Ethiopian organizations of civil societies proclamation or internationally formed under the laws of foreign countries), since 2015, were identified and selected purposively based on secondary data collected from West Arsi zone Finance and Economic Cooperation office. In the second stage, from target 30 projects implemented by NGOs in the three woredas, seven that accounts about 30% of the total phased
out projects were selected using probability proportional to sample size. This was based on heterogeneity of projects and [23] recommendation that when the target population is small (less than 1000 members), a sample of about 30% is adequate for research. This was undertaken after exhaustively listing projects taking into consideration 20 of the level of heterogeneity of the projects in terms of area of intervention (among agriculture related sectors) and, money and time required to collect data from these entire projects or contacts and considering.

Finally, in the third stage, the sample clients/beneficiaries of the projects were selected using simple random sampling techniques where each and all members of the project beneficiaries have equal chance of being selected as respondent. This was undertaken after having sampled project document so as to manage gaps in sampling exact number of sampled woreda and project specific target communities benefited from projects designed for more than one woredas. The approach used for this study to determine the sample size from the targeted population of clients/beneficiaries, project managers/officers, and selected project partner office experts was adopted from [19] as illustrated below:

\[
\frac{z^2 \cdot p \cdot q \cdot N}{a^2 N - 1 + z^2 \cdot p \cdot q}
\]

Where: n is the required sample size, N is the population size, z is value of standard variate at a given confidence level, p is sample population, is q is (1-p), and e is acceptable error.

A total of 14 individuals from project partners (project signatory offices), two per each project sampled for the study with a composition of officer and focal person from line project signatory offices were selected for interview. Furthermore, two experts working in zone NGOs coordination department were selected.

3.2. Data Processing and Analysis

This study was employed both qualitative and quantitative data. Data management such as data coding, entry and editing/checking was done using SPSS software whereas data analysis such as descriptive, inferential, and econometric model were done using STATA (Version 14). Probit regression model was employed to determine the factors affecting sustainability of the projects. The model was necessary to explain the prediction of factors likely to determine an outcome variable (sustainability) which is based on a set of values and the sustainability was dichotomous variable with two values, where it takes value=1 if the projects were perceived to be sustainable and 0 otherwise.

The probit model is built on a latent variable with the following formulation [32].

\[
Y_i = \Phi(X_i \beta + u_i) \sim N(0,1)
\]

Where:

\(Y_i\) is a latent (unobservable) variable representing whether the project outcome is sustained or not. \(X_i\) is a vector of independent variables hypothesized to affect projects sustainability, \(\beta\) is a vector of parameters to be estimated which measures the effects of explanatory variables on sustainability of projects, \(u_i\) is normally distributed disturbance with mean (0) and constant variance and captures all unmeasured variables \(Y\) is a dependent variable which takes value of 1 if the project outcome is sustainable and 0 otherwise.

The qualitative data was collected from the various composition of respondents was also analyzed along with document review and were discussed with quantitative data as deemed necessary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables used in the model</th>
<th>Variables Description</th>
<th>Category/Type of Variable</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sustainability of projects implemented by NGO</td>
<td>DPV: Do you think the project is sustainable?</td>
<td>Dummy</td>
<td>It take 1 if the project is sustainable and 0 otherwise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beneficiary involvement in PCM</td>
<td>IDPV1: Are you involved in identification of the project?</td>
<td>Dummy</td>
<td>It take 1 if they were involved and 0 otherwise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDPV2: Are you involved in project implementation?</td>
<td>Dummy</td>
<td>It take 1 if they were involved and 0 otherwise</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDPV3: Are you involved in project evaluation of project?</td>
<td>Dummy</td>
<td>It take 1 if they were involved and 0 otherwise</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDPV4: Gender of the respondent</td>
<td>Dummy</td>
<td>1=male 0=female</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDPV5: Level of education of the respondent</td>
<td>Categorical</td>
<td>1=no formal education, 2=primary, 3=secondary, 4=tertiary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socio- Economic factors</td>
<td>IDPV6: Did you trained on how to sustain benefit from the project?</td>
<td>Dummy</td>
<td>It takes 1 if attended training and 0 otherwise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDPV7: Money, Labor and local materials contribution</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>Birr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDPV8: Cost of input</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>Birr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government strategies</td>
<td>IDPV9: Government involvement and follow up in projects after phaseout of donors</td>
<td>Dummy</td>
<td>1 if follow up continued and 0 otherwise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDPV10: How do you rate complementing efforts from the government after implementing NGO left out?</td>
<td>Categorical</td>
<td>5=Very strong, 4=strong, 3=Medium, 2=fair, 1=poor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exit strategies design and implementation adapted</td>
<td>IDPV11: Do you think exit strategies were well designed?</td>
<td>Dummy</td>
<td>1=yes and 0=No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDPV12: Is there execution of planned exit strategies throughout the whole project life?</td>
<td>Dummy</td>
<td>1=yes and 0=No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Results and Discussions

