Research Article



Evaluation of Environmental Radioactivity and Estimation of Radiation Exposure in the Niankhene Agricultural Field in Senegal

Djicknack Dione^{1, 2, *} , Papa Macoumba Faye^{1, 2}, Moussa Hamady Sy^{1, 2}, Oumar Ndiaye^{1, 2}, Nogaye Ndiaye^{1, 2}, Alassane Traor é^{1, 2}, Ababacar Sadikhe Ndao^{1, 2}

¹Institute Technologies of Nuclear Applied, Cheikh Anta Diop University, Dakar, Senegal ²Department of physics, Faculty of Sciences and Technologies, Cheikh Anta Diop University, Dakar, Senegal

Abstract

The presence of radioactivity, originating from both natural and human-induced sources, is widespread in varying degrees throughout the Earth's crust. Soil, as a fundamental component of the Earth's crust, serves as an ongoing source of exposure to humans. The level of radioactivity in soil is influenced by factors such as soil composition and land usage. It is expected that barren soil exhibits distinct radioactivity levels compared to cultivated soil. To investigate the radioactivity levels within barren soil, a study was conducted on approximately 11 hectares of soil samples located in Niankhene. Utilizing gamma ray spectrometry methodology with a high purity germanium gamma-ray detector, activity concentration levels of radionuclides including ⁴⁰K, ¹³⁷Cs, ²²⁶Ra, and ²³²Th were evaluated. A total of 16 soil samples were collected at depths ranging from 0 to 40 cm with 20 cm intervals. The activity concentrations of the radionuclides were observed as follows: ⁴⁰K ranged from below the limit of detection to 34.7 Bq.kg⁻¹; ¹³⁷Cs varied from 0.06 to 0.80 Bq.kg⁻¹; ²²⁶Ra measured was between 7.49 and 101.56 kg⁻¹; and ²³²Th ranged 0.33 and 12.68 Bq.kg⁻¹. The total dose radiation exposure were 27 nGy/h in this study. Before conducting radiometric measurements, chemical analyses were performed to determine the concentrations of Na, Ca, and Mg, along with measurements of electrical conductivity and pH levels of the soil samples.

Keywords

Soil, Gamma Spectrometry, Environmental Radioactivity, 40K, 226Ra, 232Th, 137Cs

1. Introduction

Human reliance on soil and its quality is interdependent with human activities and their utilization. Defined as a composite of natural materials on the Earth's surface housing organic life and sustaining vegetation, soil is structured as a three-phase system comprising solids, liquids, and gases [1]. Soil is an assemblage of natural components on the Earth's surface, encompassing living organisms and serving as a foundation that nurtures or has the potential to nurture plant life [2]. It is a multifaceted substance owing to its considerable diversity in physical and chemical composition. Within it reside minute yet crucial amounts of both

*Corresponding author: taphadione02@gmail.com (Djicknack Dione)

Received: 22 February 2024; Accepted: 4 March 2024; Published: 19 March 2024



Copyright: © The Author(s), 2024. Published by Science Publishing Group. This is an **Open Access** article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

organic and inorganic compounds, pivotal for the flourishing of plant growth. Various soil types exist, contingent upon their physical and chemical makeup. Soil classification includes categories like saline, saline-sodic, alkali, and more [3]. Soil, for instance, experiences an elevation in salt concentration to a degree that detrimentally impacts crop growth. Soil comprises not only organic and inorganic compounds but also naturally occurring radionuclides such as uranium, thorium, radium, potassium-40, and others [4]. These elements exist naturally as a mixture of oxides, hydrated oxides, carbonates, phosphates, sulphates, vanadates, and silicates. Additionally, nuclear activities related to atomic weapons testing represent another source of soil contamination. The primary mode of contamination originated from direct fallout onto vegetation from the atmosphere [5]. The radioactive isotope, cesium-137 (137Cs), exhibits a strong affinity for absorption and retention by soil particles, akin to the behavior of natural radionuclides distributed at varying depths within soil [6, 7]. The issue of radioactive contamination gained significant public attention following the Chernobyl accident. Naturally occurring radionuclides, such as those from the uranium and thorium series, constitute the primary contributors to radiation doses received by humans. In response to heightened public concern and awareness regarding radioactive pollution, this study aims to quantify the level of radioactivity and evaluate radiation exposure from the soil in the Niankhene field in senegal.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Sampling and Preparation Samples

The surveyed sites were found to consist mainly of light sand, prompting an investigation into factors such as land use duration, climatic conditions, and the crops cultivated by local landowners. The study site is Niankhene which found in the Tivaoune department in senegal. The spacing between sampling points varied from 25 to 50 meters, depending on site accessibility [8-11]. For the investigation of Assessment of Environmental Radioactivity and deposition, field and laboratory equipment, including a COBRA percussion motor and a corer with 10 cm diameter PVC tubes, were utilized for sample collection [12, 13]. The next figure show the processus of collection, preparation of samples.



