

Research Article

Pathways to Peace: Identifying Opportunities for Successful Peacebuilding in Post-conflict South Sudan

Dut Bol Ayuel Bill* 

Department of Peace and Development Studies, Institute of Peace, Development and Security Studies, University of Juba, Juba, South Sudan

Abstract

This study investigates the primary opportunities for successful peacebuilding in post-conflict South Sudan, a nation grappling with the legacies of prolonged conflict and the challenges of sustainable recovery. Employing an exploratory research design, the study surveyed 169 respondents drawn from key segments of society, including government officials, representatives of non-governmental organizations (NGOs), traders, and students. The research utilized a combination of structured and unstructured questionnaires to ensure a comprehensive understanding of stakeholder perspectives, and data analysis was conducted using SPSS version 24 to provide robust statistical support for the findings. The results indicate that economic reconstruction is perceived as the most critical peacebuilding priority, as identified by 50.3% of respondents. This underscores the widespread recognition that rebuilding livelihoods, restoring infrastructure, and fostering economic growth are central to achieving lasting peace. Security sector reform emerged as the second most important opportunity (17.8%), reflecting the urgent need to strengthen institutions responsible for maintaining law and order, while social reconstruction (9.5%) was also highlighted as a key component, emphasizing reconciliation and the rebuilding of social trust within communities. Furthermore, the study finds significant support for improved implementation frameworks for peacebuilding initiatives, with 45% of respondents strongly agreeing and 18% agreeing with the need for more effective operationalization. Mutually accountable agreements between the government and international partners also garnered strong endorsement (52% strongly agree), signaling the importance of transparency and shared responsibility. Additional opportunities identified by respondents include the development of contingency relief structures (24%), the formulation of a shared peacebuilding doctrine (22%), institutional refurbishing (20%), and the establishment of mentorship programs (18%) to nurture local leadership and capacity. Collectively, these findings provide essential empirical evidence for policymakers, practitioners, and international stakeholders seeking to design and implement more effective peacebuilding strategies in South Sudan. The research highlights that a sustainable peace process must prioritize economic reconstruction, foster inter-institutional collaboration, and establish frameworks that promote accountability, inclusivity, and resilience in the face of ongoing challenges.

Keywords

South Sudan, Peacebuilding Opportunities, Conflict Transformation, Post-conflict Resilience and Sustainable Stability

*Correspondence: Dut Bol Ayuel Bill (kadianwanyama@yahoo.com)

Received: 16 September 2026; **Accepted:** 4 October 2026; **Published:** 29 October 2026



Copyright: © The Author(s), 2026. Published by Science Publishing Group. This is an **Open Access** article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

While much of the discourse on South Sudan highlights persistent challenges and setbacks, recent scholarship also emphasizes the need to examine opportunities to advance peacebuilding [1]. South Sudan has significant assets that may support peacebuilding, including vast natural resources; a predominantly young population, with over 70% under the age of 30 [7]; a vibrant civil society sector; resilient cultural traditions of conflict resolution; and robust international engagement [10].

Evidence from international peacebuilding practice indicates that post-conflict transitions can be critical junctures, offering rare opportunities for foundational reforms and accelerated development [4, 20]. [20] found that countries emerging from conflict may experience rapid economic growth, a 'peace dividend', when effective institutions and policies are implemented. Furthermore, such periods allow the renegotiation of social contracts, inclusive governance reforms, and efforts to address the root causes of conflict.

Despite persistent instability, South Sudan's peacebuilding landscape is characterized by dynamic local agency and innovation. Grassroots initiatives, such as inter-communal dialogues and youth peace committees, have demonstrated the potential to bridge divides and foster social cohesion [8]. These efforts, often supported by international organizations, highlight the importance of locally driven solutions that complement formal peace processes.

Notably, the role of women in peacebuilding has gained increasing recognition. Women's groups have played pivotal roles in mediating local conflicts, advocating for inclusive governance, and supporting trauma healing and livelihoods [10]. However, structural barriers and underrepresentation in formal decision-making persist, underscoring the need for greater gender inclusion in peacebuilding strategies [19].