4.1. Socio-economic and Demographic Characteristics of the Respondents

The study finding shows clearly that 60.8 and 20 percent of the sample project beneficiary respondents were within the age brackets of 35-45 and 46-55 years, respectively. Whereas those respondents who were older than 55 and younger than 35 years represented about 19.2 percent of the sampled project target beneficiaries. This implies that most of the NGOs implemented project target clients were young who are in the productive age group. This is due to the fact that NGOs working in agriculture and agriculture related sectors gave more focus to enhancing production and productivity which in turn resulted in poverty reduction than service and emergency responses.

The gender of the respondents, the females account 26.6% of the total of NGOs implemented project beneficiaries and the remaining 73.4% are male beneficiaries.

With regards to the marital status of the participants, most of them were married that accounts 79.6% (191) of the total respondents. On the other hand, about 10.4% (25) of the participants were not married/single. Most of the aged and experienced employees leave the bureau and fresh university graduates join it, implying the bureau could not retain its experienced employees.

4.2. Educational Level of Respondents

Further analysis however revealed that majority of the respondents (50.5%) had no formal education out of which 13.8% are females. It was also realized that those respondents who attended primary and secondary school constitutes 38% and 11.7% respectively (Figure 2). The analysis of the study findings is an indicative of the act that majority of the respondents had no formal education and could have a challenge to understand the matter under review and thus difficult to articulate project sustainability issues very well.
were asked to indicate the extent to which complementing effort from government and its involvement and follow up of donor funded projects after phase out determines sustainability of projects implemented by NGOs. They were given five Likert-scale points ranging from very strong to poor which they were to choose from. The study revealed that most respondents were in agreement that government involvement and follow up of donor funded projects after phase out of implementing organization greatly influences the sustainability of the projects. It also indicates that majority of the respondent (60.8%) scored that government involvement and follow up after phase-out of implementing organization influences the sustainability of donor funded projects very strongly (Figure 4).

4.3. Respondents Economic Occupation and Capability

The major economic occupations practiced in the study area were mixed farming and livestock herding followed by crop production. The survey results indicate that about 67%, 23% and 7% of the respondents had participated in mixed farming, livestock herding and crop production activities, respectively (Figure 3). This reflected that serious attention should be given to protect the problem of tree destruction in the area.

According to the results shown in Table 2, 72.08 percent of the respondents were not capable to contribute the required monetary and/or in-kind contribution to sustain project outcome.

Table 2. Economic capability of Respondents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Are you capable to contribute monetary and/or in kind contribution required for project sustainability</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>yes</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>27.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>72.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: survey result, 2020

4.4. Influence of Government Strategies on Project Sustainability

Sampled beneficiaries of the projects selected for the study

Figure 4. Beneficiary Rates on Government strategy influence of project sustainability.