Figure 1. Collection and Preparation of samples.

2.2. Gamma-ray Spectrometry

Analyses were conducted in the gamma spectrometer laboratory at the Institute. A CAMBERRA brand detector, a High Purity Germanium (HPGe) type N with 15% relative efficiency, equipped with a carbon window and covering an energy range from 10 keV to 10 MeV, was used to determine natural radionuclides (⁴⁰K, ²³²Th, and ²²⁶Ra decay products) as well as artificial radionuclides such as ¹³⁷Cs. Sample analysis was performed using the Genie 2000 software. Each sample underwent measurements for a minimum duration of 86,000 seconds [14-17]. Energy and relative efficiency calibrations

for the detector were carried out using a multinuclide reference standard emitting gamma rays within the 60–1333 keV energy range. Geometry calibration and validation were conducted using the mathematical software Labsocs. The activity concentrations of the relevant radionuclides in each sample were determined based on their respective gamma lines or those emitted by their progenies. The equations used to calculate the activity concentration and its uncertainty followed the methodologies outlined by IAEA and Samat [18, 19]. Additionally, to account for minor photopeaks in each sample, the minimum detectable activity (MDA) was computed using the formula initially established by Currie [20]. Specific gamma lines used to identify and quantify radionuclides in the samples were 352 keV for ²²⁶Ra, 911 keV for 232 Th, 662 keV for 137 Cs, and 1460 keV for 40 K [21]. These gamma lines were employed in gamma spectroscopy to determine the activity concentrations of radionuclides based on the characteristic gamma emissions associated with each radionuclide or its decay products. It appears that radioactive equilibrium within the ²³²Th decay chain might not persist all the way to ²³²Th itself but rather reach equilibrium at ²²⁸Ra (²²⁸Ac) or ²⁰⁸Tl. However, for reporting consistency with current literature practices, the values will be presented as ²³²Th, and the activity of ²¹⁴Pb will be considered as the values of ²²⁶Ra. As for ⁴⁰K, its activity concentration was determined using its 1460 keV gamma line, and for ¹³⁷Cs, the 662 keV gamma line was utilized in determining its activity concentration [22]. Quality control tests were conducted using standard reference materials (IAEA-321 and IAEA-414, reference materials provided by the International Atomic Energy Agency). The obtained results aligned well with the values of the IAEA-321 and IAEA-414 samples, except for ²³²Th due to its low activity. The activity concentrations of the radionuclides of interest were determined for each sample using specific gamma lines emitted by the respective radionuclides or their decay progenies. The gamma lines used were as follows: 911 keV for ²³²Th (²²⁸Ac) with an intensity of 26.2%, 352 keV for Ra (²¹⁴Pb) with an intensity of 35.6%, 662keV for ¹³⁷Cs with an intensity of 84.9%, and 1461 keV for 40 K with an intensity of 10.5% [23]. These gamma lines were employed in gamma spectroscopy to identify and quantify the radionuclides present in the samples, enabling the determination of their activity concentrations based on the characteristic gamma emissions associated with each radionuclide or its decay products [24]. It appears that the radioactive equilibrium within the ²³²Th decay chain might not persist all the way to 232 Th itself but rather reach equilibrium at 228 Ra (228 Ac or ²⁰⁸Tl). However, for reporting consistency with current literature practices, the values will be presented as ²³²Th, and the activity of ²¹⁴Pb will be considered as the values of ²²⁶Ra. As for ⁴⁰K, its activity concentration was determined using its 1460 keV gamma line, and for ¹³⁷Cs, the 662 keV gamma line was utilized in determining its activity concentration. The quality control tests were conducted using standard reference materials (IAEA-321 and IAEA-414, reference materials provided by the International Atomic Energy Agency). The obtained results aligned well with the values of the IAEA-321 and IAEA-414 samples, except for 232 Th due to its low activity [25]. Gamma-ray spectrometry was utilized to measure the radioactivity of the samples under investigation. This system also included an inbuilt power supply and amplifier. The MCA card was installed within a personal computer (PC) for data acquisition and analysis purposes [26]. The International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) soil reference material was employed for calibrating the spectrometer. Spectra from each soil sample were collected for a duration of 65,000 seconds. The areas under the energy peaks, as provided in Table 1, were utilized to generate a peak efficiency curve by plotting the logarithm of

efficiency against the logarithm of peak energy [27].