Another critical dimension is integrating traditional conflict resolution mechanisms with formal state-building processes. South Sudan's elders, chiefs, and religious leaders have long played a central role in mediating disputes and maintaining social order at the community level [8]. Integrating these indigenous systems into national peacebuilding strategies not only enhances the legitimacy of interventions but also helps bridge the gap between rural communities and the central government, fostering trust and cooperation. Programs that support customary courts and local justice mechanisms have shown promise in addressing land disputes and cattle raiding, two major triggers of violence in rural South Sudan [8].

Moreover, regional dynamics significantly influence South Sudan's peace trajectory. The country's stability is closely intertwined with that of its neighbors, particularly Sudan, Uganda, and Ethiopia. Regional organizations such as the Intergovernmental Authority on Development (IGAD) have been instrumental in mediating agreements and mobilizing re-

sources [10]. Porous borders and frequent cross-border movement of people and goods mean insecurity and displacement in one country can rapidly spill over into others. Strengthening regional cooperation, enhancing joint security mechanisms, and aligning peacebuilding efforts with broader regional security initiatives will be essential for long-term stability and preventing renewed conflict.

2. Statement of the Problem

The dominant discourse on South Sudan has long focused on its fragility and persistent crises, painting a picture of hopelessness that, while grounded in reality, risks entrenching a cycle of disengagement and underinvestment in peacebuilding [7]. The humanitarian situation remains dire, with over 8.3 million people in need of assistance and the economy experiencing protracted instability [7]. These stark statistics reflect the real and formidable challenges facing the country, but such framing can obscure the potential for positive transformation and discourage innovative interventions in peacebuilding and development.

Ideally, peacebuilding efforts in South Sudan would harness the country's considerable assets, such as its abundant natural resources, youthful population, and active civil society, to promote economic reconstruction, reform governance institutions, transform the security sector, and advance community-level reconciliation [7, 10]. In practice, however, these opportunities are often overlooked or underused, as much policy and scholarly focus remains on crisis management rather than transformative change. Current research frequently highlights the challenges but seldom systematically explores the pathways to peace, thereby perpetuating a gap in the evidence base needed for strategic intervention [1, 11].

A significant research gap exists in understanding how diverse local stakeholders perceive and can contribute to peacebuilding opportunities. Few studies have gathered empirical data from a broad spectrum of actors, including government officials, civil society organizations, the private sector, and affected communities, to establish a comprehensive, evidence-based foundation for future interventions [20]. This study seeks to fill that gap by systematically assessing peacebuilding opportunities through an inclusive, multi-stakeholder lens, thereby informing more nuanced and effective strategies for sustainable peace in South Sudan.

Significance of the Study

This study provides direct and indirect benefits to a wide range of stakeholders engaged in peacebuilding in South Sudan. Policymakers and government officials will gain empirical insights into peacebuilding opportunities and constraints, enabling them to formulate evidence-based policies and allocate resources more effectively. International organizations and donors will benefit from a clearer understanding of local

priorities, thereby improving coordination and the impact of their interventions [11, 20]. Civil society organizations, community leaders, and traditional authorities will be empowered with data that supports advocacy, local initiatives, and the integration of indigenous peacebuilding mechanisms [8]. The study also highlights the perspectives and needs of vulnerable populations, including women, youth, and internally displaced persons, whose inclusion is critical for sustainable peace [10, 19].

Additionally, the academic and research community will benefit from the study's contribution to the limited literature on peacebuilding opportunities in South Sudan, filling a crucial research gap by providing new empirical data from a diverse respondent base. The findings will inform future research, curriculum development, and training programs in peace and conflict studies, both within South Sudan and internationally. Ultimately, by highlighting underexplored opportunities and proposing actionable recommendations, this study aims to facilitate more inclusive, effective, and sustainable peacebuilding strategies that improve the lives of all South Sudanese citizens.

3. Empirical Reviews

Recent scholarship on peacebuilding in South Sudan and comparable fragile states highlights the complex interplay between local agency, customary authority, elite interests, and institutional reform. While each study deploys distinct methodologies and focal points, together they map the evolving landscape of peacebuilding practice and scholarship.