Figure 4 sought to establish the extent at which complementing effort from government influence sustainability of projects when implementing organization pull out. The result found that 40, 33 and 22 percent of the respondents scored complementing effort from government influence sustainability of projects to a very strong, strong and medium extent respectively. This result agrees with finding from project partner sector experts and project coordinators that monitoring project outcome after phase out of implementation by NGOs has significant influence on sustainability of the project results.

Participants from the project signatory offices were asked to rate to what extent government strategy influences project sustainability. It was also found that 71.4 percent of the experts from project signatory offices had rated very strong that complementing efforts from the government influences sustainability of projects implemented by NGOs while the remaining 21.4 and 7.2 percent rated influence of complementing efforts from the government on project sustainability as strong and medium respectively.

With regard the effect of government involvement and follow up in projects after phase out of donors on sustainability of projects, the study revealed that 50 percent of experts rated very strong influence of government involvement and follow up in projects on sustainability.
4.5. Extent of Community Involvement Influence on Project Sustainability

The study showed that majority of the respondents (90.4%) rated their degree of involvement in project implementation influences on the project sustainability as very strong. Also, more than 73 percent of the effect of project beneficiary participation in project identification on such a project implemented by NGOs was rated as very strong and strong (Figure 5). The findings are in line with Holland study who confirmed the findings when he concluded that community engagement the collaboration between institutions and governments (local, state, national, global) for the mutually benefit of exchange of resources and knowledge in a partnership and reciprocity context in the US and hence influence sustainability [12].

![Extent of Community involvement at different stages of PCM](image)

**Figure 5. Extent of Community involvement in PCM.**

The findings further showed that majority of the respondents agreed that community engagement in project evaluation plays a key role in enhancing the sustainability of NGOs implemented projects. This was supported by majority of the respondents (70%) who strongly agreed that their participation in project evaluation influences project sustainability to a reasonable or more extent. The findings complement that of [7] who reported that Monitoring and Evaluation should involve beneficiaries, giving them the opportunity to decide on the criteria of success. Evaluations should be used as a management tool to identify any deficiencies and develop an action plan for sustainability.

4.6. Design and Implementation of Exit Strategies Adapted for Ensuring Project Sustainability

To assess the design and implementation of key exit strategies adapted for ensuring project sustainability; respondents were asked to point out design and implementation of key exit strategies in place. A total of Six (6) exit strategies were used in collecting information on respondents’ weight with regard to the level (low, medium, high) of exit strategies execution throughout the project life and how key each strategy was in ensuring project sustainability.

The result indicates appropriate execution of the project activities and resources, beneficiaries’ involvement in PCM and ensuring responsibilities and essence of the project to the community and relevant partners are of key project exit strategies designed and in place. With exception of two project exit strategies (planning for exit and execution of the plan and partnership and local linkages) which significant number of the respondents scored its execution throughout the whole project life at low level, more than 50% of the respondents weighted the remaining exit strategies designed and in place for the projects under study at high levels. The result implies that sustainability of NGOs implemented projects does not take the two project exit strategies into high level of consideration.

4.7. Factors Affecting Sustainability of Projects Implemented by NGOs

Probit regression model was employed to determine factors affecting the sustainability of projects implemented by NGOs.

According to Field to run a linear regression, checking critical assumptions is essential and it is helpful to draw conclusion about the population under study [9]. In this regard, normality of the residual variables, homoscedasticity and multi collinearity between variables were checked as follows:

4.7.1. Standardized (Z) Score Value

Before proceeding in to the other tests the researcher checked for the outliers. Checking for standardized (Z) scores for absolute higher values greater than 3.29 is important to insure the normality. As the following chart indicates all the values of Z score is found to be absolute higher values of greater than 3.

4.7.2. Homoscedasticity

Homoscedasticity is the extent to which the data values for the dependent and independent variables have equal variances [9]. At each level of the predictor variables, the variance of the residual terms should be constant. This just means that the residuals at each level of the predictors should have the same variance, therefore checking for this assumption is helpful for the fitness of the regression model. Accordingly, robust method was used to correct the possible problem of heteroscedasticity.