Table 1. Gamma-ray energies used for the calibration of spectrometer and for the measurement of activity of the radionuclides of interest.

Parent nuclide	Daughter nuclide	γ-ray energy (keV)	Abundace (%)
²²⁶ Ra	²¹⁴ Pb	241.98	7.12
	²¹⁴ Pb	395.21	19.20
	²¹⁴ Pb	351.92	35.10
	²¹⁴ Bi	609.32	44.60
	²¹⁴ Bi	768.30	4.76
	²¹⁴ Bi	1120.28	14.70
	²¹⁴ Bi	1238.11	5.78
	²¹⁴ Bi	1764.52	15.10
²³² Th	²²⁸ Ac	202.39	3.81
	²¹² Pb	238.63	43.50
	²²⁸ Ac	338.42	11.26
	²²⁸ Ac	463.10	4.50
	²⁰⁸ Tl	583.19	30.58
	²⁰⁸ Bi	727.33	6.64
	²⁰⁸ Tl	860.56	4.50
	²²⁸ Ac	911.16	26.60
	²²⁸ Ac	964.64	5.05
	²²⁸ Ac	968.97	16.23
	²⁰⁸ Te	2641.60	35.80
⁴⁰ K		1460.60	10.67

The lowest limits of detection (LLD) for the isotopes 40K, 137Cs, 232Th, and 226Ra were determined and are presented in Table 2. Spectra for each sample were collected over a duration of 86,000 seconds.

Table 2. The lowest limit of detection (LLD) for the radionuclides for ${}^{40}K$; ${}^{137}Cs$; ${}^{232}Th$ and ${}^{226}Ra$.

Nuclides	Lowest detection limit (Bq.kg ⁻¹)
⁴⁰ K	-
¹³⁷ Cs	0.01
²²⁶ Ra	1.20
²³² Th	0.10

3. Results and Discussion

Gamma-ray spectrometry was employed to investigate the activity concentrations of natural radionuclides from the uranium and thorium series, as well as ⁴⁰K and the fission product ¹³⁷Cs, in soil samples from Niankhene, located in the

department of Tivaoune in the Thies region of Senegal. The primary radionuclides of interest in the area were identified as ⁴⁰K, ²²⁶Ra, and ²³²Th [28]. Table 3 provides the average values of specific gamma-ray activities attributed to ⁴⁰K, ¹³⁷Cs, ²³²Th, and ²²⁶Ra in the soil samples.

	Activity concentrations in (Bq.kg ⁻¹)				
Sample name	⁴⁰ K	²³² Th	²²⁶ Ra	¹³⁷ Cs	
S1	0.33 ±0.26	3.42 ±0.39	7.49 ± 0.05	Below LDL	
S2	1.32 ± 0.01	6.45 ± 0.50	69.89 ± 12.18	0.57 ± 0.03	
S3	0.69 ± 0.01	0.33 ± 0.02	19.35 ± 1.01	$0.80\!\pm\!0.01$	
S4	34.7 ± 1.70	3.54 ±0.22	9.39 ±0.59	0.09 ± 0.02	
S5	Below LDL	12.68 ± 1.31	16.45 ± 1.67	0.11 ± 0.02	
S6	Below LDL	3.12 ±0.19	86.66 ± 12.82	0.06 ± 0.02	
S7	Below LDL	3.13 ±0.19	101.56 ± 15.09	0.15 ± 0.02	
S8	1.35 ± 0.01	3.11 ±1.01	101.55 ± 1.30	0.15 ±0.03	

Table 3. Activity of naturally occurring radioisotopes in the soil samples in Niankhene.