The enduring salience of customary law and grassroots agency in South Sudanese peace-building is underscored by [8] and [16]. Pendle's ethnographic research reveals that traditional chiefs and customary mechanisms are central to local governance and social cohesion, serving as primary mediators in community disputes, especially concerning land and cattle. However, both [8] and [16] find that political interference and marginalization within externally driven peacebuilding frameworks often undermine local actors' authority. While Pendle identifies the challenge of integrating customary and formal mechanisms, [16] demonstrate that innovative local initiatives for reconciliation and social cohesion frequently go unsupported or are sidelined by international actors [16].

Building on these micro-level insights, [17] and [2] shift focus to the political economy and institutional dimensions of peacebuilding. [17] show how elite patronage networks shape the allocation of development resources, often co-opting peacebuilding for political gain and sustaining exclusion of marginalized groups. Brinkerhoff's analysis of institutional reform in post-conflict South Sudan highlights persistent obstacles: weak state capacity, fragmented donor engagement, and insufficient local stakeholder participation. Both studies converge on the need for adaptive, bottom-up approaches that respond to local realities rather than imposing externally conceived models.

Comparative perspectives from [1] and global policy analyses [11, 20] reinforce the importance of locally led, context-specific interventions. [1] argues that grassroots peacebuilding strategies are often more effective than top-down or externally designed interventions, but she cautions that questions remain about the scalability and sustainability of such approaches. Similarly, [20] finds that inclusive institutions are correlated with lasting peace and economic growth, yet rigorous evidence on the effectiveness of specific peacebuilding interventions, especially in volatile environments like South Sudan, remains limited.

Collectively, these studies point to a persistent tension between local, hybrid, and externally driven peacebuilding models. The literature affirms the importance of local agency, customary governance, and inclusive institutions, but reveals practical and theoretical gaps in how these principles are operationalized. Specifically, empirical research that systematically integrates micro-level perspectives and emphasizes both customary and formal actors within broader political economy and institutional frameworks remains limited [2, 8, 17].

This study addresses this gap by adopting a mixed-methods design that captures interactions among local stakeholders, customary authorities, and formal institutions within South Sudan's peacebuilding processes. By doing so, it seeks to advance a theoretical framework that moves beyond binary distinctions, local vs. external, traditional vs. Formal, toward a more nuanced, integrative understanding of peacebuilding efficacy and legitimacy in fragile states.

4. Research Methodology

This study used an exploratory research design and a mixed-methods approach to investigate opportunities for successful peacebuilding in South Sudan [5]. The study collected quantitative and qualitative data to provide a nuanced understanding of the research problem. The target population consisted of 300 individuals, from which the study drew a stratified purposive sample of 169 respondents: 50 government employees, 50 NGO staff, 39 traders, and 30 students. This sampling strategy ensured representation from key stakeholder groups involved in peacebuilding and development processes [12].

Data collection used self-administered questionnaires designed to capture both standardized and open-ended responses. The questionnaires included Likert-scale items to quantify perceptions and attitudes, as well as open-ended questions to explore respondents' insights and experiences in greater depth [3]. Prior to fieldwork, the research instruments were pilot-tested for clarity and reliability, following recommended best practices in mixed methods design [11, 12]. The University of Juba granted ethical approval, in accordance with international ethical standards such as the Declaration of Helsinki [18], and all participants provided informed consent, ensuring adherence to research ethics and confidentiality protocols.

The collected data were analyzed using SPSS version 24

[13]. Quantitative data were subjected to descriptive statistics (frequencies, means, and percentages) to summarize respondent characteristics and key variables. Inferential statistics, including chi-square tests, were used to examine associations and validate findings [6, 13]. The team coded qualitative re-

sponses thematically to identify recurring patterns and perspectives [9, 14]. Purposeful sampling and thematic trustworthiness criteria guided the qualitative component [14, 15]. This mixed-methods approach enhanced the rigor and depth of the analysis, providing robust evidence to inform peace-building strategies in South Sudan [17].

5. Results and Discussions

5.1. Demographic Characteristics

Table 1. Demographic Characteristics of Respondents (N = 169).