4.7.3. Multi Collinearity

Before running the model to estimate the equation of Factors Affecting Sustainability of Projects Implemented by NGOs, the association between explanatory variables was checked using variance inflation factor (VIF), which shows how variance of estimate is inflated because of the presence of multicollinearity [11]. VIF is defined as:

\[
\text{VIF} = \frac{1}{1-R^2}
\]

Where,

- \(R^2\) is the value of coefficient of multiple determinations

According to Saunders et al, most regression programs can compute variance inflation factors (VIF) for each variable and as a rule of thumb; VIF above 5.0 suggests problems
with multi-collinearity [29]. Field, also underline that, values for “Tolerance” below 0.1 indicate serious problems, although several statisticians suggest that values for “Tolerance” below 0.2 are worthy of concern [9]. Accordingly, multicollinearity test was presented in table 3, which indicates there is no problem of multicollinearity in this model, because VIF (variance inflation factor) of the model is well less than 5.0 and the tolerance is not less than 0.100. Therefore, the mean VIF is 1.13 that shows variables are not overlapped and they are free from collinearity effect.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>VIF</th>
<th>1/VIF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resource contr</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.793682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.834951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rate_gov’t comp eff</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>0.851909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parvaluat</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>0.852385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.885702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exit_des inplace</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.888805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Execut_exit_strat</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.893141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educat_HH</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.903873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invo_pro_idetif</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.906657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost_proj_input</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.923417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part_implment</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.956014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gov’t invol_followup</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.966371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean VIF</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Test of Multi Collinearity.

Source: Own Computation, 2020

4.8. Probit Model Analysis

Probit Model Result

| Sustainability                              | Coef.  | Robust Std. Err. | z      | P>|z|   | dy/dx  |
|---------------------------------------------|--------|------------------|--------|-------|--------|
| Involvement in project identification       | 0.833***| 0.255            | 3.26   | 0.001 | 0.2233 |
| Participation in project implement          | 0.107  | 0.261            | 0.41   | 0.682 | 0.0262 |
| Involvement in project evaluation           | 0.185  | 0.249            | 0.74   | 0.458 | 0.0444 |
| Sex of the respondent                       | -0.258 | 0.326            | -0.79  | 0.429 | -0.5664|
| Education status of the respondent          | 0.152***| 0.048            | 3.17   | 0.002 | 0.0362 |
| Training                                   | 0.177  | 0.276            | 0.64   | 0.523 | 0.0433 |
| Resource contribution                       | -0.007***| 0.001            | -7.08  | 0.000 | -0.0018|
| Cost of project input                       | -0.187***| 0.054            | -3.49  | 0.000 | -0.0447|
| Gov involvement and follow up               | 0.891***| 0.244            | 3.66   | 0.000 | 0.2061 |
| Rate of complementing effort gov’t         | -0.997***| 0.216            | -4.62  | 0.000 | -0.1250|
| Exit strategy design in place              | 0.119  | 0.268            | 0.45   | 0.656 | 0.0281 |
| Execution of planned exit strategy          | 0.491** | 0.240            | 2.04   | 0.041 | 0.2380 |
| _cons                                       | 4.666  | 0.942            | 4.96   | 0.000 |         |

Number of obs=240, Wald chi2 (12)=102.89, Prob > chi2=0.0000
Log pseudolikelihood=-73.175486 Pseudo R2=0.4885
***=1%, **=5% and *=10% significance level

The results of probit model chi-square tests applying appropriate degrees of freedom indicate that the overall goodness of fit of the model was statistically significant at a probability of less than 1%. Second, Pseudo $R^2$ values indicate that the independent variables included in the regression explain 48.85% of the variations in the likelihood to sustainable project implemented by NGOs.