Notably, the activity levels were observed to conform to a normal distribution. Regarding the distribution of activity concerning depth, no discernible correlation was noted between these two parameters. This suggests that the activity concentrations of the investigated radionuclides do not exhibit a systematic variation with depth in the soil profile. Natural potassium consists of three isotopes: ³⁹K, ⁴⁰K, and ⁴¹K. Only the isotope ⁴⁰K exhibits natural gamma radioactivity, with a half-life of approximately 1.3×10⁹ years. In nature, ⁴⁰K accounts for about 0.012% of all potassium. During its decay, ⁴⁰K undergoes beta decay to produce two daughter isotopes: ⁴⁰Ca and ⁴⁰Ar. This decay process involves the emission of beta particles and gamma radiation. The extensive use of fertilizers, particularly those containing potassium, has significantly impacted the concentration of radionuclides in the environment. Potassium-containing fertilizers are one of the primary contributors to the elevated levels of ⁴⁰K activity observed in soil [29]. The concentration range of 40 K in the soil ranged from below the limit of detection to 34.7 Bq.kg⁻¹, with an average value of 4.80 Bq.kg⁻¹. The low amount of 40 K is explained by the fact that the Niankhene site is very poor in fertilizers. As previously mentioned, in addition to ⁴⁰K, the other naturally occurring radionuclides measured were ²²⁶Ra and ²³²Th. ²²⁶Ra (a member of the ²³⁸U series) is considered the most highly radiotoxic natural radionuclide [30]. The activity range of ²²⁶Ra measured was between 7.49 and 101.56 kg⁻¹, with an average of 52.42 Bq.kg⁻¹. The specific activity range of 232 Th (with a half-life of 1.4×10^{10} years) was between 0.33 and 12.68 Bq.kg⁻¹, averaging at 4.47 Bq.kg⁻¹. The average activity of ²²⁶Ra was found to be 11 time that of ²³²Th [31]. Additionally, the activity concentration of ²²⁶Ra in soil is an order of magnitude higher than that of ⁴⁰K and ²³²Th. Since the turn of the century, it has been recognized that phosphate rocks harbor significant concentrations of uranium, thorium, radium, and the decay products of radium [32]. Phosphate rock serves as a crucial raw material in the production of various types of phosphatic fertilizers. Consequently, during the processing of this rock into fertilizers, a significant portion of the uranium and some of the radium are carried along with the fertilizers [33]. Previous estimations have indicated that the application of phosphatic fertilizers to fields in recommended quantities could lead to an increase in the radioactivity levels in soils. The activity of ¹³⁷Cs in all the samples was found to be very low and ranged from 0.06 to 0.80 Bq.kg⁻¹. Several reasons may account for the low activity of ¹³⁷Cs in the soil of Niankhene. Firstly, the presence of trees and grass in the area could have acted as a shield, resulting in a reduction of ¹³⁷Cs within the soil. Additionally, since the land remained barren, it's plausible that cattle consumed contaminated grass containing ¹³⁷Cs. Moreover, any remaining ¹³⁷Cs might have been eroded by environmental factors such as rain and wind over time. The observed variations in activity levels have been noted to fall within the range of activity values measured worldwide [34]. A comparison of activity levels with global standards can be seen in Table 4.

Table 4. Comparison of activity	levels in	ı the	Niankhene	soil	and	the
world average.						

Transform	Activity concentration (Bq.kg ⁻¹)			
Location	⁴⁰ K	²²⁶ Ra	²³² Th	
Niankhene soil World average	Below LDL - 35 140-850	7-102 17-60	0-13 11-64	

The decay of naturally occurring radionuclides in soil generates gamma and beta radiation fields within the soil, which can extend beyond the soil-air interface, resulting in human exposure [35]. Outdoor external exposures stem from terrestrial radionuclides present in trace amounts in all soils. The external gamma dose rate in the air is determined by measuring the concentration of the relevant radionuclide in the soil. In the UNSCEAR 2000 report, coefficients for converting activity concentration to absorbed dose rate in air are provided and presented in Table 5.

Table 5. Activity to dose rate conversion factors [36].

Radionuclides	Dose coefficient (nGy.h ⁻¹ per Bq.kg ⁻¹)
⁴⁰ K	0.0417
²³⁸ U	0.462
²³² Th	0.604

The application of these dose coefficients, which relate soil concentration to absorbed dose rate in air, to the measured values yields results as shown in Table 6.

Table 6. Radiation dose in air due to natural radioactivity in the Nainkhene soil sample.

Radionuclides	Average activity (Bq.kg ⁻¹)	Absorbed dose in the (nGy.h ⁻¹)
Κ	4.80	0.2
U	24.23	24.23
Th	2.70	2.70
	Total	
	World range	27.13
	[36]	18-93

The Total absorbed dose rates in at radionuclides (238 U, 232 Th, and 40 K) could be calculated by using Equation (1) on

the guidelines provided by: [37]

$$D(nGyh - 1) = 0.462A_U + 0.604A_{Th} + 0.0417A_K$$

Where are the average activity concentration of ²³⁸U, ²³²Th and ⁴⁰K respectively?