Variable	Frequency (f)	Percentage (%)	Total (N)
18-25 years	41	24.0	169
26-30 years	59	35.0	
31-45 years	47	28.0	
46 years and above	20	12.0	
Male	123	73.0	169
Female	46	27.0	
Primary Education	37	22.0	169
Secondary Education	49	29.0	
University Degree	66	39.0	
Master's Degree	17	10.0	164
Single	44	27.0	
Married	93	57.0	
Divorced	10	6.0	
Separated	16	10.0	169
Government Employee	69	41.0	
NGO Staff	46	27.0	
Student	34	20.0	
Trader	20	12.0	169
1 year	34	20.0	
2-5 years	49	29.0	
6-10 years	66	39.0	
11 years and above	20	12.0	

The demographic distribution demonstrates both diversity and depth of experience among respondents. Notably, the predominance of respondents under 30 indicates a youth-driven perspective, which is particularly relevant in South Sudan,

where youth are both most affected by conflict and most instrumental in shaping future peace. The gender gap, 73% male to 27% female, suggests ongoing barriers to women's participation in peace processes, echoing broader trends documented

in the peacebuilding literature. High levels of educational attainment further suggest this sample is relatively empowered, likely to engage critically with issues of governance and reform.

The range of marital statuses and occupational backgrounds illustrates that the survey reached individuals with varied social responsibilities and professional experiences. The high

proportion of government employees and NGO staff suggests strong representation from individuals actively involved in policy implementation and civil society. The significant number of respondents with over six years of experience suggests an informed respondent pool, with perspectives shaped by long-term engagement with South Sudan's social, economic, and political challenges.

5.2. Need for Improved Implementation Frameworks

Table 2. Responses on Improved Implementation Frameworks ($N = 169$).

Response	Frequency (f)	Percentage (%)	Cum.%
Strongly Agree	76	45.0	45.0
Agree	30	18.0	63.0
No Idea	19	11.0	74.0
Disagree	17	10.0	84.0
Neutral	15	9.0	93.0
Strongly Disagree	12	7.0	100.0
Total	169	100.0	100.0

Table 2 shows that the overwhelming majority of respondents (63%) either agree or strongly agree that improved frameworks are needed to strengthen the implementation of peacebuilding initiatives. This consensus may reflect lived frustrations with delayed or poorly coordinated projects and the desire for more predictable, transparent, and accountable systems. Only a minority (17%) expressed disagreement, and a small portion (11%) were uncertain, possibly indicating either a lack of direct experience or skepticism about the feasibility of reform.

The strong support for enhanced implementation aligns with persistent challenges identified in the literature, namely, fragmented institutional efforts and insufficient coordination among stakeholders [2, 11]. Furthermore, the distribution of responses points to a general recognition that improved frameworks are foundational for successful peacebuilding, echoing calls for sector-wide approaches and participatory planning processes that include both local communities and external partners.

5.3. Mutual Accountability Agreements

Table 3. Responses on Mutual Accountability Agreements ($N = 169$).

Response	Frequency (f)	Percentage (%)	Cum.%
Strongly Agree	88	52.0	52.0
Agree	24	14.0	66.0
Disagree	29	17.0	83.0
Strongly Disagree	12	7.0	90.0
No Idea	10	6.0	96.0
Neutral	6	4.0	100.0
Total	169	100.0	100.0

Table 3 indicates that a combined 66% of respondents support the need for mutual accountability agreements, which they view as vital for clarifying expectations and ensuring follow-through among all parties involved. The relatively high "strongly agree" response (52%) suggests this issue is particularly salient for many stakeholders, likely reflecting prior experiences with unfulfilled commitments or shifting priorities in peace processes.

The literature highlights that mutual accountability is not

only a matter of procedure but also of trust-building and legitimacy [11, 20]. The fact that a significant minority (24%) are either neutral, uncertain, or disagreeing may point to skepticism about the effectiveness of such agreements, or concern about their enforceability in the South Sudanese context. Nevertheless, the prevailing view supports international policy recommendations that stress results-based partnerships and transparent, measurable benchmarks.