The regression results show that there are seven variables that found to be significantly explaining the continuity of benefits after funding cycle (Table 4). These variables are:

1) Project beneficiary involvement in project identification influences
2) Money, labor and local materials contribution
3) Prices/cost of project inputs
4) Execution of planned exit strategies throughout the whole project life
5) Complementing efforts from the government
6) Government involvement and follow up in projects after phase out of donors
7) Education

The Regression model summary depicts the relationship between dependent and independent variables. Target beneficiary involvement in the project identification stage of PCM was found to have significant and positive influence on sustainability of projects implemented by NGOs at 1% significance level. The result shows that being participation of target project beneficiaries in project identification stage would increases the probability of NGOs implemented project sustainability by 22.33% as compared to non-participants. Respondents justified that including them actively in the project identification was mentioned being an efficient factor in enhancing benefit from the project that in
turn ensures its sustainability. This corroborates with Carol and IFAD findings that community participation levels and their outcomes may manifest differently at different stages of project cycle management depending on the capacity [4] and [15].

From the analysis in the table above, among socio-economic factors included in the model education, resource contribution (money, labor and local materials) and cost of project inputs were found to have significant influence on sustainability of projects implemented by NGOs. These variables are statistically significant at 1% significance level. Education was found to have positive influences that indicate being in better education category would increases the probability of project sustainability by 3.6 percent. Beneficiaries’ resource contribution and change in cost of inputs affects the probability of NGOs implemented project sustainability negatively. A change in resource contribution and cost of inputs would decrease the probability of project sustainability by 0.018% and 4.5% respectively. The study thus agrees with UNDP (1997) who noted that project sustainability is heavily dependent of the capacity of the community to continue making use of available resources to maintain project benefits.

Government strategy proxy variables were found to have significant influences on sustainability of projects implemented by NGOs. Relative to very strong rate by target beneficiaries concerning government complementing efforts after project phase out, being in other category rate of beneficiaries would decreases the probability of project sustainability by 12.5%. Moreover, government involvement and follow up in projects after phase out of implementing organization were found to have a positive effect and increases the probability of project sustainability by 20.6%. These finding imply that complementing efforts from the government that supports NGO implemented projects and government involvement and follow up in projects after phase out of implementing organization are positively related to sustainability of the projects.

Execution of planned project exit strategies throughout the whole project life was also found to have significant and positive influence on NGO implemented projects’ sustainability at 1% significance level. It implies that implementation of planned project exit strategy would increases the probability of sustainability of NGO implemented projects by 23.8%.

5. Conclusions

It can be concluded from the finding pertaining to project beneficiaries’ participation in identification, implementation, and evaluation stages of the PCM that including target beneficiaries of the project actively and acknowledging their opinions and suggestions in the project identification ensures its sustainability.

The study found that 75.8% of the respondents rated the level of partnership and local linkages to CBO, Gov’t sectors, NGOs etc in ensuring project sustainability beyond its lifespan of the as high. This concludes that NGOs implemented project sustainability is not only about beneficiary but also its partnership and linkage to the partner, CBOs, other NGOs that matters. So, this key project exit strategy is all-important.

From what study pointed out regarding the influences of government strategies on project sustainability, it can be concluded that ensuring government complementing effort and its involvement and follow up in projects after phasing out are vital to ensure project sustainability.

Effort from the government side that complement sustainability of project/s implemented by NGOs, its involvement and follow up in projects after phaseout of implementing organization and execution of planned exit strategies throughout the whole project life were seen to have a positive significant effect on the sustainability of projects implemented by NGOs. Other factors negatively affecting sustainability of donor funded projects were; Prices/cost of project inputs and money, labor and local materials contribution that the project demands from target project beneficiaries. Since various factors of government strategies, project exit strategies design and implementation adapted and socio-economic factors affect sustainability of projects implemented by NGOs, project beneficiaries, project managers, supervisors, and projects partners should not stick to only part of some factors. A combination of the various factors will bring significant sustainability NGOs implemented project/s.
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