The calculated value is 27 nGy/h, which falls within the dose rate range of 18–93 nGy/h given for the world in the UNSCEAR 2000 report.

4. Conclusion

In this study, an evaluation of environmental radioactivity and estimated radiation exposure in the Niankhene agricultural field in Senegal. Through systematic measurements and analysis, we determined the level of radioactivity present in the soil and surrounding environment. The calculated radiation exposure level, represented by the value of 27 nGy/h, was found to fall within the dose rate range of 18 to 93 nGy/h as outlined in the UNSCEAR 2000 report. These findings provide valuable insights into the radiological safety of the agricultural field and its potential impact on public health. The results of this evaluation underscore the importance of ongoing monitoring and assessment of environmental radioactivity in agricultural areas. Such efforts are crucial for ensuring the safety of agricultural workers, nearby residents, and consumers of agricultural products. Furthermore, this study highlights the need for continued research and mitigation strategies to address any potential risks associated with radiation exposure in agricultural settings. In conclusion, the evaluation of environmental radioactivity and radiation exposure in the Niankhene agricultural field contributes to our understanding of radiological safety in Senegal and emphasizes the importance of proactive measures to safeguard public health and the environment.

Abbreviations

IAEA: International Atomic Energy Agency MDA: Minimum Detectable Activity MCA: Multi Channel Analyser HPGe: High Purity of Germanium PVC: Poly Vinyl Chloride LDD: Low Detection Limit

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

 Bool, S. W., Hole, Mogracxen, 1976. Soil Genesesis and ClassiAcation, 3, 288–314.

- [2] Russal, M. B., 1957. Physical properties in soil. US Agricultural Year Book, Washington DC, pp. 31–38.
- [3] Brady et al., 1990. The Nature and Properties of Soils, 10th Edition. Macmillan, London, pp. 243–246.
- [4] Zahid, C. S., Hasan, M. K., Aslam, M., Khan, K., Jabbar, A., OrA, S. D., 1999. Measurement of radioactivity level in soil samples of eastern salt range. The Nucleus 36(3–4), 201–204.
- [5] IAEA, 1989. Measurement of radionuclides in food and environment. Technical Reports Series no. 295.
- [6] COXM, Eank Hauser, B. L., 1994. Distribution of fall out Ceasium-137 in Hawaii, Health Phys. 46, 65–67.
- [7] Zahid, C. S., Hasan, M. K., Aslam, M., Iqbal, S., OrA, S. D., 2001. Study of 137Cs contamination in soil and food samples of Jhanger valley, Pakistan. The Nucleus 38(2), 101–105.
- [8] Walling, D. E. 2006. The use of fallout radionuclides as tracers in soil erosion investigations. Geomorphology, 79(3-4), 217-239.
- [9] Bissonette, J. A., & Storch, I. (Eds.). (2003). Landscape ecology and resource management: Linking theory with practice. Island Press.
- [10] Turner, M. G., Gardner, R. H., & O'Neill, R. V. (2001). Landscape ecology in theory and practice: pattern and process. Springer Science & Business Media.
- [11] Wu, J. (2013). Landscape ecology, cross-disciplinary science, and sustainability science. Landscape Ecology, 28(1), 1-4.
- [12] Lado, M., Ben-Hur, M., & Schwertmann, U. (1999). Soil sampling and handling. In Handbook of Soil Analysis: Mineralogical, Organic and Inorganic Methods (pp. 11-15). Berlin, Germany: Springer.
- [13] USDA-NRCS (United States Department of Agriculture -Natural Resources Conservation Service). (2017). Soil Quality Indicators - Soil Sampling Methods. Retrieved from https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detailfull/soils/heal th/assessment/?cid=nrcs142p2_053869
- [14] McCall, P. L. and Otero, E. (eds.) (2017). Environmental Radioactivity: From Natural, Industrial and Military Sources. Fourth Edition. Elsevier.
- [15] IAEA (International Atomic Energy Agency). (2010). Handbook of Parameter Values for the Prediction of Radionuclide Transfer in Terrestrial and Freshwater Environments. Technical Reports Series No. 472.
- [16] Seliman, A. F., and Hassan, A. M. (2016). "Measurement of natural radioactivity in soil samples using HPGe detector." Journal of Radiation Research and Applied Sciences, Vol. 9, No. 1, pp. 87-94.
- [17] Johnson, T. E., and Ticknor, B. W. (2018). "Measurement of cesium-137 in soil samples using an HPGe detector." Journal of Environmental Radioactivity, Vol. 190-191, pp. 1-8.
- [18] IAEA (1989) Measurement of radionuclides in food and the environment: a guidebook Technical Reports No. 295. IAEA, Vienna.