5.4. Key Peace Building Opportunities

Table 4. *Specific Peace Building Opportunities (N = 169).*

Response	Frequency (f)	Percentage (%)	Cum.%
Economic reconstruction	85	50.3	50.3
Reform of the security sector	30	17.8	68.1
No Idea	19	11.2	79.3
Social reconstruction	16	9.5	88.8
Neutral	13	7.7	96.5
All of the above	6	3.5	100.0
Total	169	100.0	100.0

Economic reconstruction is viewed as the most critical peacebuilding priority, cited by just over half the sample. This finding underscores the perception that economic stability and job creation are not only ends in themselves but are prerequisites for social cohesion and effective governance. Security sector reform also features prominently (17.8%), indicating widespread concern about ongoing insecurity and the need for professional, accountable security institutions.

The fact that 11.2% indicated "No Idea" and 7.7% were

neutral suggests that some respondents may lack sufficient information to prioritize, or may view all opportunities as interdependent. The relatively low selection of "all of the above" (3.5%) could indicate strong preferences for specific interventions over generalized solutions. These priorities are consistent with [4] and [7] arguments that economic and security reforms must be central to peacebuilding strategies, and they reinforce the case for integrated, multi-sectoral solutions.

5.5. Additional Opportunities Identified

Table 5. *Other Opportunities for Successful Peace Building (N = 169).*

Response	Frequency (f)	Percentage (%)	Cum.%
Structure for contingency relief	41	24.0	24.0
Need to develop an agreed-upon doctrine	37	22.0	46.0
Systematic refurbishing of political, economic & social fabric	34	20.0	66.0
Mentorship	30	18.0	84.0
All of the above	27	16.0	100.0

Response	Frequency (f)	Percentage (%)	Cum. %
Total	169	100.0	100.0

Stakeholders identified a wide range of additional peace-building priorities. The emphasis on contingency relief demonstrates an acute awareness of recurring crises, such as conflict flare-ups, floods, or economic shocks, and the need for rapid response mechanisms. The call for an agreed-upon doctrine (22%) and systematic refurbishing (20%) reflects a desire for shared vision and big institutional change, while mentorship (18%) highlights the importance of capacity-building and leadership development.

A notable proportion (16%) selected “all of the above,” indicating recognition that these elements are interlinked and collectively necessary for sustainable peace. This view aligns with [2] and [1], who stress the need for holistic, flexible, and context-responsive frameworks in fragile settings. These findings point to the importance of integrating crisis preparedness and human capital development alongside economic and governance reforms.

5.6. Descriptive Statistics Summary

Table 6. Descriptive Statistics of Likert-Scale Items (N = 169).

Statement	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	Interpretation
Need for improved implementation frameworks	169	3.94	1.34	Agree
Mutual accountability agreements needed	169	3.92	1.42	Agree

Both statements received mean scores notably above the neutral midpoint, indicating broad-based agreement. The standard deviations (1.34 and 1.42) suggest some diversity of opinion but overall positive consensus. These results quantify and reinforce earlier qualitative findings, confirming that most respondents consider both improved frameworks and mutual accountability fundamental to effective peacebuilding.

This quantitative endorsement further supports policy recommendations from [11] and [20], which argue that clear structures and accountability mechanisms are essential for lasting impact in post-conflict reconstruction. The convergence between qualitative and quantitative findings underscores the credibility of these priorities among South Sudanese stakeholders.

5.7. Inferential Statistics: Chi-Square Tests

Table 7. Chi-Square (χ^2) Goodness-of-Fit Test Results.

Variable	χ^2 Value	df	p-value	Decision
Improved implementation frameworks (Q16)	104.195	5	< 0.001	Significant
Mutual accountability agreements (Q17)	166.183	5	< 0.001	Significant
Specific peace building opportunities (Q18)	148.645	5	< 0.001	Significant
Other opportunities (Q19)	3.633	4	< 0.001	Significant

Significance level: $\alpha = 0.05$

Table 7 reports the results of chi-square (χ^2) goodness-of-fit tests for the main variables in the study. All tested variables

improved implementation frameworks ($\chi^2 = 104.195$, $df = 5$, $p < 0.001$), mutual accountability agreements ($\chi^2 = 166.183$,

df = 5, $p < 0.001$), specific peacebuilding opportunities ($\chi^2 = 148.645$, df = 5, $p < 0.001$), and other peacebuilding opportunities ($\chi^2 = 3.633$, df = 4, $p < 0.001$) showed statistically significant results at the $\alpha = 0.05$ significance level. The extremely low p-values (< 0.001) across all items indicate that the observed response patterns are highly unlikely to have occurred by chance.

These results provide robust statistical validation for the study's descriptive findings, confirming that respondents' preferences and perceptions regarding peacebuilding are distinct and non-random. This statistical significance strengthens the reliability and generalizability of the study's conclusions. In the empirical literature, this inferential support is crucial: it shows that the consensus around implementation frameworks, mutual accountability, and prioritized opportunities emphasized by [2, 11], and [4] is not anecdotal but empirically substantiated among South Sudanese stakeholders. Consequently, these findings support policy recommendations and intervention designs with greater confidence, ensuring that future peacebuilding strategies are grounded in statistically sound evidence and stakeholder consensus.

6. Conclusions

This study extends the peacebuilding discourse in South Sudan by providing robust, stakeholder-driven evidence on the immediate priorities and practical pathways for sustainable peace. Unlike prior reports such as the World Bank (2018), which emphasize macro-level policy prescriptions, this research foregrounds the perspectives of those most engaged in and affected by peacebuilding: government employees, NGO staff, students, and traders across multiple demographics.

Key Conclusions Emerging from the Data

- 1) Economic reconstruction is the top priority: Directly reflected in the finding that 50.3% of respondents identified economic recovery as the single most important peacebuilding opportunity. This strong empirical signal suggests that peace initiatives must anchor economic stabilization and job creation at their core to achieve legitimacy and impact. Compared to previous studies, this data-driven insight quantifies the urgency and scale of economic needs from the lived experience of South Sudanese stakeholders.
- 2) Security sector reform and social reconstruction are also critical: The identification of security sector reform (17.8%) and social reconstruction (9.5%) as leading priorities signals that economic interventions must be integrated with efforts to enhance safety, justice, and social cohesion. The data reveal that stakeholders perceive these dimensions as interdependent, requiring coordinated policies rather than isolated reforms.
- 3) Practical implementation frameworks and mutual accountability: The overwhelming agreement on the need for improved implementation frameworks (mean = 3.94) and mutual accountability agreements (mean = 3.92)

highlights that respondents are not only aware of *what* needs to be done, but also *how* it should be achieved. The statistical significance of these preferences (chi-square tests, $p < 0.001$) demonstrates a broad consensus for structured, transparent, and participatory approaches to peacebuilding.

- 4) Nuanced and context-specific opportunities: The high value placed on contingency relief, development of agreed-upon doctrines, systematic institutional refurbishing, and mentorship reflects a sophisticated understanding of South Sudan's vulnerability to recurring crises and the need for both immediate and long-term solutions. These priorities, emerging from the data, move beyond generic recommendations to stress adaptive, context-responsive strategies.

Innovative Contributions

This study's unique contribution lies in its bottom-up, data-driven approach. By quantifying the weight of each peacebuilding priority, the research provides actionable evidence for policymakers. The emphasis on economic reconstruction, as prioritized by over half the respondents, demonstrates that peacebuilding will not gain traction without tangible improvements in livelihoods. Furthermore, the call for mutual accountability and improved frameworks reflects a sophisticated local appetite for reform, not merely a passive acceptance of international models.

Unlike the [20] report, which offers a global synthesis, this study delivers contextually grounded, stakeholder-specific evidence. It shows that South Sudanese actors want locally led, but globally informed, solutions, underscoring the need to adapt international best practices to local realities rather than simply importing them.

7. Recommendations

Recommendations Linked to Data

- 1) Prioritize economic programs: Direct resources and programming toward economic reconstruction, including job creation, vocational training, and support for local enterprise, as this is the foremost concern for the majority (50.3%) of stakeholders.
- 2) Integrate security and social reforms: Design peacebuilding initiatives that simultaneously address security sector reform and social cohesion, recognizing their critical but complementary roles as evidenced by their ranking in the data.
- 3) Establish robust, participatory implementation frameworks: Develop joint planning matrices and accountability mechanisms that reflect the widespread demand (over 60% agreement, high mean Likert scores) for structured and inclusive processes.
- 4) Invest in preparedness and capacity building: Support contingency relief structures and mentorship programs, as highlighted by respondents, to build resilience against shocks and nurture future leaders.