- [19] Samat SB, Evans CJ (1992) Statistics and nuclear counting theory, problems and solutions. University Pertanian Malaysia Press, Serdan.
- [20] Currie LA (1968) Limits for qualitative detection and quantitative determination. Anal Chem 40(3): 586–693.
- [21] Tawalbeh AA, Samat SB, Yasir MS (2013) Radionuclides level and its radiation hazard index in some drinks consumed in central zone of Malaysia. Sains Malays. 42(3): 319–323.
- [22] Jodłowski P, Kalita SJ (2010) Gamma-ray spectrometry laboratory for high-precision measurements of radionuclide concentrations in environmental samples. Nukleonika 55: 143.
- [23] ICRP (1983) International Commission on Radiological Protection. Radionuclide transformations. Publication of International Commission on Radiological Protection. ICRP-38, 11–13.
- [24] IAEA (1989) International Atomic Energy Agency. Measurement of radionuclides in food and the environment. Technical report series, No. 295. IAEA, Vienna.
- [25] UNSCEAR (2000) Sources and effects of Ionizing radiation. Report to the general assembly with scientific annexes. New York.
- [26] Lee, S. C., Kein, C. K., Lee, D. M., Kang, H. D., 2001. Natural radionuclides content and radon exhalation rates in building material used in South Korea. Radiation Protection and Dosimetry 94(3), 269–274.
- [27] Nasim Akhtara, M. Tufailb, M. Ashraf c, M. Mohsin Iqbal. Measurement of environmental radioactivity for estimation of radiation exposure from saline soil of Lahore, Pakistan (2005) 11–14.
- [28] Kohler, K., Gleisberg, B., Niece, S., 1996. Investigation of soil plant transfer of primordial radionuclides in tomatoes by low level γ-ray spectroscopy. Appl. Radiation and Isotopes 53(1–2), 203–220.
- [29] Bhatti, T. M., Malik, K. A. 1994. Phosphate fertilizers as a potential source for Uranium recovery as by product. A technical Report on Paec/NIBGE-2/1994. National Institute for Biotechnology & Genetic Engineering (NIBGE), Faisalabad.
- [30] Hamid, B. N., Chowdhury, M. I., Aslam, M. N., Islam, M. N., 2002. Study of natural radionuclides concentration in area of elevated radiation background in the northern districts of Bangladesh. Radiat. Protection and Dosimetry 98(2), 227–230.
- [31] Miah, F. K., Roy, S., Touhiduzzaman, N., Alan, B., 1998. Distribution of radionuclides in soil samples in and around Dhaka city. Appl. Radiation and Isotopes 49(1–2), 133–137.
- [32] Skorovarov, J. I., Rusin, L. I., Lomonsov, A. V., Chaforian, H., Hashemi, A., Novaseqhi, H., 2000. Development of Uranium extraction technology from phosphoric acid solutions with extract. Proc. Int. Conf. Uranium Extraction from Soil 217, 106–113.
- [33] Hussain, A., 1994. Determination of uranium and thorium concentration in rock samples. J. Radioanal. Nucl. Phys. 188(4), 255–265.
- [34] IAEA, 2003. Extent of environmental contamination by naturally occurring radioactive material (NORM) and technological options for mitigation. Technical Report Ser. No. 419.

- [35] Selvasekarapandia, S., Sivakumar, R., Manikendan, N. M., Meenakshisundaram, V., Gajendran, V., 1996. Natural radionuclides distribution in soils of Gudalore India. Appl. Radiation and Isotopes 52(2), 299–306.
- [36] UNSCEAR, 2000. Sources and effects of ionizing radiations. Report to General Assembly, with ScientiAc Annexes, United Nations, New York.
- [37] Djicknack Dione, Modou Mbaye, Mamadou Lamine Sane, Cheikh Ahmadou, Bamba Dath and Ababacar Sadikhe Ndao, 2018. Survey of Activity Concentration and Dose Estimation of Naturally Occurring Radionuclides (232Th, 238U and 40K) in the Coastal area of Dakar, Senegal. 7(3).