Abbreviations

AU	African Union
CSO	Civil Society Organization
DFID	Department for International Development (UK)
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
GoSS	Government of South Sudan
INGO	International Non-governmental Organization
NGO	Non-governmental Organization
OECD	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
R-ARCSS	Revitalized Agreement on the Resolution of the Conflict in the Republic of South Sudan
SPSS	Statistical Package for the Social Sciences
SSR	Security Sector Reform
UN	United Nations
UNDP	United Nations Development Programme
UNMISS	United Nations Mission in South Sudan
WB	World Bank

Author Contributions

Dut Bol Ayuel Bill: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares no conflicts of interest.

References

- [1] Autesserre, S. (2021). *The frontlines of peace: An insider's guide to changing the world*. Oxford University Press.
- [2] Brinkerhoff, D. W. (2019). Institutional reform and peacebuilding: Lessons from South Sudan. *International Peacekeeping*, 26(2), 217-239. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13533312.2018.1557050>
- [3] Collier, P. (2020). *Wars, guns, and votes: Democracy in dangerous places*. HarperCollins.
- [4] Johnson, D. H. (2021). *South Sudan: A new history for a new nation* (2nd ed.). Ohio University Press.
- [5] Mac Ginty, R., & Richmond, O. P. (2018). The local turn in peace building: A critical agenda for peace. *Third World Quarterly*, 39(8), 1396-1417. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01436597.2018.1438253>
- [6] OECD. (2020). *States of Fragility 2020*. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/ba7c22e7-en>
- [7] OCHA. (2022). *South Sudan Humanitarian Needs Overview* 2022. United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs. <https://reliefweb.int/report/south-sudan/south-sudan-humanitarian-needs-overview-2022>
- [8] Pendle, N. (2020). Customary law and peacebuilding in South Sudan: The role of chiefs in conflict resolution. *African Affairs*, 119(475), 87-110. <https://doi.org/10.1093/afraf/adz028>
- [9] Pospisil, J., & Jones, B. (2021). Beyond crisis: Peacebuilding and local agency in South Sudan. *Journal of Intervention and Statebuilding*, 15(2), 134-154. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17502977.2020.1842873>
- [10] R-ARCSS. (2018). *Revitalized Agreement on the Resolution of the Conflict in the Republic of South Sudan*. Intergovernmental Authority on Development (IGAD).
- [11] Bryman, A. (2016). *Social research methods* (5th ed.). Oxford University Press.
- [12] Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2018). *Designing and conducting mixed methods research* (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.
- [13] Field, A. (2018). *Discovering statistics using IBM SPSS statistics* (5th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- [14] Nowell, L. S., Norris, J. M., White, D. E., & Moules, N. J. (2017). Thematic analysis: Striving to meet the trustworthiness criteria. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 16(1), 1-13. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1609406917733847>
- [15] Palinkas, L. A., Horwitz, S. M., Green, C. A., Wisdom, J. P., Duan, N., & Hoagwood, K. (2015). Purposeful sampling for qualitative data collection and analysis in mixed method implementation research. *Administration and Policy in Mental Health and Mental Health Services Research*, 42(5), 533-544. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10488-013-0528-y>
- [16] Pospisil, J., & Jones, S. (2021). Local peace processes in South Sudan: Perceptions, influence and implications. *Rift Valley Institute*. <https://riftvalley.net/publication/local-peace-processes-south-sudan>
- [17] Rolandsen, Ø. H., & Kindersley, N. (2017). *South Sudan: A political economy analysis* (NUPI Report 2-2017). Norwegian Institute of International Affairs. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3066362>
- [18] World Medical Association. (2013). *World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki: Ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects*. *JAMA*, 310(20), 2191-2194. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2013.281053>
- [19] UN Women. (2023). *Women, Peace and Security in South Sudan: Annual Report 2022*. United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women. <https://www.unwomen.org/en/digital-library/publications/2023/03/women-peace-and-security-in-south-sudan-annual-report-2022>
- [20] World Bank. (2018). *Pathways for Peace: Inclusive Approaches to Preventing Violent Conflict*. World Bank Group. <https://doi.org/10.1596/978-1-4648-1162-3>